Filomat 37:5 (2023), 1391–1397 https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL2305391P



Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

## Fixed points of a family of mappings and equivalent characterizations

Abhijit Pant<sup>a</sup>, R. P. Pant<sup>a</sup>, M. C. Joshi<sup>a</sup>, V. Rakočević<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, D. S. B. Campus, Kumaun University, Nainital-263002, India <sup>b</sup>University of Niš, Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, Višegradska 33, 18000 Niš, Serbia

**Abstract.** In the present paper we prove a fixed point theorem for a one parameter family of contractive self-mappings, of a complete metric space or a complete b-metric space, each member of which has a unique fixed point that is also the unique common fixed point of the family; the mappings may be continuous or discontinuous at the fixed point. We also prove that under the assumption of a weaker form of continuity the fixed point property for mappings satisfying the contractive conditions employed by us implies completeness of the underlying space. The characterization of completeness obtained by us not only contains Subrahmanyam's theorem on characterization of completeness as a particular case but also extends it to b-metric spaces. Results on contractive mappings with discontinuity at the fixed point have found applications in neural networks with discontinuous activation function (e.g. Ozgur and Tas [19, 20]).

## 1. Introduction

Kannan [11, 12] proved that a self-mapping *f* of a complete metric space (*X*, *d*) satisfying the condition

$$d(fx, fy) \le a[d(x, fx) + d(y, fy)], \text{ for all } x, y \text{ in } X, \ 0 \le a < \frac{1}{2}$$
(1)

possesses a unique fixed point. Kannan's theorem is remarkable for two reasons: (a) it characterizes metric completeness [24], and (b) it was the genesis of the once open question on the existence of contractive mappings which are discontinuous at fixed point [23]. Several researchers have studied metric completeness (e.g. Kirk [13], Liu [14], Subrahmanayam [24], Suzuki [25]. Kirk [13] proved that Caristi's fixed theorem characterizes metric completeness. Subrahmanayam [24] proved that Kannan's theorem characterizes metric completeness. Subrahmanayam [24] proved that generalizes the Banach contraction theorem and characterizes metric completeness. The Banach contraction mapping theorem [1] itself does not characterize metric completeness [4].

The problem of continuity of contractive mappings at fixed points was resolved by Pant [21] in 1999 by giving a contractive condition which ensures the existence of a fixed point but does not imply continuity at the fixed point. While continuity is a nice and desirable property of functions, discontinuities occur naturally in diverse biological, industrial and economic phenomena and many of these phenomena involve

- Received: 01 November 2020; Accepted: 20 November 2020
- Communicated by Dragan S. Djordjević

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47H10, Secondary 54H25.

Keywords. Completeness, contractive condition, fixed point, k-continuity.

Email addresses: abhijitnt192@gmail.com (Abhijit Pant), pant\_rp@rediffmail.com (R. P. Pant), mcjoshi69@gmail.com (M. C. Joshi), vrakoc@sbb.rs (V. Rakočević)

threshold operations which are discontinuous. For example, a neuron in a neural network either fires or does not fire depending on whether the input crosses a certain threshold or not. Various industrial censors, band pass filters and the diode also work in this manner. Cromme and Diener [5] and Cromme [6] have proved results on approximate fixed points for such functions and have given applications of their results to neural nets, economic equilibria and analysis. We show that many functions representing threshold operations satisfy weaker forms of continuity and various contractive conditions; and possess fixed point. Fixed point theorems for discontinuous mappings have found wide applications, for example application of such theorems in the study of neural networks with discontinuous activation functions is presently a very active area of research (e. g. Ding et al [8], Forti and Nistri [9], Nie and Zheng [16–18], Wu and Shan [26]). Recently Ozgur and Tas [19, 20] have obtained application of the results on discontinuity at the fixed point by Pant [21] and Bisht and Pant [2, 3] in neural networks with discontinuous activation functions. We now give some relevant definitions.

