Filomat 38:2 (2024), 405–420 https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL2402405J



Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

# On $\phi$ -S-1-absorbing $\delta$ -primary ideals of commutative rings

# Ameer Jaber<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, The Hashemite University, Zarqa, Jordan

**Abstract.** Let *R* be a commutative ring with unity  $(1 \neq 0)$  and let  $\mathfrak{J}(R)$  be the set of all ideals of *R*. Let  $\phi : \mathfrak{J}(R) \to \mathfrak{J}(R) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  be a reduction function of ideals of *R* and let  $\delta : \mathfrak{J}(R) \to \mathfrak{J}(R)$  be an expansion function of ideals of *R*. We recall that a proper ideal *I* of *R* is called a  $\phi$ -1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R*, if whenever  $abc \in I - \phi(I)$  for some nonunit elements  $a, b, c \in R$ , then  $ab \in I$  or  $c \in \delta(I)$ . In this paper, we introduce a new class of ideals that is a generalization to the class of  $\phi$ -1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideals. Let *S* be a multiplicative subset of *R* such that  $1 \in S$  and let *I* be a proper ideal of *R* with  $S \cap I = \emptyset$ , then *I* is called a  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R* associated to  $s \in S$ , if whenever  $abc \in I - \phi(I)$  for some nonunit elements *a*, *b*, *c*  $\in R$ , then sab  $\in I$  or sc  $\in \delta(I)$ . In this paper, we have presented a range of different examples, properties, characterizations of this new class of ideals.

# 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper all rings are commutative with unity  $(1 \neq 0)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{J}(R)$  be the set of all ideals of R. In [17], Yassine et al. introduced the concept of 1-absorbing prime ideals as a generalization of prime ideals. A proper ideal *I* of *R* is called a 1-absorbing prime ideal if whenever  $xyz \in I$  for some nonunit elements *x*,  $y, z \in R$  then either  $xy \in I$  or  $z \in I$ . After that in [13], Koc et al. defined weakly 1-absorbing prime ideals as a generalization of 1-absorbing prime ideals. Then in [2], Badawi and Celikel defined 1-absorbing primary ideals and in [3] they defined weakly 1-absorbing primary ideals. In a recent study, D. Zhao [20] introduced the concept of expansion function of ideals of R. Let  $\delta$  be an expansion function of ideals of R, recall from [20] that a proper ideal *I* of *R* is said to be a  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R*, if  $a, b \in R$  with  $ab \in I$ , then  $a \in I$  or  $b \in \delta(I)$ . This concept of  $\delta$ -primary ideals is a generalization of the concepts of prime ideals and primary ideals. Let  $\delta$  be an expansion function of ideals of R and  $\phi$  a reduction function of ideals of R. In a very recent studies, Yildiz et al. [19] defined  $\phi$ -1-absorbing prime ideals as a generalization of 1-absorbing prime ideals and El Khalfi et al. [5] defined 1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideals as a generalization of 1-absorbing prime ideals. Let S be a multiplicative subset of R such that  $1 \in S$ . In [10], A. Hamed and A. Malek introduced the concept of S-prime ideal as a generalization of prime ideals. Recall from [10] that a proper ideal I of R with  $I \cap S = \emptyset$ is said to be an S-prime if there exists  $s \in S$  such that for all  $a, b \in R$  with  $ab \in I$  implies that  $sa \in I$  or  $sb \in I$ . In [12] the author introduced the concept of  $\phi$ - $\delta$ -primary ideals and this concept is a generalization of the concept of  $\delta$ -primary ideals in [20], after that in [11] the author introduced the concept of  $\phi$ - $\delta$ -S-primary ideals which is a generalization of the concept of  $\phi$ - $\delta$ -primary ideals. In the most recent research, Mahdou et al. [14] defined an S-1-absorbing prime ideals and weakly S-1-absorbing prime ideals as generalizations

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 13A15, 13C05

*Keywords*. prime ideal, *S*-prime ideal, *S*-primary ideal,  $\delta$ -primary ideal,  $\phi$ - $\delta$ -primary ideal

Received: 18 November 2022; Revised: 27 July 2023; Accepted: 08 August 2023

Communicated by Dijana Mosić

Email address: ameerj@hu.edu.jo (Ameer Jaber)

of 1-absorbing prime ideals and weakly 1-absorbing prime ideals.

Let  $\phi$  and  $\delta$  be a reduction and an expansion functions of ideals of *R*, respectively. Motivated and inspired by the previous works, the purpose of this article is to extend the concepts of  $\phi$ -*S*-prime ideals of *R* and  $\phi$ - $\delta$ -*S*-primary ideals of *R* to the concept of  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideals of *R*, where *S* is a multiplicative subset of *R* such that  $1 \in S$ . This means that the concept of  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideals of *R*. In Example 2.6(i) and Example 2.9, we show that the next right arrows of ideals are irreversible:

S-prime  $\Rightarrow \phi$ -S-prime ideals  $\Rightarrow \phi$ -S-1-absorbing prime ideals  $\Rightarrow \phi$ -S-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideals.  $\phi$ - $\delta$ -primary ideals  $\Rightarrow \phi$ -1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideals.

The main goal of our article is to study the reversibility of the above right arrows of ideals in a commutative ring with unity  $(1 \neq 0)$  and to present a range of different examples, properties, and characterizations of the concept of  $\phi$ -S-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideals.

Let  $\phi, \delta$  be a reduction function and an expansion function of ideals of R, respectively, and let S be a multiplicative subset of *R* such that  $1 \in S$ . In this paper, we call a proper ideal *I* of *R*, with  $I \cap S = \emptyset$ , a  $\phi$ -*S*-1absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R* associated to some  $s \in S$  if whenever *a*, *b*, *c* are nonunit elements in *R* such that  $abc \in I - \phi(I)$ , then  $sab \in I$  or  $sc \in \delta(I)$ . Among many results in the article, it is shown (Proposition 2.22) that if *I* is a  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R* associated to some  $s \in S$  such that it is not an *S*-1-absorbing δ-primary where (*x*, *y*, *z*) is a  $\phi$ -S-1-δ-triple zero of *I* with *sxz*, *syz* ∉ *I*, then  $I^3 \subseteq \phi(I)$ . Theorem 2.25 proves that a proper ideal *I* of *R* is a  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R* associated to some  $s \in S$  if and only if for each *a*, *b* nonunit elements in *R* such that  $ab \notin (I:s)$  we have either  $(I:ab) \subseteq (\delta(I):s)$  or  $(I:ab) = (\phi(I):ab)$ . Also, in the same theorem we prove that a proper ideal I of R is a  $\phi$ -S-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of R associated to some  $s \in S$  if and only if for each proper ideals *J*, *K*, *L* of *R* such that *JKL*  $\subseteq$  *I* but *JKL*  $\notin \phi(I)$ , either  $sJK \subseteq I$  or  $sL \subseteq \delta(I)$ . Moreover, in the case when  $\phi(I : a) = (\phi(I) : a)$ ,  $\delta(I : a) = (\delta(I) : a)$  for each  $a \in R$ and S satisfies the conditions  $(I : t) \subseteq (I : s)$ ,  $\phi(I) = (\phi(I) : t)$  for each  $t \in S$ , where s is a nonunit element in *S*, it is proved (Theorem 2.35) that *I* is a  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R* associated to  $s \in S$  if and only if (I:s) is a  $\phi$ -1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R*. In section 3, let  $f: X \to Y$  be a nonzero  $(\delta, \phi) - (\gamma, \psi)$ surjective homomorphism. In Theorem 3.3, we prove that f induces one-to-one correspondence between  $\phi$ -S-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideals of X associated to some  $s \in S$  consisting ker(f) and  $\psi$ -f(S)-1-absorbing  $\gamma$ -primary ideals of Y associated to  $f(s) \in f(S)$ . Also, in Lemma 3.6, we prove that if a,b,c are nonunit elements in X, then (a, b, c) is a  $\phi$ -S-1- $\delta$ -triple zero of I, where I is a  $\phi$ -S-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideals of X associated to some  $s \in S$  consisting ker(*f*), if and only if (f(a), f(b), f(c)) is a  $\psi$ -f(S)-1- $\gamma$ -triple zero of f(I). In the last section, we determine  $\phi$ -S-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideals in direct product of rings and we prove some results concerning  $\phi$ -S-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideals in direct product of rings. (See, Theorem 4.1, Corollary 4.2 and Theorem 4.4).

#### 2. Properties of $\phi$ -S-1-absorbing $\delta$ -Primary ideals

Our aim in this section is to present a range of different properties, characterizations, and examples of  $\phi$ -S-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideals of R, where R is a commutative ring with unity (1  $\neq$  0). First, we start with the following basic definition.

**Definition 2.1.** Let *R* be a commutative ring with unity  $(1 \neq 0)$ , and let  $\mathfrak{J}(R)$  be the set of all ideals of *R*. (1) Recall from [20] that a function  $\delta : \mathfrak{J}(R) \to \mathfrak{J}(R)$  is called an expansion function of ideals of *R* if whenever *I*, *J*, *K* are ideals of *R* with  $J \subseteq I$ , then  $\delta(J) \subseteq \delta(I)$  and  $K \subseteq \delta(K)$ .

(2) Recall from [12] that a function  $\phi : \mathfrak{J}(R) \to \mathfrak{J}(R)$  is called a reduction function of ideals of R if  $\phi(I) \subseteq I$  for all ideals I of R and if whenever  $P \subseteq Q$ , where P and Q are ideals of R, then  $\phi(P) \subseteq \phi(Q)$ .

Next, we define the concepts of *S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary and  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideals of *R*.

**Definition 2.2.** *Let R be a commutative ring with unity*  $(1 \neq 0)$ *, and S a multiplicative subset of R. Suppose*  $\delta$ *,*  $\phi$  *are expansion and reduction functions of ideals of R, respectively.* 

(1) A proper ideal I of R satisfying  $I \cap S = \emptyset$  is said to be an S-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of R associated to  $s \in S$ , if whenever  $abc \in I$  for some nonunit elements  $a, b, c \in R$ , then  $sab \in I$  or  $sc \in \delta(I)$ .

(2) A proper ideal I of R satisfying  $I \cap S = \emptyset$  is said to be a  $\phi$ -S-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of R associated to  $s \in S$ , if whenever  $abc \in I - \phi(I)$  for some nonunit elements  $a, b, c \in R$ , then  $sab \in I$  or  $sc \in \delta(I)$ .

In the following example, we recall from [4] some examples of expansion functions of ideals of a given ring *R*.

# Example 2.3.

(1) The identity function  $\delta_0$ , where  $\delta_0(I) = I$  for any  $I \in \mathfrak{J}(R)$ , is an expansion function of ideals in R.

(2) For each ideal *I* of *R* define  $\delta_1(I) = \sqrt{I}$ . Then  $\delta_1$  is an expansion function of ideals in *R*.

(3) Let *J* be a proper ideal of *R*. If  $\delta(I) = I + J$  for every ideal *I* in  $\mathfrak{J}(R)$ , then  $\delta$  is an expansion function of ideals in *R*.

(4) Let *J* be a proper ideal of *R*. If  $\delta(I) = (I : J)$  for every ideal *I* in  $\mathfrak{J}(R)$ , then  $\delta$  is an expansion function of ideals in *R*.

(5) Assume that  $\delta_1$ ,  $\delta_2$  are expansion functions of ideals of R. Let  $\delta : \mathfrak{J}(R) \to \mathfrak{J}(R)$  such that  $\delta(I) = \delta_1(I) + \delta_2(I)$ . Then  $\delta$  is an expansion function of ideals of R.

(6) Assume that  $\delta_1$ ,  $\delta_2$  are expansion functions of ideals of R. Let  $\delta : \mathfrak{J}(R) \to \mathfrak{J}(R)$  such that  $\delta(I) = \delta_1(I) \cap \delta_2(I)$ . Then  $\delta$  is an expansion function of ideals of R.

