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#### Abstract

Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold and $T^{2} M$ be its second order tangent bundle. In this paper, we deal with certain characterizations of $F$-geodesics (which generalize both classical geodesics and magnetic curves) on the second order tangent bundle $T^{2} M$ and the hypersurface $T_{1,1}^{2} M$ with respect to some natural metrics.


## 1. Introduction

Magnetic curves represent, in physics, the trajectories of the charged particles moving on a Riemannian manifold under the action of the magnetic fields. A magnetic field $F$ on a Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ is a closed 2 -form and the Lorentz force associated to $F$ is a $(1,1)$-tensor field $\rho$ such that

$$
F(X, Y)=g(\rho X, Y)
$$

for all vector fields $X, Y$ on $M$. A magnetic trajectory in such a magnetic field is thus modeled by a second order differential equation, that is,

$$
\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \dot{\gamma}=\rho \dot{\gamma},
$$

usually known as the Lorentz equation. Such curves are sometimes called also magnetic geodesics since the Lorentz equation generalizes the equation of geodesics under arc-length parametrization, namely, $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \dot{\gamma}=0$. Here, $\nabla$ denotes the Levi-Civita connection of the Riemannian metric $g$.

A smooth curve $\gamma$ on a Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ endowed with a $(1,1)$-tensor field $F$ and with Levi-Civita connection $\nabla$ is called an $F$-geodesic if $\gamma$ satisfies

$$
\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \dot{\gamma}=F \dot{\gamma}
$$

$F$-geodesics are strictly related to $F$-planar curves and extended magnetic curves and hence, geodesics. Note that the notion of F-geodesic is slightly different from $F$-planar curve (see [12]). Inspired by the

[^0]Lorentz force, the electro-magnetic tensor field, as well as some special forces involved in the EulerLagrange equations from Lagrangian mechanics, Bejan and Druţă-Romaniuc [2] defined $F$-geodesics on a manifold with a linear connection. They presented several examples of $F$-geodesics; for instance, they constructed $F$-geodesics on the tangent bundle of a manifold by using lifts. Also, they characterized $F$-geodesics according to some special connections such as Vranceanu connection on foliated manifolds and adapted connections on almost contact manifolds. Finally, they found conditions for a pair of symmetric connections to have the same system of $F$-geodesics. In this paper, we deal with certain characterizations of $F$-geodesics on the second order tangent bundle $T^{2} M$ and the hypersurface $T_{1,1}^{2} M$.

### 1.1. Whitney tangent fiber bundle $T M \oplus T M$

Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold with a Riemannian metric $g$ and $T M$ be its tangent bundle denoted by $\pi: T M \rightarrow M$. We refer to $[6,16]$ for all the necessary background for the tangent bundle. The Whitney tangent fiber bundle $T M \oplus T M$ is defined by

$$
T M \oplus T M=\{(u, \omega) \in T M \times T M ; \quad \pi(u)=\pi(\omega)\}=\bigcup_{x \in M} T_{x} M \times T_{x} M
$$

where $\pi_{\oplus}$ is denoted by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi_{\oplus}: T M \oplus T M \rightarrow M \\
&(u, \omega) \mapsto \\
& \pi_{\oplus}(u, \omega)=\pi(u)=\pi(\omega) .
\end{aligned}
$$

A local chart $(U, \varphi)=\left(U, x^{i}\right)$ on $M$ induces a chart $\left(\pi^{-1}(U), \widetilde{\varphi}\right)=\left(\pi^{-1}(U), x^{i}, y^{i}\right)$ on $T M$ and $\left(\pi_{\oplus}^{-1}(U), \bar{\varphi}\right)=$ $\left(\pi_{\oplus}^{-1}(U), x^{i}, y^{i}, z^{i}\right)$ on $T M \oplus T M$ such

$$
\bar{\varphi}(x, u, \omega)=\left(\varphi(x), \widetilde{\varphi}_{x}(u), \widetilde{\varphi}_{x}(\omega)\right)=(\varphi(x), y, z) .
$$

Let $\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{Y}$ be vector fields on $T M$. Then $(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{Y})$ is a vector field on $T M \oplus T M$ if and only if

$$
d \pi(\widetilde{X})=d \pi(\widetilde{Y})
$$

Relative to the chart $\left(\pi_{\oplus}^{-1}(U), \bar{\varphi}\right)=\left(\pi_{\oplus}^{-1}(U), x^{i}, y^{i}, z^{i}\right)$, the local frame vector fields given in [5] are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}} & =\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\right) \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{i}} & =\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{i}}, 0\right) \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial z^{i}} & =\left(0, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{i}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For any vector field $X$ on $M$ and $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(X^{V}, 0\right) & =X^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{i}},\left(0, X^{V}\right)=X^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{i}}, \\
\left(X^{H}, X^{H}\right) & =X^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}-\Gamma_{i j}^{k} X^{i} y^{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{k}}-\Gamma_{i j}^{k} X^{i} z^{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{k}}, \\
\left(X^{V}, 0\right)(f \circ \pi) & =\left(0, X^{V}\right)(f \circ \pi)=0, \\
\left(X^{H}, X^{H}\right)(f \circ \pi) & =X(f) \circ \pi .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $(M, g)$ is a Riemannian manifold, $\nabla$ its Levi-Civita connection and $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}: 0 \in I \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ are two smooth curves, then we have

$$
\left[\gamma_{1} \sim \gamma_{2}\right] \Leftrightarrow\left[\gamma_{1}(0)=\gamma_{2}(0), \quad \frac{d \gamma_{1}}{d t}(0)=\frac{d \gamma_{2}}{d t}(0) \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{d^{2} \gamma_{1}}{d t^{2}}(0)=\frac{d^{2} \gamma_{2}}{d t^{2}}(0)\right]
$$

$$
j_{0}^{2} \gamma=\{\bar{\gamma} ; \quad \bar{\gamma} \sim \gamma\} .
$$

The second order tangent bundle is the natural bundle of 2 -jets of differentiable curves defined by

$$
T^{2} M=\left\{j_{0}^{2} \gamma ; \gamma: I \rightarrow M, \text { is a smooth curve at } 0 \in \mathbb{R}\right\} .
$$

The canonical projection $P$ on $T^{2} M$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
P: T^{2} M & \rightarrow M \\
j_{0}^{2} \gamma & \mapsto \gamma(0) .
\end{aligned}
$$

A local chart $(U, \varphi)$ induces a chart $\left(P^{-1}(U), \phi\right)$ on $T^{2} M$ given by

$$
\phi\left(j_{0}^{2} \gamma\right)=\left(\varphi(\gamma(0)), \frac{d \varphi \circ \gamma}{d t}(0), \frac{d^{2} \varphi \circ \gamma}{d t^{2}}(0)\right) .
$$

Proposition 1.1. [5] If $T M \oplus T M$ denotes the Whitney sum, then

$$
S: T^{2} M \rightarrow T M \oplus T M, \quad j_{0}^{2} \gamma \mapsto\left(\dot{\gamma}(0),\left(\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(0)} \dot{\gamma}\right)(0)\right)
$$

is a diffeomorphism of natural bundles.
In the induced coordinates, we have

$$
S:\left(x^{i}, y^{i}, z^{i}\right) \mapsto\left(x^{i}, y^{i}, z^{i}+y^{j} y^{k} \Gamma_{j k}^{i}\right)
$$

Proposition 1.2. [5] Let $T^{2} M$ be a second order tangent bundle endowed with the vectorial structure induced by the diffeomorphism S. For any section $\sigma \in \Gamma\left(T^{2} M\right)\left(\Gamma\left(T^{2} M\right)\right.$ is the set of all sections from $M$ onto $\left.T^{2} M\right)$, if we define two vector fields on $M$ by

$$
X_{\sigma}=P_{1} \circ S \circ \sigma, \quad Y_{\sigma}=P_{2} \circ S \circ \sigma,
$$

then $\sigma=S^{-1}\left(X_{\sigma}, Y_{\sigma}\right)$, where $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ denote the first and the second projection from TM $\oplus$ TM onto TM.