**Definition 1.1 ([7, 10]).** Let X be a nonempty set and  $s \ge 1$  be a given real number. A function  $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called a b-metric if and only if for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , the following conditions are satisfied:

(1). d(x, y) = 0 if and only if x = y

(2). 
$$d(x, y) = d(y, x)$$

(3).  $d(x,z) \le s[d(x,y) + d(y,z)]$ . The triplet (X,d,s) is called a b-metric space.

**Definition 1.2 ([7, 10]).** Let (X, d, s) be a b-metric space. The sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X is called convergent if and only if for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $k \in N$  such that  $d(x_n, x) < \epsilon$  for all  $n \ge k$ . In this case, we write  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, x) = 0$ .

**Definition 1.3 ([7, 10]).** Let (X, d, s) be a b-metric space. The sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X is called a Cauchy sequence if and only if for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $k \in N$  such that  $d(x_m, x_n) < \epsilon$  for all  $m, n \ge k$ .

**Definition 1.4 ([7, 10]).** The b-metric space (X, d, s) is said to be complete if and only if every Cauchy sequence converges to some x in X.

In a recent work Pant and Pant [22] introduced the following weaker form of continuity for a mapping:

**Definition 1.5.** A self-mapping f of a metric space X will be called k-continuous, k = 1, 2, 3, ... if  $f^k x_n \to ft$  whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in X such that  $f^{k-1}x_n \to t$ .

**Example 1.6.** Let X = [0, 2] equipped with the usual metric and  $f : X \to X$  be defined by

$$fx = 1 \text{ if } 0 \le x \le 1, \quad fx = 0 \text{ if } x > 1.$$

Then  $fx_n \to t \implies f^2x_n \to t$  since  $fx_n \to t$  implies t = 0 or t = 1 and  $f^2x_n = 1$  for all n, that is,  $f^2x_n \to 1 = ft$ . Hence f is 2-continuous. However f is discontinuous at x = 1.

**Example 1.7.** Let X = [0, 2] and d be the usual metric. Define  $f : X \to X$  by

$$fx = \frac{(1+x)}{2}$$
 if  $0 \le x \le 1$ ,  $fx = 0$  if  $x > 1$ .

Then it can be verified that f is 2-continuous but not continuous. It is also easy to see that  $f^k$  is discontinuous for each positive integer k. Thus 2-continuity of f does not imply continuity of  $f^2$ . In general, k-continuity of f does not imply continuity of  $f^n$ . It can be shown that continuity of  $f^k$  and k-continuity of f are independent conditions when k > 1 (see [22]).

It is easy to see that 1- continuity is equivalent to continuity; and *continuity*  $\implies$  2 – *continuity*  $\implies$  3 – *continuity*  $\implies$  ..., but not conversely.

## 2. Results

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $\{f_r : 0 \le r \le 1\}$  be a family self-mapping of a complete metric space (X, d) such that for any given mapping  $f_r$  the following conditions are satisfied:

- (*i*)  $d(f_rx, f_ry) < max\{d(x, f_rx), d(y, f_ry)\}$ , whenever  $max\{d(x, f_rx), d(y, f_ry)\} > 0$ ,
- (ii) given  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\epsilon < \max\{d(x, f_r x), d(y, f_r y)\} \le \epsilon + \delta \implies d(f_r x, f_r y) \le \epsilon$ .

If  $f_r$  is k-continuous or if  $f_r^k$  is continuous for some integer  $k \ge 1$  then  $f_r$  has a unique fixed point, say  $t_r$ , and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} f_r^n x_0 = t_r$  for each  $x_0$  in X. Moreover, if every pair of mappings  $(f_r, f_s)$  satisfies the condition

(*iii*) 
$$d(f_r x, f_s y) \le max\{d(x, f_r x), d(y, f_s y)\},\$$

then the mappings  $\{f_r\}$  have a unique common fixed point which is also the unique fixed of each  $f_r$ .