(7) Assume that  $\delta_1, ..., \delta_n$  are expansion functions of ideals of *R*. Let  $\delta : \mathfrak{J}(R) \to \mathfrak{J}(R)$  such that  $\delta(I) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \delta_i(I)$  then  $\delta$  is also an expansion function of ideals of *R*.

(8) Assume that  $\delta_1, \delta_2$  are expansion functions of ideals of *R*. Let  $\delta : \mathfrak{J}(R) \to \mathfrak{J}(R)$  such that  $\delta(I) = \delta_1(\delta_2(I))$ . Then  $\delta$  is an expansion function of ideals of *R*.

Recall that if  $\psi_1, \psi_2 : \mathfrak{J}(R) \to \mathfrak{J}(R) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  are expansion (reduction) functions of ideals of *R*, we define  $\psi_1 \le \psi_2$  if  $\psi_1(I) \subseteq \psi_2(I)$  for each  $I \in \mathfrak{J}(R)$ .

In the following example, we recall from [1] some examples of reduction functions of ideals of a given ring *R*.

# Example 2.4.

(1) The function  $\phi_{\emptyset}$ , where  $\phi_{\emptyset}(I) = \emptyset$  for any  $I \in \mathfrak{J}(R)$  is an ideal reduction.

(2) The function  $\phi_0$ , where  $\phi_0(I) = \{0\}$  for any  $I \in \mathfrak{J}(R)$  is an ideal reduction.

(3) The function  $\phi_2$ , where  $\phi_2(I) = I^2$  for any  $I \in \mathfrak{J}(R)$  is an ideal reduction.

(4) The function  $\phi_n$ , where  $\phi_n(I) = I^n$  for any  $I \in \mathfrak{J}(R)$  is an ideal reduction.

(5) The function  $\phi_{\omega}$ , where  $\phi_{\omega}(I) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I^n$  for any  $I \in \mathfrak{J}(R)$  is an ideal reduction.

(6) The function  $\phi_1$ , where  $\phi_1(I) = I$  for any  $I \in \mathfrak{J}(R)$  is an ideal reduction.

Observe that  $\phi_{\emptyset} \leq \phi_0 \leq \phi_{\omega} \leq \cdots \leq \phi_{n+1} \leq \phi_n \leq \cdots \leq \phi_2 \leq \phi_1$ .

# Remark 2.5.

(1) If  $\delta \leq \gamma$ . Then every  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R* is a  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing  $\gamma$ -primary ideal. In particular, every  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing prime ideal of *R* is a  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal. However, the converse is not true in general.

(2) If  $\phi \leq \psi$ . Then every  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R* is a  $\psi$ -*S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal. In particular, every *S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R* is a  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal. However, the converse is not true in general.

# Example 2.6.

(i) Set  $R = \mathbb{Z}_{24}$ ,  $I = 8\mathbb{Z}_{24}$ . Then  $\delta_1(I) = \sqrt{I} = 2\mathbb{Z}_{24}$ . Take  $S = \{1\}$ ,  $\phi = \phi_{\emptyset}$ . Then it is easy to check that *I* is an *S*-1-absorbing  $\delta_1$ -primary ideal of *R*, since if *a*, *b*, *c* are nonunit elements in *R* such that  $abc \in I$ , then 2/abc. If 2/c then  $c \in \delta_1(I)$ . If not, then 8/ab implies that  $ab \in I$ . Moreover, *I* is not an *S*-1-absorbing prime ideal, since (2)(2)(2) =  $8 \in I$  but neither  $4 \in I$  nor  $2 \in I$ .

(ii) Set  $R = \mathbb{Z}_{24}$ ,  $S = \{1, 5\}$ . Then *S* is a multiplicative subset of *R*. Let  $I = \{0\}$ . Then  $\delta_1(I) = 6\mathbb{Z}_{24}$ ,  $\phi_2(I) = I^2 = (0)$ . So, *I* is an almost-*S*-1-absorbing  $\delta_1$ -primary ideal of *R* associated to s = 5. Moreover, (3)(2)(4) =  $0 \in I$  but neither (5)(3)(2)  $\in I$  nor (5)(4)  $\in \delta_1(I)$ . Thus, *I* is not an *S*-1-absorbing  $\delta_1$ -primary ideal of *R* associated to s = 5.

**Proposition 2.7.** Let  $\{J_i : i \in \Delta\}$  be a directed set of  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideals of *R* associated to  $s \in S$ . Then the ideal  $J = \bigcup_{i \in \Delta} J_i$  is a  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R* associated to  $s \in S$ .

#### Proof.

Let  $abc \in J - \phi(J)$ , where a, b, c are nonunit elements in R. Suppose  $sab \notin J$ . We want to show that  $sc \in \delta(J)$ . Since  $abc \notin \phi(J)$ , we have  $abc \notin \phi(J_i)$  for all  $i \in \Delta$ . Let  $t \in \Delta$  such that  $abc \in J_t - \phi(J_t)$ , then  $sab \in J_t$  or  $sc \in \delta(J_t)$ , since  $J_t$  is a  $\phi$ -S-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of R associated to  $s \in S$ . Since  $sab \notin J$ , we have  $sab \notin J_t$  which implies that  $sc \in \delta(J_t) \subseteq \delta(J)$ . Hence J is a  $\phi$ -S-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of R associated to  $s \in S$ .

**Proposition 2.8.** Let  $\{Q_i : i \in \Delta\}$  be a directed set of  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideals of *R* associated to  $s \in S$ . Suppose  $\phi(Q_i) = \phi(Q_j)$  and  $\delta(Q_i) = \delta(Q_j)$  for every  $i, j \in \Delta$ . If  $\phi, \delta$  have the intersection property, then the ideal  $J = \bigcap_{i \in \Delta} Q_i$  is a  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R* associated to  $s \in S$ .

#### Proof.

Let  $t \in \Delta$ , since  $\phi(Q_i) = \phi(Q_t)$  and  $\delta(Q_i) = \delta(Q_t)$  for every  $i \in \Delta$ , and since  $\phi$ ,  $\delta$  have the intersection property, then  $\phi(J) = \phi(Q_t)$  and  $\delta(J) = \delta(Q_t)$ . Let  $abc \in J - \phi(J)$ , where a, b, c are nonunit elements in R such that  $sc \notin \delta(J)$ . Then  $abc \in Q_t - \phi(Q_t)$ . Since  $Q_t$  is a  $\phi$ -S-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of R associated to  $s \in S$ , we conclude that  $sab \in Q_t$  or  $sc \in \delta(Q_t)$ . Since  $sc \notin \delta(J)$ , we get  $sc \notin \delta(Q_t) = \delta(J)$ . Hence we conclude that  $sab \in Q_t$  for each  $t \in \Delta$  which implies that  $sab \in J$ . Thus, J is a  $\phi$ -S-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of R associated to  $s \in S$ .

Obviously, every  $\phi$ -1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of R is a  $\phi$ -S-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal associated to  $s \in S$ . In particular, every weakly 1-absorbing  $\delta_1$ -primary ideal of R is a weakly S-1-absorbing  $\delta_1$ -primary ideal associated to  $s \in S$ . However, the next example shows that the converse is not true in general.

**Example 2.9.** Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}_{24}[x]$ ,  $P = 12\mathbb{Z}_{24}[x]$ . Let  $\phi = \phi_0$  and  $\delta = \delta_1$ , Let  $S = \{4^k : k \ge 0\} = \{1, 4, 16\}$ . Then *S* is a multiplicative subset of *R* such that  $P \cap S = \emptyset$ , and  $\phi_0(P) = \{0\}$ ,  $\delta_1(P) = 6\mathbb{Z}_{24}[x]$ . We show that *P* is a weakly *S*-1-absorbing  $\delta_1$ -primary ideal of *R* associated to  $s = 4 \in S$ . Let f(x), g(x), h(x) be nonunit polynomials in *R* such that  $0 \neq fgh \in P$ . If 3/h(x) then 12/4h(x) implies that  $4h(x) \in \delta_1(P)$ . If not, then 3/f(x)g(x) implies that 12/4f(x)g(x) and hence,  $4f(x)g(x) \in P$ . Thus, we conclude that *P* is a weakly *S*-1-absorbing  $\delta_1$ -primary ideal of *R* associated to s = 4. Since  $0 \neq (3x)(3)(4x) = 12x^2 \in P$  and neither  $9x \in P$  nor  $4x \in \delta_1(P)$ , we get that *P* is not a weakly 1-absorbing  $\delta_1$ -primary ideal of *R*. Moreover, *P* is also not a weakly  $\delta_1$ -primary ideal of *R*.

Following to [16], we give the following definition about quasi-local rings.

**Definition 2.10.** A commutative ring R is said to be a quasi-local ring if it has a unique maximal ideal. Otherwise, we say R is a non-quasi-local ring.

In the next result, we show that if a ring *R* admits an *S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal that is not a  $\delta$ -*S*-primary, then *R* is a quasi-local ring.

**Theorem 2.11.** If *I* is an *S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R* associated to  $s \in S$  such that *I* is not a  $\delta$ -*S*-primary, then *R* is a quasi-local ring.

#### Proof.

Since *I* is not a  $\delta$ -*S*-primary ideal of *R* associated to *s*, there exist *a*, *b* in *R* such that  $ab \in I$  with  $sa \notin I$  and  $sb \notin \delta(I)$ . Then it is easy to see that *a* and *b* are nonunit elements in *R*. Now, let *d* be a nonunit element in *R*, then  $adb \in I$ . Thus,  $sad \in I$ , since  $sb \notin \delta(I)$ . Suppose for a unit element *c* in *R*, d + c is a nonunit in *R*. Then  $a(d + c)b \in I$  and  $sb \notin \delta(I)$  implies that  $sa(d + c) \in I$ . So,  $sac \in I$  implies that  $sa \in I$ , since  $sad \in I$ , a contradiction. Hence, d + c is a unit in *R*. Thus the result follows from [2, Lemma 1].

**Theorem 2.12.** Let *R* be a non-quasi-local ring and *I* proper ideal of *R*. Suppose that  $(\phi(I) : x)$  is not a maximal ideal in *R* for each  $x \in I$ . Then the following statements are equivalent

(1) *I* is a  $\phi$ - $\delta$ -*S*-primary ideal of *R* associated to  $s \in S$ .

(2) *I* is a  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R* associated to *s*.

# Proof.

 $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ : Clear.

 $(2 \rightarrow 1)$ : Let  $x, y \in R$  such that  $xy \in I - \phi(I)$ . If x or y is a unit in R, then  $sx \in I$  or  $sy \in I \subseteq \delta(I)$ . Therefore, we may assume that x, y are nonunit elements in R. Since  $xy \notin \phi(I)$ , we get that  $(\phi(I) : xy)$  is a proper ideal of R. Let M be a maximal ideal of R such that  $(\phi(I) : xy)$  is properly contained in M. Choose a maximal ideal N of R such that  $N \neq M$ , since R is a non-quasi-local ring. Let  $z \in N - M$ . Then z is a nonunit in R with  $z \notin (\phi(I) : xy)$ , since  $(\phi(I) : xy) \subseteq M$ . So,  $zxy \in I - \phi(I)$  implies that  $szx \in I$  or  $sy \in \delta(I)$ . If  $sy \in \delta(I)$ , then we are done. If not, then  $szx \in I$ . Let  $a \in R$  such that  $1 + az \in M$ , since  $z \notin M$ . Then 1 + az is a nonunit in R. If  $1 + az \notin (\phi(I) : xy)$ , then  $(1 + az)xy \in I - \phi(I)$  which implies that  $s(1 + az)x \in I$ , since  $sy \notin \delta(I)$ . Hence,  $sx \in I$ , since  $szxa \in I$ . Assume that  $1 + az \in (\phi(I) : xy)$ , then  $(1 + az)xy \in I - \phi(I)$  which implies that  $s(1 + az)xy \in I - \phi(I)$ . Moreover, (1 + b + az) is not a unit in R since  $(1 + b + az) \in M$ , and  $(1 + b + az)xy = (1 - az)xy + bxy \in I - \phi(I)$  implies that  $s(1 + b + az)x \in I - \phi(I)$ . So,  $sbx \in I$  since  $sy \notin \delta(I)$ . Also,  $(1 + b + az)xy \in I - \phi(I)$  and  $sy \notin \delta(I)$  implies that  $s(1 + b + az)x = sx + sbx + szxa \in I$ . Hence,  $sx \in I$ . Accordingly, I is a  $\phi$ - $\delta$ -S-primary ideal of R associated to s.

