Filomat 38:2 (2024), 637–643 https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL2402637H



Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

# Relating the annihilation number and the 2-domination number for unicyclic graphs

# Xinying Hua<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>School of Mathematics, Nanjing University of Aeronautics & Astronautics, Nanjing, Jiangsu 210016, PR China

**Abstract.** The 2-domination number  $\gamma_2(G)$  of a graph *G* is the minimum cardinality of a set  $S \subseteq V(G)$  such that every vertex from  $V(G) \setminus S$  is adjacent to at least two vertices in *S*. The *annihilation number* a(G) is the largest integer *k* such that the sum of the first *k* terms of the non-decreasing degree sequence of *G* is at most the number of its edges. It was conjectured that  $\gamma_2(G) \leq a(G) + 1$  holds for every non-trivial connected graph *G*. The conjecture was earlier confirmed for graphs of minimum degree 3, trees, block graphs and some bipartite cacti. However, a class of cacti were found as counterexample graphs recently by Yue et al. [9] to the above conjecture. In this paper, we consider the above conjecture from the positive side and prove that this conjecture holds for all unicyclic graphs.

## 1. Introduction

Given a graph *G*, we denote by *V*(*G*) and *E*(*G*) the set of its vertices and edges, respectively. Also, we let n(G) = |V(G)| and m(G) = |E(G)|. The open neighbourhood of a vertex  $v \in V(G)$  is  $N_G(v) = \{u|uv \in E(G)\}$ . We denote the degree of a vertex v by  $d_G(v) = |N_G(v)|$ . For a pair of vertices  $u, v \in V(G)$ , the distance  $d_G(u, v)$  between u and v is the length of a shortest (u, v)-path in *G*. A path  $P = x_1x_2 \dots x_p$  ( $p \ge 3$ ) in a graph *G* is said to be a pendent path if  $d_G(x_1) = 1$ ,  $d_G(x_2) = \dots = d_G(x_{p-1}) = 2$  and  $d_G(x_p) \ge 3$ . In particular, when p = 2, *P* is said to be a pendent edge and  $x_1$  is said to be a leaf or pendent vertex. The above  $x_2$  is said to be a support vertex. Further, if uvw is a 3-vertex path with  $d_G(u) = 1 = d_G(w)$  and  $d_G(v) \ge 2$ , then v is said to be a strong support vertex. A vertex of degree at least 3 is called a branch vertex. If  $X \subseteq V(G)$ , then G - X denotes the graph obtained from *G* by deleting all vertices in *X* and all edges incident with them. A connected graph is unicyclic if it contains exactly one cycle. A unicyclic graph is a sun if each vertex on the cycle is connected to exactly one leaf.

For a graph *G* of order *n* and a positive integer  $k \le n - 1$ , a vertex set  $D \subseteq V(G)$  is called a *k*-dominating set if each vertex not in *D* has at least *k* neighbors in *D*. The *k*-domination number  $\gamma_k(G)$  is the minimum cardinality of such a set *D*. A *k*-dominating set of cardinality  $\gamma_k(G)$  is called a  $\gamma_k$ -set of *G*. A 1-dominating set is just the well-studied *dominating set*. The notion of the *k*-dominating set was introduced by Fink and Jacobson [5], and a survey on *k*-dominating set can be found in [2].

Keywords. 2-domination number, annihilation number, unicyclic graph, conjecture

Communicated by Paola Bonacini

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 05C69; 05C35

Received: 23 January 2023; Revised: 11 April 2023; Accepted: 03 August 2023

This work was supported by the Postgraduate Research & Practice Innovation Program of Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, China (No. xcxjh20220801).

Email address: xyhuamath@163.com (Xinying Hua)

For a vertex set  $S \subseteq V(G)$ , we define  $\sum (S, G) = \sum_{v \in S} d_G(v)$ . Then *S* is an *annihilation set* of *G* if  $\sum (S, G) \le m(G)$ . Let  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$  be an ordering of the vertices of *G* such that  $d_G(v_1) \le d_G(v_2) \le \cdots \le d_G(v_n)$ . The *annihilation number* a(G), firstly introduced by Pepper in [8], is the largest integer k such that  $\sum_{i=1}^k d_G(v_i) \le m(G)$ . Further, *S* is an *optimal annihilation set* if |S| = a(G) and  $\max\{d_G(v)|v \in S\} \le \min\{d(u)|u \in V(G)\setminus S\}$ .

A conjecture relating the 2-domination number and annihilation number of a graph reads as follows.