### 1.2. Lifts to $T^{2} M$

If $(U, \varphi)$ is a local chart on $M$, then the diffeomorphism $S$ induces a local chart $\left(\left(\pi_{\oplus} \circ S\right)^{-1}(U), \bar{\varphi} \circ S\right)$ on $T^{2} M$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}=S_{*}^{-1}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\right), \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{i}}=S_{*}^{-1}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{i}}, 0\right), \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{i}}=S_{*}^{-1}\left(0, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{i}}\right), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\pi_{\oplus}:(u, \omega) \in T M \oplus T M \mapsto \pi(u)=\pi(\omega)=x$.
Definition 1.3. [3, 4] Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold, $X$ and $F$ respectively be a vector field and $a(1,1)-$ tensor field on $M$. For $\lambda=0,1,2$, the $\lambda$-lift of $X$ to $T^{2} M$ is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
X^{(0)} & =S_{*}^{-1}\left(X^{H}, X^{H}\right) \\
X^{(1)} & =S_{*}^{-1}\left(X^{V}, 0\right) \\
X^{(2)} & =S_{*}^{-1}\left(0, X^{V}\right), \\
F^{(0)}\left(X^{(\lambda)}\right) & =(F X)^{(\lambda)}, \quad(\lambda=0,1,2) \\
F^{(\lambda)}\left(X^{(0)}\right) & =(F X)^{(\lambda)}, \quad(\lambda=1,2) \\
F^{(1)}\left(X^{(\lambda)}\right) & =0=F^{(2)}\left(X^{(\lambda)}\right), \quad(\lambda=1,2) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the formulae (1) and Definition 1.3, we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 1.4. For any vector field $X$ on $M$ and any smooth function $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
X^{(1)} & =X^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{i}}, \\
X^{(2)} & =X^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{i}}, \\
X^{(0)} & =X^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}-\Gamma_{i j}^{k} X^{i} y^{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{k}}-\Gamma_{i j}^{k} X^{i} z^{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{k}}, \\
X^{(1)}(f \circ \pi) & =X^{(2)}(f \circ \pi)=0, \\
X^{(0)}(f \circ \pi) & =X(f) \circ \pi .
\end{aligned}
$$

From Definition 1.3 and the Lie bracket operations of the horizontal and vertical lifts of any vector field $X$ to the tangent bundle (see $[6,16]$ ), we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 1.5. [5] Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold. If $R$ denotes the Riemannian curvature tensor of $(M, g)$, then for all vector fields $X, Y$ on $M$ and $p \in T^{2} M$ we have

1. $\left[X^{(0)}, Y^{(0)}\right]_{p}=[X, Y]_{p}^{(0)}-\left(R_{x}(X, Y) u\right)_{p}^{(1)}-\left(R_{x}(X, Y) \omega\right)_{p}^{(2)}$,
2. $\left[X^{(0)}, Y^{(i)}\right]_{p}=\left(\nabla_{X} Y\right)_{p}^{(i)}$,
3. $\left[X^{(i)}, Y^{(j)}\right]_{p}=0$,
where $(x, u, \omega)=S(p)$ and $i, j=1,2$.
Lemma 1.6. Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold. For all $x \in M, u=u^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}, \omega=\omega^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}} \in T_{x} M$ and any smooth function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we have the following

$$
\begin{gathered}
X^{(0)}(g(Y, u))_{p}=g\left(\nabla_{X} Y, u\right)_{x}, \\
X^{(0)}(g(Y, \omega))_{p}=g\left(\nabla_{X} Y, \omega\right)_{x}, \\
X^{(0)}\left(f\left(r_{1}^{2}\right)\right)_{p}=X^{(0)}\left(f\left(r_{2}^{2}\right)\right)_{p}=0=X^{(0)}(g(u, u))_{p}=X^{(0)}(g(\omega, \omega))_{p}, \\
X^{(1)}(g(u, u))_{p}=2 g(X, u)_{x}, \\
X^{(1)}(g(\omega, \omega))_{p}=0=X^{(2)}(g(u, u))_{p}, \\
X^{(2)}(g(\omega, \omega))_{p}=2 g(X, \omega)_{x}, \\
X^{(1)}(g(Y, u))_{p}=g(X, Y)_{x}=X^{(2)}(g(Y, \omega))_{p}, \\
X^{(1)}(g(Y, \omega))_{p}=0=X^{(2)}(g(Y, u))_{p}, \\
X^{(1)}\left(f\left(r_{1}^{2}\right)\right)_{p}=2 f^{\prime}\left(r_{1}^{2}\right) g(X, u), \\
X^{(1)}\left(f\left(r_{2}^{2}\right)\right)_{p}=0=X^{(2)}\left(f\left(r_{1}^{2}\right)\right), \\
X^{(2)}\left(f\left(r_{2}^{2}\right)\right)_{p}=2 f^{\prime}\left(r_{2}^{2}\right) g(X, \omega),
\end{gathered}
$$

where $p=S^{-1}(x, u, \omega), r_{1}^{2}=g(u, u)=|u|^{2}, \quad r_{2}^{2}=g(\omega, \omega)=|\omega|^{2}$.

## 2. F-geodesics on $T^{2} M$

Definition 2.1. Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold. We define the Sasaki metric $G_{S}$ on the second order tangent bundle $T^{2} M$ by

$$
G_{S}=S_{*}^{-1}\left(g_{S} \oplus g_{S}\right)
$$

where $g_{S}$ is the Sasaki metric on the tangent bundle of $(M, g)$ (for Sasaki metric, see $\left.[15,16]\right)$.
Thus, we obtain the following definition.
Definition 2.2. Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold. If $p \in T^{2} M$, then for all vector fields $X, Y$ on $M$ and $i, j \in\{0,1,2\}(i \neq j)$, we obtain
$1 G_{S}\left(X^{(0)}, Y^{(0)}\right)_{p}=g(X, Y)_{x}$,
$2 G_{S}\left(X^{(i)}, Y^{(j)}\right)_{p}=0$, for $i \neq j$
$3 G_{S}\left(X^{(1)}, Y^{(1)}\right)_{p}=g(X, Y)_{x}$,
$4 G_{S}\left(X^{(2)}, Y^{(2)}\right)_{p}=g(X, Y)_{x}$, where $S(p)=(x, u, \omega) \in T_{x} M \oplus T_{x} M$ (also see [13]).

From Lemma 1.6 and Definition 2.2, standard calculations give the following lemma.
Lemma 2.3. Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold and $T^{2} M$ its second order tangent bundle with the Sasaki metric Gs. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X^{(0)}\left(G_{S}\left(Y^{(0)}, Z^{(0)}\right)\right)_{p}=X(g(Y, Z))_{x} \\
& X^{(0)}\left(G_{S}\left(Y^{(1)}, Z^{(1)}\right)\right)_{p}=G_{S}\left(\left(\nabla_{X} Y\right)^{(1)}, Z^{(1)}\right)_{p}+G_{S}\left(Y^{(1)},\left(\nabla_{X} Z\right)^{(1)}\right)_{p}, \\
& X^{(0)}\left(G_{S}\left(Y^{(2)}, Z^{(2)}\right)\right)_{p}=G_{S}\left(\left(\nabla_{X} Y\right)^{(2)}, Z^{(2)}\right)_{p}+G_{S}\left(Y^{(2)},\left(\nabla_{X} Z\right)^{(2)}\right)_{p}, \\
& X^{(1)}\left(G_{S}\left(Y^{(0)}, Z^{(0)}\right)\right)_{p}=0=X^{(2)}\left(G_{S}\left(Y^{(0)}, Z^{(0)}\right)_{p},\right. \\
& X^{(1)}\left(G_{S}\left(Y^{(1)}, Z^{(1)}\right)\right)_{p}=0, \\
& X^{(2)}\left(G_{S}\left(Y^{(2)}, Z^{(2)}\right)\right)_{p}=0, \\
& X^{(1)}\left(G_{S}\left(Y^{(2)}, Z^{(2)}\right)\right)_{p}=0=X^{(2)}\left(G_{S}\left(Y^{(1)}, Z^{(1)}\right)_{p}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

for all vector fields $X, Y, Z$ on $M$ and $p \in T^{2} M$.
Proposition 2.4. [5] Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold and $T^{2} M$ be its second order tangent bundle equipped with the Sasaki metric $G_{S}$. If $\widetilde{\nabla}$ denotes the Levi-Civita connection of $T^{2} M$, then for $p \in T^{2} M$ and vector fields $X, Y$ on $M$ we have

