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#### Abstract

In this work, we introduce a new 2-norm generated by bounded linear functionals on a normed space $X$ with dimension $\operatorname{dim}(X) \geq 2$, and investigate its relationship with the Gähler's 2-norm [Lineare 2-normierte Räume, Math. Nachr.]. We also derive a norm on $X$ to explore its relation with the usual norm on $X$.


## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

We know how to measure the lengths in a normed space $(X,\|\|$.$) , since the notion of norm is to be$ regarded as a generalization of the length. But it's not always easy to measure the area on this space. If we have an inner product on a vector space $X$ with the dimension $\operatorname{dim}(X) \geq 2$, then we can measure the areas of parallelograms spanned by the vectors $x$ and $y$ by the determinant

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\langle x, x\rangle & \langle x, y\rangle  \tag{1}\\
\langle y, x\rangle & \langle y, y\rangle
\end{array}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

which is known as Gramian of linearly independent vectors $\{x, y\}$ in $(X,\langle.,\rangle$.$) . Otherwise, at least we need a$ semi-inner product or orthogonality to measure the area of a parallelogram. Thus we must recognize that the notion of norm has a limitation. To pass this limitation, we need a new notion. One of the treatments is to consider the 2-norm introduced by Gähler [1]. If $X$ is a normed space, then, according to Gähler, the following formula defines a 2-norm on X [1]

$$
\|x, y\|^{G}=\frac{1}{2} \sup _{\substack{f_{i} \in X^{\prime},\left\|f_{i}\right\| \leq 1  \tag{2}\\
i=1,2}}\left|\begin{array}{ll}
f_{1}(x) & f_{2}(x) \\
f_{1}(y) & f_{2}(y)
\end{array}\right|
$$

Here $X^{\prime}$ denotes the dual of $X$, which consists of bounded linear functionals on $X$. By this way, we can compute the area of the parallelogram spanned by two vectors. The equation (1) is known as standard 2-norm and denoted by $\|x, y\|_{S}$.

[^0]Now, consider the 2 -normed space $(X,\|.,\|$.$) . We know how to measure the areas, how can we measure$ the lengths? At first, this question was asked by Gähler [1]. He defined $\|x\|^{*}=\|x, a\|+\|x, b\|$ where $\{a, b\}$ is linearly independent set and $\operatorname{dim}(X) \geq 2$. By this way, for $X=\mathbb{R}^{2}$ the derived norm $\|.\|^{*}$ is equivalent to the usual norm $\|.\|_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}$. Later, Gunawan [2] derived a norm for the same purpose in a 2-normed space $(X,\|.\|$,$) of dimension \operatorname{dim}(X) \geq 2$ choosing an arbitrary linearly independent set and actually, for 2 -normed space $l^{p}$, the space of $p$-summable sequences $(1 \leq p<\infty)$, then obtain that this derived norm $\|.\|_{p}^{*}$ is equivalent to the usual norm $\|.\|_{p}$ on $l^{p}$. Indeed, as a result of how to measure the distance; convergence in $\left(l^{p},\|\cdot\|_{p}\right) \Leftrightarrow$ convergence in $\left(l^{p},\|., .\|_{p}\right)$. For $C[a, b]$, the space of all continuous real valued functions on $[a, b]$, we still don't know whether we may take arbitrary linearly independent set like $l^{p}$ and $L^{p}$ (The space of $p$-integrable functions, $1 \leq p<\infty$ ). Let us give the definition of 2-normed space:

Let $X$ be a real vector space of dimension $\operatorname{dim}(X) \geq 2$ and $\|.,$.$\| be a real function on X \times X$ satisfying the following four conditions. The function $\|.$,$\| is called a 2-norm on X$ and the pair $(X,\|,\|$,$) is called a$ 2-normed space [1].
(1) $\|x, y\| \geq 0$ for every $x, y \in X ;\|x, y\|=0$ if and only if $x$ and $y$ are linearly dependent;
(2) $\|x, y\|=\|y, x\|$ for every $x, y \in X$;
(3) $\|\alpha x, y\|=|\alpha\|\mid x, y\|$ for every $x, y \in X$ and for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$;
(4) $\|x+z, y\| \leq\|x, y\|+\|z, y\|$ for every $x, y, z \in X$.

