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#### Abstract

We introduce the generalized Hahn space $h_{d}(p)$, which is not normable, and show that it is a totally paranormed space. We develop the parametric representation of parts of spheres in three-dimensional space endowed with the relative paranorm of $h_{d}(p)$ and solve the visibility and contour problems for these spheres. Also we apply our own software in line graphics to visualize the shapes of parts of these spheres. Finally we demonstrate the effects of the change of the parameters $d$ and $p$ on the shape of the spheres.


## 1. Introduction and Background

Visualization is widely used in teaching and research as useful tools for better understanding mathematical concepts and results. It is also frequently applied in natural and engineering sciences.

Here we use our own software in line graphics to visualize the geometry of linear metric spaces that have recently been used and studied in functional analysis and operator theory. This goal is achieved by graphically representing spheres in the metric of the studied spaces.

We introduce the generalized Hahn space $h_{d}(p)$, prove that it is a linear metric space with respect to its natural total paranorm, and solve the visibility and contour problems for the visualization of spheres or their parts in $h_{d}(p)$.

Finally we demonstrate the influence of the change of the parameters $p$ and $d$ on the shapes of the spheres in $h_{d}(p)$.

We use the standard notations $\omega$ for the set of all complex sequences $x=\left(x_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$, and $c_{0}$ for the set of all sequences in $\omega$ that converge to zero.

The Hahn space $h$ was originally introduced and studied by Hahn in 1922 [5] in connection with the theory of singular integrals, and later generalized to $h_{d}$ by Goes [4] for sequences $d=\left(d_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of positive reals, where

$$
h_{d}=\left\{x \in \omega: \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_{k}\left|\Delta x_{k}\right|<\infty\right\} \cap c_{0}
$$

and $\Delta x_{k}=x_{k}-x_{k+1}$ for all $k$. In the special cases, where $d_{k}=k$ or $d_{k}=1$ for all $k$, the generalized Hahn space reduces to the original Hahn space $h$ or the classical space $b v_{0}$ (see, for instance, [18, Definition
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Figure 1: Left: Sphere in the original Hahn space. Right: Sphere in the $b v_{0}$ space
7.3.3]), respectively (Figure1). It was shown in [13, Proposition 2.1] that if the sequence $d$ is increasing and unbounded, then $h_{d}$ is a $B K$ space with $A K$ (see, for instance, [12, Definitions 9.2.1 and 9.2.12] for the concepts of $B K$ space and $A K$ ).

Matrix transformations and bounded and compact operators on the Hahn space have recently been studied in various papers, for instance in $[1,2,7,9,10,13-16]$. A survey of recent results can also be found in [6].

We generalize the definition of the space $h_{d}$.
Let $\left(p_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of positive real numbers and $d=\left(d_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be an increasing unbounded sequence of positive real numbers. We write [8]

$$
c_{0}(p)=\left\{x=\left(x_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty} \in \omega: \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left|x_{k}\right|^{p_{k}}=0\right\}
$$

and define the set

$$
h_{d}(p)=\left\{x=\left(x_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty} \in \omega: \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_{k}\left|\Delta x_{k}\right|^{p_{k}}<\infty\right\} \cap c_{0}(p)
$$

If $d_{k}=k$ for all $k$, then we write $h(p)=h_{d}(p)$.

## 2. The Generalized Paranormed Hahn Space

Throughout, let $\left(p_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of positive real numbers and $d=\left(d_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be an increasing unbounded sequence of positive reals. In this section, we show that the space $h_{d}(p)$ is a totally paranormed space, if the sequence $p$ is bounded.

We recall the concept of a paranorm (see, for instance, [17, Definition 4.2.1]).
Definition 2.1. Let $X$ be a linear space.
A function $g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a paranorm, if
$g(0)=0$,
$g(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in X$,
$g(-x)=g(x)$ for all $x \in X$,
$g(x+y) \leq g(x)+g(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ (triangle inequality)
if $\left(\lambda_{n}\right)$ is a sequence of scalars with $\lambda_{n} \rightarrow \lambda(n \rightarrow \infty)$ and $\left(x_{n}\right)$ is a sequence of vectors with $g\left(x_{n}-x\right) \rightarrow 0(n \rightarrow \infty)$ then it follows that $g\left(\lambda_{n} x_{n}-\lambda x\right) \rightarrow 0(n \rightarrow \infty)$ (continuity of multiplication by scalars).