**Conjecture 1.1 ([3, 4]).** *If G is a non-trivial connected graph, then*  $\gamma_2(G) \le a(G) + 1$ *.* 

From the above definition of annihilation set, every graph satisfies  $a(G) \ge \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ . Also, it was observed in [1] that  $\gamma_2(G) \le \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$  for  $\delta(G) \ge 3$ . Hence, if  $\delta(G) \ge 3$ , then Conjecture 1.1 holds. It remains for us to study this conjecture for connected graphs with  $\delta(G) = 1$  or 2. Inspired by this, Desormeaux et al. [4] studied Conjecture 1.1 for trees, and their result is stated as follows.

**Theorem 1.2 ([4]).** If G is a non-trivial tree, then  $\gamma_2(G) \le a(G) + 1$ .

It is interesting to note that Theorem 1.2 was re-proven by Lyle [7] by employing a new method in 2017. Later, the result of Theorem 1.2 was extended to the family of block graphs by Jakovac [6]. More recently, Yue et al. [9] disproved Conjecture 1.1 by giving a class of counterexample cacti with leaves. Nevertheless, it still makes sense to consider some special graph family that satisfy Conjecture 1.1. Along this line, Yue et al. [9] proved Conjecture 1.1 holds for a class of bipartite cacti. In this paper, we investigate Conjecture 1.1 for unicyclic graphs, and we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let G be a unicyclic graph. Then  $\gamma_2(G) \le a(G) + 1$ .

## 2. Preliminary results

In this section, we introduce two observations and a critical lemma.

We begin with the following two observations, which can be deduced from the definitions of 2dominating set and optimal annihilation set immediately.

**Observation 1.** Any 2-dominating set of a graph G contains all leaves.

**Observation 2 ([9]).** Any optimal annihilation set of a connected graph G of order  $n \ge 3$  contains all leaves of G.

For a unicyclic graph *G* with  $C_{\ell} = u_1 u_2 \dots u_{\ell} u_1$  being its unique cycle, we denote by  $T_{u_j}$  the component containing  $u_j$  in  $G - \{u_{j-1}, u_{j+1}\}$  (If j = 1, we set  $u_{j-1} = u_{\ell}$  and if  $j = \ell$ , then  $u_{j+1} = u_1$ ). Such a  $T_{u_j}$  is also said to be a subtree of *G*, rooted at  $u_j$ .

**Definition 2.1.** The subdivided star  $S_s(K_{1,s+t}, u)$  ( $s \ge 1, t \ge 0$ ) is the graph on 2s+t+1 vertices which is constructed from the star  $K_{1,s+t}$  (with u being the centre) by subdividing any s edges exactly once. In particular, when s = 1 and t = 0,  $S_s(K_{1,s+t}, u) \cong P_3$  with u being one end-vertex. When s = 1 and t = 1,  $S_s(K_{1,s+t}, u) \cong P_4$  with u being a 2-degree vertex. When s = 2 and t = 0,  $S_s(K_{1,s+t}, u) \cong P_5$  with u being the central vertex. When  $s + t \ge 3$ , u is the maximum degree vertex of  $S_s(K_{1,s+t}, u)$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** Let G be a unicyclic graph with the unique cycle being C. If C contains a vertex u such that  $T_u$  is a subdivided star  $S_s(K_{1,s+t}, u)(s \ge 2)$ , then  $\gamma_2(G) \le a(G) + 1$ .

*Proof.* For each  $i \in [s]$ , let  $uv'_iv_i$  be a pendent path attached to u and for each  $j \in [t]$ , let  $w_j$  be the leaf adjacent to u if  $t \ge 1$ , see Figure 1. Let  $G' = G - V(S_s(K_{1,s+t}, u))$ . Then G' is a non-trivial tree with m(G') = m(G) - 2s - t - 2. By Theorem 1.2, we have  $\gamma_2(G') \le a(G') + 1$ . Let D' be a  $\gamma_2$ -set of G'. From Figure 1 and the definition of 2-dominating set, it can be seen that  $D = D' \cup \{u, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s, w_1, \dots, w_t\}$  is a 2-dominating set of G, yielding

that  $\gamma_2(G) \leq |D| = |D'| + s + t + 1 = \gamma_2(G') + s + t + 1$ . Suppose that *S*' is an optimal annihilation set of *G*' and let  $S = S' \cup \{v'_1, v_1, \dots, v_s, w_1, \dots, w_t\}$ . As  $s \geq 2$ ,

$$\sum (S,G) = \sum (S',G) + d_G(v'_1) + d_G(v_1) + \dots + d_G(v_s) + d_G(w_1) + \dots + d_G(w_t)$$
  

$$\leq \left(\sum (S',G') + 2\right) + 2 + s + t$$
  

$$\leq m(G') + 4 + s + t$$
  

$$\leq m(G) - s + 2$$
  

$$\leq m(G).$$

So  $a(G) \ge |S| = |S'| + s + t + 1 = a(G') + s + t + 1$ . This gives  $\gamma_2(G) \le \gamma_2(G') + s + t + 1 \le a(G') + s + t + 2 \le a(G) + 1$ .  $\Box$ 



Figure 1: The structure of *G* in Lemma 2.2, where  $G_0$  is a unicyclic subgraph of *G*.

#### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.3

In this section we prove Theorem 1.3.

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on n = n(G). If n = 3, then  $G \cong C_3$  and  $\gamma_2(C_3) = 2 = a(C_3) + 1$ . So, we let  $n \ge 4$  and assume that for every connected unicyclic graph G' of order n' < n, we have  $\gamma_2(G') \le a(G') + 1$ .

If *G* is a cycle, it's easy to check that the statement is true. Thus, we may suppose that *G* contains one cycle as a proper subgraph. Define  $\mathcal{L}(G) = \{v \in V(G) | d_G(v) = 1\}$ . Since *G* is a unicyclic graph not isomorphic to a cycle,  $\mathcal{L}(G) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $C_\ell$  be the unique cycle in *G*. For each  $u \in V(C_\ell)$  with  $d_G(u) \ge 3$ , we define  $h(u) = \max\{d_G(u, x) | x \in \mathcal{L}(T_u)\}$  and  $h(G) = \max\{h(u) | u \in V(C_\ell) \text{ and } d_G(u) \ge 3\}$ .

We first prove the following claim.

**Claim 3.1.** Let u be a branch vertex on  $V(C_{\ell})$  such that  $T_u$  has a leaf  $v_1$  with  $d_G(v_1, u) = h(G)$ . Assume that  $v_2$  is the unique neighbour of  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  is a strong support vertex. Then  $\gamma_2(G) \le a(G) + 1$ .

*Proof.* As  $v_2$  is a strong support vertex,  $v_2$  has at least two leaf-neighbours. Let  $v_1, z_1, \ldots, z_t$  ( $t \ge 1$ ) be leaf-neighbours of  $v_2$  and  $G' = G - \{v_1, v_2, z_1, \ldots, z_t\}$ . Then  $m(G') = m(G) - d_G(v_2)$ . Obviously, G' has at least two vertices. Let D' be a  $\gamma_2$ -set of G'. Then  $D = D' \cup \{v_1, z_1, \ldots, z_t\}$  is a 2-dominating set of G, which implies  $\gamma_2(G) \le |D| = |D'| + t + 1 = \gamma_2(G') + t + 1$ . Let S' be an optimal annihilation set of G' and  $S = S' \cup \{v_1, z_1, \ldots, z_t\}$ . Then

$$\sum (S,G) = \sum (S',G) + d_G(v_1) + d_G(z_1) + \dots + d_G(z_t)$$
  

$$\leq \left(\sum (S',G') + d_G(v_2) - t - 1\right) + t + 1$$
  

$$\leq m(G') + d_G(v_2)$$
  

$$\leq m(G),$$

yielding that  $a(G) \ge |S| = |S'| + t + 1 = a(G') + t + 1$ . If *G*' is a non-trivial tree, then by Theorem 1.2,  $\gamma_2(G') \le a(G') + 1$ . If *G*' is a unicyclic graph, then by the induction hypothesis,  $\gamma_2(G') \le a(G') + 1$ . Therefore,  $\gamma_2(G) \le \gamma_2(G') + t + 1 \le a(G') + t + 2 \le a(G) + 1$ .  $\Box$ 

We will complete the proof by considering the following cases.

**Case 1.** h(G) = 1.

Since h(G) = 1, every vertex outside of  $C_{\ell}$  is a leaf attached to some vertex of  $C_{\ell}$ . Clearly, each vertex of  $C_{\ell}$  is adjacent to at most one leaf. For otherwise, by Claim 3.1, we have  $\gamma_2(G) \le a(G) + 1$ , as claimed. So, *G* is a sun or a unicyclic graph obtained from the sun by removing some leaves.