1. $\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{X^{(0)}} Y^{(0)}\right)_{p}=\left(\nabla_{X} Y\right)^{(0)}-\frac{1}{2}(R(X, Y) u)^{(1)}-\frac{1}{2}(R(X, Y) \omega)^{(2)}$,
2. $\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{X^{(0)}} Y^{(1)}\right)_{p}=\left(\nabla_{X} Y\right)^{(1)}+\frac{1}{2}(R(u, Y) X)^{(0)}$,
3. $\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{X^{(0)}} Y^{(2)}\right)_{p}=\left(\nabla_{X} Y\right)^{(2)}+\frac{1}{2}(R(\omega, Y) X)^{(0)}$,
4. $\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{X^{(1)}} Y^{(0)}\right)_{p}=\frac{1}{2}(R(u, X) Y)^{(0)}$,
5. $\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{X^{(2)}} Y^{(0)}\right)_{p}=\frac{1}{2}(R(\omega, X) Y)^{(0)}$,
6. $\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{X^{(i)}} Y^{(j)}\right)_{p}=0 \quad i, j=1,2$,
where $S(p)=(x, u, \omega), \nabla$ and $R$ denote the Levi-Civita connection and the Riemannian curvature tensor of $(M, g)$, respectively.

Definition 2.5. Let $M$ be a smooth manifold, $F$ be a (1,1)-tensor field on $M, \bar{\nabla}$ be a linear connection on $M$ and $\gamma: I \rightarrow M$ be a smooth curve. Then

1. $\gamma$ is said to be a magnetic curve with respect to $(F, \bar{\nabla})$, if $\gamma$ satisfies : $\bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}} \dot{\gamma}(t)=F \dot{\gamma}(t)([1,7])$,
2. $\gamma$ is said to be an $F$-planar curve with respect to $\bar{\nabla}$ if $\gamma$ satisfies : $\bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}} \dot{\gamma}(t)=\varrho_{1}(t) \dot{\gamma}(t)+\varrho_{2}(t) F \dot{\gamma}(t)([11,12])$,
where $\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}$ are some smooth real functions.

Definition 2.6. [2] Let $M$ be a smooth manifold, $F$ be a (1,1)-tensor field on $M, \bar{\nabla}$ be a linear connection, and $\gamma: I \rightarrow M$ be a smooth curve. We say that $\gamma$ is an $F$-geodesic with respect to $\bar{\nabla}$ if $\gamma(u)$ satifies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}(u)} \dot{\gamma}(u)=F(\dot{\gamma}(u)) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $t$ is another parameter for the same curve $\gamma(u)$ then the relation (2) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}(t)} \dot{\gamma}(t)=\alpha(t) \dot{\gamma}(t)+\beta(t) F(\dot{\gamma}(t)) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are some functions on the curve $\gamma(t)$.
A curve $\gamma(t)$ satisfying the relation (3) describes an $F$-geodesic up to a reparameterization.
One can easily see that an $F$-geodesic is an $F$-planar curve, but in general an $F$-planar curve is not always an F-geodesic.

Definition 2.7. Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold and $x: I \rightarrow M$ be a curve on $M$. We define a curve $C: I \rightarrow T^{2} M$ by $C(t)=S^{-1}(x(t), y(t), z(t))$ for all $t \in I$, where $y(t) \in T_{x(t)} M$, i.e., $y(t), z(t)$ are vector fields along $x(t)$.
(1) The curve $C(t)=S^{-1}(x(t), \dot{x}(t), \dot{x}(t))$ is called a natural lift of the curve $x(t)$.
(2) The curve $C(t)=S^{-1}(x(t), y(t), z(t))$ is said to be a horizontal lift of the cure $x(t)$ if and only if $\nabla_{\dot{x}} y=0$ and $\nabla_{\dot{x}} z=0$.

Lemma 2.8 ([14]). Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold. If $X, Y$ are vector fields on $M$ and $(x, u) \in T M$ such that $X_{x}=u$, then we have

$$
d_{x} X\left(Y_{x}\right)=Y_{(x, u)}^{H}+\left(\nabla_{Y} X\right)_{(x, u)}^{V} .
$$

Lemma 2.9. Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold. If $Z$ is a vector field on $M$ and $\sigma \in \Gamma\left(T^{2} M\right)$ then for all $x \in M$, we have

$$
d_{x} \sigma\left(Z_{x}\right)=Z_{p}^{(0)}+\left(\nabla_{Z} X_{\sigma}\right)_{p}^{(1)}+\left(\nabla_{Z} Y_{\sigma}\right)_{p}^{(2)}
$$

where $p=\sigma(x)$.
Proof. Using Lemma 2.8, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{x} \sigma(Z) & =d S^{-1}\left(d X_{\sigma}(Z), d Y_{\sigma}(Z)\right)_{S(p)} \\
& =d S^{-1}\left(Z^{H}, Z^{H}\right)_{S(p)}+d S^{-1}\left(\left(\nabla_{Z} X_{\sigma}\right)^{V},\left(\nabla_{Z} Y_{\sigma}\right)^{V}\right)_{S(p)} \\
& =Z_{p}^{(0)}+\left(\nabla_{Z} X_{\sigma}\right)_{p}^{(1)}+\left(\nabla_{Z} Y_{\sigma}\right)_{p}^{(2)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.10. Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold and let $\left(T^{2} M, G_{S}\right)$ be its second order tangent bundle equipped with the Sasaki metric and let $x: I \rightarrow M$ be a curve on $M$. If $C: t \in I \rightarrow C(t)=S^{-1}(x(t), y(t), z(t))$ is a curve on $T^{2} M$ such that $y(t), z(t)$ are vector fields along $x(t)\left(\right.$ i.e., $\left.y(t), z(t) \in T_{x(t)} M\right)$, then

$$
\dot{C}=\dot{x}^{(0)}+\left(\nabla_{\dot{x}} y\right)^{(1)}+\left(\nabla_{\dot{x}} z\right)^{(2)},
$$

where $\dot{x}=\frac{d x}{d t}$ and $\dot{C}=\frac{d C}{d t}$.

Proof. If $Y, Z$ are vector fields such $Y(x(t))=y(t)$ and $Z(x(t))=z(t)$, then we have

$$
\dot{C}(t)=d C(t)=d \sigma(\dot{x}(t)),
$$

where $\sigma=S^{-1}(Y, Z)$. Using Lemma 2.9 we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{C}(t)=d \sigma(\dot{x}(t))=\dot{x}^{(0)}+\left(\nabla_{\dot{x}} y\right)^{(1)}+\left(\nabla_{\dot{x}} z\right)^{(2)} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.11. Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold and let $\left(T^{2} M, G_{S}\right)$ be its second order tangent bundle equipped with the Levi-Civita connection $\widetilde{\nabla}$ and let $C(t)=S^{-1}(x(t), y(t), z(t))$ be a curve on $T^{2} M$ such that $y(t), z(t)$ are vector fields along $x(t)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\nabla}_{\dot{C}} \dot{C}=\left[\nabla_{\dot{x}} \dot{x}+R\left(y, \nabla_{\dot{x}} y\right) \dot{x}+R\left(z, \nabla_{\dot{x}} z\right) \dot{x}\right]^{(0)}+\left[\nabla_{\dot{x}} \nabla_{\dot{x}} y\right]^{(1)}+\left[\nabla_{\dot{x}} \nabla_{\dot{x}} z\right]^{(2)} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The proof follows immediately from Proposition 2.4 and the formula (4).