If $g$ is a paranorm on $X$, then $(X, g)$, or $X$ for short, is called a paranormed space. A paranorm $g$ for which $g(x)=0$ implies $x=0$ is called total.

Remark 2.2. If $g$ is a total paranorm for a linear space $X$, then it is easy to see that $d(x, y)=g(x-y)(x, y \in X)$ defines a metric on $X$, which is translation invariant, thus every totally paranormed space is a translation invariant linear space. The converse statement is also true. The metric of any linear metric space is given by some total paranorm [17, Theorem 10.4.2].

The following holds.
Proposition 2.3. If the sequence $p=\left(p_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded, then $\left(h_{d}(p), g_{(p)}\right)$ is a totally paranormed space with

$$
g_{(p)}(x)=\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_{k}\left|\Delta x_{k}\right|^{p_{k}}\right)^{1 / M} \quad\left(x \in h_{d}(p)\right)
$$

where $M=\max \left\{1, \sup _{k} p_{k}\right\}$.
Proof. (i) First we show that $h_{d}(p)$ is a linear space.
We write $\alpha_{k}=p_{k} / M$ and $\delta_{k}=d_{k}^{1 / p_{k}}$ for all $k$.
Let $x, y \in h_{d}(p)$. Then $x, y \in c_{0}(p)$ and so, since $\alpha_{k} \leq 1$ for all $k$

$$
\left|x_{k}+y_{k}\right|^{\alpha_{k}} \leq\left|x_{k}\right|^{\alpha_{k}}+\left|y_{k}\right|^{\alpha_{k}} \rightarrow 0(k \rightarrow \infty),
$$

hence $x+y \in c_{0}(p)$. Also we get applying Minkowski's inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_{k}\left|\Delta(x+y)_{k}\right|^{p_{k}}\right)^{1 / M} & =\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\left|\delta_{k} \Delta x_{k}+\delta_{k} \Delta y_{k}\right|^{\alpha_{k}}\right)^{M}\right)^{1 / M} \\
& \leq\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|\delta_{k} \Delta x_{k}\right|^{\alpha_{k} M}\right)^{1 / M}+\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|\delta_{k} \Delta y_{k}\right|^{\alpha_{k} M}\right)^{1 / M} \\
& =\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_{k}\left|\Delta x_{k}\right|^{p_{k}}\right)^{1 / M}+\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_{k}\left|\Delta y_{k}\right|^{p_{k}}\right)^{1 / M}<\infty \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus we have shown that $x, y \in h_{d}(p)$ implies $x+y \in h_{d}(p)$.
Now we assume $x \in h_{d}(p)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. We put $\Lambda=\max \left\{1,|\lambda|^{M}\right\}$ and obtain from $x \in c_{0}(p)$

$$
\left|\lambda x_{k}\right|^{p_{k}} \leq \Lambda\left|x_{k}\right|^{p_{k}} \rightarrow 0(k \rightarrow \infty)
$$

that $\lambda x \in c_{0}(p)$, and also

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_{k}\left|\lambda \Delta x_{k}\right|^{p_{k}} \leq \Lambda \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_{k}\left|\Delta x_{k}\right|^{p_{k}}<\infty
$$

hence $\lambda x \in h_{d}(p)$. This completes Part (i) of the proof.
(ii) Now we show that $g_{(p)}$ is a total paranorm on $h_{d}(p)$.

We write $g=g_{(p)}$, for short.
Obviously $g: h_{d}(p) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the conditions in (P.1), (P.2) and (P.3), and by (1) also the condition in (P.4) of Definition 2.1.
To show the condition in (P.5) of Definition 2.1 we assume that $\left(\lambda_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of scalars with $\lambda_{n} \rightarrow \lambda$ $(n \rightarrow \infty)$ and $\left(x^{(n)}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of elements $x^{(n)}=\left(x_{k}^{(n)}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ in $h_{d}(p)$ with $g\left(x^{(n)}-x\right) \rightarrow 0(n \rightarrow \infty)$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\lambda_{n} x^{(n)}-\lambda x\right)=S_{1 ; n}+S_{2 ; n}+S_{3 ; n} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
S_{1 ; n}=g\left(\left(\lambda_{n}-\lambda\right)\left(x^{(n)}-x\right)\right), S_{2 ; n}=g\left(\lambda\left(x^{(n)}-x\right)\right) \text { and } S_{3 ; n}=g\left(\left(\lambda_{n}-\lambda\right) x\right) .
$$