First, we assume that *G* is a sun. Let  $V(C_{\ell}) = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{\ell}\}$  and  $w_i$  is the leaf adjacent to  $u_i$  for each  $i \in [\ell]$ . Clearly,  $m(G) = 2\ell$ . Take  $D = \{u_1, u_4, \dots, u_{3m+1}, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{\ell}\}$  ( $m \in [\frac{\ell-3}{3}, \frac{\ell-1}{3}]$ ). Then *D* is a  $\gamma_2$ -set of *G*, and hence  $\gamma_2(G) \leq \lceil \frac{\ell}{3} \rceil + \ell$ . Set  $S = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{\lceil \frac{\ell}{3} \rceil - 1}, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{\ell}\}$ . Then  $\sum (S, G) = (\lceil \frac{\ell}{3} \rceil - 1) \times 3 + \ell \leq 2\ell - 1 < m(G)$ , yielding that  $a(G) \geq |S| = \lceil \frac{\ell}{3} \rceil + \ell - 1$ . So,  $\gamma_2(G) \leq a(G) + 1$ .

Second, we assume that *G* is not a sun. There exists a 3-degree vertex, say *w*, on  $C_{\ell}$  that has a 2-degree neighbour, say *v*, on  $C_{\ell}$ . Denote the pendent vertex adjacent to *w* with  $w_1$ . Set  $G' = G - \{w, w_1\}$ . Then m(G') = m(G) - 3. It follows from Theorem 1.2 that  $\gamma_2(G') \le a(G') + 1$ , since *G'* is a non-trivial tree. Let *D'* be a  $\gamma_2$ -set of *G'* and *S'* be an optimal annihilation set of *G'*. Since  $d_{G'}(v) = 1$ , by Observations 1 and 2, we have  $v \in D'$  and  $v \in S'$ . Then  $D = D' \cup \{w_1\}$  is a 2-dominating set of *G* and hence  $\gamma_2(G) \le |D| = |D'| + 1 = \gamma_2(G') + 1$ . Also, we have  $\sum (S', G) \le \sum (S', G') + 2$ . Take  $S = S' \cup \{w_1\}$ . Then  $\sum (S, G) = \sum (S', G) + d_G(w_1) \le (\sum (S', G') + 2) + 1 \le m(G') + 3 = m(G)$  and hence  $a(G) \ge |S| = |S'| + 1 = a(G') + 1$ . Thus,

$$\gamma_2(G) \le \gamma_2(G') + 1 \le a(G') + 2 \le a(G) + 1.$$

**Case 2.** h(G) = 2.

Assume that there exists a branch vertex u on  $C_{\ell}$  such that  $T_u$  contains a leaf  $v_1$  satisfying that  $d_G(v_1, u) = 2$ . Let  $v_2$  be the unique neighbour of  $v_1$ . If  $d_G(v_2) \ge 3$ , then  $v_2$  is a strong support vertex. By Claim 3.1, we have  $\gamma_2(G) \le a(G) + 1$ . So, we may assume that  $d_G(v_2) = 2$ . By the same reason, if  $N_G(u) \setminus (V(C_{\ell}) \cup \{v_2\}) \ne \emptyset$ , then for each  $x \in N_G(u) \setminus (V(C_{\ell}) \cup \{v_2\})$ , we have  $d_G(x) = 1$  or  $d_G(x) = 2$ . So,  $T_u \cong S_s(K_{1,s+t}, u) (s \ge 1, t \ge 0)$ . If  $s \ge 2$ , then we conclude that  $\gamma_2(G) \le a(G) + 1$  by Lemma 2.2. So, we assume that s = 1 and  $t \ge 0$ . Assume that  $V(S_1(K_{1,1+t}, u)) = \{u, v_1, v_2, y_1, \ldots, y_t\}$ , where u is the vertex defined as in Definition 2.1,  $uv_2v_1$  is a pendent path and  $y_1, \cdots, y_t$  are leaves attached to u if  $t \ge 1$ .

We consider the following subcases.

**Subcase 2.1.** There exists at least a vertex of degree 2, say v, adjacent to u on  $C_{\ell}$ .



Figure 2: The local structure of *G* when *u* has a 2-degree neighbour *v* on  $C_{\ell}$ .

Let  $N_G(v) \setminus \{u\} = \{w\}$ . First, we assume that  $d_G(w) = 2$ . Then *G* can be viewed as the graph shown in Figure 2(a). If  $\ell \ge 4$ , we set  $G' = G - (V(S_1(K_{1,1+t}, u)) \cup \{v\})$ . Then *G'* is a non-trivial tree and m(G') = m(G) - (t + 5). According to Theorem 1.2, we have  $\gamma_2(G') \le a(G') + 1$ . Let *D'* be a  $\gamma_2$ -set of *G'* and *S'* be an optimal annihilation set of *G'*. Since  $d_{G'}(w) = 1$ , we have  $w \in D'$  and  $w \in S'$  by Observations 1 and 2. Then  $D = D' \cup \{u, v_1, y_1, \dots, y_t\}$  is a 2-dominating set of *G*. So  $\gamma_2(G) \le |D| = |D'| + t + 2 = \gamma_2(G') + t + 2$ . Take  $S = S' \cup \{v_1, v_2, y_1, \dots, y_t\}$ . Then  $\sum (S, G) = \sum (S', G) + d_G(v_1) + d_G(v_2) + d_G(y_1) + \dots + d_G(y_t) \le (\sum (S', G') + 2) + (t + 3) \le m(G') + t + 5 = m(G)$ , which implies  $a(G) \ge |S| = |S'| + t + 2 = a(G') + t + 2$ . Therefore,