Euclidean 2-norm on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ is given by

$$
\left\|x_{1}, x_{2}\right\|_{E}=a b s\left(\left|\begin{array}{ll}
x_{11} & x_{12} \\
x_{21} & x_{22}
\end{array}\right|\right), x_{i}=\left(x_{i 1}, x_{i 2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \quad(i=1,2)
$$

where the subscript $E$ is for Euclidean. The standard 2-norm is exactly same as the Euclidean 2-norm if $X=\mathbb{R}^{2}$, [3]. For $X=\mathbb{R}^{2}$, from the equation (1) we obtain a better inequality $\|x, y\|_{S} \leq\|x\|_{S}\|y\|_{S}$ which is a special case of Hadamard's inequality (see in [3]) where $\|x\|_{S}:=\sqrt{\langle x, x\rangle}$.

Let $X$ be a normed space and $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded linear functional. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(x)| \leq\|f|\|\mid x\| . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3), now observe that for every $x \in X-\{\theta\}$ and $f \in X^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f(x)}{\|x\|} \leq \frac{|f(x)|}{\|x\|} \leq\|f\| \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, $f(x) \leq\|f\|\|x\|$ or $\frac{f(x)}{\|f\|} \leq\|x\|$ for every $f \in X^{\prime}, f \neq 0$ and $x \in X$. So, we conclude that $\sup _{f \neq 0, f \in X^{\prime}} \frac{f(x)}{\|f\|}=\sup _{\|f\| \leq 1} f(x) \leq\|x\|$. From the equations (2) and (3) we have $\|x, y\|^{G} \leq\|x\|\|y\|<\infty$.

Let $f_{1}, f_{2}$ be bounded linear functionals. Since $f_{1}(x), f_{1}(y), f_{2}(x), f_{2}(y) \in \mathbb{R}$, we obtain $f_{2}(x) f_{1}(y)=\gamma f_{2}(x)=$ $f_{2}(\gamma x)=f_{2}\left(f_{1}(y) x\right) \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\gamma=f_{1}(y) \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\gamma x=f_{1}(y) x \in X$. We also have $f_{1}(x) f_{2}(y)=\delta f_{2}(y)=f_{2}(\delta y)=$ $f_{2}\left(f_{1}(x) y\right) \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\delta=f_{1}(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\delta y=f_{1}(x) y \in X$. Then from the Gähler's 2-norm, for every $x, y \in X$ we have

$$
\|x, y\|^{G}=\frac{1}{2} \sup _{\substack{\left\|f_{1}\right\| \leq 1,\left\|f f_{2}\right\| \leq 1 \\ f_{1}, f_{2} \in X^{\prime}}} f_{2}\left(f_{1}(x) y-f_{1}(y) x\right) .
$$

Recall that a sequence $(x(n))$ in a 2-normed space $(X,\|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is called a convergent sequence, if there is an $x \in X$ such that $\|x(n)-x, z\| \longrightarrow 0$, as $n \longrightarrow \infty$ for every $z \in X$. Also, $(x(n))$ is said to be Cauchy sequence with respect to the $\|\cdot, \cdot\|$ if $\|x(m)-x(n), y\| \longrightarrow 0$, as $m, n \longrightarrow \infty$ for every $z \in X$ [4]. A linear 2-normed space in which every Cauchy sequence is convergent is called a 2-Banach space [7]. Throughout the paper, we use standard notation and terminology as in [5].

## 2. Main results

Let $x, y \in X$. Here we define a mapping $\|.,\|:. X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x, y\|^{K I}:=\sup _{\substack{\|f\| \leq 1 \\ f \in X^{\prime}}}\|x f(y)-y f(x)\|_{X} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $X^{\prime}$ denotes the dual space of $X$, which consists of bounded linear functionals on $X$.
Proposition 2.1. The mapping $\|., .\|^{K I}$ in (5) defines a 2 -norm on $X$ and the pair $\left(X,\|., .\|^{K I}\right)$ is a 2-normed space.
Proof. We need to check that $\|., .\|^{K I}$ satisfies the four properties of a 2-norm. First note that the (2), (3) and (4) are obvious. To verify the (1), let us choose arbitrary $x, y, z \in X$.