First, $\lambda_{n} \rightarrow \lambda(n \rightarrow \infty)$ implies $\left|\lambda_{n}-\lambda\right| \leq 1$ for all sufficiently large $n$, hence

$$
S_{1 ; n} \leq g\left(x^{(n)}-x\right) \rightarrow 0(n \rightarrow \infty) .
$$

We also have

$$
S_{2 ; n} \leq \Lambda g\left(x^{(n)}-x\right) \rightarrow 0(n \rightarrow \infty) .
$$

Finally, to show $S_{3 ; n} \rightarrow 0(n \rightarrow \infty)$, let $\varepsilon>0$ be given. Then there exists $k_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\left(\sum_{k=k_{0}+1}^{\infty} d_{k}\left|\Delta x_{k}\right|^{p_{k}}\right)^{1 / M}<\frac{\varepsilon}{2} .
$$

Now we choose $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\left|\lambda_{n}-\lambda\right| \leq 1 \text { and } \max _{1 \leq k \leq k_{0}}\left|\lambda_{n}-\lambda\right|^{p_{k}} \leq\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2 g(x)+1}\right)^{M} \text { for all } n \geq n_{0}
$$

Since $1 / M \leq 1$, we obtain for all $n \geq n_{0}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{3, n} & =\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{n}-\lambda\right|^{p_{k}} d_{k}\left|\Delta x_{k}\right|^{p_{k}}\right)^{1 / M} \\
& \leq\left(\sum_{k=1}^{k_{0}}\left|\lambda_{n}-\lambda\right|^{p_{k}} d_{k}\left|\Delta x_{k}\right|^{p_{k}}\right)^{1 / M}+\left(\sum_{k=k_{0}+1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{n}-\lambda\right|^{p_{k}} d_{k} \mid \Delta x_{k} p^{p_{k}}\right)^{1 / M} \\
& \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2 g(x)+1} \cdot\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_{k}\left|\Delta x_{k}\right|^{p_{k}}\right)^{1 / M}+\left(\sum_{k=k_{0}+1}^{\infty} d_{k}\left|\Delta x_{k}\right|^{p_{k}}\right)^{1 / M} \\
& <\frac{\varepsilon}{2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}=\varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we also have $S_{3 ; n} \rightarrow 0(n \rightarrow \infty)$ and consequently the condition in (P.5) of Definition 2.1 is satisfied.
Remark 2.4. Only $d_{k}>0$ for all $k$ is needed in the proof of Proposition 2.3.
The following example shows that $h_{d}(p)$ may not be a linear space if the sequence $p$ is unbounded.
Example 2.5. If the sequence $p$ is unbounded and increasing, then the set $h(p)$ is not a linear space.
Proof. We assume that $\sup _{k} p_{k}=\infty$. Then we can choose a sequence $(k(i))_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of integers such that $k(i)>i+1$ and $k(i+1)-k(i)>2$ for all $i$. We define the sequence $x=\left(x_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ by

$$
x_{k}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{k(i)}\right)^{1 / p_{k j}(j)-1} & (k=k(i)) \\
0 & (k \neq k(i))
\end{array} \quad(i=1,2, \ldots) .\right.
$$

Then it follows that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\left|\Delta x_{k}\right|^{p_{k}}=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{k(i)-1}{2^{p_{k(j)-1}}} \frac{1}{k(i)}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{k(i)^{1-p_{k(0)} p_{k(i)-1}}}{2^{p_{k(i)}}}
$$

$$
\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{i}}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{i+1}}<\infty,
$$

and, for all $k$,

$$
0 \leq\left|x_{k}\right|^{p_{k}} \leq\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{p_{k(i)}} \frac{1}{k(i)} \rightarrow 0(i \rightarrow \infty)
$$

that is, $x \in h(p)$, but

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\left|2 \Delta x_{k}\right|^{p_{k}} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{k(i)-1}{k(i)}=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\frac{1}{k(i)}\right)=\infty
$$

since $1 / k(i) \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, and so $2 x \notin h(p)$.

## 3. Visibility and Contour

Let $k_{1}, k_{2}$ and $k_{3}$ be distinct positive integers, and the orthogonal projection $p r: \omega \rightarrow \mathbb{V}^{3}$ be defined by $\operatorname{pr}(x)=\left\{x_{k_{1}}, x_{k_{2}}, x_{k_{3}}\right\}$ for all sequences $x=\left(x_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty} \in \omega$.