Theorem 2.12. Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold and let $\left(T^{2} M, G_{S}\right)$ be its second order tangent bundle equipped with the Levi-Civita connection $\widetilde{\nabla}$. A curve $C(t)=S^{-1}(x(t), y(t), z(t))$ on $T^{2} M$ is an $F^{(0)}$-planar curve if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{\dot{x}} \dot{x} & =-R\left(y, \nabla_{\dot{x}} y\right) \dot{x}-R\left(z, \nabla_{\dot{x}} z\right) \dot{x}+\varrho_{1}(t) \dot{x}+\varrho_{2}(t) F(\dot{x}), \\
\nabla_{\dot{x}} \nabla_{\dot{x}} y & =\varrho_{1}(t) \nabla_{\dot{x}} y+\varrho_{2}(t) F\left(\nabla_{\dot{x}} y\right), \\
\nabla_{\dot{x}} \nabla_{\dot{x}} z & =\varrho_{1}(t) \nabla_{\dot{x}} z+\varrho_{2}(t) F\left(\nabla_{\dot{x}} z\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. From the formula (4), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\nabla}_{\dot{C}} \dot{C}= & \varrho_{1}(t) \dot{C}+\varrho_{2}(t) F^{(0)}(\dot{C}) \\
= & \varrho_{1}(t)\left[\dot{x}^{(0)}+\left(\nabla_{\dot{x}} y\right)^{(1)}+\left(\nabla_{\dot{x}} z\right)^{(2)}\right] \\
& \quad+\varrho_{2}(t)\left[F^{(0)} \dot{x^{(0)}}+F^{(0)}\left(\nabla_{\dot{x}} y\right)^{(1)}+F^{(0)}\left(\nabla_{\dot{x}} z\right)^{(2)}\right] \\
= & {\left[\varrho_{1}(t) \dot{x}+\varrho_{2}(t) F \dot{x}\right]^{(0)}+\left[\varrho_{1}(t) \nabla_{\dot{x}} y+\varrho_{2}(t) F \nabla_{\dot{x}} y\right]^{(1)} } \\
& +\left[\varrho_{1}(t) \nabla_{\dot{x}} z+\varrho_{2}(t) F \nabla_{\dot{x}} z\right]^{(2)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the formula (5), the result immediately follows.
In the particular case when $\varrho_{1}=0$ and $\varrho_{2}=1$ in the Theorem 2.12 , we obtain the following result.
Theorem 2.13. Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold and let $\left(T^{2} M, G_{S}\right)$ be its second order tangent bundle equipped with the Levi-Civita connection $\widetilde{\nabla}$. A curve $C(t)=S^{-1}(x(t), y(t), z(t))$ on $T^{2} M$ is an $F^{(0)}$-geodesic if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{\dot{x}} \dot{x} & =-R\left(y, \nabla_{\dot{x}} y\right) \dot{x}-R\left(z, \nabla_{\dot{x}} z\right) \dot{x}+F(\dot{x}), \\
\nabla_{\dot{x}} \nabla_{\dot{x}} y & =F\left(\nabla_{\dot{x}} y\right), \\
\nabla_{\dot{x}} \nabla_{\dot{x}} z & =F\left(\nabla_{\dot{x}} z\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Theorem 2.12 and Theorem 2.13, we obtain the following corollaries.
Corollary 2.14. Let $(M, g)$ be a locally flat Riemannian manifold and let $\left(T^{2} M, G_{S}\right)$ be its second order tangent bundle equipped with the Levi-Civita connection $\widetilde{\nabla}$. Then a curve $C(t)=S^{-1}(x(t), y(t), z(t))$ on $T^{2} M$ is an $F^{(0)}$-geodesic if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{\dot{x}} \dot{x} & =F(\dot{x}), \\
\nabla_{\dot{x}} \nabla_{\dot{x}} y & =F\left(\nabla_{\dot{x}} y\right), \\
\nabla_{\dot{x}} \nabla_{\dot{x}} z & =F\left(\nabla_{\dot{x}} z\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 2.15. Let $(M, g)$ be a locally flat Riemannian manifold and let $\left(T^{2} M, G_{S}\right)$ be its second order tangent bundle equipped with the Levi-Civita connection $\widetilde{\nabla}$. Then a curve $C(t)=S^{-1}(x(t), y(t), z(t))$ on $T^{2} M$ is an $F^{(0)}$-geodesic up to a reparameterization (resp., $F^{(0)}$-planar curve) if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{\dot{x}} \dot{x} & =\varrho_{1}(t) \dot{x}+\varrho_{2}(t) F(\dot{x}), \\
\nabla_{\dot{x}} \nabla_{\dot{x}} y & =\varrho_{1}(t) \nabla_{\dot{x}} y+\varrho_{2}(t) F\left(\nabla_{\dot{x}} y\right), \\
\nabla_{\dot{x}} \nabla_{\dot{x}} z & =\varrho_{1}(t) \nabla_{\dot{x}} z+\varrho_{2}(t) F\left(\nabla_{\dot{x}} z\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 2.16. Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold and let $\left(T^{2} M, G_{S}\right)$ be its second order tangent bundle equipped with the Levi-Civita connection $\widetilde{\nabla}$. If $C(t)=S^{-1}(x(t), y(t), z(t))$ is a horizontal lift of a curve $x(t)$, then $C(t)$ is an $F^{(0)}$-planar curve (resp., $F^{(0)}$-geodesic) if and only if $x(t)$ is an F-planar curve (resp., F-geodesic).

Proof. From the formulas (4) and (5), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{C}(t) & =(\dot{x})^{(0)}(t) \\
\widetilde{\nabla}_{\dot{C}} \dot{C} & =\widetilde{\nabla}_{(\dot{x})^{0}} \dot{x}^{(0)}=\left(\nabla_{\dot{x}} \dot{x}\right)^{(0)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $C(t)$ be an $F^{(0)}$-planar curve. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\nabla}_{\dot{C}} \dot{C} & =\varrho_{1}(t) \dot{C}+\varrho_{2}(t) F^{(0)}(\dot{C}) \\
& =\varrho_{1}(t) \dot{x}^{(0)}+\varrho_{2}(t) F^{(0)}\left(\dot{x}^{(0)}\right) \\
& =\left[\varrho_{1}(t) \dot{x}+\varrho_{2}(t) F(\dot{x})\right]^{(0)} \\
& =\left(\nabla_{\dot{x}} \dot{x}\right)^{(0)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $C(t)$ is an $F^{(0)}$-planar curve if and only $x(t)$ is an $F$-planar curve. In the case of $\rho_{1}=0$ and $\rho_{2}=1$, we get that $C(t)$ is an $F^{(0)}$-geodesic if and only $x(t)$ is an F-geodesic.

Remark 2.17. If $C(t)=S^{-1}(x(t), y(t), z(t))$ is the horizontal lift of the curve $x(t)$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\nabla_{\dot{x}} y=0\right] } & \Leftrightarrow\left[\frac{d y^{k}}{d t}+\Gamma_{i j}^{k} y^{i} \frac{d x^{j}}{d t}=0\right] \Leftrightarrow\left[y(t)=e^{-\left(\int A(t) d t\right)} \cdot K\right] \\
{\left[\nabla_{\dot{x}} z=0\right] } & \Leftrightarrow\left[\frac{d z^{k}}{d t}+\Gamma_{i j}^{k} z^{i} \frac{d x^{j}}{d t}=0\right] \Leftrightarrow\left[z(t)=e^{-\left(\int A(t) d t\right)} \cdot \bar{K}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $K, \bar{K} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $A(t)=\left[a_{k i}\right], a_{k i}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \Gamma_{i j}^{k} \frac{d x^{j}}{d t}$. Therefore, $C(t)$ is an $F^{(0)}$-geodesic (resp. $F^{(0)}$-planar curve) if and only if $\quad \nabla_{\dot{x}} \dot{x}=F(\dot{x})\left(r e s p . \quad \nabla_{\dot{x}} \dot{x}=\varrho_{1}(t) \dot{x}+\varrho_{2}(t) F(\dot{x})\right)$.

Using Remark 2.17, we can construct an infinity of examples of F-geodesics (resp. F-planar curve) on ( $T^{2} M, G_{S}$ ).
Example 2.18. Let $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ be equipped with the Riemannian metric $g=d s^{2}$ and $B \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$. If $F=B$ is an invertible matrix, then $C(t)=S^{-1}\left(B^{-1} \exp (B t) K_{1}+K_{2}\right.$, const., const.), $K_{1}, K_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, is an $F^{(0)}$-geodesic.

