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#### Abstract

In this paper, the transformation of a bi-harmonic curve on the total manifold into a bi-harmonic curve on the base manifold along a Riemannian map between Riemannian manifolds is examined. In this direction, first, necessary and sufficient conditions are obtained for the Riemannian map between two Riemannian manifolds for the curve on the total manifold to be bi-harmonic curve on the base manifold. Afterwards, the case that the total manifold is a complex space form was taken into consideration and the bi-harmonic character of the curve on the base manifold was examined by considering appropriate conditions on the basic notions of the Riemannian map.


## 1. Introduction

Many notions in differential geometry can be viewed as a map. Curves and surfaces, which are really the most basic notions in differential geometry, are also maps after all. For this reason, examining the behavior of curve, surface or submanifold along a map between two given manifolds will be very useful for us to understand both the geometry of the manifolds and the character of the map.

In this direction, the second author and his co-authors investigated the geometry of manifolds and the character of the map itself by examining the behavior of various curves (elastic curve, circle, helix) under a given immersion, submersion or Riemannian map, [16], [17], [18], [19].

Theory of harmonic maps has been applied into various fields in differential geometry. Harmonic maps $F:(M, g) \rightarrow\left(N, g_{N}\right)$ between Riemannian manifolds are the critical points of the energy $E(F)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{M}|d F|^{2} v_{g}$, and they are therefore the solutions of the corresponding Euler-Lagrange equation. This equation is giving by the vanishing of the tension field $\tau(F)=t r a c e \nabla d F$. On the other hand, Jiang [4] studied first and second variation formulas of the bienergy functional $E_{2}(F)$ whose critical points are called as biharmonic maps. There have been a rich literature on biharmonic maps like as harmonic maps. In [21], S. B. Wang studied the first variational formula of the tri-energy $E_{3}$. The critical points are called triharmonic maps. Notice that, every harmonic curve is a triharmonic curve. However, biharmonic curves are not necessary triharmonic curves and, vice versa, triharmonc curves do not need to be biharmonic, [9].

The authors of the present paper studied the behavior of biharmonic and triharmonic curves along a Riemann submersion between manifolds, [5], [6]. Using the behavior of the curve, they obtained results about the geometry of manifolds and the character of Riemann submersions.

[^0]In this paper, we study biharmonic curves along Riemannian maps between Riemannian manifolds and we study curves along Riemannian maps from complex space form onto Riemannian manifolds. We considered the curve as horizontal curve. If the curve is considered as a general curve, it seems quite complicated the control the resulting equation in this case. In 2, we present the basic information needed for this paper. In 3, we investigate necessary and sufficient conditions for the curves along Riemannian maps from Riemannian manifolds to be biharmonic. Then, we investigate necessary and sufficient conditions for the Frenet curves along Riemannian maps from Riemannian manifolds to be biharmonic. In 4, we investigate necessary and sufficient conditions for the curves along Riemannian maps from complex space forms to be biharmonic. Then, we investigate necessary and sufficient conditions for the Frenet curves along Riemannian maps from complex space forms to be biharmonic.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some basic notions and results which will be needed throughout the paper [1], [2], [3], [8], [10], [11], [12], [14], [15], [20], [21], [22], [23].

Let $F:\left(M^{m}, g_{M}\right) \longrightarrow\left(N^{n}, g_{N}\right)$ be a smooth map between Riemannian manifolds such that $0<$ $\operatorname{rank} F \leqslant \min \{m, n\}$, where $\operatorname{dim} M=m$ and $\operatorname{dim} N=n$. Then, the kernel space of $F_{*}$ by $\mathcal{V}_{p}=\operatorname{ker} F_{* p}$ at $p \in M$ and consider the orthogonal complementary space $\mathcal{H}_{p}=\left(k e r F_{* p}\right)^{\perp}$ to $\operatorname{ker} F_{* p}$. Then $T_{p} M$ of $M$ at $p$ has the following decomposition

$$
T_{p} M=\operatorname{ker} F_{* p} \oplus\left(k e r F_{* p}\right)^{\perp}=\mathcal{V}_{p} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{p}
$$

Since $\operatorname{rank} F \leqslant \min \{m, n\}$, always we have $\left(\text { range }_{* p}\right)^{\perp}$. In this way, tangent space $T_{F(p)} N$ of $N$ at $F(p) \in N$ has the following decomposition

$$
T_{F(p)} N=\operatorname{range} F_{* p} \oplus\left(r a n g e F_{* p}\right)^{\perp} .
$$

Now, a smooth map $F:\left(M^{m}, g_{M}\right) \longrightarrow\left(N^{n}, g_{N}\right)$ is called Riemannian map at $p_{1} \in M$ if the horizontal restriction $F_{* p_{1}}^{h}$ satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{M}(X, Y)=g_{N}\left(F_{*} X, F_{*} Y\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $X, Y \in \Gamma\left(\left(\operatorname{ker} F_{*}\right)^{\perp}\right)$. So that isometric immersions and Riemannian submersions are particular Riemannian map , respectively with $k e r F_{*}=\{0\}$ and $\left(\text { range }_{*}\right)^{\perp}=\{0\}$.
Let $F:\left(M, g_{M}\right) \rightarrow\left(N, g_{N}\right)$ be a Riemannian map between two Riemannian manifolds of dimensions $m$ and $n$ respectively. The second fundamental form of a map is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)(X, Y)=\stackrel{N}{\nabla^{F}}{ }_{X} F_{*} Y-F_{*}\left(\nabla_{X} Y\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any vector fields $X, Y$ on $M$, where $\nabla^{M}$ is the Levi-Civita cennection of $M$ and $\nabla^{F}$ is the pull-back of the connection $\stackrel{N}{\nabla}$ of $N$ to the induced vector bundle $F^{-1}(T N)$. It is well known that $\nabla F_{*}$ is symmetric. It is known from [13] that, second fundamental form $\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)(X, Y), \forall X, Y \in \Gamma\left(\left(k e r F_{*}\right)^{\perp}\right)$, of a Riemannian map has no components in range $F_{*}$. Then, we have

$$
\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)(X, Y) \in \Gamma\left(\left(\text { range } F_{*}\right)^{\perp}\right), \forall X, Y \in \Gamma\left(\left(k e r F_{*}\right)^{\perp}\right) .
$$

Let $F$ be a Riemannian map from a Riemannian manifold $\left(M, g_{M}\right)$ to a Riemannian manifold $\left(N^{n}, g_{N}\right)$. Then we define $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{A}_{E} F=\stackrel{\mathcal{H} \nabla_{\mathcal{H E}} \mathcal{V} F+\mathcal{V} \nabla_{\mathcal{H E}} \mathcal{H} F}{\mathcal{T}_{E} F=\mathcal{H} \nabla_{\mathcal{V E}} \mathcal{V} F+\mathcal{H} \nabla_{\mathcal{V E}} \mathcal{H} F} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\nabla$ is the Levi-Civita connection of $g_{M}$. We can see that these tensor fields are $\mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ Neill's tensor fields which were defined for Riemannian submersions. For any $E \in \Gamma(T M), \mathcal{T}_{E}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{E}$ are skew -symmetric operators on $\left(\Gamma(T M), g_{M}\right)$ reversing the horizontal and the vertical distributions.
On the other hand, from (3) and (4) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{M}{\nabla}_{X} Y=\mathcal{H}_{\nabla_{X}}^{M} Y+\mathcal{A}_{X} Y \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $X, Y \in \Gamma\left(\left(k e r F_{*}\right)^{\perp}\right)$ and $V, W \in \Gamma\left(k e r F_{*}\right)$, where $\hat{\nabla}_{V} W=\mathcal{V} \nabla_{V} W$.
We denote by $\stackrel{N}{\nabla}$ both the Levi-Civita connection of $\left(N^{n}, g_{N}\right)$ and its pull-back along $F$. We denote by $\left(\text { range } F_{*}\right)^{\perp}$ the subbundle of $F^{-1}(T N)$ with fiber $\left(F_{*}\left(T_{p 1} M\right)\right)^{\perp}$-orthogonal complement of $F_{*}\left(T_{p 1} M\right)$ for $g_{N}$ over $p_{1}$. For any vector field $X$ on $M$ and any section $V$ of $\left(r a n g e F_{*}\right)^{\perp}$, we define $\nabla_{X}^{F \perp} V$, which is the orthogonal projection of $\nabla_{X} V$ on $\left(\text { range }_{*}\right)^{\perp}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{N}{\nabla}_{X} V=-S_{V} F_{*} X+\nabla_{X}^{F \perp} V \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{V} F_{*} X$ is the tangential component of $\stackrel{N}{\nabla}_{X} V$. It is easy to see that, $S_{V} F_{*} X$ is bilinear in $V$ and $F_{*} X$ and $S_{V} F_{*} X$ at $p$ depends only on $V_{p}$ and $F_{* p} X_{p}$. Then, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{N}\left(S_{V} F_{*} X, F_{*} Y\right)=g_{N}\left(V,\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)(X, Y)\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $X, Y \in \Gamma\left(\left(k e r F_{*}\right)^{\perp}\right)$ and $V \in \Gamma\left(\left(\text { range }_{*}\right)^{\perp}\right)$. Since $\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)$ is symmetric, it follows that $S_{V}$ is a symmetric linear transformation of range $F_{*}$.
Let $F$ be a Riemannian map between Riemannian manifolds $\left(M, g_{M}\right)$ and $\left(N, g_{N}\right)$. Then, $F$ is an umbilical Riemannian map if and only if