Since $\|\cdot\|_{X} \geq 0$, then $\|\cdot, \cdot\|^{K} \geq 0$ holds.
$(\Rightarrow)$ If $\|x, y\|^{K I}=\sup _{\|f\| \| \leq 1}\|x f(y)-y f(x)\|_{X}=0$, then $x f(y)-y f(x)=0$. Consequently, $x=\frac{f(x)}{f(y)} y$, that is; $x$ and $y$ are linearly dependent.
$(\Leftarrow)$ If $x$ and $y$ are linearly dependent vectors, then $x=\alpha y$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. So

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|x, y\|^{K I} & =\sup _{\substack{\|f\| \leq 1 \\
f \in X^{\prime}}}\|x f(y)-y f(x)\|_{X} \\
& =\sup _{\underset{\substack{\|f\| \\
f \in X^{\prime}}}{ }\|\alpha y f(y)-y f(\alpha y)\|_{X}} \\
& =|\alpha| \sup _{\substack{\|f\| \leq 1 \\
f \in X^{\prime}}}\|y f(y)-y f(y)\|_{X}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Choosing an arbitrary linearly independent set $\{a, b\}$ in 2-normed space $\left(X,\|., .\|^{K I}\right.$ of dimension $\operatorname{dim}(X) \geq$ 2 , we may define another norm $\|.\|^{K I}$ on $X$ with respect to the set $\{a, b\}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x\|^{K I}:=\|x, a\|^{K I}+\|x, b\|^{K I} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.2. The mapping $\|.\|^{K I}$ given by (6) is a norm on $X$ with respect to an arbitrary linearly independent set $\{a, b\}$ and the pair $\left(X,\|.\|^{K I}\right)$ is a normed space.

Proof. Note that the 'if part' of (1), (2) and (3) are obvious. To verify the 'only if part' of (1), let $x \in X$. If $\|x\|^{K I}=\|x, a\|^{K I}+\|x, b\|^{K I}=0$, then we have $\|x, a\|^{K I}=0$ and $\|x, b\|^{K I}=0$ which mean that both $x$ and $a$ are linearly dependent and $x$ and $b$ are linearly dependent. So there exist scalars $\alpha, \beta$ such that $x=\alpha a=\beta b$. But from the definition it is known that $a$ and $b$ are linearly independent vectors, hence $x=\theta$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $\|\cdot\|^{K I}$ be the derived norm defined by (6) on $\left(X,\|\cdot, \cdot\|^{K I}\right)$ and $a, b \in X$ be linearly independent vectors, then for every $x \in X$ we have

$$
\|x\|^{K I} \leq 2\left(\|a\|_{X}+\|b\|_{X}\right)\|x\|_{X} .
$$

Proof. By assumptions in this lemma, take an arbitrary $x \in X$. Using triangle inequality

$$
\|x, a\|^{K I}=\sup _{\substack{\|f\| \leq 1 \\ f \in X^{\prime}}}\|x f(a)-a f(x)\|_{X} \leq \sup _{\substack{\|f\| \leq 1 \\ f \in X^{\prime}}}\left(\|x\|_{X}|f(a)|+\|a\|_{X}|f(x)|\right) .
$$

By (4), we obtain $\|x, a\|^{K I} \leq 2\|a\|_{X}\|x\|_{X}$. Replace $a$ with $b$, so $\|x, b\|^{K I} \leq 2\|b\|_{X}\|x\|_{X}$. Combine two inequalities above, then $\|x\|^{\mathbb{K}} \leq 2\left(\|a\|_{X}+\|b\|_{X}\right)\|x\|_{X}$, for every $x \in X$.

Lemma 2.4. Suppose that $a, b \in X$ are linearly independent vectors such that $\|a\|_{X}=\|b\|_{X}$ in normed space $\left(X,\|\cdot\|_{X}\right)$. If $f_{0} \in X^{\prime}$ such that $f_{0}(a) \neq 0, f_{0}(b) \neq 0$ and $0<\left\|f_{0}\right\|_{X^{\prime}} \leq 1$, then we have $C\|x\|_{X} \leq\|x\|^{K I}$, for every $x \in X$ with $C=\frac{\left|f_{0}(a)\right|+\left|f_{0}(b)\right|}{1+\frac{\lg }{\left.\left.\lg (a)(b)\right|^{\prime}\right)-h(a) g(b) \mid}}$ and $g, h \in X^{\prime}$ such that $g(a) h(b)-g(b) h(a) \neq 0,0<\|g\|_{X^{\prime}} \leq 1$ and $0<\|h\|_{X^{\prime}} \leq 1$.