$$\gamma_2(G) \le \gamma_2(G') + t + 2 \le a(G') + t + 3 \le a(G) + 1.$$

If  $\ell = 3$ , then m(G) = t + 5. Take  $D = \{u, v, v_1, y_1, \dots, y_t\}$  and hence D is a minimum 2-dominating set of G. Then  $\gamma_2(G) \le t + 3$ . Take  $S = \{v, v_1, v_2, y_1, \dots, y_t\}$ . Then  $\sum (S, G) = t + 5 = m(G)$  and we have  $a(G) \ge |S| = t + 3$ . So,  $\gamma_2(G) \le t + 3 \le a(G) < a(G) + 1$ .

Second, we assume that  $d_G(w) \ge 3$  and h(w) = 1. Then *G* can be viewed as the graph shown in Figure 2(b). Let  $N_G(w) \setminus V(C_\ell) = \{w_1, \ldots, w_p\} (p \ge 1)$ .

Suppose first that p = 1. If  $\ell \ge 4$ , we set  $G' = G - (V(S_1(K_{1,1+t}, u)) \cup \{v, w_1\})$ . Obviously, G' is a non-trivial tree and m(G') = m(G) - (t + 6). Let D' be a  $\gamma_2$ -set of G' and S' be an optimal annihilation set of G'. Since  $d_{G'}(w) = 1$ , we obtain  $w \in D'$  and  $w \in S'$  by Observations 1 and 2. Let  $D = D' \cup \{u, v_1, y_1, \dots, y_t, w_1\}$  and hence D is a 2-dominating set of G. Then  $\gamma_2(G) \le |D| = |D'| + t + 3 = \gamma_2(G') + t + 3$ . Set  $S = (S' \setminus \{w\}) \cup \{v, v_1, v_2, y_1, \dots, y_t, w_1\}$ . Since  $\sum (S' \setminus \{w\}, G) \le \sum (S' \setminus \{w\}, G') + 1$ , we have  $\sum (S, G) = \sum (S' \setminus \{w\}, G) + d_G(v_1) + d_G(v_2) + d_G(y_1) \cdots + d_G(y_t) + d_G(w_1) \le (\sum (S' \setminus \{w\}, G') + 1) + (t + 6) = \sum (S', G') - d_{G'}(w) + t + 7 \le m(G') + t + 6 = m(G)$  and we have  $a(G) \ge |S| = |S'| + t + 3 = a(G') + t + 3$ . Since G' is a non-trivial tree, by Theorem 1.2, we have  $\gamma_2(G') \le a(G') + 1$ , and hence

$$\gamma_2(G) \le \gamma_2(G') + t + 3 \le a(G') + t + 4 \le a(G) + 1.$$

If  $\ell = 3$ , then m(G) = t + 6. Take  $D = \{u, v, w_1, v_1, y_1, \dots, y_t\}$  and hence D is a  $\gamma_2$ -set of G. Then  $\gamma_2(G) \le t + 4$ . Take  $S = \{v, w_1, v_1, v_2, y_1, \dots, y_t\}$ . Then  $\sum (S, G) = t + 6 = m(G)$  and we have  $a(G) \ge |S| = t + 4$ . Accordingly,  $\gamma_2(G) \le t + 4 \le a(G) < a(G) + 1$ .

Now, let  $p \ge 2$ . Set  $G' = G - \{w, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_p\}$ . Then G' is a non-trivial tree and m(G') = m(G) - p - 2. Let D' be a  $\gamma_2$ -set of G' and S' be an optimal annihilation set of G'. Then  $D = D' \cup \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_p\}$  is a 2-dominating set of G, yielding that  $\gamma_2(G) \le |D| = |D'| + p = \gamma_2(G') + p$ . Take  $S = S' \cup \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_p\}$ . Then  $\sum(S, G) = \sum(S', G) + d_G(w_1) + d_G(w_2) + \dots + d_G(w_p) \le (\sum(S', G') + 2) + p \le m(G') + p + 2 = m(G)$ . So,  $a(G) \ge |S| = |S'| + p = a(G') + p$ . As G' is a non-trivial tree, we have  $\gamma_2(G') \le a(G') + 1$  by Theorem 1.2. Therefore,