$$
\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)(X, Y)=g_{M}(X, Y) H_{2}
$$

for $X, Y \in \Gamma\left(\left(\operatorname{ker} F_{*}\right)\right)^{\perp}$ and $H_{2}$ is vector field on $\left(\text { range } F_{*}\right)^{\perp}$.
By using (2) and (6), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& R^{N}\left(F_{*} X, F_{*} Y\right) F_{*} Z=F_{*}\left(R^{M}(X, Y) Z\right)-S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)(Y, Z)} F_{*} X+S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)(X, Z)} F_{*} Y \\
& +\left(\nabla_{X}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\right)(Y, Z)-\left(\nabla_{Y}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\right)(X, Z) \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

for $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma\left(\left(k e r F_{*}\right)^{\perp}\right)$, where $R^{M}$ and $R^{N}$ denote the curvature tensors of $\stackrel{M}{\nabla}$ and $\stackrel{N}{\nabla}$ which are metric connections on $M$ and $N$, respectively. Moreover $\left(\nabla_{X}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\right)(Y, Z)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{X}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\right)(Y, Z)=\nabla_{X}^{F \perp}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)(Y, Z)-\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(\nabla_{X} Y, Z\right)-\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(Y, \stackrel{M}{\nabla_{X}} Z\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known that, $F$ is a harmonic map if and only if the tension field $\tau(F)=\operatorname{trace}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)=0$, which is called the harmonic equation or the Euler-Lagrange equation.
A map $F:\left(M, g_{M}\right) \rightarrow\left(N, g_{N}\right)$ between Riemannian manifolds is a biharmonic map if the bitension field of $F$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{2}(F)=-\Delta_{F} \tau(F)+\operatorname{trace} R\left(\tau(F), F_{*}\right) F_{*} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

vanishes. The operator $\Delta_{F}$ is the rough Laplacian acting on $\Gamma\left(F^{*} T M\right)$ defined by

$$
\Delta_{F}:=-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\nabla_{e_{i}}^{F} \nabla_{e_{i}}^{F}-\nabla_{\nabla_{e_{i}}^{M} e_{i}}^{F}\right),
$$

where $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ is a local orthonormal frame field of $N$.

## 3. Biharmonic Curves along Riemannian Maps from Riemannian Manifolds

In this section, we study biharmonic curves along Riemannian maps from Riemannian manifolds. Then, we will investigate necessary and sufficient conditions for the curves along Riemannian maps from Riemannian manifolds to be biharmonic. We first note the following remarks. Let $\alpha: I \rightarrow M$ be a curve parametrized by arc length in an n-dimensional Riemannian manifold ( $M, g_{M}$ ). If there exists orthonormal vector fields $E_{1}, E_{2}, \cdots, E_{r}$ along $\alpha$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{1}= & \alpha^{\prime}=T \\
\nabla_{T} E_{1}= & \kappa_{1} E_{2} \\
\nabla_{T} E_{2}= & -\kappa_{1} E_{1}+\kappa_{2} E_{3}, \\
& \cdots  \tag{11}\\
\nabla_{T} E_{r}= & -\kappa_{r-1} E_{r-1} .
\end{align*}
$$

then $\alpha$ is called a Frenet curve of osculating order $r$, where $\kappa_{1}, \cdots, \kappa_{r-1}$ are positive functions on $I$ and $1 \leq r \leq n$.
A Frenet curve of osculating order 1 is a geodesic; a Frenet curve of osculating order 2 is called a circle if $\kappa_{1}$ is a nonzero positive constant; a Frenet curve of osculating order $r \geq 3$ is called a helix of order r if $\kappa_{1}, \cdots \cdots \kappa_{r-1}$ are nonzero positive constants; a helix of order 3 is shortly called a helix [11], [23].

We recall the biharmonic equation for curves. Let $\alpha: I \rightarrow M$ be a curve defined on an open interval $I$ and parametrized by arc-length. Then the bitension field is given by [11]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{2}(\alpha)=\nabla_{T}^{3} T-R\left(T, \nabla_{T} T\right) T \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T=\alpha^{\prime}$.
Let $\left(M, g_{M}\right)$ be a Riemannian manifold and $\alpha: I \rightarrow M$ be a curve defined on an open interval $I$ and parametrized by arc-length. Then, using Frenet equations, the bitension field of $\alpha$ becomes [4]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tau_{2}(\alpha)=-3 \kappa_{1} \kappa_{1}^{\prime} E_{1}+\left(\kappa_{1}^{\prime \prime}-\kappa_{1}^{3}-\kappa_{1} \kappa_{2}^{2}+c \kappa_{1}\right) E_{2}+\left(2 \kappa_{1}^{\prime} \kappa_{2}+\kappa_{1} \kappa_{2}^{\prime}\right) E_{3} \\
& +\kappa_{1} \kappa_{2} \kappa_{3} E_{4} . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

We first have the following result.
Theorem 3.1. Let $F:\left(M\left(c_{1}\right), g_{M}\right) \rightarrow\left(N\left(c_{2}\right), g_{N}\right)$ be a Riemannian map from a real space form $\left(M\left(c_{1}\right), g_{M}\right)$ to a real space form $\left(N\left(c_{2}\right), g_{N}\right)$. Let $\alpha: I \rightarrow\left(M\left(c_{1}\right), g_{M}\right)$ be a biharmonic horizontal curve. Then $F \circ \alpha: \gamma: I \rightarrow\left(N\left(c_{2}\right), g_{N}\right)$ is a biharmonic curve if and only if

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.-\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h},{ }^{*} F_{*} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{1 h}\right)\right)-S_{\nabla_{E_{1 h}}^{F \perp}}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right) \\
& +2 \kappa_{*}^{\prime}\left(\nabla E_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{2 h}\right)+\left(c_{2}-\kappa_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)+\kappa_{1} \kappa_{2}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{3 h}\right) \\
& -\kappa_{1}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, \mathcal{A}_{E_{1 h}} E_{2 v}\right)-\kappa_{1} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{2 h}=0,  \tag{14}\\
& -F_{*} \nabla_{E_{1 h}}^{*} F_{*} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{1 h}+\nabla_{E_{1 h}}^{F \perp} \nabla_{E_{1 h}}^{F \perp}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right) \\
& -2 \kappa_{1}^{\prime} F_{*} \mathcal{A}_{E_{1 h}} E_{2 v}-\kappa_{1} \kappa_{2} F_{*} \mathcal{A}_{E_{1 h}} E_{3 v}-\kappa_{1} F_{*} \mathcal{H} \nabla_{E_{1 h}} \mathcal{A}_{E_{1 h}} E_{2 v} \\
& +\kappa_{1} \nabla_{E_{1 h}}^{F \perp}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{2 h}\right)-\kappa_{1}\left(\nabla_{E_{1 h}}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\right)\left(E_{2 h}, E_{1 h}\right) \\
& +\kappa_{1}\left(\nabla_{E_{2 h}}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)=0 . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Let $F:\left(M\left(c_{1}\right), g_{M}\right) \rightarrow\left(N\left(c_{2}\right), g_{N}\right)$ be a Riemannian map from a real space form $\left(M\left(c_{1}\right), g_{M}\right)$ to a real space form $\left(N\left(c_{2}\right), g_{N}\right)$. Let $\alpha: I \rightarrow\left(M\left(c_{1}\right), g_{M}\right)$ be a biharmonic horizontal curve. Then, we have the following equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{\prime}=T=E_{1 h}, \quad \gamma^{\prime}=F_{*} T=\tilde{T} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{1 h}$ is horizontal part of $T=E_{1}$. Note that $\gamma^{\prime}=\tilde{T}$ is the unit tangent vector field along the curve. Using (2) and (11) we get,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{N}{\nabla}_{\tilde{T}} \tilde{T}=\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)+\kappa_{1} F_{*} E_{2 h} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \stackrel{N}{ }^{2} \tilde{T} \tilde{T}=-S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{1 h}+\nabla_{E_{1 h}}^{F \perp}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)+\kappa_{1}^{\prime} F_{*} E_{2 h} \\
& +\kappa_{1}\left(\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{2 h}\right)+F_{*} \nabla_{E_{1 h}} E_{2 h}\right) . \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