Proof. Let $a, b \in X$ be linearly independent vectors such that $\|a\|_{X}=\|b\|_{X}$ in normed space $\left(X,\|\cdot\|_{X}\right)$ with $\operatorname{dim}(X) \geq 2$. Now, take an arbitrary $x \in X$ and $f_{0} \in X^{\prime}$ such that $f_{0}(a) \neq 0, f_{0}(b) \neq 0$ and $0<\left\|f_{0}\right\|_{X^{\prime}} \leq 1$. Next, observe that

$$
\begin{align*}
\|x\|_{X}\left|f_{0}(a)\right| & =\left\|x f_{0}(a)-a f_{0}(x)+a f_{0}(x)\right\|_{X} \\
& \leq\left\|x f_{0}(a)-a f_{0}(x)\right\|_{X}+\|a\|_{X}\left|f_{0}(x)\right| \\
& \leq\|x, a\|^{K}+\|a\|_{X}\left|f_{0}(x)\right| . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $g, h \in X^{\prime}$ such that $g(a) h(b)-g(b) h(a) \neq 0$ and $0<\|g\|_{X^{\prime}} \leq 1$ and $0<\|h\|_{X^{\prime}} \leq 1$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(g(a) h(b)- & g(b) h(a)) f_{0}(x) \\
= & f_{0}(x) g(a) h(b)-f_{0}(x) g(b) h(a) \\
= & f_{0}(x) g(a) h(b)-f_{0}(x) g(b) h(a)+\left(g(x) f_{0}(a) h(b)-g(x) f_{0}(a) h(b)\right) \\
& +\left(h(x) f_{0}(a) g(b)-h(x) f_{0}(a) g(b)\right) \\
= & \left(f_{0}(x) g(a)-g(x) f_{0}(a)\right) h(b)+\left(h(x) f_{0}(a)-f_{0}(x) h(a)\right) g(b)+(g(x) h(b)-g(b) h(x)) f_{0}(a) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $f_{0}, g, h \in X^{\prime}$ with $0<\left\|f_{0}\right\|_{X^{\prime}} \leq 1,0<\|g\|_{X^{\prime}} \leq 1$ and $0<\|h\|_{X^{\prime}} \leq 1$, then we have the following equation by triangle inequality,