**Lemma 2.13.** Let *R* be any ring. If *I* is an *S*-1-absorbing prime ideal of *R* associated to  $s \in S$  that is not *S*-prime, then (*R*, **m**) is a quasi-local ring and *I* is a 1-absorbing prime ideal of *R* that is not a prime such that  $\mathbf{m}^2 \subseteq I \subsetneq \mathbf{m}$ .

#### Proof.

Suppose that *I* is an *S*-1-absorbing prime ideal of *R* associated to  $s \in S$  that is not *S*-prime. By Theorem 2.11,  $(R, \mathbf{m})$  is a quasi-local ring with a unique maximal ideal  $\mathbf{m}$ . Moreover, since *I* is not an *S*-prime, there exist  $x, y \in R$  such that  $xy \in I$  and  $sx \notin I$ ,  $sy \notin I$ . If x is a unit in *R*, then  $y \in I$  implies that  $sy \in I$ , a contradiction. Similarly, if y is a unit, then we get again a contradiction. Therefore, we may assume that x, y are nonunit elements in *R*. Let  $a, b \in \mathbf{m}$ , then  $abxy \in I$  implies that  $sabx \in I$ , since  $sy \notin I$ . So,  $sabx \in I$  implies that  $s^2ab = abs^2 \in I$ , since  $sx \notin I$ . Thus,  $abs^2 \in I$  implies that  $sab \in I$  or  $s^3 \in I$ . Since  $I \cap S = \emptyset$  and  $s^3 \in S$ , we get that  $sab \in I$  implies that  $s\mathbf{m}^2 \subseteq I$ . If s is not a unit in *R*, then  $s \in \mathbf{m}$  implies that  $s^3 \in s\mathbf{m}^2 \subseteq I$ , a contradiction. Therefore, s is a unit in *R*. Thus, I is a 1-absorbing prime ideal of *R* that is not a prime. Hence, by [6, Lemma 1],  $\mathbf{m}^2 \subseteq I \subseteq \mathbf{m}$ .

**Proposition 2.14.** Let *I* be a proper ideal of *R* such that *I* is a  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R* associated to  $s \in S$  such that  $\sqrt{\delta(I)} \subseteq \delta(\sqrt{I})$  and  $\sqrt{\phi(I)} \subseteq \phi(\sqrt{I})$ , then  $\sqrt{I}$  is a  $\phi$ -*S*- $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R* associated to *s*.

# Proof.

Let  $a, b \in R$  such that  $ab \in \sqrt{I} - \phi(\sqrt{I})$ . Then  $ab \in \sqrt{I}$  which implies that  $a^n b^n \in I$  for some  $n \ge 1$ . If  $a^n b^n \in \phi(I)$ , then  $ab \in \sqrt{\phi(I)} \subseteq \phi(\sqrt{I})$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $a^n b^n \in I - \phi(I)$ . So  $a^k a^k b^n \in I - \phi(I)$  for some positive integer k. Since a, b are nonunit elements in R and I is a  $\phi$ -S-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of R associated to s, we conclude that  $sa^{2k} \in I$  or  $sb^n \in \delta(I)$ . Thus,  $sa \in \sqrt{I}$  or  $sb \in \sqrt{\delta(I)} \subseteq \delta(\sqrt{I})$ . Hence,  $\sqrt{I}$  is a  $\phi$ - $\delta$ -S-primary ideal of R associated to s.

**Corollary 2.15.** Let *I* be a proper ideal of *R* such that *I* is a  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing primary ideal of *R* associated to  $s \in S$ . Suppose that  $\sqrt{\phi(I)} \subseteq \phi(\sqrt{I})$ . Then  $\sqrt{I}$  is a  $\phi$ -*S*-prime ideal of *R* associated to *s*.

#### Proof.

Let  $\delta(J) = \sqrt{J}$  for every ideal *J* in *R*. Then, by the above proposition, if *I* is a  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing primary ideal of *R* associated to *s* then  $\sqrt{I}$  is a  $\phi$ -*S*-prime ideal of *R* associated to *s*.

**Proposition 2.16.** Let *I* be a proper ideal of *R* such that *I* is a  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing primary ideal of *R* associated to  $s \in S$ . Suppose that  $\sqrt{\phi(I)} \subseteq \phi(\sqrt{I})$  and  $(\phi(\sqrt{I}) : x) \subseteq (\phi(\sqrt{I}) : s)$  for each  $x \in S$ . If  $a \in R - (\sqrt{I} : s)$ , then  $S \cap (\sqrt{I} : a) = \emptyset$ .

# Proof.

It is easy to see that  $\sqrt{I} \cap S = \emptyset$ , since  $I \cap S = \emptyset$ . Also, by the above corollary,  $\sqrt{I}$  is a  $\phi$ -*S*-prime ideal of *R* associated to *s*. We show that  $S \cap (\sqrt{I} : a) = \emptyset$ . Let  $t \in S$  such that  $ta \in \sqrt{I}$ . If  $ta \in \phi(\sqrt{I})$ , then  $a \in (\phi(\sqrt{I}) : t) \subseteq (\phi(\sqrt{I}) : s)$  which implies that  $sa \in \phi(\sqrt{I}) \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $ta \in \sqrt{I} - \phi(\sqrt{I})$  implies that  $sa \in \sqrt{I}$  or  $st \in \sqrt{I}$ , which is a contradiction again, since  $a \notin (\sqrt{I} : s)$  and  $S \cap \sqrt{I} = \emptyset$ .

**Corollary 2.17.** Let *I* be a proper ideal of *R* such that *I* is a  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R* associated to  $s \in S$  with  $\delta(I) \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ . Suppose  $(\phi(\sqrt{I}) : x) \subseteq (\phi(\sqrt{I}) : s)$  for each  $x \in S$  and  $(\delta(I) : s) = (\sqrt{I} : s)$ . Then  $(\delta(I) : s) = (\delta(I) : s^2)$  and if whenever  $a \in R - (\delta(I) : s)$ , then  $S \cap (\delta(I) : a) = \emptyset$ .

# Proof.

Since  $\delta(I) \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ , it is easy to see that if *I* is a  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R* associated to *s*, then *I* is a  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing primary ideal of *R* associated to *s*. Moreover, if  $\delta(I) \subseteq \sqrt{I}$  and  $(\delta(I) : s) = (\sqrt{I} : s)$ , then  $(\delta(I) : s) = (\delta(I) : s^2)$ , since  $(\sqrt{I} : s) = (\sqrt{I} : s^2)$ . So, if  $a \in R - (\delta(I) : s)$ , then  $sa \notin \sqrt{I}$ . Thus, by the above proposition,  $S \cap (\sqrt{I} : a) = \emptyset$ . Hence  $S \cap (\delta(I) : a) \subseteq S \cap (\sqrt{I} : a) = \emptyset$ , since  $\delta(I) \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ .

Recall that if *I*, *J*, *K* are ideals of *R* such that  $K = I \cup J$ , then K = I or K = J.

**Theorem 2.18.** Let *I* be a proper ideal of *R* such that *I* is a  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R* associated to  $s \in S$ , where  $s \notin U(R)$ . If  $c \in R - (\delta(I) : s^2)$  such that *c* is not a unit in *R*, then  $(I : s^2c) = (I : s^2)$  or  $(I : s^2c) = (\phi(I) : s^2c)$ .

# Proof.

It is enough to show that  $(I : s^2c) = (I : s^2) \cup (\phi(I) : s^2c)$ . It is easy to see that  $(I : s^2)$  and  $(\phi(I) : s^2c)$  are subsets of  $(I : s^2c)$ . Let  $r \in (I : s^2c)$ , then  $rs^2c \in I$ . If  $rs^2c \in \phi(I)$  then  $r \in (\phi(I) : s^2c)$ . So we may assume that  $rs^2c \notin \phi(I)$ . Thus,  $rs^2c = (sr)(sc) \in I - \phi(I)$  implies that  $s^2r \in I$  since  $s^2c \notin \delta(I)$ . So,  $r \in (I : s^2)$ . Thus,  $(I : s^2c) = (I : s^2) \cup (\phi(I) : s^2c)$ . Hence  $(I : s^2c) = (I : s^2)$  or  $(I : s^2c) = (\phi(I) : s^2c)$ .

**Corollary 2.19.** Let *I* be a proper ideal of *R* such that *I* is a  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing primary ideal of *R* associated to  $s \in S$ , where  $s \notin U(R)$ . If  $c \in R - (\sqrt{I} : s)$  such that *c* is not a unit in *R*, then  $(I : s^2c) = (I : s^2)$  or  $(I : s^2c) = (\phi(I) : s^2c)$ .

# Proof.

It is easy to see that  $(\sqrt{I}:s) = (\sqrt{I}:s^2)$ . So if *c* is not a unit in *R* such that  $c \in R - (\sqrt{I}:s)$ , then  $c \in R - (\sqrt{I}:s^2)$ . Hence, by Theorem 2.18,  $(I:s^2c) = (I:s^2)$  or  $(I:s^2c) = (\phi(I):s^2c)$ .

**Definition 2.20.** Let I be a proper ideal of R such that I is a  $\phi$ -S-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of R associated to  $s \in S$  and let x, y, z be nonunit elements in R. Then (x, y, z) is said to be a  $\phi$ -S-1- $\delta$ -triple zero of I, if  $xyz \in \phi(I)$ ,  $sxy \notin I$  and  $sz \notin \delta(I)$ .

**Lemma 2.21.** Let *I* be a proper ideal of *R* such that *I* is a  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R* associated to  $s \in S$ . If *I* is not an *S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R* associated to *s*, then there exist nonunit elements x, y, z in *R* such that (x, y, z) is a  $\phi$ -*S*-1- $\delta$ -triple zero of *I*.

# Proof.

Suppose (x, y, z) is not a  $\phi$ -S-1- $\delta$ -triple zero of I for each nonunit elements x, y, z in R. We show that I is an S-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of R associated to s. Let a, b, c be nonunit elements in R such that  $abc \in I$ . If  $abc \notin \phi(I)$ , then  $sab \in I$  or  $sc \in \delta(I)$ . So we may assume that  $abc \in \phi(I)$ . Since (a, b, c) is not a  $\phi$ -S-1- $\delta$ -triple zero of I, we have  $sab \in I$  or  $sc \in \delta(I)$ . Hence, we conclude that I is an S-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of R associated to s.

**Proposition 2.22.** Let *I* be a proper ideal of *R* such that *I* is a  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R* associated to  $s \in S$ . If *I* is not an *S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary associated to s and (x, y, z) is a  $\phi$ -*S*-1- $\delta$ -triple zero of *I*. Then (1)  $xyI \subseteq \phi(I)$ .

(2) If  $sxz, syz \notin I$ , then  $I^3 \subseteq \phi(I)$ . In this case  $\sqrt{I} = \sqrt{\phi(I)}$ .

# Proof.

(1) Suppose that (x, y, z) is a  $\phi$ -*S*-1- $\delta$ -triple zero of *I*, then, by the lemma above,  $xyz \in \phi(I)$  such that  $xyy \notin I$  and  $sz \notin \delta(I)$ . Assume that  $xyI \notin \phi(I)$ . Then there exists  $a \in I$  such that  $xya \notin \phi(I)$ . So,  $xy(z + a) \in I - \phi(I)$ . If (z + a) is a unit in *R*, then  $xy \in I$  implies that  $sxy \in I$ , a contradiction. So, (z + a) is not a unit implies that  $sxy \in I$  or  $s(z + a) \in \delta(I)$  which is a contradiction. Thus,  $xyI \subseteq \phi(I)$ .