*Proof.* Select any mapping  $f_r$ . Let  $x_0$  be any point in X. Define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X recursively by  $x_n = f_r x_{n-1}$ . If  $x_n = x_{n+1}$  for some *n* then  $x_n$  is a fixed point of  $f_r$ . If  $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$  for each *n*, then using (i) we get

. . .. . ..

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = d(f_r x_{n-1}, f_r x_n) < max\{d(x_{n-1}, f_r x_{n-1}), d(x_n, f_r x_n)\} = max\{d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_n, x_{n+1})\} = d(x_{n-1}, x_n).$$

Thus  $\{d(x_n, x_{n+1})\}$  is a strictly decreasing sequence of positive real numbers and, hence, tends to a limit  $l \ge 0$ . Suppose l > 0. Then there exists a positive integer N such that

$$n \ge N \implies l < d(x_n, x_{n+1}) < l + \delta(l). \tag{2}$$

This yields  $l < max\{d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})\} = max\{d(x_n, f_r x_n), d(x_{n+1}, f_r x_{n+1})\} < l + \delta(l)$  which by virtue of (ii) yields  $d(f_r x_n, f_r x_{n+1}) = d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \le l$ . This contradicts (2). Hence  $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Now if p is any positive integer then

$$d(x_n, x_{n+p}) = d(f_r x_{n-1}, f_r x_{n+p-1})$$

$$< max\{d(x_{n-1}, f_r x_{n-1}), d(x_{n+p-1}, f_r x_{n+p-1})\}$$

$$= max\{d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_{n+p-1}, x_{n+p})\} = d(x_{n-1}, x_n).$$

This implies that  $d(x_n, x_{n+p}) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Therefore,  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since X is complete, there exists  $t_r$  in X such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_r x_n = t_r.$$
(3)

Now suppose that  $f_r$  is k-continuous. Since  $f_r^{k-1}x_n \to t_r$  for each  $k \ge 1$ , k-continuity of  $f_r$  implies that  $f_r^k x_n \to f_r t_r$ . Hence  $t_r = f_r t_r$  as  $f_r^k x_n \to t_r$ . Therefore,  $t_r$  is fixed point of  $f_r$ .

Next suppose that  $f_r^k$  is continuous for some positive integer k. Then,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} f_r^k x_n = f_r^k t_r$ . This yields  $f_r^k t_r = t_r \text{ as } f_r^k x_n \to t_r.$  If  $t_r \neq f_r t_r$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(t_r, f_r t_r) &= d(f_r^k t_r, f_r^{k+1} t_r) &< \max\{d(f_r^{k-1} t_r, f_r^k t_r), d(f_r^k t_r, f_r^{k+1} t_r)\} \\ &= d(f_r^{k-1} t_r, f_r^k t_r) < d(f_r^{k-2} t_r, f_r^{k-1} t_r) < \ldots < d(t_r, f_r t_r), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Hence  $t_r = f_r t_r$  and  $t_r$  is a fixed point of  $f_r$ . Uniqueness of the fixed point follows from (i). Moreover, if  $u_r$  and  $u_s$  are the fixed points of  $f_r$  and  $f_s$  respectively then by (iii) we get

$$d(u_r, u_s) = d(f_r u_r, f_s u_s) \le max\{d(u_r, f_r u_r), d(u_s, f_s u_s)\} = 0.$$

Hence  $u_r = u_s$  and each mapping  $f_r$  has a unique fixed point which is also the unique common fixed point of the family of mappings.  $\Box$ 

The next example illustrates the above theorem.