$$
\begin{align*}
& |g(a) h(b)-g(b) h(a)|\left|f_{0}(x)\right| \\
& \leq\left|f_{0}(x) g(a)-g(x) f_{0}(a)\left\|h ( b ) \left|+\left|h(x) f_{0}(a)-f_{0}(x) h(a)\left\|g ( b ) \left|+\left|g(x) h(b)-g(b) h(x) \| f_{0}(a)\right|\right.\right.\right.\right.\right.\right. \\
& =\left|f _ { 0 } ( x g ( a ) - a g ( x ) ) \left\|h ( b ) \left|+\left|f _ { 0 } ( a h ( x ) - x h ( a ) ) \left\|g ( b ) \left|+\left|g(x h(b)-b h(x)) \| f_{0}(a)\right|\right.\right.\right.\right.\right.\right. \\
& \leq\left\|f_{0}\right\|_{X^{\prime},}\|x g(a)-a g(x)\|_{X^{\prime}}\|h\|_{X^{\prime}},\|b\|_{X^{\prime}}+\left\|f_{0}\right\|_{X^{\prime}},\|a h(x)-x h(a)\|_{X}\|g\|_{X^{\prime}},\|b\|_{X}  \tag{8}\\
& \quad+\|g\|_{X^{\prime},}\|x h(b)-b h(x)\|_{X}\left\|f_{0}\right\|_{X^{\prime}},\|a\|_{X} \\
& \leq\|x, a\|^{K I}\|b\|_{X^{\prime}}+\|x, a\|^{K I}\|b\|_{X^{\prime}}+\|g\|_{X^{\prime}}\|x, b\|^{K I}\|a\|_{X} \\
& \leq 2\|x, a\|^{K I}\|b\|_{X^{\prime}}+\|x, b\|^{K I}\|a\|_{X^{\prime}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Now, check that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|a\|_{X}\left|f_{0}(x)\right| & =\frac{\|a\|_{X}}{|g(a) h(b)-g(b) h(a)|}\left|g(a) h(b)-g(b) h(a) \| f_{0}(x)\right| \\
& \leq \frac{\|a\|_{X}}{|g(a) h(b)-g(b) h(a)|}\left(2\|x, a\|^{K}\|b\|_{X}+\|x, b\|^{\mathbb{K}}\|a\|_{X}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (7) and (8), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\|x\|_{X}\left|f_{0}(a)\right| & \leq\|x, a\|^{K I}+\|a\|_{X}\left|f_{0}(x)\right| \\
& \leq\|x, a\|^{K I}+\frac{\| \| \|_{X}}{|g(a) h(b)-g(b) h(a)|}\left(2\|x, a\|^{K I}\|b\|_{X}+\|x, b\|^{K I}\|a\|_{X}\right)  \tag{9}\\
& =\left(1+\frac{2\|a\|_{X}\|b\|_{X}}{\lg (a) h(b)-h(a) g(b))}\right)\|x, a\|^{K I}+\frac{\|a\|_{X}^{2}}{\operatorname{lg(a)h(b)-h(a)g(b))}\|x, b\|^{K I}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Next replace $a$ with $b$, we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x\|_{X}\left|f_{0}(b)\right| \leq\left(1+\frac{2\|a\|_{X}\|b\|_{X}}{|g(a) h(b)-h(a) g(b)|}\right)\|x, b\|^{K I}+\frac{\|b\|_{X}^{2}}{|g(a) h(b)-h(a) g(b)|}\|x, a\|^{K I} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $a, b \in X$ are linearly independent vectors such that $\|a\|_{X}=\|b\|_{X}$, we have the following by combining (9) and (10)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|x\|_{X}\left(\left|f_{0}(a)\right|+\left|f_{0}(b)\right|\right) \leq & \left(1+\frac{3\|a\| \|_{X}^{2}}{|g(a) h(b)-h(a) g(b)|}\right)\left(\|x, a\|^{K I}+\|x, b\|^{K I}\right) \\
& =\left(1+\frac{3\|b\|_{X}^{2}}{|g(a) h(b)-h(a) g(b)|}\right)\left(\|x, a\|^{K I}+\|x, b\|^{K I}\right) \\
& =\left(1+\frac{3\|a\| \|_{X}^{2}}{|g(a) h(b)-h(a) g(b)|}\right)\|x\|^{K I} \\
& =\left(1+\frac{3\|b\|_{X}^{2}}{|g(a) h(b)-h(a) g(b)|}\right)\|x\|^{K I} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, $C\|x\|_{X} \leq\|x\|^{K I}$ for every $x \in X$ with $C=\frac{\left|f_{0}(a)\right|+\left|f_{f}(b)\right|}{1+\frac{3\left(l \mid \|_{X}^{2}\right)}{[g(a)(b)-h(a) g(b) \mid}}$. This completes the proof.
As a result of combining both of Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4, we obtain the equivalence of the norms $\|\cdot\|_{X}$ and $\|.\|^{K I}$ under the conditions of Lemma 2.4.

Corollary 2.5. Under the conditions of Lemma 2.4, the derived norm $\|\cdot\|^{K I}$ is equivalent to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{X}$ on $X$.

Lemma 2.6 and Theorem 2.7 arise as a consequence of Corollary 2.5 under the conditions of Lemma 2.4.

Lemma 2.6. In $X$, a sequence $(x(n))$ converges to $x$ with respect to $\|.\|_{X}$ if and only if it converges to $x$ with respect to $\|., .\|^{K}$. Similarly, a sequence $(x(n))$ is a Cauchy sequence with respect to $\|.\|_{X}$ if and only if it is a Cauchy sequence with respect to $\|., . .\|^{K I}$.