In this section, we consider the visualization of the projections $p r$ of parts of spheres in the space $h_{d}(p)$ on three-dimensional vector space $\mathbb{V}^{3}$ equipped with the restriction $\left.g_{(p)}\right|_{\mathbb{V}^{3}}$ of the paranorm $g_{(p)}$ on $\mathbb{V}^{3}$. Since we use line graphics, perhaps the greatest challenge is solving the visibility problem; we also have to solve the contour (or silhouette) problem.

First we solve the visibility problem.
We use central projection in $\mathbb{V}^{3}$ and check the visibility of any point on a given surface analytically. This means we have to compute the intersections of straight lines with the surface.

To contract notation, we always write 1,2 and 3 for the indices $k_{1}, k_{2}$ and $k_{3}$ in the computations below. So let $d_{k}$ and $p_{k}(k=1,2,3)$ be given positive real numbers, $M=\max \left\{1, p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right\}$,

$$
\left.g_{(p)}\right|_{\mathbb{V}^{3}}(\vec{x})=\left(d_{1}\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|^{p_{1}}+d_{2}\left|x_{2}-x_{3}\right|^{p_{2}}+\left|x_{3}\right|^{p_{3}}\right)^{1 / M}
$$

for all $\vec{x}=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\} \in \mathbb{V}^{3}$, and

$$
S_{r}(0)=\left\{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{V}^{3}:\left.g_{(p)}\right|_{\mathbb{V}^{3}}(\vec{x})=r\right\}
$$

denote the sphere of radius $r>0$ centred at the origin. We note that we only need to consider spheres centered at the origin for the solution of the visibility problem, since $g_{(p)}$ is translation invariant by Remark 2.2.

Furthermore, let $\vec{x}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\left(\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in R=I_{1} \times I_{2} \subset(-\pi / 2, \pi / 2) \times(0,2 \pi)\right)$ be a parametric representation of the part $S$ of the sphere $S_{r}(0)$ in $\left(\mathbb{V}^{3},\left.g_{(p)}\right|_{\mathbb{V}^{3}}\right)$ to be visualized (Figure 2), $\vec{q}=\left\{q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}\right\}, \vec{v}=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right\} \in \mathbb{V}^{3}$ and $L$ be the straight line through the point $Q$ with position vector $\vec{q}$ in the direction of the vector $\vec{v}$, that is, $L$ has a parametric representation

$$
\vec{z}(t)=\vec{q}+t \vec{v}(t \in \mathbb{R}) .
$$

We have to find the intersection $L \cap S$, that is, the values of $t, u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{x}=\vec{x}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=\vec{q}+t \vec{v} \text { for } \vec{x}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in S \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

First we establish a parametric representation for $S$. We put for $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in R$

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{1}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=r^{M / p_{1}} \operatorname{sgn}\left(\cos u_{2}\right)\left(\cos u_{1}\left|\cos u_{2}\right|\right)^{2 / p_{1}}  \tag{4}\\
& y_{2}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=r^{M / p_{2}} \operatorname{sgn}\left(\sin u_{2}\right)\left(\cos u_{1}\left|\sin u_{2}\right|\right)^{2 / p_{2}} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 2: Part of a sphere for $d_{1}=1, d_{2}=2, d_{3}=3, p_{1}=0.8, p_{2}=1, p_{3}=1.2$.
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{3}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=r^{M / p_{3}} \operatorname{sgn}\left(\sin u_{1}\right)\left|\sin u_{1}\right|^{2 / p_{3}} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we write $\delta_{k}=d_{k}^{1 / p_{k}}$ for $k=1,2,3$, use the transformation formulae