Example 2.19. Let $\mathbb{R}$ be equipped with the Riemannian metric $g=e^{x} d x^{2}$ and $F=a \in \mathbb{R}^{*}$. Then the Christoffel symbol of the Levi-Civita connection is given by

$$
\Gamma_{11}^{1}=\frac{1}{2} g^{11}\left(\frac{\partial g_{11}}{\partial x^{1}}+\frac{\partial g_{11}}{\partial x^{1}}-\frac{\partial g_{11}}{\partial x^{1}}\right)=\frac{1}{2}
$$

and $C(t)=S^{-1}(x(t), y(t), z(t))=S^{-1}\left(2 \ln \left(\frac{K_{1} e^{a t}+a K_{2}}{2 a}\right), \frac{2 a K_{3}}{K_{1} e^{a t}+a K_{2}}, \frac{2 a K_{4}}{K_{1} e^{t t}+a K_{2}}\right), K_{1}, \ldots, K_{4} \in \mathbb{R}$, is an $F^{(0)}$-geodesic such that $\nabla_{\dot{x}} y=0$ and $\nabla_{\dot{x}} z=0$.

Example 2.20. Let $\mathbb{R}$ be equipped with the Riemannian metric $g=e^{x} d x^{2}, F=a \in \mathbb{R}^{*}, \rho_{1}(t)=\frac{1}{t} \rho_{2}(t)=1$. Then we have $\Gamma_{11}^{1}=\frac{1}{2}$ and $x(t)$ is an F-planar curve if and only if it satisfies the following differential equation

$$
x^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{2} x^{\prime 2}=\frac{a t+1}{t} x^{\prime} .
$$

$A$ solution of the previous equation is given by

$$
x(t)=2 \ln \frac{K_{1} e^{a t}(a t-1)+K_{2}}{2 a^{2}}
$$

So, from Remark 2.17 we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
y(t) & =\frac{2 a^{2} K_{3}}{K_{1} e^{a t}(a t-1)+K_{2}} \\
z(t) & =\frac{2 a^{2} K_{4}}{K_{1} e^{a t}(a t-1)+K_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $K_{1}, . ., K_{4} \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $C(t)=S^{-1}(x(t), y(t), z(t))$, is an $F^{(0)}$-planar curve such that $\nabla_{\dot{x}} y=0$ and $\nabla_{\dot{x}} z=0$.
Example 2.21. Let $(\mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\})^{2}$ be equipped with the Riemannian metric $h$ defined by

$$
h_{11}=x^{2}, h_{22}=y^{2}, h_{12}=0
$$

and $F=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$. Then the Christoffel symbols of the Levi-Civita connection are given by

$$
\Gamma_{11}^{1}=\frac{1}{x}, \Gamma_{22}^{2}=\frac{1}{y}, \Gamma_{i j}^{k}=0 \forall(i, j, k) \in\{1,2\}^{3} \backslash\{(1,1),(2,2)\} .
$$

Let $C(t)=S^{-1}(x(t), y(t), z(t))$ be the horizontal lift of the curve $x(t)=\left(x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t)\right)$. From Remark 2.17, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A(t)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{x_{1}^{\prime}(t)}{x_{1}(t)} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{x_{2}^{\prime}(t)}{x_{2}(t)}
\end{array}\right), \\
& y(t)=\left(\frac{k_{1}}{x_{1}(t)}, \frac{k_{2}}{x_{2}(t)}\right) \text { and } z(t)=\left(\frac{k_{3}}{x_{1}(t)}, \frac{k_{4}}{x_{2}(t)}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}, k_{4} \in \mathbb{R} . x(t)=\left(x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t)\right)$ is an F-geodesic if and only if it satisfies the following differential equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{1}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{x_{1}} x_{1}^{\prime 2}=a x_{1}^{\prime} \\
x_{2}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{x_{2}} x_{2}^{\prime 2}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

whose solution is given by

$$
x(t)=\left(x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t)\right)=\left(\exp \sqrt{\frac{a}{2}} t, \sqrt{2 k_{5} t+k_{6}}\right)
$$

where $k_{5}, k_{6} \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, $C(t)=S^{-1}\left(x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t), \frac{k_{1}}{x_{1}(t)}, \frac{k_{2}}{x_{2}(t)}, \frac{k_{3}}{x_{1}(t)}, \frac{k_{4}}{x_{2}(t)}\right)$ is an $F$ - geodesic such that $\nabla_{\dot{x}} y=0$ and $\nabla_{\dot{x}} z=0$.

Proposition 2.22. Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold equipped with the Levi-Civita connection $\nabla$ and let $\left(T^{2} M, G_{S}\right)$ be its second order tangent bundle equipped with the Levi-Civita connection $\widetilde{\nabla}$. Let $F$ be a $(1,1)$-tensor field on $M$. If $C(t)=S^{-1}(x(t), y(t), z(t))$ is the horizontal lift of a curve $x(t)$, then we have

1. An integral curve of any vector field $X$ on $M$ is an $F$-geodesic with respect to $\nabla$ if and only if the integral curve of $X^{(0)}$ is an $F^{(0)}$-geodesic with respect to $\widetilde{\nabla}$.
2. An integral curve of any vector field $X$ on $M$ is an $F$-geodesic up to a reparameterization, with respect to $\nabla$ if and only if the integral curve of $X^{(0)}$ is an $F^{(0)}$-geodesic up to a reparameterization, with respect to $\widetilde{\nabla}$.
3. $C(t)$ is an $F^{(0)}$-geodesic with respect to $\widetilde{\nabla}$ if and only if the curve $x(t)$ is an $F$-geodesic with respect to $\nabla$.
4. $C(t)$ is an $F^{(0)}$-geodesic up to a reparameterization with respect to $\widetilde{\nabla}$ if and only if the curve $x(t)$ is an $F$-geodesic up to a reparameterization with respect to $\nabla$.

Proof. Let $\gamma$ be an $F$-geodesic up to a reparameterization with respect to Levi-Civita connection $\nabla$ on $M$. Then the relation (3) is satisfied and we obtain

$$
\widetilde{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}^{(0)}} \dot{\gamma}^{(0)}=\left(\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \dot{\gamma}\right)^{0}=\alpha \circ P \dot{\gamma}(t)^{(0)}+\beta \circ P F^{(0)} \dot{\gamma}(t)^{(0)},
$$

where $P$ is the canonical projection on $T^{2} M$. In the case of $\alpha=0$ and $\beta=1$, one can easily obtain (1).
Remark 2.23. The Proposition 2.22 remains true, if we replace $\widetilde{\nabla}$ by $\nabla^{(0)}$, where $\nabla^{(0)}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla_{X^{(0)}}^{(0)} Y^{(\lambda)}=\left(\nabla_{X} Y\right)^{(\lambda)}, \\
& \nabla_{X^{(0)}}^{(0)} Y^{(\lambda)}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

for $i=1,2$ and $\lambda=0,1,2$.
Definition 2.24. Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold. We can define a natural diagonal metric $G$ on the second tangent bundle $T^{2} M$ of $(M, g)$ by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
G_{p}\left(X^{(0)}, Y^{(0)}\right)=b_{1} g_{x}(X, Y)+d_{1} g_{x}(X, u) g_{x}(Y, u)+c_{1} g_{x}(X, \omega) g_{x}(Y, \omega)  \tag{6}\\
G_{p}\left(X^{(1)}, Y^{(1)}\right)=b_{2} g_{x}(X, Y)+d_{2} g_{x}(X, u) g_{x}(Y, u) \\
G_{p}\left(X^{(2)}, Y^{(2)}\right)=b_{3} g_{x}(X, Y)+d_{3} g_{x}(X, u) g_{x}(Y, u) \\
G_{p}\left(X^{(i)}, Y^{(j)}\right)=0, \quad i \neq j=0,1,2
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $p=S^{-1}(x, u, \omega), d_{1}, b_{2}, d_{2}$ (resp. $\left.c_{1}, b_{3}, d_{3}\right)$ are smooth functions depending on $r_{1}=g(u, u)\left(\right.$ resp $\left.r_{2}=g(\omega, \omega)\right)$ and $b_{1}$ is a smooth function depending on $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)$, such that $b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}>0$ and $b_{1}+r_{1} d_{1}, b_{2}+r_{1} d_{2}, b_{3}+r_{2} d_{3}>0$.