**Example 2.2.** Let X = [0,3] and d be the usual metric. Define  $f_r : X \to X, 0 \le r \le 1$ , by

 $f_r x = 1 \text{ if } 0 \le x \le 1, \quad f_r x = r[3 - x] \text{ if } 1 < x \le 3,$ 

where [a] denotes the greatest integer not greater than the nonnegative real number a. Then the mappings  $f_r$  satisfy all the conditions of the above theorem and have a unique common fixed point x = 1which is also the unique fixed point of each mapping. The mapping  $f_r$  is discontinuous at the fixed point if r < 1 while  $f_r$  is continuous at the fixed point if r = 1. However,  $f_r^2$  is continuous for each r and  $f_r$  is 2-continuous for each r. To see that  $f_r$  is 2-continuous, consider a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $f_rx_n \rightarrow t$  for some t in X. Then t = 0 or t = r or t = 1and  $f_r^2x_n = 1 = f_r0 = f_rr = f_r1$ . Therefore  $f_r$  is 2-continuous. It can be easily verified that if 0 < r < 1 then

$$\begin{aligned} d(f_rx, f_ry) &= 0, \quad 0 < \max\{d(x, f_rx), d(y, f_ry)\} \le 1 & \text{if } x, y \le 1, \\ d(f_rx, f_ry) &= 1 - r, \quad 1 - r < \max\{d(x, f_rx), d(y, f_ry)\} \le 2 - r & \text{if } x \le 1, 1 < y \le 2, \\ d(f_rx, f_ry) &= 1, \quad 2 < \max\{d(x, f_rx), d(y, f_ry)\} \le 3 & \text{if } x \le 1, 2 < y \le 3, \\ d(f_rx, f_ry) &= 0, \quad 1 - r < \max\{d(x, f_rx), d(y, f_ry)\} \le 2 - r & \text{if } 1 < x, y \le 2, \\ d(f_rx, f_ry) &= r, \quad 2 < \max\{d(x, f_rx), d(y, f_ry)\} \le 3 & \text{if } 1 < x \le 2, 2 < y \le 3, \\ d(f_rx, f_ry) &= 0, \quad 2 < \max\{d(x, f_rx), d(y, f_ry)\} \le 3 & \text{if } 2 < x, y \le 3. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $f_r$  satisfies condition (ii) with  $\delta(\epsilon) = 1 - r - \epsilon$  if  $\epsilon < 1 - r$ ,  $\delta(\epsilon) = 2 - \epsilon$  if  $1 - r \le \epsilon < 2$  and  $\delta(\epsilon) = 1$ for  $\epsilon \ge 2$ . For r = 0, the function  $f_0$  satisfies (ii) with  $\delta(\epsilon) = 1 - \epsilon$  if  $\epsilon < 1$  and  $\delta(\epsilon) = 1$  if  $\epsilon \ge 1$ . The function  $f_0$ represents a threshold operation that can model the firing of a neuron, function of a diode, and also a low pass filter that allows low voltages to pass but not higher voltages (e.g. noise in music systems). It may also be seen that for r < 1 the functions  $f_r$  in this example do not satisfy the Meir – Keeler [15] type ( $\epsilon - \delta$ ) contractive condition:

$$\epsilon \leq \max\{d(x, fx), d(y, fy)\} < \epsilon + \delta \implies d(fx, fy) < \epsilon.$$

**Remark 2.3.** *If we take* r = 1 *in the above example then the mapping*  $f_1$  *is continuous at the fixed point and satisfies the stronger contractive condition* 

$$d(f_1x, f_1y) \le \frac{1}{2} \max\{d(x, f_1x), d(y, f_1y)\}.$$

We thus see that the mappings satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 may be continuous at fixed point or discontinuous functions including threshold functions. Moreover, if f is any self-mapping of X satisfying the conditions of Theorem 2.1 and if we denote:

$$m(x, y) = max\{d(x, fx), d(y, fy)\},\$$

then f is continuous at its fixed point, say z, if and only if  $m(x,z) \to 0$  as  $x \to z$ . If f is continuous at its fixed point z then  $fx \to fz$  and  $m(x,z) = max\{d(x, fx), d(z, fz)\} \to 0$  as  $x \to z$ . On the other hand, if  $m(x,z) \to 0$  as  $x \to z$  then  $d(x, fx) \to 0$  as  $x \to z$ , that is,  $fx \to z = fz$ . Hence f is continuous at the fixed point z. The next example shows that the above theorem does not hold if neither  $f_r$  is k-continuous nor  $f_r^k$  is continuous for

The next example shows that the above theorem does not hold if neither  $f_r$  is k-continuous nor  $f_r^*$  is continuous for some integer  $k \ge 1$ .