$$\gamma_2(G) \le \gamma_2(G') + p \le a(G') + p + 1 \le a(G) + 1.$$

Finally, let  $d_G(w) \ge 3$  and h(w) = 2. By Claim 3.1, it suffices to prove  $T_w \cong S_{s_1}(K_{1,s_1+t_1}, w)$  ( $s_1 \ge 1, t_1 \ge 0$ ). If  $s_1 \ge 2$ , then by Lemma 2.2 we have  $\gamma_2(G) \le a(G) + 1$ . So, we assume that  $s_1 = 1$ . Now, G can be viewed as the graph shown in Figure 2(c). First, we assume that  $\ell \ge 4$ . Let  $G' = G - (V(S_1(K_{1,1+t}, u)) \cup V(S_1(K_{1,1+t_1}, w)) \cup \{v\})$ . Then  $m(G') = m(G) - (t + t_1 + 8)$ . If  $n' = |G'| \ge 2$ , then G' is a non-trivial tree. Let D' be a  $\gamma_2$ -set of G'. Then  $D = D' \cup \{u, w, v_1, v'_1, y_1, \dots, y_t, y'_1, \dots, y'_{t_1}\}$  is a 2-dominating set of G. Therefore,  $\gamma_2(G) \le |D| = |D'| + t + t_1 + 4 = \gamma_2(G') + t + t_1 + 4$ . Let S' be an optimal annihilation set of G', we have  $\sum (S', G) \le \sum (S', G') + 2$ . Take  $S = S' \cup \{v_1, v'_1, v_2, v'_2, y_1, \dots, y_t, y'_1, \dots, y'_{t_1}\}$ . Then  $\sum (S, G) \le (\sum (S', G') + 2) + (t + t_1 + 6) \le m(G') + t + t_1 + 8 = m(G)$  and we have  $a(G) \ge |S| = |S'| + t + t_1 + 4 = a(G') + t + t_1 + 4$ . Since G' is a non-trivial tree, it follows from Theorem 1.2 that  $\gamma_2(G') \le a(G') + 1$ . Hence,

$$\gamma_2(G) \le \gamma_2(G') + t + t_1 + 4 \le a(G') + t + t_1 + 5 \le a(G) + 1.$$

If n' = |G'| = 1, then  $\ell = 4$  and  $m(G) = t + t_1 + 8$ . If  $\ell = 3$ , then  $m(G) = t + t_1 + 7$ . Upon the case when n' = 1 or  $\ell = 3$ , we take  $D = \{u, w, v_1, v'_1, y_1, \dots, y_t, y'_1, \dots, y'_{t_1}\}$  and hence D is a minimum 2-dominating set of G. Then  $\gamma_2(G) \le t + t_1 + 4$ . Take  $S = \{v_1, v'_1, v_2, v'_2, y_1, \dots, y_t, y'_1, \dots, y'_{t_1}\}$ . Then  $\sum (S, G) = t + t_1 + 6 < m(G)$  and we have  $a(G) \ge |S| = t + t_1 + 4$ . Therefore,  $\gamma_2(G) \le t + t_1 + 4 \le a(G) < a(G) + 1$ .

**Subcase 2.2.** The vertex u has a neighbour v on  $V(C_{\ell})$  such that h(v) = 1 and  $N_G(v) \setminus V(C_{\ell}) = \{z_1, \dots, z_q\} (q \ge 1)$ .



Figure 3: The local structure of *G* in Subcases 2.2 and 2.3, respectively.

In this subcase, *G* can be viewed as the graph shown in the Figure 3(a). First, we assume that q = 1. Take  $G' = G - (V(S_1(K_{1,1+t}, u)) \cup \{v, z_1\})$  and m(G') = m(G) - (t + 6). If n' = |G'| = 1, then *G* is identical to the graph as shown in Figure 2(b) for the case of  $\ell = 3$  and p = 1. So,  $\gamma_2(G) \le a(G) + 1$  by our previous proof in Subcase 2.1. Now, we suppose that  $n' \ge 2$ . Thus, *G'* is a non-trivial tree. Let *D'* be a  $\gamma_2$ -set of *G'*. Then  $D = D' \cup \{u, v_1, y_1, \dots, y_t, z_1\}$  is a 2-dominating set of *G*, and hence  $\gamma_2(G) \le |D| = |D'| + t + 3 = \gamma_2(G') + t + 3$ . Let *S'* be an optimal annihilation set of *G'* and  $S = S' \cup \{v_1, v_2, y_1, \dots, y_t, z_1\}$ . Then  $\sum (S, G) = \sum (S', G) + (t + 4) \le (\sum (S', G') + 2) + (t + 4) \le m(G') + t + 6 = m(G)$  and we have  $a(G) \ge |S| = |S'| + t + 3 = a(G') + t + 3$ . Since *G'* is a non-trivial tree, we obtain  $\gamma_2(G') \le a(G') + 1$  according to Theorem 1.2. Thus,