From (5) and Frenet formulas, we have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{M}{\mathcal{H}} \nabla_{E_{1 h}} E_{2 h}=-\kappa_{1} E_{1 h}+\kappa_{2} E_{3 h}-\mathcal{A}_{E_{1 h}} E_{2 v} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (19) in (18), we derive,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \stackrel{N}{2}_{\tilde{T}}^{\tilde{T}} \tilde{T}=-S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{1 h}+\nabla_{E_{1 h}}^{F \perp}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)+\kappa_{1}^{\prime} F_{*} E_{2 h} \\
& +\kappa_{1}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{2 h}\right)-\kappa_{1}^{2} F_{*} E_{1 h}+\kappa_{1} \kappa_{2} F_{*} E_{3 h}-\kappa_{1} F_{*} \mathcal{A}_{E_{1 h}} E_{2 v} . \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking the covariant dervivative of (20), we get,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \stackrel{N}{ }_{\nabla_{\tilde{T}}}^{\tilde{T}} \tilde{T}=-\stackrel{N}{\nabla}_{F_{*} E_{1 h}} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{1 h}+\stackrel{N}{\nabla}_{F_{*} E_{1 h}} \nabla_{E_{1 h}}^{F \perp}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right) \\
& +\stackrel{N}{\nabla}_{F_{*} E_{1 h}} \kappa_{1}^{\prime} F_{*} E_{2 h}+\stackrel{N}{\nabla}_{F_{*} E_{1 h}} \kappa_{1}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{2 h}\right)-\stackrel{N}{\nabla}_{F_{*} E_{1 h}} \kappa_{1}^{2} F_{*} E_{1 h} \\
& +\stackrel{N}{\nabla}_{F_{*} E_{1 h} \kappa_{1} \kappa_{2} F_{*} E_{3 h}-\stackrel{N}{\nabla}_{F_{*} E_{1 h}} \kappa_{1} F_{*} \mathcal{A}_{E_{1 h}} E_{2 v} .} . \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{1 h} \in \Gamma\left(F_{*}\left(k e r F_{*}\right)^{\perp}\right)$, we can write $F_{*} X=S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{1 h}$ for $X \in \Gamma\left(\left(k e r F_{*}\right)^{\perp}\right)$ where $X={ }^{*} F_{*} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right.} F_{*} E_{1 h}$.
Then using (2), we have,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.{\stackrel{N}{F_{*} E_{1 h}}} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{1 h}=\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h},{ }^{*} F_{*} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{1 h}\right)\right) \\
& +F_{*} \nabla_{E_{1 h}} F_{*} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{1 h} . \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

Then we have equation (23),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}^{M}{ }_{E_{1 h}} E_{3 h}=-\kappa_{2} E_{2 h}+\kappa_{3} E_{4 h}-\mathcal{A}_{E_{1 h}} E_{3 v} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Due (17), (19) and Frenet formulas, using (23), we arrive at

$$
\begin{align*}
& N^{3} \tilde{T} \tilde{T}=-3 \kappa_{1} \kappa_{1}^{\prime} F_{*} E_{1 h}+\left(\kappa_{1}^{\prime \prime}-\kappa_{1}^{3}-\kappa_{1} \kappa_{2}^{2}\right) F_{*} E_{2 h}+\left(2 \kappa_{1}^{\prime} \kappa_{2}+\kappa_{1} \kappa_{2}^{\prime}\right) F_{*} E_{3 h} \\
& +\kappa_{1} \kappa_{2} \kappa_{3} F_{*} E_{4 h}-\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}{ }^{*} F_{*} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{1 h}\right) \\
& -F_{*} M_{E_{1 h}} F_{*} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{1 h}-S_{\nabla_{E_{1 h}}^{F \perp}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right.} F_{*} E_{1 h} \\
& +\nabla_{E_{1 h}}^{F \perp} \nabla_{E_{1 h}}^{F \perp}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)+2 \kappa_{1}^{\prime}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{2 h}\right)-2 \kappa_{1}^{\prime} F_{*} \mathcal{A}_{E_{1 h}} E_{2 v} \\
& -\kappa_{1} \kappa_{2} F_{*} \mathcal{A}_{E_{1 h}} E_{3 v}-\kappa_{1} F_{*} \mathcal{H} \nabla_{E_{1 h}} \mathcal{A}_{E_{1 h}} E_{2 v}-\kappa_{1} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{2 h}\right.} F_{*} E_{1 h} \\
& +\kappa_{1} \nabla_{E_{1 h}}^{F \perp}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{2 h}\right)-\kappa_{1}^{2}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)+\kappa_{1} \kappa_{2}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{3 h}\right) \\
& -\kappa_{1}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, \mathcal{A}_{E_{1 h}} E_{2 v}\right) . \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

It is easy to see that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{N}\left(\tilde{T}, \nabla_{\tilde{T}}^{N} \tilde{T}\right) \tilde{T}=R^{N}\left(F_{*} E_{1 h}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)+\kappa_{1} F_{*} E_{2 h}\right) F_{*} E_{1 h} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the vertical and horizontal parts of $E_{2}$, we find,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{M}\left(T, \stackrel{M}{\nabla}_{T}^{M} T\right) T=R^{M}\left(E_{1 h}, \kappa_{1} E_{2 v}\right) E_{1 h}+R^{M}\left(E_{1 h}, \kappa_{1} E_{2 h}\right) E_{1 h} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{*}\left(R^{M}\left(T, \stackrel{M}{\nabla_{T}} T\right) T\right)=F_{*}\left(R^{M}\left(E_{1 h}, \kappa_{1} E_{2 v}\right) E_{1 h}\right)+F_{*}\left(R^{M}\left(E_{1 h}, \kappa_{1} E_{2 h}\right) E_{1 h}\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $F$ is Riemannian map, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& R^{N}\left(F_{*} E_{1 h}, F_{*} E_{2 h}\right) F_{*} E_{1 h}=F_{*}\left(R^{M}\left(E_{1 h}, E_{2}\right) E_{1 h}\right)-F_{*}\left(R^{M}\left(E_{1 h}, E_{2 v}\right) E_{1 h}\right) \\
& -S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{2 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{1 h}+S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{2 h}+\left(\nabla_{E_{1 h}}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\right)\left(E_{2 h}, E_{1 h}\right) \\
& -\left(\nabla_{E_{2 h}}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right) \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, since $M$ is a space form, we obtain,

$$
\begin{align*}
& R^{N}\left(\tilde{T}, \nabla_{\tilde{T}} \tilde{T}\right) \tilde{T} \\
& =R^{N}\left(F_{*} E_{1 h}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)\right) F_{*} E_{1 h}+R^{N}\left(F_{*} E_{1 h}, \kappa_{1} F_{*} E_{2 h}\right) F_{*} E_{1 h}  \tag{29}\\
& =-c_{2}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)-c_{1} \kappa_{1} F_{*} E_{2 h}-\kappa_{1} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{2 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{1 h} \\
& +\kappa_{1} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{2 h}+\kappa_{1}\left(\nabla_{E_{1 h}}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\right)\left(E_{2 h}, E_{1 h}\right) \\
& -\kappa_{1}\left(\nabla_{E_{2 h}}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right) \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