**Example 2.4.** Let X = [0, 2] and d be the usual metric. Define  $f : X \to X$  by

$$fx = \frac{(1+x)}{2}$$
 if  $0 \le x < 1$ ,  $fx = 0$  if  $1 \le x \le 2$ .

Then X is complete and f satisfies the contractive conditions (i) and (ii) with  $\delta(\epsilon) = \frac{(1-\epsilon)}{2}$  for  $\epsilon < 1$  and  $\delta(\epsilon) = 1$  for  $\epsilon \ge 1$  but f does not have a fixed point. If we consider a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  given by  $x_n = 1 - \frac{1}{2n}$  then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} fx_n = 1$ ,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} f^k x = 1 \ne f 1$  for each integer  $k \ge 1$ . The mapping f is, therefore, neither k-continuous nor is  $f_r^k$  continuous for some  $k \ge 1$ .

We now extend Theorem 2.1 to *b*-metric spaces.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let (X, d) be a complete b-metric space and  $\{f_r : 0 \le r \le 1\}$  be a family self-mapping of X such that for any given mapping  $f_r$  the conditions (i) and (ii) are satisfied. If  $f_r$  is k-continuous or if  $f_r^k$  is continuous for some integer  $k \ge 1$  then  $f_r$  has a unique fixed point. Moreover, if every pair of mappings  $(f_r, f_s)$  satisfies the condition (iii) then the mappings have a unique common fixed point which is also the unique fixed of each  $f_r$ .

*Proof.* The proof of this theorem is the same as that of Theorem 2.1 since the proof of Theorem 2.1 does not involve the use of triangle inequality.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 2.6.** Let (X, d) be a complete metric space or a complete b-metric space and  $\{f_r : 0 \le r \le 1\}$  be a family of asymptotically regular self-mappings of X satisfying

(iv)  $d(f_r x, f_r y) \leq \lambda \max\{d(x, f_r x), d(y, f_r y)\}, \lambda > 0$ , for each r.

If  $f_r$  is k-continuous for some integer  $k \ge 1$  then  $f_r$  has a unique fixed point. Moreover, if every pair of mappings  $(f_r, f_s)$  satisfies the condition

(v)  $d(f_r x, f_s y) \leq \lambda \max\{d(x, f_r x), d(y, f_s y)\}, \lambda > 0$ ,

then the mappings have a unique common fixed point which is also the unique fixed of each  $f_r$ .

*Proof.* Select any mapping  $f_r$ . Let  $x_0$  be any point in X. Define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X recursively by  $x_n = f_r x_{n-1}$ . If  $x_n = x_{n+1}$  for some n then  $x_n$  is a fixed point of  $f_r$ . If  $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$  for each n, then using (iv), for each positive integer p we get

$$d(x_n, x_{n+p}) = d(f_r x_{n-1}, f_r x_{n+p-1})$$
  

$$\leq \lambda max\{d(x_{n-1}, f_r x_{n-1}), d(x_{n+p-1}, f_r x_{n+p-1})\}$$
  

$$= \lambda max\{d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_{n+p-1}, x_{n+p})\}.$$