(2) If  $sxz, syz \notin I$ , then by using similar argument above we get  $xzI \subseteq \phi(I)$  and  $yzI \subseteq \phi(I)$ . Now, we show that  $xI^2 \subseteq \phi(I)$ . If  $xI^2 \nsubseteq \phi(I)$  then there exist  $a, b \in I$  such that  $xab \notin \phi(I)$  which implies that  $x(y+a)(z+b) \in I - \phi(I)$ . If (y+a) is a unit in R, then  $x(z+b) \in I$  implies that  $xz \in I$  and so  $sxz \in I$ , a contradiction. Hence, (y+a) is not a unit. Similarly, (z+b) is not a unit in R, since  $sxy \notin I$ . Thus, either  $sx(y+a) \in I$  or  $s(z+b) \in \delta(I)$  implies that  $sxy \in I$  or  $sz \in \delta(I)$ , a contradiction. So, we conclude that  $xI^2 \subseteq \phi(I)$ . Again by using the same argument above we get  $yI^2 \subseteq \phi(I)$  and  $zI^2 \subseteq \phi(I)$ . Now, we show that  $I^3 \subseteq \phi(I)$ . If  $I^3 \nsubseteq \phi(I)$ , then there exist  $a, b, c \in I$  such that  $abc \notin \phi(I)$ . Hence we conclude that  $(x + a)(y + b)(z + c) \in I - \phi(I)$ . If (x + a) is a unit in R, then  $(y+b)(z+c) = yz + yc + bz + bc \in I$  implies that  $yz \in I$ , so  $syz \in I$ , a contradiction. Similarly, (y+b), (z+c) are nonunit elements in R, since  $sxz \notin I$  and  $sxy \notin I$ . Thus we have  $s(x+a)(y+b) \in I$  or  $s(z+c) \in \delta(I)$  which implies that  $sxy \in I$  or  $sz \in \delta(I)$ , a contradiction. Accordingly,  $I^3 \subseteq \phi(I)$ . Hence  $\sqrt{I} \subseteq \sqrt{\phi(I)} \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ . Thus,  $\sqrt{I} = \sqrt{\phi(I)}$ .

Let *R* be a commutative ring with unity, *I* a proper ideal of *R*. Then, by Proposition 2.22 and by taking  $S = \{1\}$ , the following results hold.

#### Remark 2.23.

(1) If *I* is a weakly 1-absorbing prime ideal of *R* such that *I* is not a 1-absorbing prime ideal where (x, y, z) is a weakly 1-triple zero of *I* with  $xz, yz \notin I$ , then  $I^3 = 0$  (it suffices to take  $\delta = \delta_0, \phi = \phi_0$ ).

(2) If *I* is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of *R* such that *I* is not a 1-absorbing primary ideal where (x, y, z) is a weakly 1- $\delta_1$ -triple zero of *I* with  $xz, yz \notin I$ , then  $I^3 = 0$  (it suffices to take  $\delta = \delta_1, \phi = \phi_0$ ).

(3) If *I* is an *n*-almost 1-absorbing primary ideal of *R* such that *I* is not a 1-absorbing primary ideal where (x, y, z) is an almost 1- $\delta_1$ -triple zero of *I* with  $xz, yz \notin I$ , then  $I^3 = I^n$  (it suffices to take  $\delta = \delta_1, \phi = \phi_n$ ), where  $n \ge 3$ .

**Corollary 2.24.** Let *I* be a  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R* associated to  $s \in S$ , where *s* is not a unit in *R*, such that if *x*, *y*, *z* are nonunit elements in *R* with  $xyz \in \phi(I)$ , then  $sxz \notin I$  and  $syz \notin I$ . Then  $I^3 \subseteq \phi(I)$  or  $s \sqrt{\phi(I)} \subseteq \delta(I)$ .

# Proof.

Suppose that  $I^3 \not\subseteq \phi(I)$ . If *I* is not an *S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R* associated to *s*, then there exist nonunit elements  $x, y, z \in R$  such that (x, y, z) is a  $\phi$ -*S*-1- $\delta$ -triple zero of *I*. By part(2) of Proposition 2.22, we have  $sxz \in I$  or  $syz \in I$ , since  $I^3 \not\subseteq \phi(I)$ , a contradiction with the assumption. Thus, *I* has no  $\phi$ -*S*-1- $\delta$ -triple zero and this implies that *I* is an *S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R* associated to *s*. In this case we show that  $s \sqrt{\phi(I)} \subseteq \delta(I)$ . Suppose on the contrary that  $s \sqrt{\phi(I)} \not\subseteq \delta(I)$ , then there exists  $c \in \sqrt{\phi(I)}$  such that  $sc \notin \delta(I)$ . Let *k* be the minimal positive integer such that  $c^k \in \phi(I) \subseteq I$ . If  $c \in I$ , then  $sc \in \delta(I)$ , a contradiction. So, we may assume that  $c \notin I$ . Therefore,  $k \ge 2$ . If k = 2, then  $sc^2 \in I$ . Since c, s are not units in *R* and *I* is an *S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R* associated to *s*, we get  $s^2c \in I$ , since  $sc \notin \delta(I)$ . Again,  $s^2c \in I$  implies that  $s^3 \in I$  or  $sc \in \delta(I)$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $sc^2 \notin I$ . If  $k \ge 3$ , then  $c^k = c^{k-1}c \in I$  implies that  $sc^{k-1} \in I$ , since  $sc \notin \delta(I)$ . Continuing in this process to get that  $sc^2 \in I$ , a contradiction. Hence we conclude that  $s \sqrt{\phi(I)} \subseteq \delta(I)$ .

Theorem 2.25. Let *I* be a proper ideal of *R*. Then the following statements are equivalent.

(1) *I* is a  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R* associated to  $s \in S$ .

(2) For each nonunit elements  $a, b \in R$  such that  $ab \notin (I : s)$  we have either  $(I : ab) \subseteq (\delta(I) : s)$  or  $(I : ab) = (\phi(I) : ab)$ .

(3) For each proper ideal *J* of *R* such that  $abJ \subseteq I$  but  $abJ \not\subseteq \phi(I)$ , either  $sab \in I$  or  $sJ \subseteq \delta(I)$ .

(4) For each proper ideals *J*, *K* of *R* such that  $aJK \subseteq I$  but  $aJK \not\subseteq \phi(I)$ , either  $saJ \subseteq I$  or  $sK \subseteq \delta(I)$ .

(5) For each proper ideals *J*, *K*, *L* of *R* such that  $JKL \subseteq I$  but  $JKL \not\subseteq \phi(I)$ , either  $sJK \subseteq I$  or  $sL \subseteq \delta(I)$ .

# Proof.

 $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ : Let *a*, *b* be nonunit elements in *R* such that  $ab \notin (I : s)$ , then  $sab \notin I$ . Suppose that  $(I : ab) \neq (\phi(I) : ab)$ ,

then there exists  $c \in (I : ab)$  such that  $c \notin (\phi(I) : ab)$ . So,  $cab \in I - \phi(I)$  implies that  $sc \in \delta(I)$ , since  $sab \notin I$ . (note that c is not a unit in R, since if c is a unit, then  $ab \in I$  implies that  $sab \in I$ , which is a contradiction). We show that  $(I : ab) \subseteq (\delta(I) : s)$ . Let  $x \in (I : ab)$ , then  $xab \in I$ . If  $x \in (\phi(I) : ab)$ , then  $(x + c)ab \in I - \phi(I)$  and (x + c) is not a unit in R, since  $ab \notin I$ . This implies that  $s(x + c) \in \delta(I)$  and hence  $sx \in \delta(I)$ , since  $sc \in \delta(I)$  and  $sab \notin I$ . Thus,  $(I : ab) \subseteq (\delta(I) : s)$ 

 $(2 \rightarrow 3)$ : Suppose that  $abJ \subseteq I$  but  $abJ \not\subseteq \phi(I)$ . If  $ab \notin (I : s)$ , then  $(I : ab) = (\phi(I) : ab)$  or  $(I : ab) \subseteq (\delta(I) : s)$ . If  $(I : ab) = (\phi(I) : ab)$ , then  $abJ \subseteq \phi(I)$ , a contradiction. So,  $(I : ab) \subseteq (\delta(I) : s)$ , which implies that  $J \subseteq (I : ab) \subseteq (\delta(I) : s)$ . Thus,  $sJ \subseteq \delta(I)$ .

 $(3 \rightarrow 4)$ : Let *a* be a nonunit element in *R* such that  $aJK \subseteq I$  but  $aJK \not\subseteq \phi(I)$ . Suppose  $sK \not\subseteq \delta(I)$ . We show that  $saJ \subseteq I$ . Let  $y \in J$  be fixed such that  $ayK \not\subseteq \phi(I)$ . If  $ay \notin (I : s)$ , then either  $(I : ay) \subseteq (\delta(I) : s)$  or  $(I : ay) = (\phi(I) : ay)$ . Since  $K \subseteq (I : ay)$  and  $sK \not\subseteq \delta(I)$  we have  $(I : ay) = (\phi(I) : ay)$  which implies that  $ayK \subseteq \phi(I)$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $say \in I$ . Now, let  $x \in J$ , then  $axK \subseteq I$ . If  $axK \subseteq \phi(I)$ , then  $a(x + y)K \not\subseteq \phi(I)$  and (x + y) is not a unit in *R*, since  $(x + y) \in J$ . So, by using the same argument above, we have  $sa(x + y) \in I$  implies that  $sax \in I$ , since  $say \in I$ . If  $axK \not\subseteq \phi(I)$ , then  $K \subseteq (I : ax)$  and  $K \not\subseteq (\delta(I) : s)$ . If  $sax \notin I$ , then  $(I : ax) = (\phi(I) : ax)$  which implies that  $axK \subseteq \phi(I)$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $sax \in I$ . Hence we conclude that  $saI \subseteq I$ .

 $(4 \rightarrow 5)$ : Let J, K, L be proper ideals of R such that  $JKL \subseteq I$  but  $JKL \nsubseteq \phi(I)$ . Suppose  $sL \nsubseteq \delta(I)$ . We show that  $sJK \subseteq I$ . Let  $a \in J$  be fixed such that  $aKL \subseteq I$  but  $aKL \nsubseteq \phi(I)$ . Then, by (4),  $saK \subseteq I$ , since  $sL \nsubseteq \delta(I)$ . Now, let  $x \in J$ . If  $xKL \nsubseteq \phi(I)$ , then, by the same argument above, we have  $sxK \subseteq I$ . If  $xKL \subseteq \phi(I)$ , then  $(a + x)KL \nsubseteq \phi(I)$ , again by the same argument above, we have  $s(a + x)K \subseteq I$  and since  $saK \subseteq I$ , we get  $sxK \subseteq I$ . Consequently, we conclude that  $sJK \subseteq I$ .

 $(5 \rightarrow 1)$ : Let a, b, c be nonunit elements in R such that  $abc \in I - \phi(I)$ . Then  $\langle a \rangle \langle b \rangle \langle c \rangle \subseteq I$  and  $\langle a \rangle \langle b \rangle \langle c \rangle \not\subseteq \phi(I)$  implies that  $s \langle a \rangle \langle b \rangle \subseteq I$  or  $s \langle c \rangle \subseteq \delta(I)$ . Thus,  $sab \in I$  or  $sc \in \delta(I)$ . Accordingly, I is a  $\phi$ -S-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of R associated to s.

**Theorem 2.26.** Let *P* be a  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R* associated to  $s \in S$ . If  $(\phi(P) : d) \subseteq \phi(P : d)$  and  $(\delta(P) : d) \subseteq \delta(P : d)$  for each nonunit element  $d \in R - P$ , then (P : d) is also  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R* associated to *s*.