The Levi-Civita connection of $G$ denoted by $\widehat{\nabla}$ has the following expressions on the horizontal and respectively on the vertical distributions of $T\left(T^{2} M\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
\widehat{\nabla}_{X^{(0)}} Y^{(0)}= & \left(\nabla_{X} Y\right)^{(0)}-\frac{d_{1}}{2 b_{1}}\left[g(X, u) Y^{(1)}+g(Y, u) X^{(1)}\right]-\frac{\partial_{1} b_{1}}{b_{2}+r_{1} d_{2}} g(X, Y) u^{(1)}  \tag{7}\\
& -\frac{b_{2} d_{1}^{\prime}-d_{1} d_{2}}{b_{2}\left(b_{2}+r_{1} d_{2}\right)} g(X, u) g(Y, u) u^{(1)}-\frac{1}{2}(R(X, Y) u)^{(1)} \\
& -\frac{c_{1}}{2 b_{1}}\left[g(X, \omega) Y^{(2)}+g(Y, \omega) X^{(2)}\right]-\frac{\partial_{2} b_{1}}{b_{3}+r_{2} d_{3}} g(X, Y) \omega^{(2)} \\
& -\frac{b_{3} c_{1}^{\prime}-c_{1} d_{3}}{b_{3}\left(b_{3}+r_{2} d_{3}\right)} g(X, \omega) g(Y, \omega) \omega^{(2)}-\frac{1}{2}(R(X, Y) \omega)^{(2)}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\nabla}_{X^{(1)}} Y^{(1)}= & \frac{b_{2}^{\prime}}{b_{2}}\left[g(X, u) Y^{(1)}+g(Y, u) X^{(1)}\right]-\frac{b_{2}^{\prime}-d_{2}}{b_{2}+r_{1} d_{2}} g(X, Y) u^{(1)} \\
& +\frac{b_{2} d_{2}^{\prime}-b_{2}^{\prime} d_{2}}{b_{2}\left(b_{2}+r_{1} d_{2}\right)} g(X, u) g(Y, u) u^{(1)}, \\
\widehat{\nabla}_{X^{(2)}} Y^{(2)}= & \frac{b_{3}^{\prime}}{b_{3}}\left[g(X, \omega) Y^{(2)}+g(Y, \omega) X^{(2)}\right]-\frac{b_{3}^{\prime}-d_{3}}{b_{3}+r_{2} d_{3}} g(X, Y) u^{(2)} \\
& +\frac{b_{3} d_{3}^{\prime}-b_{3}^{\prime} d_{3}}{b_{3}\left(b_{3}+r_{2} d_{3}\right)} g(X, \omega) g(Y, \omega) \omega^{(2)},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\partial_{1} b_{1}=\frac{\partial b_{1}}{\partial r_{1}}$ and $\partial_{2} b_{1}=\frac{\partial b_{1}}{\partial r_{2}}$.
Proposition 2.25. Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold, $\left(T^{2} M, G\right)$ be its second order tangent bundle and let $F$ be a (1,1)-tensor field on $M$. If $C(t)=S^{-1}(x(t), y(t), z(t))$ is the horizontal lift of a curve $x(t)$, then we have
(i) An integral curve of any vector field $X$ on $M$ is an $F$-geodesic with respect to the Levi-Civita connection $\nabla$ of $g$ if and only if the integral curve of the horizontal lift $X^{(0)}$ is an $F^{(0)}$-geodesic with respect to the Levi-Civita connection $\widehat{\nabla}$ of $G$ defined by (6), provided $b_{1}=$ const. and $d_{1}=c_{1}=0$.
(ii) The curve $C(t)$ is an $F^{(0)}$-geodesic with respect to the Levi-Civita connection $\widehat{\nabla}$ if and only if the curve $x(t)$ is an F-geodesic with respect to the Levi-Civita connection $\nabla$, provided $b_{1}=$ const. and $d_{1}=c_{1}=0$.
(iii) The above assertions (i) and (ii) remain true, if instead of an F-geodesic (resp., $F^{(0)}$ - geodesic), we take an F-geodesic up to a reparameterization (resp. an $F^{(0)}$-geodesic up to a reparameterization).

Proof. Let $\gamma$ be an $F$-geodesic up to a reparameterization with respect to $\nabla$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \dot{\gamma}=\alpha \dot{\gamma}+\beta F \dot{\gamma}, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are some smooth functions on the curve. For $X=Y=\dot{\gamma}$ the relation (7) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}^{(0)}} \dot{\gamma}^{(0)}= & \left(\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \dot{\gamma}\right)^{(0)}-\frac{d_{1}}{b_{1}} g(\dot{\gamma}, u) \dot{\gamma}^{(1)}-\frac{\partial_{1} b_{1}}{b_{2}+r_{1} d_{2}} g(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}) u^{(1)} \\
& -\frac{b_{2} d_{1}^{\prime}-d_{1} d_{2}}{b_{2}\left(b_{2}+r_{1} d_{2}\right)} g(\dot{\gamma}, u)^{2} u^{(1)}-\frac{c_{1}}{b_{1}} g(\dot{\gamma}, \omega) \dot{\gamma}^{(2)} \\
& -\frac{\partial_{2} b_{1}}{b_{3}+r_{2} d_{3}} g(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}) \omega^{(2)}-\frac{b_{3} c_{1}^{\prime}-c_{1} d_{3}}{b_{3}\left(b_{3}+r_{2} d_{3}\right)} g(\dot{\gamma}, \omega)^{2} \omega^{(2)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the formula (8), we have that $\widehat{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}^{(0)}} \dot{\gamma}^{(0)}=\alpha \circ P \dot{\gamma}^{(0)}+\beta \circ P F^{(0)} \dot{\gamma}^{(0)}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & -\frac{d_{1}}{b_{1}} g(\dot{\gamma}, u) \dot{\gamma}^{(1)}-\frac{\partial_{1} b_{1}}{b_{2}+r_{1} d_{2}} g(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}) u^{(1)} \\
& -\frac{b_{2} d_{1}^{\prime}-d_{1} d_{2}}{b_{2}\left(b_{2}+r_{1} d_{2}\right)} g(\dot{\gamma}, u)^{2} u^{(1)}-\frac{c_{1}}{b_{1}} g(\dot{\gamma}, \omega) \dot{\gamma}^{(2)} \\
& -\frac{\partial_{2} b_{1}}{b_{3}+r_{2} d_{3}} g(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}) \omega^{(2)}-\frac{b_{3} c_{1}^{\prime}-c_{1} d_{3}}{b_{3}\left(b_{3}+r_{2} d_{3}\right)} g(\dot{\gamma}, \omega)^{2} \omega^{(2)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, we get $d_{1}=c_{1}=\partial_{1} b_{1}=\partial_{2} b_{1}=0$. If we replace $\gamma(t)$ by $x(t)$, from the formula (4) we have $\dot{C}(t)=(x(t))^{(0)}$. Similarly, the item (iii) can be proved. In the particular case of $\alpha=0$ and $\beta=1$, we deduce that the items (i) and (ii) are also true.