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{1}=\delta_{1}\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)  \tag{7}\\
y_{2}=\delta_{2}\left(x_{2}-x_{3}\right) \\
y_{3}=\delta_{3} x_{3}
\end{array}\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{1}=y_{1} / \delta_{1}+y_{2} / \delta_{2}+y_{3} / \delta_{3} \\
x_{2}=y_{2} / \delta_{2}+y_{3} / \delta_{3} \\
x_{3}=y_{3} / \delta_{3}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(g_{(p)}(x)\right)^{M}=d_{1}\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|^{p_{1}}+d_{2}\left|x_{2}-x_{3}\right|^{p_{2}}+d_{3}\left|x_{3}\right|^{p_{3}}=\sum_{k=1}^{3}\left|y_{k}\right|^{p_{k}}=r^{M} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus a parametric representation $S$ is given by

$$
\vec{x}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=\vec{y}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \quad\left(\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in R\right)
$$

where the vectors $\vec{x}$ and $\vec{y}$ are related by the transformation formulae (7) above.
Now the identity in (3) yields $x_{k}-\left(q_{k}+t v_{k}\right)=0$ for $k=1,2,3$, and in particular

$$
v_{3} t=x_{3}-q_{3}
$$

Case 1. $v_{3} \neq 0$.
Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=t\left(u_{1}\right)=\frac{x_{3}-q_{3}}{v_{3}}=\frac{y_{3} / \delta_{3}-q_{3}}{v_{3}}=\frac{\left(1 / \delta_{3}\right) r^{M / p_{3}} \operatorname{sgn}\left(\sin u_{1}\right)\left|\sin u_{1}\right|^{2 / p_{3}}-q_{3}}{v_{3}}, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (3) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{1}-x_{2} & =\left(q_{1}-q_{2}\right)+t\left(v_{1}-v_{2}\right), \\
x_{2}-x_{3} & =\left(q_{2}-q_{3}\right)+t\left(v_{2}-v_{3}\right), \\
x_{3} & =q_{3}+t v_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus using the transformation formulae above and (8) we have to find the zeros $u_{1}^{0} \in I_{1}$ of the function $f$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(u_{1}\right)=d_{1}\left|\left(q_{1}-q_{2}\right)+t\left(v_{1}-v_{2}\right)\right|^{p_{1}}+d_{2}\left|\left(q_{2}-q_{3}\right)+t\left(v_{2}-v_{3}\right)\right|^{p_{2}}+d_{3}\left|q_{3}+t v_{3}\right|^{p_{3}}-r^{M} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $t=t\left(u_{1}\right)$ in (9). We use the numerical methods described in detail in [11, Section 6.1]. In almost all cases, however, we apply the bisection method, since it is the fastest one of the implemented methods. We write $t_{0}=t\left(u_{1}^{0}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{1}\left(x_{1}\left(u_{1}^{0}, u_{2}\right)-x_{2}\left(u_{1}^{0}, u_{2}\right)\right) & =y_{1}\left(u_{1}^{0}, u_{2}\right)=r^{M / p_{1}} \operatorname{sgn}\left(\cos u_{2}\right)\left(\cos u_{1}^{0}\left|\cos u_{2}\right|\right)^{2 / p_{1}} \\
& =\delta_{1}\left(\left(q_{1}-q_{2}\right)+t_{0}\left(v_{1}-v_{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

implies

$$
\left|y_{1}\left(u_{1}^{0}, u_{2}\right)\right|^{p_{1}}=r^{M}\left(\cos u_{1}^{0} \cos u_{2}\right)^{2}=d_{1}\left|\left(q_{1}-q_{2}\right)+t_{0}\left(v_{1}-v_{2}\right)\right|^{p_{1}}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos u_{2}= \pm \frac{1}{\cos u_{1}^{0}} \sqrt{\frac{d_{1}}{r^{M}}} \cdot\left|\left(q_{1}-q_{2}\right)+t_{0}\left(v_{1}-v_{2}\right)\right|^{p_{1} / 2} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin u_{2}= \pm \frac{1}{\cos u_{1}^{0}} \sqrt{\frac{d_{2}}{r^{M}}} \cdot\left|\left(q_{2}-q_{3}\right)+t_{0}\left(v_{2}-v_{3}\right)\right|^{p_{2} / 2} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we determine the values of $u_{2}^{0} \in I_{2}$ from (11) and (12) (if they exist), and are able to compute the possible intersections $L \cap S$.

Let $C$ denote the centre of projection. Now a point $Q=\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}\right) \in S$ with position vector $\vec{q}$ is invisible (with respect to $S$ ) if, for $\vec{v}=\overrightarrow{Q C}$, there exist a zero $u_{1}^{0} \in I_{1}$ of the function $f$ in (10) with corresponding $t_{0}=t\left(u_{1}^{0}\right)>0$ from (9) and $u_{2}^{0} \in I_{2}$ from (11) and (12).