Proof. $(\Rightarrow)$ Let $(x(n))$ be a sequence convergent to $x$ with respect to $\|.\|_{X}$. By Lemma 7 , for every $y \in X$, we have $0 \leq\|x(n)-x, y\|^{K I} \leq 2\|x(n)-x\|_{X}\|y\|_{X} \longrightarrow 0$, as $n \longrightarrow \infty$. Thus $(x(n))$ converges to $x$ with respect to $\|., .\|^{H^{1}}$.
$(\Leftarrow)$ Suppose that $(x(n))$ is a sequence converges to $x$ with respect to $\|,,\|^{k}$. So, for every $y \in X$, we have $\|x(n)-x, y\|^{K I} \longrightarrow 0$, as $n \longrightarrow \infty$. Now, take linearly independent vectors $a, b \in X$ such that $\|a\|_{X}=\|b\|_{X}$. By Lemma 8, we have

$$
0 \leq C\|x(n)-x\|_{X} \leq\|x(n)-x\|^{K I}=\|x(n)-x, a\|^{K I}+\|x(n)-x, b\|^{K I} \longrightarrow 0
$$

as $n \longrightarrow \infty$. Thus $x(n)$ converges to $x$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{X}$. The second part of the theorem can be proved in a similar way: one only needs to replace the expressions "convergent to $x^{\prime \prime}$ with "Cauchy" and " $x(n)-x$ " with " $x(n)-x(m)$ " and $n \longrightarrow \infty$ with $m, n \longrightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 2.7. $\left(X,\|., .\|^{K I}\right)$ is a 2-Banach space if and only if $\left(X,\|.\| \|_{X}\right)$ is a Banach space.
Proof. Let $(x(n))$ be a Cauchy sequence in $X$ with respect to $\|.,.\| \|^{K I}$. Then by Lemma $2.6,(x(n))$ is Cauchy sequence with respect to the norm $\|.\|_{X}$. If $\left(X,\|.\|_{X}\right)$ is a Banach space; $X$ is complete with respect to the norm $\|.\|_{X}$, and then $x(n)$ must converge to some $x \in X$ in $\|.\|_{X}$. By another application of Lemma $2.6, x(n)$ also converges to $x$ in $\|., .\|^{K I}$. This shows that $X$ is complete with respect to the 2-norm $\|., .\|^{K I}$, that is $\left(X,\|., .\|^{K I}\right)$ is a 2-Banach space.

Relation between the 2-norms $\|.,.\| \|^{K I}$ and $\|.,.\| \|^{G}$ is presented in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.8. For every $x, y \in X,\|x, y\|^{G} \leq \frac{1}{2}\|x, y\|^{K I}$.

Proof. From (2), (4) and (5), for every $x, y \in X$ we have the following

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{1}{2} \sup _{f_{i} \in X^{\prime},\left\|f_{i}\right\|_{X^{\prime}} \leq 1}^{i=1,1 / 2}\left(f_{1}(x) f_{2}(y)-f_{1}(y) f_{2}(x)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sup _{f_{i} \in X^{\prime},\left\|f_{i}\right\|_{X^{\prime}} \leq 1} f_{2}\left(y f_{1}(x)\right)-f_{2}\left(x f_{1}(y)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sup _{f_{i} \in X^{\prime},\left\|f_{i}\right\|_{X^{\prime}} \leq 1}^{i=1} \leq f_{2}\left(y f_{1}(x)-x f_{1}(y)\right) \quad\left(\text { for } f_{1}=f\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sup _{f_{i} \in X^{\prime},\left\|f_{i}\right\|_{X^{\prime}} \leq 1}^{i=1,2}<1 f_{2}(y f(x)-x f(y)) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \sup _{f_{i} \in X^{\prime},\left\|f_{i}\right\|_{X^{\prime}} \leq 1}\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{X^{\prime}}\|y f(x)-x f(y)\|_{X} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \sup _{f \in X^{\prime},\|f\|_{X^{\prime}} \leq 1}\|y f(x)-x f(y)\|_{X} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sup _{f \in X^{\prime},\|f\|_{X^{\prime}} \leq 1}\|x f(y)-y f(x)\|_{X} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\|x, y\|^{K I} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, for every $x, y \in X$