# Proof.

Let x, y, z be nonunit elements in R such that  $xyz \in (P : d) - \phi(P : d)$ . So,  $xydz \in P - \phi(P)$  implies that  $sxyd \in P$ or  $sz \in \delta(P)$ . Hence,  $sxy \in (P : d)$  or  $sz \in \delta(P) \subseteq (\delta(P) : d) \subseteq \delta(P : d)$  Thus, (P : d) is a  $\phi$ -S-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of R associated to s.

**Corollary 2.27.** Let *P* be a  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R* associated to  $s \in S$  and *J* a proper ideal in *R* such that  $J \notin P$ . If  $(\phi(P) : J) \subseteq \phi(P : J)$  and  $(\delta(P) : J) \subseteq \delta(P : J)$ , then (P : J) is a  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R* associated to *s*.

#### Proof.

Let *a*, *b*, *c* be nonunit elements in *R* such that  $abc \in (P : J) - \phi(P : J)$ . Then  $abcJ \subseteq P$  and  $abcJ \not\subseteq \phi(P)$ , since  $(\phi(P) : J) \subseteq \phi(P : J)$ . Thus,  $\langle a \rangle \langle b \rangle \langle c \rangle J \subseteq P$  and  $\langle a \rangle \langle b \rangle \langle c \rangle J \not\subseteq \phi(P)$  implies that, by Theorem 2.25,  $s \langle a \rangle \langle b \rangle \subseteq P \subseteq (P : J)$  or  $s \langle c \rangle J \subseteq \delta(P)$ . So,  $sab \in P \subseteq (P : J)$  or  $sc \in (\delta(P) : J) \subseteq \delta(P : J)$ . Hence (P : J) is a  $\phi$ -S-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R* associated to *s*.

Suppose that *I* is a  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R* associated to  $s \in S$  such that  $\phi \neq \phi_{\emptyset}$ . If  $(I : s) = (\delta(I) : s)$ , then the following result holds.

**Proposition 2.28.** Let *I* be a  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R* associated to  $s \in S$  such that  $(I : s) = (\delta(I) : s)$ . If *I* is not an *S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary such that (x, y, z) is a  $\phi$ -*S*-1- $\delta$ -triple zero of *I* with  $sxz, syz \notin I$ , then  $sI^2(\sqrt{\phi(I)})^2 \subseteq \phi(I)$ .

#### Proof.

Suppose *I* is a  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R* associated to  $s \in S$ . If *I* is not an *S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary such that (x, y, z) is a  $\phi$ -*S*-1- $\delta$ -triple zero of *I* with  $sxz, syz \notin I$  then, by Proposition 2.22,  $I^3 \subseteq \phi(I)$ . Let

 $a, b \in \sqrt{\phi(I)}$ , if  $ab \in (I : s)$  then  $sab \in I$  which implies that  $sabI^2 \subseteq I^3 \subseteq \phi(I)$ . Therefore we may assume that  $ab \notin (I:s) = (\delta(I):s)$ , then by Theorem 2.25,  $(I:ab) \subseteq (I:s) = (\delta(I):s)$  or  $(I:ab) = (\phi(I):ab)$ . Now, if  $(I:ab) = (\phi(I):ab)$ , then  $abI \subseteq \phi(I)$  implies that  $sabI^2 \subseteq \phi(I)$ . So we may assume that  $(I:ab) \subseteq (I:s)$ . Let  $n \ge 1$  be the minimal integer such that  $(ab)^n \in \phi(I)$ . Then  $(ab)^{n-1} \in (I:ab) \subseteq (I:s)$  implies that  $s(ab)^{n-1} \in I$ . Clearly,  $n-1 \ge 2$ , since  $sab \notin I$ . If  $s(ab)^{n-1} \notin \phi(I)$ , then  $s(ab)^{n-1} \in I - \phi(I)$  and s is not a unit in R, since if s is a unit, then I = (I : s) = (I : ab) implies that  $(ab)^{n-2} \in I = (I : ab)$ , so continuing in this process to get that  $ab \in I$ which is a contradiction. Thus,  $sa^{n-1}b^{n-1} = a^{n-2}b^{n-2}(sab) \in I - \phi(I)$  implies that  $s(ab)^{n-2} \in I$  or  $s^2ab \in \delta(I)$ . But, if  $s^2ab \in \delta(I)$ , then  $sab \in (\delta(I) : s) = (I : s)$  implies that  $s^2ab \in I$ , which implies that  $s^2 \in (I : ab) \subseteq (I : s)$ , a contradiction. So,  $s(ab)^{n-2} \in I$  implies that  $s(ab)^{n-2} \in I - \phi(I)$ , since  $s(ab)^{n-1} \notin \phi(I)$ . Continuing in this process to get that  $sab \in I$  which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $s(ab)^{n-1} \in \phi(I)$ . Let *j* be the minimal integer such that  $s(ab)^j \in \phi(I)$ . Then j > 1, since  $sab \notin \phi(I)$ . Suppose there exist  $x, y \in I$  such that  $sabxy \notin \phi(I)$ . Then  $sab((ab)^{j-1} + xy) \in I - \phi(I)$  and  $((ab)^{j-1} + xy)$  is not a unit in R, since  $sab \notin I$ . Thus,  $sab((ab)^{j-1} + xy) \in I - \phi(I)$ implies that  $s^2ab \in I$  or  $s((ab)^{j-1} + xy) \in \delta(I)$ . Since  $s^2ab \notin I$ , we have  $s((ab)^{j-1} + xy) \in \delta(I)$  which implies that  $(ab)^{j-1} + xy \in (\delta(I) : s) = (I : s)$ . Thus,  $s(ab)^{j-1} + sxy \in I$  implies that  $s(ab)^{j-1} \in I$ , since  $sxy \in I$ . Since j > 1is the minimal integer such that  $s(ab)^{j} \in \phi(I)$ , we get  $s(ab)^{j-1} \in I - \phi(I)$ . Again continuing in this process to get that  $sab \in I$  which is a contradiction. Hence,  $sabxy \in \phi(I)$  for each  $x, y \in I$  and for each  $a, b \in \sqrt{\phi(I)}$ . Consequently, we conclude that  $sI^2(\sqrt{\phi(I)})^2 \subseteq \phi(I)$ .

**Proposition 2.29.** Let  $\delta$  be an expansion function of ideals of *R* satisfies the intersection property, and let *I* be a  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R* associated to  $s \in S$  such that  $\phi(J) = \phi(I)$  for each ideal  $J \subseteq I$ . If *P* is an ideal in *R* such that  $P \cap S \neq \emptyset$ , then  $I \cap P$  and *IP* are  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideals of *R*.

# Proof.

It is clear that  $(P \cap I) \cap S = PI \cap S = \emptyset$ . Pick  $t \in P \cap S$ . We show that  $I \cap P$  is a  $\phi$ -S-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of R associated to ts. Let a, b, c be nonunit elements in R such that  $abc \in I \cap P - \phi(I \cap P)$ , then  $abc \in I \cap P - \phi(I) \subseteq I - \phi(I)$ . Thus,  $sab \in I$  or  $sc \in \delta(I)$  implies that  $tsab \in I \cap P$  or  $tsc \in \delta(I) \cap \delta(P) = \delta(I \cap P)$ . Consequently,  $I \cap P$  is a  $\phi$ -S-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of R associated to ts. We have the similar proof for IP.

**Theorem 2.30.** Let  $n \ge 2$  and let *a* be a nonunit element in *R* with  $(0 : a) \subseteq (a)$ . Then (a) = aR is a  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R* associated to  $s \in S$  with  $\phi \le \phi_n$  if and only if (*a*) is an *S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R* associated to *s*.

# Proof.

Every S-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of R associated to  $s \in S$  is a  $\phi$ -S-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of R associated to s. Conversely, let x, y, z be nonunit elements in R such that  $xyz \in (a)$ . If  $xyz \notin (a)^n$ , then  $sxy \in (a)$  or  $sz \in \delta((a))$ . Suppose  $xyz \in (a)^n$ . Then  $xy(z + a) \in (a)$ . If (z + a) is a unit in R, then  $xy \in (a)$  implies that  $sxy \in (a)$ . Therefore, we may assume that (z + a) is not a unit in R. If  $xy(z + a) \notin (a)^n$ , then  $xy(z + a) \in (a) - (a)^n$  implies that  $sxy \in (a)$  or  $sz \in \delta((a))$ , since  $sa \in (a) \subseteq \delta((a))$ . Assume that  $xy(z + a) \in (a)^n$ , then  $xya \in (a)^n$ , since  $xyz \in (a)^n$ . So there exists  $t \in R$  such that  $xya = ta^n$  implies that  $(sxy - sta^{n-1})a = 0$ . Thus,  $sxy - sta^{n-1} \in (0 : a) \subseteq (a)$ . Hence, we conclude that  $sxy \in (a)$ , since  $sta^{n-1} \in (a)$ . Consequently, (a) is an S-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of R associated to s.

**Remark 2.31.** Let  $S_1 \subseteq S_2$  be multiplicative subsets of *R* and *I* an ideal of *R* disjoint with  $S_2$ . Clearly, if *I* is a  $\phi$ - $S_1$ -1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R* associated to  $s \in S_1$ , then *I* is a  $\phi$ - $S_2$ -1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R* associated to  $s \in S_2$ . However, the converse is not true in general.

**Proposition 2.32.** Let  $S_1 \subseteq S_2$  be multiplicative subsets of R such that for any  $s \in S_2$ , there exists  $t \in S_2$  with  $st \in S_1$ . If I is a  $\phi$ - $S_2$ -1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of R associated to  $s \in S_2$ , then I is a  $\phi$ - $S_1$ -1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of R.

#### Proof.

Let  $t \in S_2$  such that  $st \in S_1$ . We show that *I* is a  $\phi$ -*S*<sub>1</sub>-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R* associated to  $st \in S_1$ . Let *a*, *b*, *c* be nonunit elements in *R* such that  $abc \in I - \phi(I)$ , then  $sab \in I$  implies that  $stab \in I$  or  $sc \in \delta(I)$  implies that  $stc \in \delta(I)$ . Consequently, *I* is a  $\phi$ -*S*<sub>1</sub>-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R* associated to  $st \in S_1$ .

Recall that if *S* is a multiplicative subset of *R* with  $1 \in S$ , then  $S^* = \{r \in R : \frac{r}{1} \in U(S^{-1}R)\}$  is said to be the saturation of *S*. One can easily see that  $S^*$  is a multiplicative subset of *R* containing *S*. If  $S = S^*$ , then *S* is called saturated. Moreover, it is clear that  $S^{**} = S^*$ . (See [9]).

**Proposition 2.33.** *I* is a  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R* if and only if *I* is a  $\phi$ -*S*<sup>\*</sup>-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R*.

# Proof.

First we show that  $S^* \cap I = \emptyset$ . Let  $r \in S^* \cap I$ , then  $\frac{r}{1}$  is a unit in  $S^{-1}R$ , so there exist  $a \in R$ ,  $s \in S$  such that  $(\frac{r}{1})(\frac{a}{s}) = 1$ . Hence, there exists  $t \in S$  such that tra = ts which implies that  $tra \in I \cap S$ , a contradiction. Therefore,  $S^* \cap I = \emptyset$ . Since  $S \subseteq S^*$ , I is a  $\phi$ -S-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of R associated to  $s \in S$  implies that I is a  $\phi$ -S\*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of R associated to  $s \in S^*$ . Let  $r \in S^*$ , then  $\frac{r}{1} \in U(S^{-1}R)$  implies that  $(\frac{r}{1})(\frac{a}{x}) = 1$ , where  $a \in R$ ,  $x \in S$ . Hence, there exists  $t \in S$  such that  $tra = tx \in S$ . Take r' = ta, then  $r' \in S^*$  with  $r'r = tx \in S$ . Let  $S_1 = S$ ,  $S_2 = S^*$ , then, by Proposition 2.32, I is a  $\phi$ -S-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of R.

**Theorem 2.34.** Let *I* be a proper ideal of *R* such that  $I \cap S = \emptyset$ . If  $\delta(I) \cap S = \emptyset$ , then the following statements are equivalent.