Case 2. $v_{3}=0$.
Now we have to find the zeros $u_{1}^{0} \in I_{1}$ of the function $f$ with

$$
f\left(u_{1}\right)=x_{3}-q_{3}=\frac{y_{3}}{\delta_{3}}-q_{3}=\frac{r^{M / p_{3}}}{\delta_{3}} \operatorname{sgn}\left(\sin u_{1}\right)\left|\sin u_{1}\right|^{2 / p_{3}}-q_{3},
$$

that is,

$$
\operatorname{sgn}\left(\sin u_{1}\right)\left|\sin u_{1}\right|^{2 / p_{3}}=\frac{q_{3} \delta_{3}}{r^{M / p_{3}}}
$$

If $\operatorname{sgn}\left(\sin u_{1}\right) \neq \operatorname{sgn}\left(q_{3}\right)$, then there exists no zero $u_{1}$ of $f\left(u_{1}\right)$. Otherwise we obtain

$$
\left|\sin u_{1}\right|^{2}=\frac{\left(\left|q_{3}\right| \delta_{3}\right)^{p_{3}}}{r^{M}}
$$

hence

$$
\sin u_{1}= \pm \sqrt{\frac{\left|q_{3}\right|^{p_{3}} d_{3}}{r^{M}}}
$$

which yields

$$
u_{1}^{0}= \pm \sin ^{-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\left|q_{3}\right|^{p_{3}} d_{3}}{r^{M}}}\right)
$$

if $\left|q_{3}\right|^{p_{3}} d_{3} \leq r^{M}$, which is the case if $P \in S$ for $u_{1}^{0} \in I_{1}$.
Furthermore, we must find the zeros $t_{0}=t\left(u_{1}^{0}\right)$ of

$$
g(t)=d_{1}\left|\left(q_{1}-q_{2}\right)+t\left(v_{1}-v_{2}\right)\right|^{p_{1}}+d_{2}\left|\left(q_{2}-q_{3}\right)+t\left(v_{2}-v_{3}\right)\right|^{p_{2}}+d_{3}\left|q_{3}\right|^{p_{3}}-r^{M}
$$

Now the transformation formulae

$$
\delta_{1}\left(x_{1}\left(u_{1}^{0}, u_{2}\right)-x_{2}\left(u_{1}^{0}, u_{2}\right)\right)=y_{1}\left(u_{1}^{0}, u_{2}\right)=r^{M / p_{1}} \operatorname{sgn}\left(\cos u_{2}\right)\left(\cos u_{1}^{0}\left|\cos u_{2}\right|\right)^{2 / p_{1}}
$$

and

$$
\delta_{2}\left(x_{2}\left(u_{1}^{0}, u_{2}\right)-x_{3}\left(u_{1}^{0}, u_{2}\right)\right)=y_{2}\left(u_{1}^{0}, u_{2}\right)=r^{M / p_{2}} \operatorname{sgn}\left(\sin u_{2}\right)\left(\cos u_{1}^{0}\left|\sin u_{2}\right|\right)^{2 / p_{2}}
$$

yield

$$
d_{1}\left|\left(q_{1}-q_{2}\right)+t_{0}\left(v-v_{2}\right)\right|^{p_{1}}=r^{M} \cos ^{2} u_{1}^{0} \cos ^{2} u_{2}
$$

hence again (11), and similarly (12).
Now the invisibility of a point $Q \in S$ is determined by the same argument as in Case 1.
Now we consider the contour problem. Let $P$ with the position vector $\vec{x}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$ be a point of any surface $S$, and

$$
\vec{n}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=\vec{x}_{1}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \times \vec{x}_{2}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)
$$

be the (unnormed) surface normal vector to $S$ at $P$, where

$$
\vec{x}_{k}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=\frac{\partial \vec{x}}{\partial u_{k}}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \text { for } k=1,2
$$

Then we say that $P$ is a contour point of $S$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overrightarrow{P C} \bullet \vec{n}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

the set of all contour points is referred to as the contour (or silhouette) of $S$.
Now let $S$ be a part of the sphere $S_{r}(0)$ in $h_{d}(p)$. We put

$$
\rho_{k}=\frac{2 r^{M / p_{k}}}{p_{k}} \text { and } \beta_{k}=\frac{2}{p_{k}}-1 \text { for } k=1,2,3
$$