$$\gamma_2(G) \le \gamma_2(G') + t + 3 \le a(G') + t + 4 \le a(G) + 1.$$

Now, let  $q \ge 2$ . Set  $G' = G - \{v, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_q\}$ . Then G' is a non-trivial tree and m(G') = m(G) - q - 2. Let D' be a  $\gamma_2$ -set of G'. Then  $D = D' \cup \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_q\}$  is a 2-dominating set of G, yielding that  $\gamma_2(G) \le |D| = |D'| + q = \gamma_2(G') + q$ . Let S' be an optimal annihilation set of G' and let  $S = S' \cup \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_q\}$ . Then  $\sum (S, G) = \sum (S', G) + d_G(z_1) + d_G(z_2) + \dots + d_G(z_q) \le (\sum (S', G') + 2) + q \le m(G') + q + 2 = m(G)$ . So,  $a(G) \ge |S| = |S'| + q = a(G') + q$ . As G' is a non-trivial tree, we have  $\gamma_2(G') \le a(G') + 1$  by Theorem 1.2. Thus,

$$\gamma_2(G) \le \gamma_2(G') + q \le a(G') + q + 1 \le a(G) + 1.$$

**Subcase 2.3.** The vertex u has a neighbour  $v \in V(C_{\ell})$  such that h(v) = 2.

By Claim 3.1, it suffices to prove that  $T_v \cong S_{s_2}(K_{1,s_2+t_2}, v)$  ( $s_2 \ge 1, t_2 \ge 0$ ). If  $s_2 \ge 2$ , then by Lemma 2.2, we have  $\gamma_2(G) \le a(G) + 1$ . So, we assume that  $s_2 = 1$ . Now, G can be viewed as the graph shown in Figure 3(b). Let  $G' = G - (V(S_1(K_{1,1+t}, u)) \cup (V(S_1(K_{1,1+t_2}, v)) \setminus \{v\}))$ . Then G' is a tree with at least two vertices and  $m(G') = m(G) - (t + t_2 + 6)$ . Let D' be a  $\gamma_2$ -set of G' and S' be an optimal annihilation set of G'. It follows from Observations 1 and 2 that v belongs to any  $\gamma_2$ -set and optimal annihilation set of G' since it is a leaf in G'. Now we let  $D = D' \cup \{u, v_1, v'_1, y_1, \dots, y_t, y'_1, \dots, y'_{t_2}\}$  and hence D is a 2-dominating set of G. Then  $\gamma_2(G) \le |D| = |D'| + t + t_2 + 3 = \gamma_2(G') + t + t_2 + 3$ . Take  $S = (S' \setminus \{v\}) \cup \{v_1, v'_1, v_2, v'_2, y_1, \dots, y_t, y'_1, \dots, y'_{t_2}\}$ . As  $\sum (S' \setminus \{v\}, G') = \sum (S', G') - d_{G'}(v) = \sum (S', G') - 1, \sum (S' \setminus \{v\}, G) \le \sum (S' \setminus \{v\}, G') + 1 = \sum (S', G') \le m(G')$ . So,  $\sum (S, G) = \sum (S' \setminus \{v\}, G) + d_G(v_1) + d_G(v'_1) + d_G(v'_2) + d_G(v'_2) + d_G(y_1) + \dots + d_G(y'_t) + d_G(y'_t) + \dots + d_G(y'_{t_2}) \le m(G') + t + t_2 + 6 = m(G)$ . Then we have  $a(G) \ge |S| = |S'| + t + t_2 + 3 = a(G') + t + t_2 + 3$ . By Theorem 1.2, we have  $\gamma_2(G') \le a(G') + 1$  since G' is a non-trivial tree. Accordingly,

$$\gamma_2(G) \le \gamma_2(G') + t + t_2 + 3 \le a(G') + t + t_2 + 4 \le a(G) + 1.$$

#### **Case 3.** $h(G) \ge 3$ .

Assume that there is a branch vertex  $u \in V(C_{\ell})$  such that  $T_u$  contains a leaf  $v_1$  satisfying that  $d_G(u, v_1) = h(G)$ . Let  $P = v_1v_2v_3...u$  be the shortest path connecting  $v_1$  and u. Since  $h(G) \ge 3$ , we have  $u \ne v_i$  for each  $i \in [3]$ . If  $d_G(v_2) \ge 3$ , then  $v_2$  is a strong support vertex. By Claim 3.1,  $\gamma_2(G) \le a(G) + 1$ . So, we assume that  $d_G(v_2) = 2$ . Assume that  $v_3$  have s leaf-neighbors.