Asymptotic regularity of  $f_r$  implies  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0$ . This further implies that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, x_{n+p}) = 0$ , that is,  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since *X* is complete, there exists *t* in *X* such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} f_r^p x_n = t, p = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Since  $f_r$  is *k*-continuous and  $f_r^{k-1}x_n \to t$  for each  $k \ge 1$ , we get  $f_r^k x_n \to ft$ . Hence t = ft as  $f_r^k x_n \to t$ . Therefore, *t* is fixed point of  $f_r$ . Uniqueness of the fixed point of  $f_r$  follows from (iv). Moreover, if *u* and *v* are the fixed points of  $f_r$  and  $f_s$  respectively then using (v) we get

$$d(u,v) = d(f_r u, f_s v) \le \lambda \max\{d(u, f_r u), d(v, f_s v)\} = 0.$$

Hence u = v and the family of mappings  $\{f_r\}$  has a unique common fixed point which is also the unique fixed point of each  $f_r$ .  $\Box$ 

**Remark 2.7.** Theorems 2.1and 2.5 provide a new type of solution to the once open problem on the continuity of a contractive mapping at the fixed point (see Rhoades ([23], p.242) in which either each member of a family of contractive mappings has discontinuity at its fixed point or some members may be continuous at the fixed point; and the fixed point is a unique common fixed point of the mappings. These results show that besides metric spaces the solution to the problem of contractive mappings at fixed point exists in b-metric spaces also.

**Remark 2.8.** In Theorem 2.6 if we assume  $f_r^k$  to be continuous for some k > 1 then we get  $f_r^k t = t$ , that is, t turns out to be a periodic point of  $f_r$  which may not be a fixed point unless (iv) is replaced by a contractive type condition. This shows that the notion of k-continuity is more useful than the notion of continuity of  $f_r^k$  in fixed point considerations. This difference in these weaker forms of continuity extends further and this will become evident in the following.

If *f* is self-mapping of a metric space or a *b*-metric space (*X*, *d*) and satisfies (i) and (ii), Theorems 2.1 and 2.5 show that under the assumption of *k*-continuity of *f* or continuity of  $f^k$  completeness of *X* implies fixed point property for *f*. We now show that under the assumption of *k*-continuity fixed point property for every self-mapping of *X* satisfying conditions (i) and (ii) implies completeness of *X*. The same may not hold if  $f^k$  is assumed continuous.

There is, however, an essential difference between the next theorem and similar theorems (e. g. Kirk [13], Subrahmanyam [24], Suzuki [25]) giving characterization of completeness in terms of fixed point property for contractive type mappings. In [13], [24] and [25] the contractive condition implies continuity at the fixed point and completeness of the metric space X is equivalent to the existence of fixed point. On the other hand, the next theorem establishes that completeness of the space is equivalent to fixed point property for the larger class of k-continuous mappings satisfying contractive conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorems 2.1 and 2.5. In the next theorem, given two real numbers r and s, we shall use the notation  $r \ll s$  to mean that r is much less than s.

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let* (*X*, *d*) *be a metric space or a b-metric space. If every k-continuous self-mapping of X satisfying the conditions (i) and (ii) has a fixed point, then X is complete.* 

*Proof.* Suppose that every *k*-continuous self-mapping of *X* satisfying conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 2.1 possesses a fixed point. We assert that *X* is complete. If possible, suppose *X* is not complete. Then there exists a Cauchy sequence in *X*, say  $S = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, ...\}$ , consisting of distinct points which does not converge. Let  $x \in X$  be given. Then, since *x* is not a limit point of the sequence  $S, d(x, S - \{x\}) > 0$  and there exists a least positive integer N(x) such that  $x \neq u_{N(x)}$  and for each  $m \ge N(x)$  we have

$$d(u_{N(x)}, u_m) << d(x, u_{N(x)}).$$
<sup>(4)</sup>

Let us define a mapping  $f : X \to X$  by  $f(x) = u_{N(x)}$ . Then,  $f(x) \neq x$  for each x and, using (4), for any x, y in X we get

$$d(fx, fy) = d(u_{N(x)}, u_{N(y)}) << d(x, u_{N(x)}) = d(x, fx) \text{ if } N(x) \le N(y)$$
  
or  $d(fx, fy) = d(u_{N(x)}, u_{N(y)}) << d(y, u_{N(y)}) = d(y, fy) \text{ if } N(x) > N(y).$ 