Putting (24) and (30) in (12), we have,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tau_{2}(\gamma)=-3 \kappa_{1} \kappa_{1}^{\prime} F_{*} E_{1 h}+\left(\kappa_{1}^{\prime \prime}-\kappa_{1}^{3}-\kappa_{1} \kappa_{2}^{2}+c_{1} \kappa_{1}\right) F_{*} E_{2 h}+\left(2 \kappa_{1}^{\prime} \kappa_{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\kappa_{1} \kappa_{2}^{\prime}\right) F_{*} E_{3 h}+\kappa_{1} \kappa_{2} \kappa_{3} F_{*} E_{4 h}-\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}{ }^{*} F_{*} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{1 h}\right) \\
& -F_{*} M_{E_{1 h}^{*}} F_{*} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{1 h}-S_{\nabla_{E_{1 h}}^{F \perp}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{1 h} \\
& +\nabla_{E_{1 h}}^{F \perp} \nabla_{E_{1 h}}^{F \perp}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)+2 \kappa_{1}^{\prime}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{2 h}\right)-2 \kappa_{1}^{\prime} F_{*} \mathcal{A}_{E_{1 h}} E_{2 v} \\
& -\kappa_{1} \kappa_{2} F_{*} \mathcal{A}_{E_{1 h}} E_{3 v}-\kappa_{1} F_{*} \mathcal{H} \nabla_{E_{1 h}} \mathcal{A}_{E_{1 h}} E_{2 v}+\kappa_{1} \nabla_{E_{1 h}}^{F \perp}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{2 h}\right) \\
& +\left(c_{2}-\kappa_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)+\kappa_{1} \kappa_{2}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{3 h}\right) \\
& -\kappa_{1}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, \mathcal{A}_{E_{1 h}} E_{2 v}\right)+\kappa_{1} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{2 h} \\
& +\kappa_{1}\left(\nabla_{E_{1 h}}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\right)\left(E_{2 h}, E_{1 h}\right)-\kappa_{1}\left(\nabla_{E_{2 h}}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right) . \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\tau_{2}(\alpha)=0$, we can write $F_{*} \tau_{2}(\alpha)=0$. Then, using this equation in $\tau_{2}(\gamma)$, we get,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tau_{2}(\gamma)=-\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}{ }^{*} F_{*} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{1 h}\right)-F_{*} \nabla_{E_{1 h}}^{*} F_{*} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{1 h} \\
& -S_{\nabla_{E_{1 h}}^{F \perp}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{1 h}+\nabla_{E_{1 h}}^{F \perp} \nabla_{E_{1 h}}^{F \perp}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)+2 \kappa_{1}^{\prime}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{2 h}\right) \\
& -2 \kappa_{1}^{\prime} F_{*} \mathcal{A}_{E_{1 h}} E_{2 v}-\kappa_{1} \kappa_{2} F_{*} \mathcal{A}_{E_{1 h}} E_{3 v}-\kappa_{1} F_{*} \mathcal{H} \nabla_{E_{1 h}} \mathcal{A}_{E_{1 h}} E_{2 v}+\kappa_{1} \nabla_{E_{1 h}}^{F \perp}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{2 h}\right) \\
& +\left(c_{2}-\kappa_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)+\kappa_{1} \kappa_{2}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{3 h}\right)-\kappa_{1}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, \mathcal{A}_{E_{1 h}} E_{2 v}\right) \\
& +\kappa_{1} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{2 h}+\kappa_{1}\left(\nabla_{E_{1 h}}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\right)\left(E_{2 h}, E_{1 h}\right) \\
& -\kappa_{1}\left(\nabla_{E_{2 h}}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right) . \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

Then taking the $F_{*}\left(\left(k e r F_{*}\right)^{\perp}\right)=$ range $F_{*}$ and $\left(\text { range } F_{*}\right)^{\perp}$ parts, we have (14) and (15). Thus $F \circ \alpha: \gamma: I \rightarrow\left(N, g_{N}\right)$ is a biharmonic curve if and only if (14) and (15) are satisfied.

Theorem 3.2. Let $F:\left(M\left(c_{1}\right), g_{M}\right) \rightarrow\left(N\left(c_{2}\right), g_{N}\right)$ be an umbilical Riemannian map from a real space form $\left(M\left(c_{1}\right), g_{M}\right)$ to a real space form $\left(N\left(c_{2}\right), g_{N}\right)$. Let $\alpha: I \rightarrow\left(M\left(c_{1}\right), g_{M}\right)$ be a biharmonic horizontal curve and horizontal vector field $\mathcal{A}$ be a parallel. Then $F \circ \alpha: \gamma: I \rightarrow\left(N\left(c_{2}\right), g_{N}\right)$ is a biharmonic curve if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\left\|H_{2}\right\|^{2}-S_{\nabla_{E_{1 h}}^{F \perp} H_{2}} F_{*} E_{1 h}+\left(c_{2}-\kappa_{1}\right) H_{2}-\kappa_{1} S_{H_{2}} F_{*} E_{2 h}=0, \\
& -F_{*}^{M^{*}} \nabla_{E_{1 h}} F_{*} S_{H_{2}} F_{*} E_{1 h}+\nabla_{E_{1 h}}^{F \perp} \nabla_{E_{1 h}}^{F \perp} H_{2}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. The assertion follows from Theorem 3.1.
In particular cases, we have the following results.
Theorem 3.3. Let $F:\left(M\left(c_{1}\right), g_{M}\right) \rightarrow\left(N\left(c_{2}\right), g_{N}\right)$ be a Riemannian map from a real space form $\left(M\left(c_{1}\right), g_{M}\right)$ to a real space form $\left(N\left(c_{2}\right), g_{N}\right)$. Let $\alpha: I \rightarrow\left(M\left(c_{1}\right), g_{M}\right)$ be a biharmonic horizontal curve and $\kappa_{1}=$ constant $\neq 0$ and horizontal vector field $\mathcal{A}$ be a parallel. Then $F \circ \alpha: \gamma: I \rightarrow\left(N\left(c_{2}\right), g_{N}\right)$ is a biharmonic curve if and only if

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h},{ }^{*} F_{*}\left(S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)\right.} F_{*} E_{1 h}\right)\right)-S_{\nabla_{E_{1 h}}^{\mathrm{F} \mathrm{\perp}}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{1 h} \\
& -\kappa_{1} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{2 h}+\left(c_{2}-\kappa_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right) \\
& +\kappa_{1} \kappa_{2}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{3 h}\right)=0,  \tag{33}\\
& -F_{*} \mathcal{H} \nabla_{E_{1 h}}^{M^{*}} F_{*}\left(S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right.} F_{*} E_{1 h}\right)+\nabla_{E_{1 h}}^{F \perp} \nabla_{E_{1 h}}^{F \perp}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right) \\
& +\kappa_{1} \nabla_{E_{1 h}}^{F \perp}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{2 h}\right)-\kappa_{1}\left(\nabla_{E_{1 h}}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\right)\left(E_{2 h}, E_{1 h}\right) \\
& +\kappa_{1}\left(\nabla_{E_{2 h}}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)=0 . \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The assertion follows from Theorem 3.1.
Theorem 3.4. Let $F:\left(M\left(c_{1}\right), g_{M}\right) \rightarrow\left(N, g_{N}\right)$ be a Riemannian map from a real space form $\left(M\left(c_{1}\right), g_{M}\right)$ to a Riemannian manifold $\left(N, g_{N}\right)$. Let $\alpha: I \rightarrow\left(M\left(c_{1}\right), g_{M}\right)$ be a horizontal Frenet curve. Then Frenet curve $F \circ \alpha: \gamma:$ $I \rightarrow\left(N, g_{N}\right)$ is a biharmonic curve if and only if

$$
\begin{align*}
& -3 \tilde{\kappa_{1}} \tilde{\kappa_{1}^{\prime}} F_{*} E_{1 h}+\left(\tilde{\kappa}_{1}^{\prime \prime}-{\tilde{\kappa_{1}}}^{3}-\tilde{\kappa_{1}} \tilde{\kappa_{2}^{2}}+c_{1} \tilde{\kappa_{1}}\right) F_{*} E_{2 h} \\
& +\tilde{\kappa_{1}} \tilde{\kappa_{2}} \tilde{k_{3}} F_{*} E_{4 h}-\tilde{\kappa_{1}} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{2 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{1 h}+\tilde{\kappa_{1}} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{2 h}=0,  \tag{35}\\
& \tilde{\kappa_{1}}\left(\nabla_{E_{1 h}}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\right)\left(E_{2 h}, E_{1 h}\right)-\tilde{\kappa_{1}}\left(\nabla_{E_{2 h}}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)=0 \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tilde{\kappa_{1}}, \cdots, \kappa_{r-1}$ are positive functions of $\gamma$ on $I$.
Proof. Let $F:\left(M\left(c_{1}\right), g_{M}\right) \rightarrow\left(N, g_{N}\right)$ be a Riemannian map from a real space form $\left(M\left(c_{1}\right), g_{M}\right)$ to a Riemannian manifold $\left(N, g_{N}\right)$. Since $\alpha: I \rightarrow\left(M\left(c_{1}\right), g_{M}\right)$ is a horizontal Frenet curve, we have,

$$
\alpha^{\prime}=T=E_{1 h}, \quad \gamma^{\prime}=F_{*} T=\tilde{T},
$$

where $E_{1 h}$ is horizontal part of $T=E_{1}$. Note that $\gamma^{\prime}=\tilde{T}$ is the unit tangent vector field along the curve. Then we have Frenet formulas of $\gamma$ as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
\stackrel{N}{\nabla}_{\tilde{T}} \tilde{T}= & \tilde{\kappa}_{1} F_{*} E_{2 h} \\
\stackrel{N}{\nabla}_{\tilde{T}} F_{*} E_{2 h}= & -\tilde{\kappa}_{1} F_{*} E_{1 h}+\tilde{\kappa_{2}} F_{*} E_{3 h} \\
& \cdots  \tag{37}\\
N_{\tilde{T}} F_{*} E_{r h}= & -\tilde{\kappa}_{r-1} F_{*} E_{(r-1) h} .
\end{align*}
$$