(1) *I* is a  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R* associated to a nonunit  $s \in S$ .

(2) (*I* : *s*) is a  $\phi$ -1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R*.

#### Proof.

 $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ : Since  $I \cap S = \emptyset$ ,  $(I:s) \neq R$ . Let a, b, c be nonunit elements in R such that  $abc \in (I:s) - (\phi(I):s)$ , then  $(sa)bc \in I - \phi(I)$  and sa is not a unit in R, since a is not a unit. So,  $s^2ab \in I$  or  $sc \in \delta(I)$ . If  $s^2ab \in \phi(I)$ , then  $sab \in (\phi(I):s) = \phi(I)$  implies that  $ab \in (\phi(I):s) = \phi(I)$  which is a contradiction. Thus,  $s^2ab \in I - \phi(I)$  and  $s^2$  is not a unit implies that  $sab \in I$ , since  $s^3 \notin \delta(I)$ . Consequently, we conclude that  $ab \in (I:s)$  or  $c \in (\delta(I):s)$ . Hence, (I:s) is a  $\phi$ -1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of R.

 $(2 \rightarrow 1)$ : Let  $abc \in I - \phi(I)$  for some nonunits a, b, c in R. Since  $I \subseteq (I : s)$  and  $\phi(I : s) = \phi(I)$ , we have  $abc \in (I : s) - \phi(I : s)$ . As (I : s) is a  $\phi$ -1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of R, we get  $ab \in (I : s)$  or  $c \in \delta(I : s) = (\delta(I) : s)$ . Which implies that  $sab \in I$  or  $sc \in \delta(I)$ , as needed.

Recall that  $\delta_S(S^{-1}I) = S^{-1}\delta(J)$  and  $\phi_S(S^{-1}I) = S^{-1}\phi(J)$  for each  $J \in \mathfrak{J}(R)$ . Let *I* be a proper ideal of *R* such that  $\phi(I:a) = (\phi(I):a)$ ,  $\delta(I:a) = (\delta(I):a)$  for each  $a \in R$ . Moreover, assume that  $\delta(S^{-1}I \cap R) = S^{-1}\delta(I) \cap R$ . Let *s* be a nonunit element in *S*. Then under the two conditions  $(I:t) \subseteq (I:s)$  and  $\phi(I) = (\phi(I):t)$  for each  $t \in S$ , the following result holds.

**Theorem 2.35.** Let *I* be a proper ideal of *R* such that  $I \cap S = \emptyset$ . Suppose that  $\delta_S(S^{-1}I) \neq S^{-1}R$  whenever  $S^{-1}I \neq S^{-1}R$ . Then, if (I:s) is a  $\phi$ -1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R*, then  $S^{-1}I$  is a  $\phi_S$ -1-absorbing  $\delta_S$ -primary ideal of  $S^{-1}R$  with  $S^{-1}I \cap R = (I:s)$ .

#### Proof.

Let *I* be a proper ideal of *R* such that  $I \cap S = \emptyset$ , then  $S^{-1}I$  is a proper ideal of  $S^{-1}R$ . Assume that  $S^{-1}I \neq S^{-1}R$  implies that  $\delta_S(S^{-1}I) \neq S^{-1}R$ , then it is easy to check that  $\delta(I) \cap S = \emptyset$ . Moreover,  $\phi_S(S^{-1}I) \neq S^{-1}I$ , since  $I \neq \phi(I)$  and  $\phi(I) = (\phi(I) : t)$  for each  $t \in S$ . Let  $\frac{a}{r}, \frac{b}{x}, \frac{c}{t}$  be nonunit elements in  $S^{-1}R$  such that  $\frac{a}{r}, \frac{b}{x}, \frac{c}{t} \in S^{-1}I - \phi_S(S^{-1}I)$ . Then  $\frac{abc}{rxt} = \frac{u}{q} \in S^{-1}I - \phi_S(S^{-1}I)$  for some  $u \in I$  and  $q \in S$ . So there exists  $p \in S$  such that  $pqabc = purxt \in I$ . If  $purxt \in \phi(I)$ , then  $\frac{u}{q} \in \phi_S(S^{-1}I)$ , a contradiction. Hence,  $pqabc \in I - \phi(I)$  which implies that  $pqabc \in (I : s) - (\phi(I) : s)$ , since  $\phi(I) = (\phi(I) : s)$ . Moreover, (pqa), b, c are nonunit elements in R, since  $\frac{a}{r}, \frac{b}{x}, \frac{c}{t}$  are nonunit elements in  $S^{-1}R$ . Thus,  $pqabc \in I - \phi(I) \subseteq (I : s) - \phi(I : s)$  implies that  $pqab \in (I : s)$  or  $c \in (\delta(I) : s)$ . Hence,  $spqab \in I$  or  $sc \in \delta(I)$  which implies that  $\frac{a}{r}, \frac{b}{x} \in S^{-1}I$  or  $\frac{c}{t} \in \delta_S(S^{-1}I)$ . Consequently, we conclude that  $S^{-1}I$  is a  $\phi_S$ -1-absorbing  $\delta_S$ -primary ideal of  $S^{-1}R$ . Now, let  $t \in (I : s)$ , then  $ts \in I$  implies that  $t = \frac{ts}{s} \in S^{-1}I \cap R$ . So,  $(I : s) \subseteq S^{-1}I \cap R$ . For the converse, let  $a \in S^{-1}I \cap R$ , then  $a = \frac{a}{1} \in S^{-1}I$ . So,  $\frac{a}{1} = \frac{b}{x}$  for some  $b \in I, x \in S$ . Hence, there exists  $y \in S$  such that  $yax = yb \in I$  implies that  $a \in (I : xy) \subseteq (I : s)$ . Thus,  $(I : s) = S^{-1}I \cap R$ .

**Corollary 2.36.** Let *I* be a proper ideal of *R* such that  $I \cap S = \emptyset$ . Suppose that  $\delta_S(S^{-1}I) \neq S^{-1}R$  whenever  $S^{-1}I \neq S^{-1}R$ . Then, if *I* is a  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R* associated to a nonunit  $s \in S$ , then  $S^{-1}I$  is a  $\phi_S$ -1-absorbing  $\delta_S$ -primary ideal of  $S^{-1}R$  with  $S^{-1}I \cap R = (I : s)$ .

# Proof.

It follows from Theorem 2.34 and Theorem 2.35.

Let *R* be a ring,  $S \subseteq R$  be a multiplicative subset of *R*. Next we give an example of a proper ideal *P* of *R* with  $P \cap S = \emptyset$  such that  $\phi(P) = \phi(P : s) \neq (\phi(P) : s)$  for some nonunit  $s \in S$ . Then *P* is a  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R* associated to  $s \in S$  but (P : s) is not a  $\phi$ -1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R*.

**Example 2.37.** Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}_{18}[x]$ ,  $P = \{0\}$ . Let  $\phi(P) = \phi_0(P) = (0)$  and  $\delta(P) = P$ . Let  $S = \{2^k : k \ge 0\} = \{1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 14, 10\}$ . Then it is easy to check that  $P \cap S = \emptyset$ . Moreover, P is a weakly S-1-absorbing prime ideal of R associated to  $s = 2 \in S$ . Also, it is easy to check that  $(P : 2) = (0 : 2) = 9\mathbb{Z}_{18}[x]$  is not a weakly 1-absorbing prime ideal of R, since  $0 \neq (3)(x)(3) \in (P : 2)$  and neither  $3x \in (P : 2)$  nor  $3 \in (P : 2)$ . Accordingly, we conclude that P is a weakly S-1-absorbing prime ideal of R associated to s = 2 and (P : 2) is not a weakly 1-absorbing prime ideal of R.

## 3. $(\phi, \delta) - (\psi, \gamma)$ -Ring Homomorphisms

Following to [18], let *X*, *Y* be commutative rings with unities and let  $f : X \to Y$  be a ring homomorphism. Suppose  $\delta$ ,  $\phi$  are expansion and reduction functions of ideals of *X* and  $\gamma$ ,  $\psi$  are expansion and reduction functions of ideals of *Y*, respectively. Then *f* is said to be  $(\delta, \phi)$ - $(\gamma, \psi)$ -homomorphism if  $\delta(f^{-1}(J)) = f^{-1}(\gamma(J))$  and  $\phi(f^{-1}(J)) = f^{-1}(\psi(J))$  for all  $J \in \mathfrak{J}(Y)$ .

#### Remark 3.1.

(1) If  $f : X \to Y$  is a nonzero surjective homomorphism and 1 is the unity of *X*, then f(1) is the unity of *Y*. (2) Suppose  $f : X \to Y$  is a nonzero  $(\delta, \phi)$ - $(\gamma, \psi)$ -surjective homomorphism and let *I* be a proper ideal of *X* containing ker(*f*). Then it is easy to see that  $\gamma(f(I)) = f(\delta(I))$  and  $\psi(f(I)) = f(\phi(I))$ . ([18, Remark 2.11]) (3) If *S* is a multiplicative subset of *X* containing 1, then f(S) is a multiplicative subset of *Y* containing f(1).

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $f : X \to Y$  be a nonzero  $(\delta, \phi)$ - $(\gamma, \psi)$ -surjective homomorphism such that if whenever  $a \in X$ , then *a* is a nonunit in *X* if and only if f(a) is a nonunit in *Y*. Then the following statements are satisfied. (1) If *J* is a  $\psi$ -f(S)-1-absorbing  $\gamma$ -primary ideal of *Y* associated to  $f(s) \in f(S)$ , then  $f^{-1}(J)$  is a  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *X* associated to  $s \in S$ .

(2) If *I* is a  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *X* associated to  $s \in S$  containing ker(*f*) and *f* is surjective then *f*(*I*) is a  $\psi$ -*f*(*S*)-1-absorbing  $\gamma$ -primary ideal of *Y* associated to *f*(*s*)  $\in$  *f*(*S*).

#### Proof.

(1) If *S* is a multiplicative subset of *X* with  $1 \in S$ , then f(S) is a multiplicative subset of *Y* with  $1 = f(1) \in f(S)$ , since *f* is a nonzero surjective homomorphism. Let *J* be a  $\psi$ -f(S)-1-absorbing  $\gamma$ -primary ideal of *Y* associated to  $f(s) \in f(S)$ . Choose *a*, *b*, *c* to be nonunit elements in *X* such that  $abc \in f^{-1}(J) - \phi(f^{-1}(J))$ . Then we have  $f(a)f(b)f(c) \in J - \psi(J)$ , where f(a), f(b), f(c) are nonunit elements in *Y* by assumption. Since *J* is a  $\psi$ -f(S)-1-absorbing  $\gamma$ -primary ideal of *Y* associated to  $f(s) \in f(S)$  we conclude that  $f(s)f(a)f(b) \in J$  or  $f(s)f(c) \in \gamma(J)$ , which implies that  $sab \in f^{-1}(J)$  or  $sc \in f^{-1}(\gamma(J)) = \delta(f^{-1}(J))$ . Hence  $f^{-1}(J)$  is a  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *X* associated to *s*.

(2) Let *I* be a  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *X* associated to *s* containing ker(*f*), then the unity in *Y* is  $f(1) \in f(S)$ , since *f* is a nonzero  $(\delta, \phi)$ - $(\gamma, \psi)$ -surjective homomorphism. Choose *x*, *y*, *z* to be nonunit elements in *Y* such that  $xyz \in f(I) - \psi(f(I))$ . Since *f* is onto map, we can choose *a*, *b*, *c*  $\in$  *I* such that f(a) = x, f(b) = y and f(c) = z. This implies that  $f(a)f(b)f(c) = f(abc) \in f(I)$ . Since ker( $f) \subseteq I$ , we conclude that  $abc \in I$ . If  $abc \in \phi(I)$ , then  $xyz = f(abc) \in f(\phi(I)) = \psi(f(I))$ , which is a contradiction. So,  $abc \in I - \phi(I)$ , where *a*, *b*, *c* are nonunit elements in *R* by assumption. As *I* is a  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *X* associated to *s*, we have  $sab \in I$  or  $sc \in \delta(I)$ . Thus, we conclude that  $f(s)xy \in f(I)$  or  $f(s)z \in f(\delta(I)) = \gamma(f(I))$ . Therefore,

f(I) is a  $\psi$ -f(S)-1-absorbing  $\gamma$ -primary ideal of Y associated to f(s).