This implies that

 $d(fx, fy) \ll \max\{d(x, fx), d(y, fy)\}.$ 

In other words, given  $\epsilon > 0$  we can select  $\delta(\epsilon) = \epsilon$  such that

$$\epsilon < \max\{d(x, fx), d(y, fy)\} \le \epsilon + \delta \implies d(fx, fy) \le \epsilon.$$
(6)

It is clear from (5) and (6) that the mapping f satisfies conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 2.1. Moreover, f is a fixed point free mapping whose range is contained in the non-convergent Cauchy sequence  $S = \{u_n\}$ . Hence, there exists no sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X for which  $\{fx_n\}$  converges, that is, there exists no sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X for which  $\{fx_n\}$  converges, that is, there exists no sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X for which  $\{fx_n\}$  converges, that is, there exists no sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X for which the condition  $fx_n \rightarrow t \implies f^2x_n \rightarrow ft$  is violated. Therefore, f is a 2-continuous mapping. Thus, we have a 2-continuous self-mapping f of X satisfying (i) and (ii) which does not possess a fixed point. This contradicts our hypothesis. Hence X is complete.  $\Box$ 

Example 2.2 shows that a *k*-continuous self-mapping that satisfies conditions (i) and (ii) possesses a unique fixed point if *X* is complete. The next example shows that a *k*-continuous self-mapping satisfying (i) and (ii) may not possess a fixed point if *X* is not complete.

**Example 2.10.** Let  $X = [0, 1) \cup (1, 2]$  and d be the usual metric. Define  $f : X \to X$  by

$$fx = \frac{(1+x)}{2}$$
 if  $0 \le x < 1$ ,  $fx = 0$  if  $1 < x \le 2$ .

Then f satisfies the contractive conditions (i) and (ii) with  $\delta(\epsilon) = 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  for  $\epsilon < 1$  and  $\delta(\epsilon) = 1$  for  $\epsilon \ge 1$  but f does not have a fixed point. The mapping f is continuous and, hence, k- continuous for each  $k \ge 1$ .

(5)

**Remark 2.11.** In Theorem 2.9 if the mappings satisfy Kannan contractive condition then the contractive conditions (*i*) and (*ii*) are obviously satisfied and Theorem 2.9 not only contains Subrahmanyam's theorem as a particular case but also extends it to b-metric spaces.

**Remark 2.12.** In a family of mappings containing both continuous and discontinuous mappings and satisfying the conditions of Theorem 2.1 we may define a measure of discontinuity of a mapping  $f_r$  in the following manner: Measure of discontinuity of  $f_r$  at  $z = [\lim_n \sup\{d(z, f_r x_n)\} + \lim_n \inf\{d(z, f_r x_n)\}]/[2\sup\{d(z, fx) : x \in X\}]$ , where  $\{x_n\}$ 

*is any sequence such that*  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = z$ *. Thus Measure of discontinuity of*  $f_0$  *at* 1 = [1 + 0]/2 = 1/2*,* 

Measure of discontinuity of  $f_r$ , 0 < r < 1, at 1 = [(1 - r) + 0]/2 = (1 - r)/2,

*Measure of discontinuity of*  $f_r$ , 0 < r < 1, at 2 = [2 + (2 - r)]/4 = (4 - r)/4,

*Measure of discontinuity of*  $f_1$  *at* 1 = [0 + 0]/2 = 0*,* 

*Measure of discontinuity of*  $f_1$  *at* 2 = [2+1]/4 = 3/4.

The mapping  $f_1$  is obviously continuous at x = 1 and the measure of discontinuity at x = 1 is found to be 0.