We calculate $\stackrel{N}{\nabla}_{\tilde{T}} \tilde{T}$ as follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{N}{\nabla}_{\tilde{T}} \tilde{T}=\stackrel{N}{\nabla}_{F_{*} E_{1 h}} F_{*} E_{1 h}=\tilde{\kappa_{1}} F_{*} E_{2 h} . \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, using Frenet formulas of $\gamma$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& N^{2} \tilde{T} \tilde{T}={\tilde{\kappa_{1}}}^{\prime} F_{*} E_{2 h}+{\tilde{\kappa_{1}}}_{1}{\stackrel{N}{\nabla_{*}} E_{1 h} F_{*} E_{2 h}}_{N^{2} \tilde{T}}^{\nabla_{\tilde{T}}}=-\tilde{\kappa_{1}^{2}}{ }^{2} F_{*} E_{1 h}+{\tilde{\kappa_{1}}}^{\prime} F_{*} E_{2 h}+\tilde{\kappa_{1}} \tilde{\kappa_{2}} F_{*} E_{3 h} .
\end{align*}
$$

We calculate, $\stackrel{N^{3}}{\nabla_{\tilde{T}}} \tilde{T}$ as follows.

$$
\begin{align*}
& N^{3} \tilde{T} \tilde{T}=-3 \tilde{\kappa_{1}}{\tilde{k_{1}}}^{\prime} F_{*} E_{1 h}+\left(\tilde{\kappa}_{1}^{\prime \prime}-\tilde{\kappa_{1}}{ }^{3}-\tilde{\kappa_{1}}{\tilde{\kappa_{2}}}^{2}\right) F_{*} E_{2 h}+\left(2{\tilde{\kappa_{1}}}^{\prime} \tilde{\kappa_{2}}\right. \\
& \left.+\tilde{\kappa_{1}} \tilde{\kappa_{2}^{\prime}}\right) F_{*} E_{3 h}+\tilde{\kappa_{1}} \tilde{\kappa_{2}} \tilde{\kappa_{3}} F_{*} E_{4 h} . \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, using the Frenet formulas, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{N}\left(\tilde{T}, \stackrel{N}{\nabla}_{\tilde{T}} \tilde{T}\right) \tilde{T}=R^{N}\left(F_{*} E_{1 h}, \tilde{\kappa_{1}} F_{*} E_{2 h}\right) F_{*} E_{1 h}=\tilde{\kappa_{1}} R^{N}\left(F_{*} E_{1 h}, F_{*} E_{2 h}\right) F_{*} E_{1 h} . \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, taking the vertical and horizontal parts of $E_{2}$, we find,

$$
\begin{align*}
& R^{M}\left(T, \stackrel{M}{\nabla_{T}} T\right) T=R^{M}\left(E_{1 h}, \kappa_{1} E_{2}\right) E_{1 h} \\
& =R^{M}\left(E_{1 h}, \kappa_{1} E_{2 v}\right) E_{1 h}+R^{M}\left(E_{1 h}, \kappa_{1} E_{2 h}\right) E_{1 h} \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, we applied to $F_{*}$ both sides in (42), we obtain,

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{*}\left(R^{M}\left(T, \stackrel{M}{\nabla}_{T} T\right) T\right) \\
& =F_{*}\left(R^{M}\left(E_{1 h}, \kappa_{1} E_{2 v}\right) E_{1 h}\right)+F_{*}\left(R^{M}\left(E_{1 h}, \kappa_{1} E_{2 h}\right) E_{1 h}\right) \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $F$ is a Riemannian map, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \kappa_{1} R^{N}\left(F_{*} E_{1 h}, F_{*} E_{2 h}\right) F_{*} E_{1 h}=\kappa_{1} F_{*}\left(R^{M}\left(E_{1 h}, E_{2}\right) E_{1 h}\right) \\
& -\kappa_{1} F_{*}\left(R^{M}\left(E_{1 h}, E_{2 v}\right) E_{1 h}\right)-\kappa_{1} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{2 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{1 h}+\kappa_{1} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{2 h} \\
& +\kappa_{1}\left(\nabla_{E_{1 h}}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\right)\left(E_{2 h}, E_{1 h}\right)-\kappa_{1}\left(\nabla_{E_{2 h}}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right) . \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

Using, Riemannian curvature tensor of $M$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \kappa_{1} R^{N}\left(F_{*} E_{1 h}, F_{*} E_{2 h}\right) F_{*} E_{1 h}=-c_{1} \kappa_{1} F_{*} E_{2 h}-\kappa_{1} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{2 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{1 h} \\
& +\kappa_{1} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{2 h}+\kappa_{1}\left(\nabla_{E_{1 h}}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\right)\left(E_{2 h}, E_{1 h}\right) \\
& -\kappa_{1}\left(\nabla_{E_{2 h}}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right) \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, using (35) into (41), we have,

$$
\begin{align*}
& R^{N}\left(\tilde{T}, \nabla_{\tilde{T}}^{N} \tilde{T}\right) \tilde{T}=-c_{1} \tilde{\kappa_{1}} F_{*} E_{2 h}-\tilde{\kappa_{1}} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{2 h}, E_{1 h}\right.} F_{*} E_{1 h} \\
& +\tilde{\kappa_{1}} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right.} F_{*} E_{2 h}+\tilde{\kappa_{1}}\left(\nabla_{E_{1 h}}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\right)\left(E_{2 h}, E_{1 h}\right) \\
& -\tilde{\kappa_{1}}\left(\nabla_{E_{2 h}}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right) . \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus putting (40) and (46) in (12), we have,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tau_{2}(\gamma)=-3 \tilde{\kappa_{1}}{\tilde{\kappa_{1}}}^{\prime} F_{*} E_{1 h}+\left(\tilde{\kappa}_{1}^{\prime \prime}-\tilde{\kappa_{1}}{ }^{3}-\tilde{\kappa_{1}} \tilde{\tilde{2}_{2}^{2}}+c_{1} \tilde{\kappa_{1}}\right) F_{*} E_{2 h} \\
& +\left(2 \tilde{\kappa_{1}^{\prime}} \tilde{\kappa}_{2}+\tilde{\kappa_{1}} \tilde{\kappa_{2}^{\prime}}\right) F_{*} E_{3 h}+\tilde{\kappa_{1}} \tilde{\kappa_{2}} \tilde{\kappa_{3}} F_{*} E_{4 h}-\tilde{\kappa_{1}} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{2 h}, E_{1 h}\right.} F_{*} E_{1 h} \\
& +\tilde{\kappa_{1}} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)}^{F_{*} E_{2 h}+\tilde{\kappa_{1}}\left(\nabla_{E_{1 h}}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\right)\left(E_{2 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} \\
& -\tilde{\kappa_{1}}\left(\nabla_{E_{2 h}}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right) . \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

Then taking the $F_{*}\left(\left(k e r F_{*}\right)^{\perp}\right)=r a n g e F_{*}$ and $\left(\text { range } F_{*}\right)^{\perp}$ parts, we have (33) and (34). Thus $F \circ \alpha: \gamma: I \rightarrow\left(N, g_{N}\right)$ is a biharmonic curve if and only if (33) and (34) are satisfied.
Corollary 3.5. Let $F:\left(M\left(c_{1}\right), g_{M}\right) \rightarrow\left(N, g_{N}\right)$ be an umbilical Riemannian map from a real space form $\left(M\left(c_{1}\right), g_{M}\right)$ to a Riemannian manifold $\left(N, g_{N}\right)$. Let $\alpha: I \rightarrow\left(M\left(c_{1}\right), g_{M}\right)$ be a horizontal Frenet curve. Then Frenet curve $F \circ \alpha: \gamma: I \rightarrow\left(N, g_{N}\right)$ is a biharmonic curve such that $\tilde{\kappa_{1}}=$ constant $\neq 0$ if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\tilde{\kappa_{1}}}^{2}+{\tilde{\kappa_{2}}}^{2}=\left\|H_{2}\right\|^{2}+c_{1} \\
& \tilde{\tilde{k}_{2}}=\text { constant } \\
& \tilde{\kappa_{2}} \tilde{\kappa_{3}}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. The assertion follows from Theorem 3.4.

## 4. Biharmonic Curves along Riemannian Maps from Complex Space Forms

In this section, we study biharmonic curves along Riemannian maps from complex space forms. Then, we will investigate necessary and sufficient conditions for the curves along Riemannian maps from complex space forms to be biharmonic. We first recall the complex space form and related notions. An almost complex manifold $(M, J)$ endowed with a Riemannian metric $g_{M}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{M}(J X, J Y)=g_{M}(X, Y) \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $M^{m}(4 c)$ be a complex space form of holomorphic sectional curvature $4 c$ [22]. Let us denote by $J$ the complex structure and by $g_{M}$ the Riemannian metric on $M^{m}(4 c)$. Then its curvature operator is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& R^{M^{m}(4 c)}(X, Y) Z=c\left\{g_{M}(Y, Z) X-g_{M}(X, Z) Y+g_{M}(J Y, Z) J X\right. \\
& \left.-g_{M}(J X, Z) J Y+2 g_{M}(X, J Y) J Z\right\} \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

for $X, Y, Z \in \chi(M)$ [11]. Following S. Maeda and Y. Ohnita [7], we define the complex torsions of the curve $\alpha$ by $\tau_{i j}=g\left(E_{i}, J E_{j}\right), 1 \leq i<j \leq r$. A helix of order $r$ is called a holomorphic helix of order $r$ if all the complex torsions are constant.