If  $s \ge 1$ , we denote these leaf-neighbors of  $v_3$  with  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_s$ . Let  $\theta_{v_3} = N_G(v_3) \setminus \{v_4, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_s\}$ . Then  $|\theta_{v_3}| \ge 1$ , as  $v_2 \in \theta_{v_3}$ . If  $|\theta_{v_3}| \ge 2$ , let  $\theta_{v_3} \setminus \{v_2\} = \{y_1, \ldots, y_t\}$ . Each vertex in  $\theta_{v_3}$  must be a support vertex since  $d_G(u, v_1) = h(G)$ . By Claim 3.1, it suffices to prove that  $d(y_i) = 2$  for each  $i \in [t]$ . Let  $z_i$  be the only child of  $y_i$  for each  $i \in [t]$ . It is clear that  $d_G(v_3) = s + t + 2$ , since the subtree  $T_u$  is rooted at u and  $u \neq v_3$ .

Now, let  $G' = G - \{v_1, v_2, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s, y_1, \dots, y_t, z_1, \dots, z_t\}$ . So m(G') = m(G) - s - 2t - 2 and  $d_{G'}(v_3) = 1$ . By Observations 1 and 2,  $v_3$  belongs to any minimum 2-dominating set and optimal annihilation set of G'. Let D' be a  $\gamma_2$ -set of G' and S' be an optimal annihilation set of G'. Hence,  $D = D' \cup \{v_1, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s, z_1, \dots, z_t\}$  is a 2-dominating set of G, which gives that  $\gamma_2(G) \leq |D| = |D'| + s + t + 1 = \gamma_2(G') + s + t + 1$ . Let  $S = (S' \setminus \{v_3\}) \cup \{v_1, v_2, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s, z_1, \dots, z_t\}$ . Then  $\sum (S, G) = \sum (S', G) - d_G(v_3) + d_G(v_1) + d_G(v_2) + d_G(x_1) + \dots + d_G(x_s) + d_G(z_1) + \dots + d_G(z_t) \leq (\sum (S', G') + d_G(v_3) - 1) - d_G(v_3) + s + t + 3 \leq m(G') + s + t + 2 = m(G) - t \leq m(G)$ . So  $a(G) \geq |S| = |S'| + s + t + 1 = a(G') + s + t + 1$ . Obviously, G' is a unicyclic graph of order n' < n. By the induction hypothesis,  $\gamma_2(G') \leq a(G') + 1$ . Then

$$\gamma_2(G) \le \gamma_2(G') + s + t + 1 \le a(G') + s + t + 2 \le a(G) + 1.$$

This completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

## References

- [1] Y. Caro, Y. Roditty, A note on the k-domination number of a graph, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 13 (1990), 205–206.
- M. Chellali, O. Favaron, A. Hansberg, L. Volkmann, k-domination and k-independence in graphs: a survey, Graphs Combin. 28 (2012), 1–55.
- [3] E. DeLaViña, Written on the wall II, (conjectures of Graffiti.pc), http://cms.uhd.edu/faculty/delavinae/research/wowii.
- [4] W. J. Desormeaux, M. A. Henning, D. F. Rall, A. Yeo, Relating the annihilation number and the 2-domination number of a tree, Discrete Math. 319 (2014), 15–23.
- [5] J. F. Fink, M. S. Jacobson, *n-domination in graphs, in: Y. Alavi, A.J. Schwenk (Eds.)*, Graph Theory with Applications to Algorithms and Computer Science, Wiley, New York, pp. (1985), 283–300.
- [6] M. Jakovac, Relating the annihilation number and the 2-domination number of block graphs, Discrete Appl. Math. 260 (2019), 178–187.
- [7] J. Lyle, S. Patterson, A note on the annihilation number and 2-domination number of a tree, J. Comb. Optim. 33 (2017), 968–976.
- [8] R. Pepper, *Binding Independence*, Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Houston, 2004.
- [9] J. Yue, S. Z. Zhang, Y. P. Zhu, S. Klavžar, Y. T. Shi, The annihilation number does not bound the 2-domination number from the above, Discrete Math. 343(6) (2020), 111707.