## References

- S. Banach, Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux equations integrals, Fund. Math., 3(1922), 133-181.
- [2] R. K. Bisht and R. P. Pant, A remark on discontinuity at fixed points, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 445(2017), 1239-1242.
- [3] R. K. Bisht and R. P. Pant, Contractive definitions and discontinuity at fixed point, Appl. Gen. Topol. 18, no. 1 (2017), 173-182.
- [4] E. H. Connel, Properties of fixed point spaces, Pro. Amer. Math. Soc., 10(1959), 974-979.
- [5] L. J. Cromme and Immo Diener, Fixed point theorems for discontinuous mappings, Math. Program., 51(1991), 257-267.
- [6] L. J. Cromme, Fixed point theorems for discontinuous functions and applications, Nonlinear Anal., 30(3) (1997) 1527-1534.
- [7] S. Czerwik, Contraction mappings in b-metric spaces, Acta Mathematica et Informatica Universitatis Ostraviensis, Vol. 1 (1993), No. 1, 5–11
- [8] X. Ding, J. Cao, X. Zhao and F. E. Alsaadi, Mittag-Leffler synchronization of delayed fractional-order bidirectional associative memory neural networks with discontinuous activations: state feedback control and impulsive control schemes, Proc. Royal Soc. A: Math. Engg. Phys. Sci (2017). DOI: 10.1098/rspa.2017.0322.
- [9] M. Forti and P. Nistri, Global convergence of neural networks with discontinuous neuron activations, IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. I, Fundam. Theory Appl. 50 (11) (2003),1421-1435.
- [10] C. Jinakul, A. Wiwatwanich and A. Kaewkhao, Common fixed point theorems for multi-valued mappings in b-metric spaces, International J. Pure Appl. Math. 113-1 (2017), 167-179.
- [11] R. Kannan, Some results on fixed points, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc., 60(1968), 71-76.
- [12] R. Kannan, Some results on fixed points-II, Amer. Math. Monthly, 76(1969), 405-408.
- [13] W. A. Kirk, Caristi's fixed point theorem and metric convexity, Colloq. Math., 36(1976), 81-86.
- [14] Z. Liu, Fixed points and completeness, Turk. J. Math., 20(1996), 467-472.
- [15] A. Meir and E. Keeler, A theorem on contraction mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 28(1969) 326-329.
- [16] X. Nie and W. X. Zheng, On multistability of competitive neural networks with discontinuous activation functions, 4th Australian Control Conference (AUCC), 2014 245-250.
- [17] X. Nie and ,W. X. Zheng, Multistability of neural networks with discontinuous non-monotonic Piecewise linear activation functions and time-varying delays, Neural Networks 65(2015), 65–79
- [18] X. Nie and W. X. Zheng, Dynamical behaviors of multiple equilibria in competitive neural networks with discontinuous nonmonotonic piecewise linear activation functions, IEEE Transactions On Cybernatics (Accepted).
- [19] N. Y. Ozgur and N. Tas, Some fixed-circle theorems on metric spaces. Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. 2017. doi: 10.1007/s40840-017-0555-z.
- [20] N. Y. Ozgur and N. Tas, Some fixed-circle theorems and discontinuity at fixed circle, AIP Conference Proceedings 1926, 020048 (2018); doi.org/10.1063/1.5020497.
- [21] R. P. Pant, Discontinuity and fixed points, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 240(1999) 284-289.
- [22] A. Pant and R. P. Pant, Fixed points and continuity of contractive maps, Filomat 31:11 (2017), 3501 3506.
- [23] B. E. Rhoades, Contractive definitions and continuity, Contemporary Mathematics 72(1988), 233-245.
- [24] P. V. Subrahmanyam, Completeness and fixed points, Monatsh. Math., 80(1975), 325-330.
- [25] T. Suzuki, A generalized Banach contraction principle that characterizes metric completeness, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 136-5(2008), 1861-1869.
- [26] H. Wu and C. Shan, Stability analysis for periodic solution of BAM neural networks with discontinuous neuron activations and impulses, Appl. Math. Modelling 33(6) (2017), 2564-2574.