Let $\left(M, g_{M}\right)$ be a complex space form and $\alpha: I \rightarrow M$ be a curve defined on an open interval $I$ and parametrized by arc-length. Then, using Frenet equations, the bitension field of $\alpha$ becomes [1], [12]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tau_{2}(\alpha)=-3 \kappa_{1} \kappa_{1}^{\prime} E_{1}+\left(\kappa_{1}^{\prime \prime}-\kappa_{1}^{3}-\kappa_{1} \kappa_{2}^{2}+c \kappa_{1}\right) E_{2}+\left(2 \kappa_{1}^{\prime} \kappa_{2}+\kappa_{1} \kappa_{2}^{\prime}\right) E_{3} \\
& +\kappa_{1} \kappa_{2} \kappa_{3} E_{4}-3 c \kappa_{1} \tau_{12} J E_{1} . \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

For a Riemannian map from a complex space form to a real space form, we have the following result.
Theorem 4.1. Let $F:\left(M\left(4 c_{1}\right), g_{M}\right) \rightarrow\left(N\left(c_{2}\right), g_{N}\right)$ be a Riemannian map from a complex space form $\left(M\left(4 c_{1}\right), g_{M}\right)$ to a real space form $\left(N\left(c_{2}\right), g_{N}\right)$. Let $\alpha: I \rightarrow\left(M\left(4 c_{1}\right), g_{M}\right)$ be a biharmonic horizontal curve. Then $F \circ \alpha: \gamma: I \rightarrow$ $\left(N\left(c_{2}\right), g_{N}\right)$ is a biharmonic curve if and only if

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h},{ }^{*} F_{*} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{1 h}\right)-S_{\nabla_{E_{1 h}}^{F_{\perp}}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{1 h} \\
& +2 \kappa_{1}^{\prime}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{2 h}\right)-\kappa_{1} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{2 h} \\
& +\left(c_{2}-\kappa_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)+\kappa_{1} \kappa_{2}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{3 h}\right) \\
& -\kappa_{1}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, \mathcal{A}_{E_{1 h}} E_{2 v}\right)=0,  \tag{51}\\
& 3 c_{1} \kappa_{1} \tau_{12 m i x} F_{*} J E_{1 h}-F_{*} \nabla_{E_{1 h}} F_{*} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{1 h} \\
& +\nabla_{E_{1 h}}^{F \perp} \nabla_{E_{1 h}}^{F \perp}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)-2 \kappa_{1}^{\prime} F_{*} \mathcal{A}_{E_{1 h}} E_{2 v}-\kappa_{1} \kappa_{2} F_{*} \mathcal{A}_{E_{1 h}} E_{3 v} \\
& -\kappa_{1} F_{*} \mathcal{H} \nabla_{E_{1 h}} \mathcal{A}_{E_{1 h}} E_{2 v}+\kappa_{1} \nabla_{E_{1 h}}^{F \perp}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{2 h}\right) \\
& -\kappa_{1}\left(\nabla_{E_{1 h}}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\right)\left(E_{2 h}, E_{1 h}\right)+\kappa_{1}\left(\nabla_{E_{2 h}}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)=0 . \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tau_{12 \text { mix }}=g_{M}\left(E_{1 h}, J E_{2 v}\right)$.

Proof. Let $F:\left(M(4 c), g_{M}\right) \rightarrow\left(N, g_{N}\right)$ be a Riemannian map from a complex space form $\left(M(4 c), g_{M}\right)$ to a real space form $\left(N\left(c_{2}\right), g_{N}\right)$. Let $\alpha: I \rightarrow\left(M(4 c), g_{M}\right)$ be a biharmonic horizontal curve. Then, we have the following equation.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tau_{2}(\alpha)=-3 \kappa_{1} \kappa_{1}^{\prime} E_{1}+\left(\kappa_{1}^{\prime \prime}-\kappa_{1}^{3}-\kappa_{1} \kappa_{2}^{2}+c \kappa_{1}\right) E_{2}+\left(2 \kappa_{1}^{\prime} \kappa_{2}+\kappa_{1} \kappa_{2}^{\prime}\right) E_{3} \\
& +\kappa_{1} \kappa_{2} \kappa_{3} E_{4}-3 c \kappa_{1} \tau_{12} J E_{1} . \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\alpha$ is horizontal curve, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{\prime}=T=E_{1 h}, \quad \gamma^{\prime}=F_{*} T=\tilde{T}, \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{1 h}$ is horizontal part of $T=E_{1}$. Note that $\gamma^{\prime}=\tilde{T}$ is the unit tangent vector field along the curve. Then we have the equation (24). On the other hand, using (12), we obtain,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{N}\left(\tilde{T}, \stackrel{N}{\nabla_{\tilde{T}}} \tilde{T}\right) \tilde{T}=R^{N}\left(F_{*} E_{1 h},\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)\right) F_{*} E_{1 h}+R^{N}\left(F_{*} E_{1 h}, \kappa_{1} F_{*} E_{2 h}\right) F_{*} E_{1 h} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{M}\left(T, \stackrel{M}{\nabla_{T}} T\right) T=R^{M}\left(T, \kappa_{1} E_{2}\right) T \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. Now, taking the vertical and horizontal parts of $E_{2}$ in (56), we find,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{M}\left(T, \stackrel{M}{\nabla_{T}} T\right) T=R^{M}\left(E_{1 h}, \kappa_{1} E_{2 v}\right) E_{1 h}+R^{M}\left(E_{1 h}, \kappa_{1} E_{2 h}\right) E_{1 h} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $F$ is a Riemannian map, we derive,

$$
\begin{align*}
& R^{N}\left(F_{*} E_{1 h}, F_{*} E_{2 h}\right) F_{*} E_{1 h}=F_{*}\left(R^{M}\left(E_{1 h}, E_{2}\right) E_{1 h}\right)-F_{*}\left(R^{M}\left(E_{1 h}, E_{2 v}\right) E_{1 h}\right) \\
& -S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{2 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{1 h}+S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{2 h}+\left(\nabla_{E_{1 h}}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\right)\left(E_{2 h}, E_{1 h}\right) \\
& -\left(\nabla_{E_{2 h}}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right) \tag{58}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (49), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& R^{N}\left(F_{*} E_{1 h}, \kappa_{1} F_{*} E_{2 h}\right) F_{*} E_{1 h}=-c_{1} \kappa_{1} F_{*} E_{2 h}-3 c_{1} \kappa_{1} \tau_{12 \mathcal{H}} F_{*} J E_{1 h} \\
& -\kappa_{1} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{2 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{1 h}+\kappa_{1} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{2 h} \\
& +\kappa_{1}\left(\nabla_{E_{1 h}}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\right)\left(E_{2 h}, E_{1 h}\right)-\kappa_{1}\left(\nabla_{E_{2 h}}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right) . \tag{59}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus putting (60) in (56), we have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R^{N}\left(\tilde{T}, \nabla_{\tilde{T}} \tilde{T}\right) \tilde{T}=-c_{2} F_{*}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)-c_{1} \kappa_{1} F_{*} E_{2 h}-3 c_{1} \kappa_{1} \tau_{12 \mathcal{H}} F_{*} J E_{1 h} \\
& -\kappa_{1} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{2 h}, E_{1 h} F_{*} F_{1 h}+\kappa_{1} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{2 h}\right.}^{+\kappa_{1}\left(\nabla_{E_{1 h}}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\right)\left(E_{2 h}, E_{1 h}\right)-\kappa_{1}\left(\nabla_{E_{2 h}}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, putting this equation and (24) in (12), we have,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tau_{2}(\gamma)=-3 \kappa_{1} \kappa_{1}^{\prime} F_{*} E_{1 h}+\left(\kappa_{1}^{\prime \prime}-\kappa_{1}^{3}-\kappa_{1} \kappa_{2}^{2}+c_{1} \kappa_{1}\right) F_{*} E_{2 h}+\left(2 \kappa_{1}^{\prime} \kappa_{2}+\kappa_{1} \kappa_{2}^{\prime}\right) F_{*} E_{3 h} \\
& +\kappa_{1} \kappa_{2} \kappa_{3} F_{*} E_{4 h}-3 c_{1} \tau_{12 \mathcal{H}} F_{*} J E_{1 h}-\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}{ }^{*} F_{*} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right.} F_{*} E_{1 h}\right) \\
& -F_{*} \nabla_{E_{1 h}}^{*} F_{*} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{1 h}-S_{\nabla_{E_{1 h}}^{F \perp}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right.} F_{*} E_{1 h} \\
& +\nabla_{E_{1 h}}^{F \perp} \nabla_{E_{1 h}}^{F \perp}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)+2 \kappa_{1}^{\prime}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{2 h}\right)-2 \kappa_{1}^{\prime} F_{*} \mathcal{A}_{E_{1 h}} E_{2 v} \\
& -\kappa_{1} \kappa_{2} F_{*} \mathcal{A}_{E_{1 h}} E_{3 v}-\kappa_{1} F_{*} \mathcal{H} \nabla_{E_{1 h}} \mathcal{A}_{E_{1 h}} E_{2 v}-\kappa_{1} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h} E_{1 h}\right.} F_{*} E_{2 h} \\
& +\kappa_{1} \nabla_{E_{1 h}}^{F \perp}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{2 h}\right)+\left(c_{2}-\kappa_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right) \\
& +\kappa_{1} \kappa_{2}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{3 h}\right)-\kappa_{1}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, \mathcal{A}_{E_{1 h}} E_{2 v}\right)-\kappa_{1}\left(\nabla_{E_{1 h}}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\right)\left(E_{2 h}, E_{1 h}\right) \\
& +\kappa_{1}\left(\nabla_{E_{2 h}}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right) . \tag{60}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\tau_{2}(\alpha)=0$, we can write $F_{*} \tau_{2}(\alpha)=0$. Then, using this equation in $\tau_{2}(\gamma)$, we have,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\tau_{2}(\gamma)=3 c_{1} \kappa_{1} \tau_{12 m i x} F_{*}\right) E_{1 h}-\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h},{ }^{*} F_{*} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{1 h}\right) \\
& -F_{*} \nabla_{E_{1 h}}^{M_{*}^{*}} F_{*} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{1 h}-S_{\nabla_{E_{1 h}}^{F \perp}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right.} F_{*} E_{1 h} \\
& +\nabla_{E_{1 h}}^{F \perp} \nabla_{E_{1 h}}^{F \perp}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)+2 \kappa_{1}^{\prime}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{2 h}\right)-2 \kappa_{1}^{\prime} F_{*} \mathcal{A}_{E_{1 h}} E_{2 v} \\
& -\kappa_{1} \kappa_{2} F_{*} \mathcal{A}_{E_{1 h}} E_{3 v}-\kappa_{1} F_{*} \mathcal{H} \nabla_{E_{1 h}} \mathcal{A}_{E_{1 h}} E_{2 v}-\kappa_{1} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right.} F_{*} E_{2 h} \\
& +\kappa_{1} \nabla_{E_{1 h}}^{F \perp}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{2 h}\right)+\left(c_{2}-\kappa_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)+\kappa_{1} \kappa_{2}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{3 h}\right) \\
& -\kappa_{1}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, \mathcal{A}_{E_{1 h}} E_{2 v}\right)-\kappa_{1}\left(\nabla_{E_{1 h}}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\right)\left(E_{2 h}, E_{1 h}\right) \\
& +\kappa_{1}\left(\nabla_{E_{2 h}}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right) . \tag{61}
\end{align*}
$$