$s_{2}=\operatorname{sgn}\left(\sin u_{2}\right)$ and $c_{2}=\operatorname{sgn}\left(\cos u_{2}\right)$. Then we obtain for $u_{1} \neq 0$ and $u_{2} \neq \pi / 2, \pi, 3 \pi / 2$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial y_{1}}{\partial u_{1}}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=-c_{2} \rho_{1} \sin u_{1}\left(\cos u_{1}\right)^{\beta_{1}}\left|\cos u_{2}\right|^{\beta_{1}+1} \\
& \frac{\partial y_{2}}{\partial u_{1}}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=-s_{2} \rho_{2} \sin u_{1}\left(\cos u_{1}\right)^{\beta_{2}}\left|\sin u_{2}\right|^{\beta_{2}+1} \\
& \frac{\partial y_{3}}{\partial u_{1}}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=\rho_{3} \cos u_{1}\left|\sin u_{1}\right|^{\beta_{3}} \\
& \frac{\partial y_{1}}{\partial u_{2}}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=-\rho_{1} \sin u_{2}\left(\cos u_{1}\right)^{\beta_{1}+1}\left|\cos u_{2}\right|^{\beta_{1}} \\
& \frac{\partial y_{2}}{\partial u_{2}}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=\rho_{2} \cos u_{2}\left(\cos u_{1}\right)^{\beta_{2}+1}\left|\sin u_{2}\right|^{\beta_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 3: A screenshot for visualization of the sphere in Figure 2 and the implementation of (4) - (7)
and $\left(\partial y_{3} / \partial u_{2}\right)\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=0$. Using the transformation formulae (7) we obtain $\vec{x}_{1}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$ and $\vec{x}_{2}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$. If $\vec{c}$ denotes the position vector of the centre of projection, then the contour points are given by the zeros in the domain $R$ of $S$ of the function

$$
\Phi\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=\left(\vec{c}-\vec{x}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right) \bullet\left(\vec{x}_{1}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \times \vec{x}_{2}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right) .
$$

For this we use the numerical method to determine the zeros of a real-valued function of two real variables on a rectangle, described in detail in [3].

The described procedure is implemented in our software package MV-Graphics. The basics of the software are described in [11]. It contains $135 M B$, and the unit UHahn developed for the visualization of spheres in the Hahn space parts of which are described in this paper consists of 1755 lines of programming code. The unit UHahn contains, among other things, the classes HahnNorm3DT for the definition of the spheres in Hahn space, HahnNorm3DUiT for parameter lines on them and HahnNorm3DCT for its contour. A screenshot for the visualization of the sphere on the Figure 2 and an implementation of the method HahnNorm3DT.ParToSurf for a given parameter point $Q$ with the resulting three-dimensional point $P$ is given in Figure 3.

A part of the implementation of the visibility procedure described here is

```
M := MAX(MAX(1,exponent1),MAX(exponent2,exponent3));
Vis := TRUE; LnIS := PrRay;
LinearCombinationVt3D(1, -1,P,Centre,P);
LinearCombinationVt3D (1, -1,LnIS.0,Centre,LnIS.0);
SpecialCase := Null(LnIS.U.Z,Eps15);
FOR N := 1 TO NOfIntv DO BEGIN
    I1D[1].X := I1[1].X+ (N-1)/NOfIntv*(I1[2].X-I1[1].X);
    I1D[2].X := I1[1].X+ N/NOfIntv*(I1[2].X-I1[1].X);
    FindZeros0fF (I1D, Zero,NoZero);
```



Figure 4: Influence of the parameters $d_{k}$

```
    IF (NoZero > 0) THEN BEGIN
    Szero := SIN(Zero);
    Rho3 := POWER (Radius, M/exponent3) / POWER(D3,1/exponent3);
    TT := Rho3 * SGN(Szero) * POWER(ABS(Szero), 2/exponent3) ;
    IF NOT SpecialCase THEN TIS := (TT-LnIS.O.Z)/LnIS.U.Z ELSE TIS := 200;
    IF (TIS > Eps3/DiamWI3D) THEN BEGIN
        Q.U1 := Zero;
        Czero := COS(Zero);
        COSU2 := SQRT(D1) * SGN(LnIS.0.X-LnIS.O.Y + TIS*(LnIS.U.X-LnIS.U.Y))*
                POWER(ABS(LnIS.O.X-LnIS.O.Y + TIS*(LnIS.U.X-LnIS.U.Y)), exponent1/2) / CZero / POWER(Radius,M/2);
        SINU2 := SQRT(D2) * SGN(LnIS.O.Y-LnIS.O.Z + TIS*(LnIS.U.Y-LnIS.U.Z))*
                POWER(ABS(LnIS.O.Y-LnIS.O.Z + TIS*(LnIS.U.Y-LnIS.U.Z)), exponent2/2) / CZero / POWER(Radius,M/2);
        COSSINToAngle (COSu2,SINu2, Q.U2);
        IF (Q.U2 < Q) THEN Q.U2 := Q.U2+2*PI;
        IF InIntervalPar (IU1U2,Q) THEN
        BEGIN Vis := FALSE; EXIT; END;
    END;
    END;
END;
```