$$
\|x, y\|^{G} \leq \frac{1}{2}\|x, y\|^{K I}
$$

Theorem 2.9. In $X$, if there is a $C>0$ such that sup $g(x)=C\|x\|_{X}$ for every $x \in X$, then $C\|x, y\|^{K I}=2\|x, y\|^{G}$ for $\|g\|_{x^{\prime}} \leq 1$
every $x, y \in X$.
Proof. Assume that there is a $C>0$ such that $\sup _{\|g\|_{x^{\prime}} \leq 1} g(x)=C\|x\|_{X}$ for every $x \in X$. Recall (2), (4), (5) and take $f_{1}=f, f_{2}=g, z=x f(y)-y f(x)$. So

$$
f_{2}\left(x f_{1}(y)-y f_{1}(x)\right)=g(x f(y)-y f(x))=g(z)
$$

By assumption, we obtain $\sup _{\|g\|_{x^{\prime}} \leq 1} g(z)=C\|z\|_{X}$. Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2\|x, y\|^{G}=\sup _{\substack{\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{x^{\prime}} \leq 1,\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{x^{\prime}} \leq 1 \\
f_{1}, f_{2} \in X^{\prime}}} f_{2}\left(x f_{1}(y)-y f_{1}(x)\right) \\
& =\sup _{\substack{\|f\|_{X^{\prime}} \leq 1,\|g\|_{X^{\prime}} \leq 1 \\
g, f \in X^{\prime}}} g(x f(y)-y f(x)) \\
& =\sup _{\substack{\|f\|_{X^{X}} \leq 1,\|g\|_{X^{\prime}} \leq 1 \\
g, f \in X^{\prime}}} g(z)=C \sup _{\substack{\|f\|_{X^{\prime}} \leq 1 \\
f \in X^{\prime}}}\|z\|_{X} \\
& =C \sup _{\|f\|}\|x f(y)-y f(x)\|_{X} \\
& \|f\|_{X^{\prime}} \leq 1 \\
& =C\|x, y\|^{K I}
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $x, y \in X$. Hence, $C\|x, y\|^{K}=2\|x, y\|^{G}$ for every $x, y \in X$.

Theorem 2.10. In $X$, if there exist $C_{1}, C_{2}>0$ such that $C_{1}\|x\|_{X} \leq \sup _{\|g\|_{x^{\prime}} \leq 1} g(x) \leq C_{2}\|x\|_{X}$ for every $x \in X$, then the 2 -norms $\|\cdot, \cdot\| \|^{K I}$ and $\|\cdot, \cdot\|^{G}$ are equivalent.

Proof. Let $C_{1}, C_{2}>0$ such that $C_{1}\|x\|_{X} \leq \sup _{\|g\|_{X^{\prime}} \leq 1} g(x) \leq C_{2}\|x\|_{X}$ for every $x \in X$. As in the proof of the above theorem, if we take $f_{1}=f, f_{2}=g$ and $z=x f(y)-y f(x)$, then

$$
f_{2}\left(x f_{1}(y)-y f_{1}(x)\right)=g(x f(y)-y f(x))=g(z)
$$

By assumption, we obtain $C_{1}\|z\|_{X} \leq \sup _{\|g\|_{x^{\prime}} \leq 1} g(z) \leq C_{2}\|z\|_{X}$. Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2\|x, y\|^{G}=\sup _{\substack{\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{X^{\prime}} \leq 1,\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{X^{\prime}} \leq 1 \\
f_{1}, f_{2} \in X^{\prime}}} f_{2}\left(x f_{1}(y)-y f_{1}(x)\right) \\
& =\sup _{\substack{\|f\|_{X^{\prime}} \leq 1,\|g\|_{X^{\prime}} \leq 1 \\
g, f \in X^{\prime}}} g(x f(y)-y f(x)) \\
& =\sup _{\substack{\|f\|_{X^{\prime}} \leq 1,\|g\|_{X^{\prime}} \leq 1 \\
g, f \in X^{\prime}}} g(z) \leq C_{2} \sup _{\substack{\|f\|_{X^{\prime}} \leq 1 \\
f \in X^{\prime}}}\|z\|_{X} \\
& =C_{2} \sup _{\|f\|_{x^{\prime}} \leq 1}\|x f(y)-y f(x)\|_{X} \\
& \|f\|_{X^{\prime}} \leq 1 \\
& =C_{2}\|x, y\|^{K I} \\
& \Rightarrow 2\|x, y\|^{G} \leq C_{2}\|x, y\|^{K I}
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $x, y \in X$. We also have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2\|x, y\|^{G}=\sup _{\substack{\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{x^{\prime}} \leq 1,\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{x^{\prime}} \leq 1 \\
f_{1}, f_{2}, X^{\prime}}} f_{2}\left(x f_{1}(y)-y f_{1}(x)\right) \\
& =\sup _{\substack{\|f\|_{X^{\prime}} \leq 1,\|g\|_{X^{\prime}} \leq 1 \\
g, f \in X^{\prime}}} g(x f(y)-y f(x)) \\
& =\sup _{\substack{\|f\|_{X^{\prime}} \leq X^{\prime},\|g\|_{X^{\prime}} \leq 1 \\
q, f \in X^{\prime}}} g(z) \geq C_{1} \sup _{\substack{\|f\|_{X^{\prime}} \leq 1 \\
f \in X^{\prime}}}\|z\|_{X} \\
& =C_{1} \sup \|x f(y)-y f(x)\|_{X} \\
& \|f \in\|_{X^{\prime}} \leq 1 \\
& =C_{1}\|x, y\|^{K I} \\
& \Rightarrow 2\|x, y\|^{G} \geq C_{1}\|x, y\|^{K I}
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $x, y \in X$. We conclude that $\|\cdot, \cdot\|^{K}$ and $\|\cdot, \cdot\|^{G}$ are equivalent.