Then taking the $F_{*}\left(\left(k e r F_{*}\right)^{\perp}\right)=$ range $F_{*}$ and $\left(\text { range }_{*}\right)^{\perp}$ parts, we have (51) and (52). Thus $F \circ \alpha: \gamma: I \rightarrow\left(N, g_{N}\right)$ is a biharmonic curve if and only if (51) and (52) are satisfied.

Theorem 4.2. Let $F:\left(M\left(4 c_{1}\right), g_{M}\right) \rightarrow\left(N\left(c_{2}\right), g_{N}\right)$ be an umbilical Riemannian map from a complex space form $\left(M\left(4 c_{1}\right), g_{M}\right)$ to a real space form $\left(N\left(c_{2}\right), g_{N}\right)$. Let $\alpha: I \rightarrow\left(M\left(4 c_{1}\right), g_{M}\right)$ be a biharmonic horizontal curve and horizontal tensor field $\mathcal{A}$ is parallel. Then $F \circ \alpha: \gamma: I \rightarrow\left(N\left(c_{2}\right), g_{N}\right)$ is a biharmonic curve if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\left\|H_{2}\right\|^{2}-S_{\nabla_{E_{1 h}}^{F \perp} H_{2}} F_{*} E_{1 h}-\kappa_{1} S_{H_{2}} F_{*} E_{2 h}+\left(c_{2}-\kappa_{1}^{2}\right) H_{2}=0, \\
& 3 c_{1} \kappa_{1} \tau_{12 m i x} F_{*} J E_{1 h}-F_{*} \stackrel{M}{*}_{E_{1 h}} F_{*} S_{H_{2}} F_{*} E_{1 h}+\nabla_{E_{1 h}}^{F \perp} \nabla_{E_{1 h}}^{F \perp} H_{2}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tau_{12 \text { mix }}=g_{M}\left(E_{1 h}, J E_{2 v}\right)$.
Proof. The assertions follows from Theorem 4.1.
In particular, if $\kappa_{1}=$ constant $\neq 0$, then we have the following result.
Theorem 4.3. Let $F:\left(M\left(4 c_{1}\right), g_{M}\right) \rightarrow\left(N\left(c_{2}\right), g_{N}\right)$ be a Riemannian map from a complex space form $\left(M\left(4 c_{1}\right), g_{M}\right)$ to a real space form $\left(N\left(c_{2}\right), g_{N}\right)$. Let $\alpha: I \rightarrow\left(M\left(4 c_{1}\right), g_{M}\right)$ be a biharmonic horizontal curve and $\kappa_{1}=$ constant $\neq 0$ and horizontal tensor field $\mathcal{A}$ is parallel. Then $F \circ \alpha: \gamma: I \rightarrow\left(N\left(c_{2}\right), g_{N}\right)$ is a biharmonic curve if and only if

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h},{ }^{*} F_{*} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{1 h}\right)-S_{\nabla_{E_{1 h}}^{F_{\perp}}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{1 h} \\
& -\kappa_{1} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{2 h}+\left(c_{2}-\kappa_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right) \\
& +\kappa_{1} \kappa_{2}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{3 h}\right)=0,  \tag{62}\\
& 3 c_{1} \kappa_{1} \tau_{12 m i x} F_{*} J E_{1 h}-F_{*} \nabla_{E_{1 h}} F_{*} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{1 h} \\
& +\nabla_{E_{1 h}}^{F \perp} \nabla_{E_{1 h}}^{F \perp}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)+\kappa_{1} \nabla_{E_{1 h}}^{F \perp}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{2 h}\right) \\
& -\kappa_{1}\left(\nabla_{E_{1 h}}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\right)\left(E_{2 h}, E_{1 h}\right)+\kappa_{1}\left(\nabla_{E_{2 h}}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)=0 . \tag{63}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Since $\kappa_{1}=$ constant $\neq 0$, we have $\kappa_{1}^{\prime}=0$. The parallelity of $\mathcal{A}$ implies that $\mathcal{A}=0$. Then the assertion follows from Theorem 4.1.

Theorem 4.4. Let $F:\left(M\left(4 c_{1}\right), g_{M}\right) \rightarrow\left(N, g_{N}\right)$ be a Riemannian map from a complex space form $\left(M\left(4 c_{1}\right), g_{M}\right)$ to a Riemannian manifold $\left(N, g_{N}\right)$. Let $\alpha: I \rightarrow\left(M\left(4 c_{1}\right), g_{M}\right)$ be a horizontal Frenet curve. Then Frenet curve $F \circ \alpha: \gamma: I \rightarrow\left(N, g_{N}\right)$ is a biharmonic curve if and only if

$$
\begin{align*}
& -3{\tilde{\kappa_{1}}}_{\tilde{\kappa}_{1}^{\prime}} F_{*} E_{1 h}+\left(\tilde{\kappa}_{1}^{\prime \prime}-{\tilde{\kappa_{1}}}^{3}-\tilde{\kappa_{1}} \tilde{\kappa_{2}}{ }^{2}+c_{1} \tilde{\kappa_{1}}\right) F_{*} E_{2 h} \\
& +\left(2 \tilde{\kappa_{1}^{\prime}} \tilde{\kappa_{2}}+\tilde{\kappa_{1}} \tilde{\kappa_{2}^{\prime}}\right) F_{*} E_{3 h}+\tilde{\kappa_{1}} \tilde{\kappa_{2}} \tilde{k_{3}} F_{*} E_{4 h}+3 c_{1} \tilde{\kappa_{1}} \tau_{12 \mathcal{H}} F_{*} J E_{1 h} \\
& -\tilde{\kappa_{1}}\left(\nabla_{E_{1 h}}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\right)\left(E_{2 h}, E_{1 h}\right)+\tilde{\kappa_{1}}\left(\nabla_{E_{2 h}}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)=0, \tag{64}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\kappa_{1}} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{2 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{1 h}-\tilde{\kappa_{1}} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{2 h}=0 . \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{\kappa_{1}}, \cdots, \kappa_{r-1}$ are positive functions of $\gamma$ on $I$.
Proof. Let $F:\left(M\left(4 c_{1}\right), g_{M}\right) \rightarrow\left(N, g_{N}\right)$ be a Riemannian map from a complex space form $\left(M\left(4 c_{1}\right), g_{M}\right)$ to a Riemannian manifold $\left(N, g_{N}\right)$. Since $\alpha: I \rightarrow\left(M\left(4 c_{1}\right), g_{M}\right)$ is a horizontal Frenet curve, we have