## 3. F-Geodesics of the hypersurface $T_{1,1}^{2} M$

Let $T_{1,1}^{2} M$ be the hypersurface in $T^{2} M$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{1,1}^{2} M=\left\{p=S^{-1}(x, u, w) \in T^{2} M,|u|=|\omega|=1\right\} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The unit normal vector fields to $T_{1,1}^{2} M$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{U}: T^{2} M & \rightarrow T\left(T^{2} M\right)  \tag{10}\\
p=S^{-1}(x, u, \omega) & \mapsto \mathcal{U}_{p}=(u)^{(1)} \\
\mathcal{W}: T^{2} M & \rightarrow T\left(T^{2} M\right)  \tag{11}\\
p=S^{-1}(x, u, \omega) & \mapsto \mathcal{W}_{p}=(\omega)^{(2)} .
\end{align*}
$$

Indeed, for $p=S^{-1}(x, u, \omega) \in T_{1,1}^{2} M$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{S}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U})_{p} & =g(u, u)=1 \\
G_{S}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W})_{p} & =g(w, w)=1 \\
G_{S}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})_{p} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, if we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{1}: T^{2} M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, p=S^{-1}(x, u, \omega) \mapsto g(u, u), \\
& F_{2}: T^{2} M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, p=S^{-1}(x, u, \omega) \mapsto g(\omega, \omega) \\
& F: T^{2} M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}, p \mapsto\left(F_{1}(p), F_{2}(p)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

then the hypersurface $T_{1,1}^{2} M$ is given by

$$
T_{1,1}^{2} M=\left\{p=S^{-1}(x, u, \omega) \in T^{2} M, \quad\left(F_{1}(p), F_{2}(p)\right)=(1,1)\right\},
$$

where $\operatorname{grad}_{G_{s}}\left(F_{1}\right)$ and $\operatorname{grad}_{G_{s}}\left(F_{2}\right)$ are vector fields normal to $T_{1,1}^{2} M$. From Lemma 1.6, for any vector field $X$ on $M$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{S}\left(X^{(0)}, \operatorname{grad}_{G_{S}}\left(F_{1}\right)\right) & =X^{(0)}\left(F_{1}\right)=X^{(0)}(g(u, u)) \\
& =0=G_{S}\left(X^{(0)}, \mathcal{U}\right), \\
G_{S}\left(X^{(1)}, \operatorname{grad}_{G_{S}}\left(F_{1}\right)\right) & =X^{(1)}\left(F_{1}\right)=X^{(1)}(g(u, u)) \\
& =2 g(X, u)=2 G_{S}\left(X^{(1)}, \mathcal{U}\right), \\
G_{S}\left(X^{(2)}, \operatorname{grad}_{G_{S}}\left(F_{1}\right)\right) & =X^{(2)}\left(F_{1}\right)=X^{(2)}(g(u, u)) \\
& =0=2 G_{S}\left(X^{(2)}, \mathcal{U}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So $\mathcal{U}=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{grad}_{G_{S}}\left(F_{1}\right)$. By the same way, we obtain $\mathcal{W}=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{grad}_{G_{s}}\left(F_{2}\right)$, therefore $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{W}$ are vector fields orthonormal to $T_{1,1}^{2} M$. If $B$ (resp. $\dddot{\nabla}$ ) denotes the second fundamental form (resp. the Levi-Civita connection on $\left.T_{1,1}^{2} M\right)$, then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& B(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{Y})=G_{S}\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{\widetilde{X}} \widetilde{Y}, \mathcal{U}\right) \mathcal{U}+G_{S}\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{\widetilde{X}} \widetilde{Y}, \mathcal{W}\right) \mathcal{W},  \tag{12}\\
& \dddot{\nabla}_{\widetilde{X}} \widetilde{Y}=\widetilde{\nabla}_{\widetilde{X}} \widetilde{Y}-\rho_{1}(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{Y}) \mathcal{U}-\rho_{2}(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{Y}) \mathcal{W} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

for all vector fields $\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{Y}$ on $T_{1,1}^{2} M$.
Subsequently, we denote $x^{\prime}=\dot{x}, x^{\prime \prime}=\nabla_{\dot{x}} \dot{x}, y^{\prime}=\nabla_{\dot{x}} y$ and $y^{\prime \prime}=\nabla_{\dot{x}} \nabla_{\dot{x}} y, z^{\prime}=\nabla_{\dot{x}} z$ and $z^{\prime \prime}=\nabla_{\dot{x}} \nabla_{\dot{x}} z$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold and let $\left(T^{2} M, G_{S}\right)$ be its second order tangent bundle equipped with the Sasaki metric and $C(t)=S^{-1}(x(t), y(t), z(t))$ be a curve on $T_{1,1}^{2} M$ such that $y(t), z(t)$ are vector fields along $x(t)$. Then, we have
(1) $g(y, y)=1=g(z, z)$,
(2) $g\left(y^{\prime}, y\right)=0=g\left(z^{\prime}, z\right)$,
(3) $g\left(y^{\prime \prime}, y\right)=-\left|y^{\prime}\right|^{2}=-g\left(y^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$,
(4) $g\left(z^{\prime \prime}, z\right)=-\left|z^{\prime}\right|^{2}=-g\left(z^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)$.

As $T_{1,1}^{2} M$ is the hypersurface in $T^{2} M$, a curve on $T_{1,1}^{2} M$ is a geodesic if and only if its second covariant derivative in $T^{2} M$ is collinear to the unit normal vectors $(y)^{(1)}$ and $(z)^{(2)}$. From Theorem 2.13, the formula (12) and Lemma 3.1, we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold and $\left(T^{2} M, G_{S}\right)$ be its second order tangent bundle equipped with the Sasaki metric and let $C(t)=S^{-1}(x(t), y(t), z(t))$ be a curve on $T_{1,1}^{2} M$ such that $y(t)$ and $z(t)$ are vector fields along $x(t)$. Then, $C$ is an $F^{(0)}$-geodesic on $T_{1,1}^{2} M$ if and only if

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{\prime \prime}=-\left[R\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)+R\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)\right] x^{\prime}+F\left(x^{\prime}\right)  \tag{14}\\
& y^{\prime \prime}=F\left(y^{\prime}\right)+\rho_{1} y  \tag{15}\\
& z^{\prime \prime}=F\left(z^{\prime}\right)+\rho_{2} z \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}$ are some functions.
Definition 3.3. Let $(M, F)$ be an almost complex manifold. A Riemannian metric $g$ on $M$ such that $g(F X, F Y)=$ $g(X, Y)$ or equivalently $g(F X, Y)=-g(X, F Y)$ for any vector fields $X, Y$ is called an almost Hermitian metric. The triple $(M, F, g)$ is called an almost Hermitian manifold [9]. Also, for any vector field $X$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(X, F X)=0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.4. Let $(M, F, g)$ be an almost Hermitian manifold and $\left(T^{2} M, G_{S}\right)$ be its second order tangent bundle equipped with the Sasaki metric and let $C(t)=S^{-1}(x(t), y(t), z(t))$ be a curve on $T_{1,1}^{2} M$ such that $y(t)$ and $z(t)$ are vector fields along $x(t)$. If we put $c_{1}=\left|y^{\prime}\right|, \mu_{1}=g\left(y^{\prime}, F y\right), c_{2}=\left|z^{\prime}\right|, \mu_{2}=g\left(z^{\prime}, F z\right)$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{1} & =\mu_{1}-c_{1}^{2} \\
\rho_{2} & =\mu_{2}-c_{2}^{2} \\
c_{1}^{\prime} & =0=c_{2}^{\prime} \\
\mu_{1}^{\prime} & =0=\mu_{2}^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. From the formula (15), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y^{\prime \prime}=\rho_{1} y+F\left(y^{\prime}\right) \\
& g\left(y^{\prime \prime}, y\right)=g\left(F\left(y^{\prime}\right), y\right)+\rho_{1} g(y, y) \\
& -\left|y^{\prime}\right|^{2}=-\mu_{1}+\rho_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Lemma 3.1 (2) and the formula (17), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{1}^{2}\right)^{\prime} & =g\left(y^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\rho_{1} g\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)+g\left(F\left(y^{\prime}\right), y^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\rho_{1} g\left(y, y^{\prime}\right) \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 3.1 (2), Definition 3.3 and the formula (17), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{1}^{\prime} & =g\left(y^{\prime \prime}, F(y)\right)+g\left(y^{\prime}, F\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& =g\left(y^{\prime \prime}, F(y)\right) \\
& =\rho_{1} g(y, F(y))+g\left(F y^{\prime}, F y\right) \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we can obtain the other formulae.
Using Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.4, we get the following theorem.
Theorem 3.5. Let $(M, F, g)$ be an almost Hermitian manifold and $\left(T^{2} M, G_{S}\right)$ be its second order tangent bundle equipped with the Sasaki metric and let $C(t)=S^{-1}(x(t), y(t), z(t))$ be a curve on $T_{1,1}^{2} M$ such that $y(t)$ and $z(t)$ are vector fields along $x(t)$. If we put $c_{1}=\left|y^{\prime}\right|, \mu_{1}=g\left(y^{\prime}, F y\right), c_{2}=\left|z^{\prime}\right|, \mu_{2}=g\left(z^{\prime}, F z\right)$, then the curve $C(t)=S^{-1}(x(t), y(t), z(t))$ is an $F^{(0)}$-geodesic on $T_{1,1}^{2} M$ if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{1} & =\text { const., } \mu_{1}=\text { const. and } \rho_{1}=\mu_{1}-c_{1}^{2}=\text { const. } \\
c_{2} & =\text { const., } \mu_{2}=\text { const. and } \rho_{2}=\mu_{2}-c_{2}^{2}=\text { const., } \\
x^{\prime \prime} & =-\left[R\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)+R\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)\right] x^{\prime}+F\left(x^{\prime}\right), \\
y^{\prime \prime} & =F\left(y^{\prime}\right)+\left(\mu_{1}-c_{1}^{2}\right) y, \\
z^{\prime \prime} & =F\left(z^{\prime}\right)+\left(\mu_{2}-c_{2}^{2}\right) z .
\end{aligned}
$$