From the above theorem we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 3.3.** (*Correspondence Theorem*) Let  $f : X \to Y$  be a nonzero  $(\delta, \phi)$ - $(\gamma, \psi)$ -surjective homomorphism such that if whenever  $a \in X$ , then a is a nonunit in X if and only if f(a) is a nonunit in Y. Then f induces one-to-one correspondence between the  $\phi$ -S-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideals of X associated to  $s \in S$  containing ker(f) and the  $\psi$ -f(S)-1-absorbing  $\gamma$ -primary ideals of Y associated to  $f(s) \in f(S)$  in such a way that if I is a  $\phi$ -S-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of X associated to  $s \in S$  containing ker(f), then f(I) is the corresponding  $\psi$ -f(S)-1-absorbing  $\gamma$ -primary ideal of Y associated to  $f(s) \in f(S)$ , and if J is a  $\psi$ -f(S)-1-absorbing  $\gamma$ -primary ideal of Y associated to  $f(s) \in f(S)$ , and if J is a  $\psi$ -f(S)-1-absorbing  $\gamma$ -primary ideal of X associated to  $f(s) \in f(S)$ , and if J is a  $\psi$ -f(S)-1-absorbing  $\gamma$ -primary ideal of X associated to  $f(s) \in f(S)$ .

**Example 3.4.** Let  $f : \mathbb{Z}_{24} \to \mathbb{Z}_{12}$  be a map defined by  $f(m) = m \pmod{12}$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{24}$ . Then, one can easily check that f is a  $(\delta_1, \phi_0)$ - $(\delta_1, \phi_0)$ -surjective homomorphism with ker $(f) = \{0, 12\}$  and a is a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}_{24}$  if and only if f(a) is a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$ .

(1) Let  $J = 6\mathbb{Z}_{12}$  be a proper ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$ , then  $\delta_1(J) = \sqrt{J} = J$ . Let  $f(S) = \{1, 4\}$  be a multiplicative subset of  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$ , where  $S = \{1, 13, 4, 16\}$  is the multiplicative subset of  $\mathbb{Z}_{24}$ . Then, one can easily check that J is a weakly f(S)-1-absorbing primary ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$  associates to f(s) = 4, where s = 16. Moreover, by Theorem 3.2(1),  $f^{-1}(J)$  is a weakly S-1-absorbing primary ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}_{24}$  associates to s = 16, where  $f^{-1}(J) = 6\mathbb{Z}_{24}$ .

(2) Let  $I = 12\mathbb{Z}_{24}$  be a proper ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}_{24}$ , then ker $(f) \subseteq I$  with  $\delta_1(I) = \sqrt{I} = 6\mathbb{Z}_{24}$ . Let  $S = \{1, 4, 16\}$  be a multiplicative subset of  $\mathbb{Z}_{24}$ . We show that I is a weakly S-1-absorbing primary ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}_{24}$  associates to s = 4. Let a, b, c be nonunit elements in  $\mathbb{Z}_{24}$  such that  $0 \neq abc \in I$ . If 3|c, then 12|4c implies that  $4c \in \sqrt{I}$ . If not, then 3|ab which implies that  $4ab \in I$ . Hence, I is a weakly S-1-absorbing primary ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}_{24}$  associates to s = 4. Moreover,  $f(I) = \{0\}$ ,  $f(\sqrt{I}) = 6\mathbb{Z}_{12} = \sqrt{f(I)}$ ,  $\phi_0(I) = \{0\}$  and  $f(\phi_0(I)) = f(\{0\}) = \{0\} = \phi_0(f(I))$ . Therefore, by Theorem 3.2(2),  $f(I) = \{0\}$  is a weakly f(S)-1-absorbing primary ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$  associates to f(s) = 4, where  $f(S) = \{1, 4\}$ .

Assume that  $\delta$ ,  $\phi$  are expansion and reduction functions of ideals of R, respectively. Let J be a proper ideal of R such that  $J = \phi(J)$ . Then  $\gamma : \mathfrak{J}(R/J) \to \mathfrak{J}(R/J)$  defined by  $\gamma(I/J) = \delta(I)/J$ , and  $\psi : \mathfrak{J}(R/J) \to \mathfrak{J}(R/J)$ , defined by  $\psi(I/J) = \phi(I)/J$  and  $\psi(I/J) = \emptyset$  if  $\phi(I) = \emptyset$ , are expansion and reduction functions of ideals of R/J, respectively. Moreover, if S is a multiplicative subset of R, then  $\overline{S} = S/J$  is a multiplicative subset of R/J, where  $S/J = \{\overline{s} = s + J \in R/J : s \in S\}$ .

Let *Q* be a proper ideal of *R*, and let *S* be a multiplicative subset of *R*. Recall that *Q* is said to be a weakly *S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R* associated to  $s \in S$ , if whenever  $0 \neq abc \in Q$  for some nonunit elements *a*, *b*, *c*  $\in$  *R* then  $sab \in Q$  or  $sc \in \delta(Q)$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $\delta$ ,  $\phi$ , where  $\phi \neq \phi_{\emptyset}$ , be expansion and reduction functions of ideals of *R* and let *J* be a proper ideal of *R* such that  $J = \phi(J)$ . For every  $L \in \mathfrak{J}(R)$  let  $\gamma : \mathfrak{J}(R/J) \to \mathfrak{J}(R/J)$  be an expansion function of ideals of *R*/*J* defined by  $\gamma(L + J/J) = \delta(L + J)/J$  and  $\psi : \mathfrak{J}(R/J) \to \mathfrak{J}(R/J)$  be a reduction function of ideals of *R*/*J* defined by  $\psi(L + J/J) = \phi(L + J)/J$ . Assume that a + J is a unit in *R*/*J* if and only if *a* is a unit in *R*. Then the followings statements hold.

(1) A map  $f : R \to R/J$  defined by f(r) = r + J for every  $r \in R$  is a  $(\delta, \phi)$ - $(\gamma, \psi)$ -surjective homomorphism. (2) Let *I* be a proper ideal of *R* such that  $J \subseteq I$ , *S* a multiplicative subset of *R*. Then *I* is a  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R* associated to  $s \in S$  if and only if I/J is a  $\psi$ - $\bar{S}$ -1-absorbing  $\gamma$ -primary ideal of *R/J* associated to  $\bar{s} \in \bar{S}$ .

(3) Let *I* be a nonzero proper ideal of *R* such that  $\phi^2(I) = \phi(I)$ . Then *I* is a  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R* associated to  $s \in S$  if and only if  $I/\phi(I)$  is a weakly  $\overline{S}$ -1-absorbing  $\gamma$ -primary ideal of  $R/\phi(I)$  associated to  $\overline{s} \in \overline{S}$ .

# Proof.

(1) It is easy to see that f is a ring-surjective homomorphism with ker(f) = J. Let K be an ideal in R/J, then

K = L + J/J for some ideal  $L \in \mathfrak{J}(R)$ . Therefore,

$$f^{-1}(\gamma(K)) = f^{-1}(\delta(L+J/J)) = \delta(L+J) = \delta(f^{-1}(K)),$$
  
$$f^{-1}(\psi(K)) = f^{-1}(\phi(L+J/J)) = \phi(L+J) = \phi(f^{-1}(K)),$$

since *f* is onto. Thus, *f* is a  $(\delta, \phi)$ - $(\gamma, \psi)$ -surjective homomorphism.

(2) Let *I* be a proper ideal of *R* such that  $J \subseteq I$ , *S* a multiplicative subset of *R*. Since the map *f* defined in (1) is a  $(\delta, \phi)$ - $(\gamma, \psi)$ -surjective homomorphism with ker(f) = J and f(I) = I/J. Then, by the correspondence theorem, *I* is a  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R* associated to  $s \in S$  if and only if I/J is a  $\psi$ - $\bar{S}$ -1-absorbing  $\gamma$ -primary ideal of *R*/J associated to  $\bar{s} \in \bar{S}$ .

(3) Let  $J = \phi(I)$ , then  $J = \phi(J)$ . Moreover,  $f(I) = I/\phi(I)$  and  $\psi(I/\phi(I)) = \phi(I)/\phi(I) = 0 \in R/\phi(I)$ . Hence, by the correspondence theorem, I is a  $\phi$ -S-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of R associated to  $s \in S$  if and only if  $I/\phi(I)$  is a weakly  $\overline{S}$ -1-absorbing  $\gamma$ -primary ideal of  $R/\phi(I)$  associated to  $\overline{s} \in \overline{S}$ .

Recall that if *I* is a proper ideal of *R* such that *I* is a  $\phi$ -*S*-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of *R* associated to  $s \in S$  and x, y, z are nonunit elements in *R*. Then (x, y, z) is said to be a  $\phi$ -*S*-1- $\delta$ -triple zero of *I*, if  $xyz \in \phi(I)$ ,  $sxy \notin I$  and  $sz \notin \delta(I)$ .

**Lemma 3.6.** Let  $f : X \to Y$  be a nonzero  $(\delta, \phi)$ - $(\gamma, \psi)$ -surjective homomorphism and let I a  $\phi$ -S-1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of X associated to  $s \in S$  such that ker $(f) \subseteq I$ . Assume that a is nonunit in X if and only if f(a) is nonunit in Y. Let a, b, c be nonunit elements in X, then (a, b, c) is a  $\phi$ -S-1- $\delta$ -triple zero of I if and only if (f(a), f(b), f(c)) is a  $\psi$ -f(S)-1- $\gamma$ -triple zero of f(I).

# Proof.

By Theorem 3.2, f(I) is a  $\psi$ -f(S)-1-absorbing - $\gamma$ -primary ideal of Y associated to  $f(s) \in f(S)$ . Let a, b, c be nonunit elements in R such that (a, b, c) is a  $\phi$ -S-1- $\delta$ -triple zero of I. Then  $abc \in \phi(I)$  with  $sab \notin I$  and  $sc \notin \delta(I)$ . So,  $f(a)f(b)f(c) = f(abc) \in \psi(f(I))$  with  $f(s)f(a)f(b) \notin f(I)$ , since ker $(f) \subseteq I$  and  $sab \notin I$ . Similarly,  $f(s)f(c) \notin \gamma(f(I))$ . Which implies that (f(a), f(b), f(c)) is a  $\psi$ -f(S)-1- $\gamma$ -triple zero of f(I). Conversely, let  $a, b, c \in R$  such that (f(a), f(b), f(c)) is a  $\psi$ -f(S)-1- $\gamma$ -triple zero of f(I). Then a, b, c are nonunit elements in Rsuch that  $f(a)f(b)f(c) = f(abc) \in \psi(f(I)) = f(\phi(I))$  with  $f(sab) \notin f(I)$  and  $f(sc) \notin \gamma(f(I)) = f(\delta(I))$ . Thus,  $abc \in$  $f^{-1}(\psi(f(I))) = \phi(f^{-1}(f(I))) = \phi(I)$ , since ker $(f) \subseteq I$ . Moreover,  $sab \notin f^{-1}(f(I)) = I$  and  $sc \notin f^{-1}(\gamma(f(I))) = \delta(I)$ . Consequently, we conclude that (a, b, c) is a  $\phi$ -S-1- $\delta$ -triple zero of I.