$$
\alpha^{\prime}=T=E_{1 h,}, \quad \gamma^{\prime}=F_{*} T=\tilde{T},
$$

where $E_{1 h}$ is horizontal part of $T=E_{1}$. Note that $\gamma^{\prime}=\tilde{T}$ is the unit tangent vector field along the curve. Then we have Frenet formulas of $\gamma$ as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
\stackrel{N}{\tilde{T}}_{\tilde{T}} \tilde{T} & \tilde{\kappa}_{1} F_{*} E_{2 h} \\
{ }^{N} \tilde{T}_{\tilde{T}} F_{*} E_{2 h}= & -\tilde{\kappa}_{1} F_{*} E_{1 h}+\tilde{\kappa}_{2} F_{*} E_{3 h} \\
& \cdots  \tag{66}\\
{ }^{N}{ }_{\tilde{T}} F_{*} E_{r h}= & -\tilde{\kappa}_{r-1} F_{*} E_{(r-1) h} .
\end{align*}
$$

We calculate $\stackrel{N}{\nabla}_{\tilde{T}} \tilde{T}$ as follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{N}{\nabla}_{\tilde{T}} \tilde{T}=\stackrel{N}{\nabla}_{F_{*} E_{1 h}} F_{*} E_{1 h}=\tilde{\kappa_{1}} F_{*} E_{2 h} . \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, using Frenet formulas of $\gamma$, we get,

$$
\begin{align*}
\stackrel{N}{\nabla}_{\tilde{T}}^{2} \tilde{T} & =\tilde{\kappa_{1}^{\prime}} F_{*} E_{2 h}+\tilde{\kappa}_{1}{\stackrel{N}{\nabla_{F}}}_{F_{1 h}} F_{*} E_{2 h} \\
& =-\tilde{\kappa_{1}}{ }^{2} F_{*} E_{1 h}+\tilde{\kappa_{1}} F_{*} E_{2 h}+\tilde{\kappa_{1}} \tilde{\kappa_{2}} F_{*} E_{3 h} . \tag{68}
\end{align*}
$$

We calculate, $\stackrel{N}{\nabla}_{\tilde{T}}{ }_{\tilde{T}} \tilde{T}$ as follows.

$$
\begin{align*}
& N^{3} \tilde{T} \tilde{T}=-3 \tilde{\kappa_{1}}{\tilde{k_{1}}}^{\prime} F_{*} E_{1 h}+\left(\tilde{\kappa}_{1}^{\prime \prime}-{\tilde{k_{1}}}^{3}-\tilde{\kappa_{1}}{\tilde{\kappa_{2}^{2}}}^{2}\right) F_{*} E_{2 h}+\left(2{\tilde{k_{1}}}^{\prime} \tilde{\kappa_{2}}+{\tilde{\kappa_{1}}}^{\tilde{k}_{2}^{\prime}}\right) F_{*} E_{3 h} \\
& +\tilde{\kappa_{1}} \tilde{\kappa_{2}} \tilde{\kappa_{3}} F_{*} E_{4 h} . \tag{69}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, using the Frenet formulas, we obtain,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{N}\left(\tilde{T}, \stackrel{\nabla}{\tilde{T}}_{\tilde{T}} \tilde{T}\right) \tilde{T}=R^{N}\left(F_{*} E_{1 h}, \tilde{\kappa_{1}} F_{*} E_{2 h}\right) F_{*} E_{1 h}=\tilde{\kappa_{1}} R^{N}\left(F_{*} E_{1 h}, F_{*} E_{2 h}\right) F_{*} E_{1 h} . \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, taking the vertical and horizontal parts of $E_{2}$, we find,

$$
\begin{align*}
& R^{M}\left(T, \stackrel{M}{\nabla_{T}} T\right) T=R^{M}\left(E_{1 h}, \kappa_{1} E_{2}\right) E_{1 h} \\
& =R^{M}\left(E_{1 h}, \kappa_{1} E_{2 v}\right) E_{1 h}+R^{M}\left(E_{1 h}, \kappa_{1} E_{2 h}\right) E_{1 h} \tag{71}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, we applied to $F_{*}$ both sides in (71), we obtain,

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{*}\left(R^{M}\left(T, \stackrel{M}{\nabla}_{T} T\right) T\right) \\
& =F_{*}\left(R^{M}\left(E_{1 h}, \kappa_{1} E_{2 v}\right) E_{1 h}\right)+F_{*}\left(R^{M}\left(E_{1 h}, \kappa_{1} E_{2 h}\right) E_{1 h}\right) \tag{72}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $F$ is a Riemannian map, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& R^{N}\left(F_{*} E_{1 h}, F_{*} E_{2 h}\right) F_{*} E_{1 h}=F_{*}\left(R^{M}\left(E_{1 h}, E_{2}\right) E_{1 h}\right)-F_{*}\left(R^{M}\left(E_{1 h}, E_{2 v}\right) E_{1 h}\right) \\
& -S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{2 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{1 h}+S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{2 h}+\left(\nabla_{E_{1 h}}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\right)\left(E_{2 h}, E_{1 h}\right) \\
& -\left(\nabla_{E_{2 h}}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right) \tag{73}
\end{align*}
$$

Using, (49), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& R^{N}\left(F_{*} E_{1 h}, \kappa_{1} F_{*} E_{2 h}\right) F_{*} E_{1 h}=-c_{1} \kappa_{1} F_{*} E_{2 h}-3 c_{1} \kappa_{1} \tau_{12 \mathcal{H}} F_{*} J E_{1 h} \\
& -\kappa_{1} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{2 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{1 h}+\kappa_{1} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{2 h} \\
& +\kappa_{1}\left(\nabla_{E_{1 h}}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\right)\left(E_{2 h}, E_{1 h}\right)-\kappa_{1}\left(\nabla_{E_{2 h}}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right) \tag{74}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, using (74) into (70), we have,

$$
\begin{align*}
& R^{N}\left(\tilde{T}, \nabla_{\tilde{T}} \tilde{T}\right) \tilde{T}=-c_{1} \tilde{\kappa_{1}} F_{*} E_{2 h}-3 c_{1} \tilde{\kappa_{1}} \tau_{12 \mathcal{H}} F_{*} J E_{1 h} \\
& -\tilde{\kappa_{1}} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{2 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{1 h}+\tilde{\kappa_{1}} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{2 h} \\
& +\tilde{\kappa_{1}}\left(\nabla_{E_{1 h}}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\right)\left(E_{2 h}, E_{1 h}\right)-\tilde{\kappa_{1}}\left(\nabla_{E_{2 h}}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right) \tag{75}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus putting (69) and (75) in (12), we have,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tau_{2}(\gamma)=-3 \tilde{\kappa_{1}}{\tilde{\kappa_{1}}}^{\prime} F_{*} E_{1 h}+\left(\tilde{\kappa}_{1}^{\prime \prime}-{\tilde{\kappa_{1}}}^{3}-\tilde{\kappa_{1}}{\tilde{k_{2}}}^{2}+c_{1} \tilde{\kappa_{1}}\right) F_{*} E_{2 h} \\
& +\left(2 \tilde{\kappa_{1}}{ }^{\prime} \tilde{\kappa_{2}}+\tilde{\kappa_{1}} \tilde{\kappa_{2}}\right) F_{*} E_{3 h}+\tilde{\kappa_{1}} \tilde{\kappa_{2}} \tilde{\kappa_{3}} F_{*} E_{4 h}+3 c_{1} \tilde{\kappa_{1}} \tau_{12 \mathcal{H}} F_{*} J E_{1 h} \\
& +\tilde{\kappa_{1}} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{2 h}, E_{1 h}\right)} F_{*} E_{1 h}-\tilde{\kappa_{1}} S_{\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right.} F_{*} E_{2 h} \\
& -\tilde{\kappa_{1}}\left(\nabla_{E_{1 h}}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\right)\left(E_{2 h}, E_{1 h}\right)+\tilde{\kappa_{1}}\left(\nabla_{E_{2 h}}\left(\nabla F_{*}\right)\right)\left(E_{1 h}, E_{1 h}\right) . \tag{76}
\end{align*}
$$

Then taking the $F_{*}\left(\left(k e r F_{*}\right)^{\perp}\right)=$ range $F_{*}$ and $\left(\text { range } F_{*}\right)^{\perp}$ parts, we have (64) and (65). Thus $F \circ \alpha: \gamma: I \rightarrow\left(N, g_{N}\right)$ is a biharmonic curve if and only if (64) and (65) are satisfied.
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