## 4. Influence of Parameters in the Shape of Spheres in $h_{d}(p)$

We illustrate the influence of each parameter on the shape of the sphere.
Figure 4 illustrates the influence of the parameters $d_{k}$. We display the unit spheres with the exponents $p_{1}=p_{2}=p_{3}=1$. Left: $d_{1}=1, d_{2}=1, d_{3}=3$. Middle: $d_{1}=2, d_{2}=3, d_{3}=5$. Right: $d_{1}=0.5, d_{2}=1.5, d_{3}=2$.

Varying the exponents $p_{k}$ results in a change of the shape of the spheres. We start with the unit sphere in the original Hahn space $h$, where $d_{1}=1, d_{2}=2, d_{3}=3$.

First we consider spheres with equal exponents. Figures 5 and 6 show the unit sphere in the generalized Hahn space $h(p)$ with the parameters $p=p_{k}$ for $k=1,2,3$, where $p=0.8,1.3,2$ and 4 .

If the exponents are different, the shape of the sphere is more interesting. On the left in Figure 7, the exponents are $p_{1}=2, p_{2}=4, p_{3}=1$. In the middle, they are $p_{1}=0.8, p_{2}=1, p_{3}=1.2$. In the right they are $p_{1}=2.5, p_{2}=0.8, p_{3}=1.5$. Figure 2 is part of the sphere in the middle.

We can also change the parameters $d_{k}$ and the exponents $p_{k}$ at the same time. Figure 8 shows unit spheres in the generalized Hahn space $h_{d}(p)$. On the left the parameters are $p_{1}=0.5, p_{2}=0.8, p_{3}=1.5$ and $d_{1}=1, d_{2}=1, d_{3}=3$. Notice that the parameters $d_{k}$ are the same as those on the left in Figure 4 . On the right in Figure 8, the parameters are $p_{1}=0.8, p_{2}=1, p_{3}=1.2$ and $d_{1}=0.5, d_{2}=2, d_{3}=4$. The values of $p_{k}$ are the same as in the middle of Figure 7.

It is also interesting to consider cases where the values of $d_{k}$ for $k=1,2,3$ are not increasing, since the change of a finite number of terms in the sequence $d$ does not affect the paranorm property of $g_{(p)}$. In Figure 9, the exponents are $p_{1}=2.5, p_{2}=0.8, p_{3}=1.5$, as in right of Figure 7. On the left in Figure 9, the parameters $d_{k}$ are increasing, $d_{1}=1, d_{2}=3, d_{3}=10$. On the right, they are not monotone, $d_{1}=10, d_{2}=1, d_{3}=3$.


Figure 5: Exponents $p_{k}$ are equal. Left: $p_{k}=0.8$. Right: $p_{k}=1.3$


Figure 6: Exponents $p_{k}$ are equal. Left: $p_{k}=2$. Right: $p_{k}=4$


Figure 7: Exponents $p_{k}$ are different


Figure 8: Different parameters $d_{k}$ and exponents $p_{k}$


Figure 9: Left: parameters $d_{k}$ are increasing. Right: parameters $d_{k}$ are not increasing.


Figure 10: Spheres of radii 1, 1.2 and 1.4.

Finally, we demonstrate the influence of the radius. In Figure 10, we chose the parameters $d_{1}=0.5$, $d_{2}=1, d_{3}=1.5$ and for the exponents $p_{1}=1.5, p_{2}=0.7, p_{3}=2.5$. The radii vary from left to right with the values $1,1.2$ and 1.4 and the centres are on the $y$-axis at the values 0,3 and 8 . We observe that not only the size is increasing but also it stretches out differently in different dimensions due to the exponents.
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