## 3. Concluding remarks

A vector space can be equipped with several 2 -norms. In such a case, we may have an equivalence relation between them. In [6], it is shown that all 2 -norms on a finite dimensional vector space are equivalent. If $X$ is a 2 -dimensional space, say $X:=\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$, and $\|., .\|_{1},\|., .\|_{2}$ are two 2 -norms on $X$, then one may verify that the two 2 -norms are equivalent. In fact, one can show that $\|x, y\|_{2}=A\|x, y\|_{1}$ with $A=\frac{\left\|e_{1}, e_{2}\right\|_{2}}{\left\|e_{1}, e_{2}\right\|_{1}}$. Indeed, one may verify for $X=\mathbb{R}^{2}$ that the 2-norms $\|., .\|_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}^{G}$ and $\|.,\|_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}^{K I}$ are (strongly) equivalent. Recall the usual norm on $\mathbb{R}^{2},\|x\|_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}:=\left(\left|x_{1}\right|^{p}+\left|x_{2}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for every $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. Let $v, w \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$,
$f_{w}(x):=\sum_{k=1}^{2} w_{k} x_{k}$ is a bounded linear functional on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. Then

$$
\mathrm{C}_{2}\|x, y\|_{2}^{K I} \leq\|x, y\|_{2}^{G} \leq \mathrm{C}_{1}\|x, y\|_{2}^{K I}
$$


On infinite-dimensional vector spaces there is no quarantee that every two 2 -norms are equivalent. In this work, we define a new 2 -norm $\|., .\|^{K I}$ equipped with bounded linear functionals on a normed space $\left(X,\|.\| \|_{X}\right)$ and investigate its relationship with Gähler's [1] 2-norm \|.,.\| $\|^{G}$. We also derive a norm $\|.\|^{K I}$ on $X$ from this 2-norm $\|.,.\| \|^{K I}$ and explore its relation with the norm $\|.\|_{X}$ on $X$. We investigate under which conditions the equivalence of these 2 -norms can be satisfied. Corollary 2.5 tells us in particular that $\|\cdot\|_{X}$ is dominated by derived norm $\|.\|^{K I}$. As we see from Lemma 2.4 equivalence of two norms is obtained with respect to the linearly independent vectors $a, b \in X$ such that $\|a\|_{X}=\|b\|_{X}$ in normed space $\left(X,\|\cdot\|_{X}\right)$. We have similar difficulties in proving the strong equivalence between the two 2 -norms $\|.,.\| \|^{K I}$ and $\|., .\|^{G}$ on $X$. As a matter of fact, we do not know whether the two 2-norms are strongly equivalent or not unless we examine it in detail for the special cases of $X$. This ongoing problem will be continued to research for some special cases of $X$, for example for $l^{p}, L^{p}(1 \leq p<\infty)$ and $C[a, b]$. These all remain as open problems to explore for the readers.
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