From Theorem 2.12 and Lemma 3.1, we obtain the following lemma.
Lemma 3.6. Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold, $\left(T^{2} M, G_{S}\right)$ its second order tangent bundle equipped with the Sasaki metric and let $C(t)=S^{-1}(x(t), y(t), z(t))$ be a curve on $T_{1,1}^{2} M$ such that $y(t)$ and $z(t)$ are vector fields along $x(t)$. Then, $C$ is an $F^{(0)}$-planar curve on $T_{1,1}^{2} M$ if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{\prime \prime} & =-\left[R\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)+R\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)\right] x^{\prime}+\eta_{1} x^{\prime}+\eta_{2} F\left(x^{\prime}\right) \\
y^{\prime \prime} & =\eta_{1} y^{\prime}+\eta_{2} F\left(y^{\prime}\right)+\rho_{1} y \\
z^{\prime \prime} & =\eta_{1} z^{\prime}+\eta_{2} F\left(z^{\prime}\right)+\rho_{2} z
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}$ are smooth functions on $\mathbb{R}$ and $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}$ are some functions.
Now, we will determine the functions $\rho_{1}$ and $\rho_{2}$.
Lemma 3.7. Let $(M, g, F)$ be an almost Hermitian manifold, $\left(T^{2} M, G_{S}\right)$ its second order tangent bundle equipped with the diagonal lift Sasaki metric and $C(t)=S^{-1}(x(t), y(t), z(t))$ be a curve on $T_{1,1}^{2} M$ such that $y(t)$ and $z(t)$ are vector fields along $x(t)$. If we put $c_{1}=\left|y^{\prime}\right|, \mu_{1}=g\left(y^{\prime}, F y\right), c_{2}=\left|z^{\prime}\right|, \mu_{2}=g\left(z^{\prime}, F z\right)$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{1}=K_{1} \exp \left(\int \eta_{1} d t\right), \quad c_{2}=K_{3} \exp \left(\int \eta_{1} d t\right), \\
& \mu_{1}=K_{2} \exp \left(\int \eta_{1} d t\right), \quad \mu_{2}=K_{4} \exp \left(\int \eta_{1} d t\right), \\
& \rho_{1}=\eta_{2} \mu_{1}-c_{1}^{2}=\eta_{2} K_{2} \exp \left(\int \eta_{1} d t\right)-K_{1}^{2} \exp \left(2 \int \eta_{1} d t\right), \\
& \rho_{2}=\eta_{2} \mu_{2}-c_{2}^{2}=\eta_{2} K_{3} \exp \left(\int \eta_{1} d t\right)-K_{4}^{2} \exp \left(2 \int \eta_{1} d t\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}$ are smooth functions on $\mathbb{R}$.

Proof. From the formula (15), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y^{\prime \prime}=\rho_{1} y+\eta_{1} y^{\prime}+\eta_{2} F\left(y^{\prime}\right), \\
& g\left(y^{\prime \prime}, y\right)=\eta_{1} g\left(y^{\prime}, y\right)+\eta_{2} g\left(F\left(y^{\prime}\right), y\right)+\rho_{1} g(y, y), \\
& -\left|y^{\prime}\right|^{2}=-\eta_{2} \mu_{1}+\rho_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\rho_{1}=\eta_{2} \mu_{1}-c_{1}^{2}$.
Using the formula (17), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{1}^{2}\right)^{\prime} & =g\left(y^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\rho_{1} g\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)+\eta_{1} g\left(y^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)+\eta_{2} g\left(F\left(y^{\prime}\right), y^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\eta_{1} g\left(y^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\eta_{1} c_{1}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

from which we get $c_{1}=K_{1} \exp \left(\int \eta_{1} d t\right)$.
On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{1}^{\prime} & =g\left(y^{\prime \prime}, F y\right)+g\left(y^{\prime}, F\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& =g\left(y^{\prime \prime}, F y\right) \\
& =\rho_{1} g(y, F y)+\eta_{1} g\left(y^{\prime}, F y\right)+\eta_{2} g\left(F y^{\prime}, F y\right) \\
& =\eta_{1} g\left(y^{\prime}, F y\right) \\
& =\eta_{1} \mu_{1},
\end{aligned}
$$

from which we get $\mu_{1}=K_{2} \exp \left(\int \eta_{1} d t\right)$.
By the same way, we obtain the other formulas.
Using Lemma 3.6 and Lemma 3.7, we obtain the following theorem.
Theorem 3.8. Let $(M, g, F)$ be an almost Hermitian manifold and let $\left(T^{2} M, G_{S}\right)$ be its second order tangent bundle equipped with the diagonal lift Sasaki metric and let $C(t)=S^{-1}(x(t), y(t), z(t))$ be a curve on $T_{1,1}^{2} M$ such that $y(t)$ and $z(t)$ are vector fields along $x(t)$. If we put $c_{1}=\left|y^{\prime}\right|, \mu_{1}=g\left(y^{\prime}, F y\right), c_{2}=\left|z^{\prime}\right|, \mu_{2}=g\left(z^{\prime}, F z\right)$, then the curve $C(t)=S^{-1}(x(t), y(t), z(t))$ is an $F^{(0)}$-planar curve on $T_{1,1}^{2} M$ if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{1} & =K_{1} \exp \left(\int \eta_{1} d t\right), \quad c_{2}=K_{3} \exp \left(\int \eta_{1} d t\right), \\
\mu_{1} & =K_{2} \exp \left(\int \eta_{1} d t\right), \quad \mu_{2}=K_{4} \exp \left(\int \eta_{1} d t\right), \\
\rho_{1} & =\eta_{2} \mu_{1}-c_{1}^{2}=\eta_{2} K_{2} \exp \left(\int \eta_{1} d t\right)-K_{1}^{2} \exp \left(2 \int \eta_{1} d t\right), \\
\rho_{2} & =\eta_{2} \mu_{2}-c_{2}^{2}=\eta_{2} K_{3} \exp \left(\int \eta_{1} d t\right)-K_{4}^{2} \exp \left(2 \int \eta_{1} d t\right), \\
x^{\prime \prime} & =-\left[R\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)+R\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)\right] x^{\prime}+\varrho_{1}(t) x^{\prime}+\varrho_{2}(t) F\left(x^{\prime}\right), \\
y^{\prime \prime} & =\varrho_{1}(t) y^{\prime}+\varrho_{2}(t) F\left(y^{\prime}\right)+\left(\eta_{2} \mu_{1}-c_{1}^{2}\right) y, \\
z^{\prime \prime} & =\varrho_{1}(t) z^{\prime}+\varrho_{2}(t) F\left(z^{\prime}\right)+\left(\eta_{2} \mu_{2}-c_{2}^{2}\right) z .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 3.9. 1) The Theorem 3.8 remains true if $F^{(0)}$-planar curve is replaced by $F^{(0)}$-geodesic up to reparameterization.
2) In the case of $\eta_{1}=0$ and $\eta_{2}=1$ we obtain Theorem 3.5.
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