**Corollary 3.7.** Let  $\delta$ ,  $\phi \neq \phi_{\emptyset}$  be expansion and reduction functions of ideals of *R* and let *J* be a proper ideal of *R* such that  $J = \phi(J)$ . For every  $L \in \mathfrak{J}(R)$  let  $\gamma : \mathfrak{J}(R/J) \to \mathfrak{J}(R/J)$  be an expansion function of ideals of *R*/*J* defined by  $\gamma(L + J/J) = \delta(L + J)/J$  and  $\psi : \mathfrak{J}(R/J) \to \mathfrak{J}(R/J)$  be a reduction function of ideals of *R*/*J* defined by  $\psi(L + J/J) = \phi(L + J)/J$ . Assume that *a* is nonunit in *R* if and only if a + J is nonunit in *R*/*J*. Let *a*, *b*, *c* be nonunit elements in *R*. Then the followings statements hold.

(1) (a, b, c) is a  $\phi$ -*S*-1- $\delta$ -triple zero of *I* if and only if (a + J, b + J, c + J) is a  $\psi$ - $\overline{S}$ -1- $\gamma$ -triple zero of *I*/*J*. (2) If  $\phi^2(I) = \phi(I)$ , then (a, b, c) is a  $\phi$ -*S*-1- $\delta$ -triple zero of *I* if and only if  $(a + \phi(I), b + \phi(I), c + \phi(I))$  is a  $\psi$ - $\overline{S}$ -1- $\gamma$ -triple zero of  $I/\phi(I)$ .

#### Proof.

(1) It follows from Theorem 3.5(2) and Lemma 3.6.
(2) It follows from Theorem 3.5(3) and Lemma 3.6.

#### 4. $\phi$ -S-1-absorbing $\delta$ -primary in direct product of rings

Let  $R_i$  be commutative rings with unity for each i = 1, 2 and  $R = R_1 \times R_2$  denote the direct product of rings  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$ . Also, let  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$  be multiplicative subsets of  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$  respectively, then  $S = S_1 \times S_2$  is a multiplicative subset of R. Suppose that  $\phi_i$ ,  $\delta_i$  are reduction and expansion functions of ideals of  $R_i$  for each i = 1, 2 respectively. Following to [18], we define the following two functions:

$$\delta(I_1 \times I_2) = \delta_1(I_1) \times \delta_2(I_2),$$

417

A. Jaber / Filomat 38:2 (2024), 405-420

$$\hat{\phi}(I_1 \times I_2) = \phi_1(I_1) \times \phi_2(I_2).$$

Then it is easy to see that  $\hat{\delta}$ ,  $\hat{\phi}$  are expansion and reduction functions of ideals of *R*, respectively.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  be commutative rings with  $1 \neq 0$ ,  $R = R_1 \times R_2$  a direct product ring, and  $S = S_1 \times S_2$  a multiplicative subset of R. Suppose that  $\delta_i$  is an expansion function of ideals of  $R_i$  and  $\phi_i$  is a reduction function of ideals of  $R_i$  for each i = 1, 2 such that  $\phi_2(R_2) \neq R_2$ . Let  $s = (s_1, s_2) \in S$ , and let  $I_1$  be a proper ideal of  $R_1$  such that if whenever x, y, z are nonunit elements in R with  $xyz \in \hat{\phi}(I_1 \times R_2)$ , then  $sxz, syz \notin I_1 \times R_2$ . Then the following statements are equivalent

(1)  $I_1 \times R_2$  is a  $\hat{\phi}$ -S-1-absorbing  $\hat{\delta}$ -primary ideal of *R* associated to *s*.

(2)  $I_1$  is an  $S_1$ -1-absorbing  $\delta_1$ -primary ideal of  $R_1$  associated to  $s_1$  and  $I_1 \times R_2$  is an S-1-absorbing  $\hat{\delta}$ -primary ideal of R associated to s.

## Proof.

 $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ : Suppose that  $I_1 \times R_2$  is a  $\hat{\phi}$ -S-1-absorbing  $\hat{\delta}$ -primary ideal of R associated to  $s = (s_1, s_2)$  and let a, b, c be nonunit elements in  $R_1$  such that  $abc \in I_1$ . Then we have  $(a, 1)(b, 1)(c, 1) = (abc, 1) \in I_1 \times R_2 - \hat{\phi}(I_1 \times R_2)$ , where (a, 1), (b, 1), (c, 1) are nonunit elements in R, since a, b, c are nonunit elements in  $R_1$  and  $\phi_2(R_2) \neq R_2$ . This implies that  $(s_1, s_2)(a, 1)(b, 1) \in I_1 \times R_2$  or  $(s_1, s_2)(c, 1) \in \hat{\delta}(I_1 \times R_2)$ . Hence we conclude that  $s_1ab \in I_1$  or  $s_1c \in \delta_1(I_1)$  and thus,  $I_1$  is an  $S_1$ -1-absorbing  $\delta_1$ -primary ideal of  $R_1$  associated to  $s_1$ . If  $I_1 \times R_2$  is not an S-1-absorbing  $\hat{\delta}$ -primary ideal of R, then there exist x, y, z nonunit elements in R such that (x, y, z) is a  $\hat{\phi}$ -S-1- $\hat{\delta}$ -triple zero of  $I_1 \times R_2$ . So,  $xyz \in \hat{\phi}(I_1 \times R_2)$  with  $sxy \notin I_1 \times R_2$  and  $sz \notin \hat{\delta}(I_1 \times R_2)$ . Since  $sxz, syz \notin I_1 \times R_2$ , by part(2) of Proposition 2.22, we have  $(I_1 \times R_2)^3 \subseteq \hat{\phi}(I_1 \times R_2)$  which implies that  $R_2 = \phi_2(R_2)$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $I_1 \times R_2$  is an S-1-absorbing  $\hat{\delta}$ -primary ideal of R associated to  $(s_1, s_2)$ .

 $(2 \rightarrow 1)$ : It is clear, since every S-1-absorbing  $\hat{\delta}$ -primary ideal of *R* associated to  $(s_1, s_2)$  is a  $\hat{\phi}$ -S-1-absorbing  $\hat{\delta}$ -primary ideal.

**Corollary 4.2.** Let  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  be commutative rings with  $(1 \neq 0)$ ,  $R = R_1 \times R_2$  a direct product ring, and  $S = S_1 \times S_2$  a multiplicative subset of R. Suppose that  $\delta_i$  is an expansion function of ideals of  $R_i$  and  $\phi_i$  is a reduction function of ideals of  $R_i$  for each i = 1, 2. Let  $s = (s_1, s_2) \in S$ , and let  $I_1$  be a proper ideal of  $R_1$  such that if whenever x, y, z are nonunit elements in R with  $xyz \in \hat{\phi}(I_1 \times R_2)$ , then  $sxz, syz \notin I_1 \times R_2$ . If  $I_1 \times R_2$  is a  $\hat{\phi}$ -S-1-absorbing  $\hat{\delta}$ -primary ideal of R associated to  $(s_1, s_2) \in S$  that is not S-1-absorbing  $\hat{\delta}$ -primary. Then  $\hat{\phi}(I_1 \times R_2) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\phi_2(R_2) = R_2$  and  $I_1$  is a  $\phi_1$ - $S_1$ -1-absorbing  $\delta_1$ -primary ideal of  $R_1$  associated to  $s_1$  that is not  $S_1$ -1-absorbing  $\delta_1$ -primary.

#### Proof.

Suppose that  $I_1 \times R_2$  is a  $\hat{\phi}$ -S-1-absorbing  $\hat{\delta}$ -primary ideal of R associated to  $(s_1, s_2)$  that is not S-1-absorbing  $\hat{\delta}$ -primary, then there exist x, y, z nonunit elements in R such that (x, y, z) is a  $\hat{\phi}$ -S-1- $\hat{\delta}$ -triple zero of  $I_1 \times R_2$ . So,  $xyz \in \hat{\phi}(I_1 \times R_2)$  with  $sxy \notin I_1 \times R_2$  and  $sz \notin \hat{\delta}(I_1 \times R_2)$ . Since  $sxz, syz \notin I_1 \times R_2$ , by Proposition 2.22, we have  $(I_1 \times R_2)^3 \subseteq \hat{\phi}(I_1 \times R_2)$  which implies that  $\hat{\phi}(I_1 \times R_2) \neq \emptyset$ . If  $\phi_2(R_2) \neq R_2$ , then by Theorem 4.1,  $I_1 \times R_2$  is an S-1-absorbing  $\hat{\delta}$ -primary ideal of R associated to  $(s_1, s_2)$  which is a contradiction. Thus,  $\phi_2(R_2) = R_2$ . Moreover, it is easy to see that  $I_1$  is a  $\phi_1$ - $S_1$ -1-absorbing  $\delta_1$ -primary ideal of  $R_1$  associated to  $s_1$ , since  $I_1 \times R_2$  is a  $\hat{\phi}$ -S-1-absorbing  $\hat{\delta}$ -primary ideal of R associated to  $(s_1, s_2)$  and  $\phi_2(R_2) = R_2$ . If  $I_1$  is an  $S_1$ -1-absorbing  $\delta_1$ -primary ideal of  $R_1$  associated to  $s_1$ , then one can easily prove that  $I_1 \times R_2$  is an S-1-absorbing  $\hat{\delta}$ -primary ideal of  $R_1$  associated to  $s_1$ , then one C an easily prove that  $I_1 \times R_2$  is an S-1-absorbing  $\hat{\delta}$ -primary ideal of  $R_1$  associated to  $s_1$ , then one C an easily prove that  $I_1 \times R_2$  is an S-1-absorbing  $\hat{\delta}$ -primary ideal of  $R_1$  associated to  $s_1$ , then one C an easily prove that  $I_1 \times R_2$  is an S-1-absorbing  $\hat{\delta}$ -primary ideal of  $R_1$  associated to  $s_1$ , then one C an easily prove that  $I_1 \times R_2$  is an S-1-absorbing  $\hat{\delta}$ -primary ideal of  $R_1$  associated to  $s_1$ , then one C an easily prove that  $I_1 \times R_2$  is an S-1-absorbing  $\hat{\delta}$ -primary ideal of  $R_1$  associated to  $s_1$  that is not  $S_1$ -1-absorbing  $\delta_1$ -primary.

**Remark 4.3.** If  $I_1 \times R_2$  is a  $\hat{\phi}$ -*S*-1-absorbing  $\hat{\delta}$ -primary ideal of R associated to  $(s_1, s_2)$  such that  $\phi_1(I_1) \neq I_1$ , then  $S_1 \cap I_1 = \emptyset$  since  $S \cap I = \emptyset$  and  $S_2 \cap I_2 \neq \emptyset$ . Thus,  $I_1$  is a  $\phi_1$ - $\delta_1$ - $S_1$ -primary ideal of  $R_1$  associated to  $s_1$ . To see this, let  $a, b \in R_1$  such that  $ab \in I_1 - \phi_1(I_1)$ , we may assume that a, b are nonunit elements in  $R_1$ , since if a or b is a unit then we are done. Then  $(a, 1)(1, 0)(b, 1) \in I_1 \times R_2 - \hat{\phi}(I_1 \times R_2)$  implies that  $(s_1, s_2)(a, 0) = (s_1a, 0) \in I_1 \times R_2$  or  $(s_1, s_2)(b, 1) = (s_1b, s_2) \in \hat{\delta}(I_1 \times R_2)$ . Thus,  $s_1a \in I_1$  or  $s_1b \in \delta_1(I_1)$ . Hence we conclude that  $I_1$  is a  $\phi_1$ - $\delta_1$ - $S_1$ -primary ideal of  $R_1$  associated to  $s_1$ . By using the same argument above, if

 $R_1 \times I_2$  is a  $\hat{\phi}$ -S-1-absorbing  $\hat{\delta}$ -primary ideal of R associated to  $(s_1, s_2)$  such that  $\phi_2(I_2) \neq I_2$ , then  $I_2$  is also a  $\phi_2$ - $\delta_2$ - $S_2$ -primary ideal of  $R_2$  associated to  $s_2$ .

Recall that a commutative ring *R* is said to be a quasi-local ring if it has a unique maximal ideal. Otherwise, we say *R* is a non-quasi-local ring.

Suppose that for each i = 1, 2, if  $I_i \neq \phi_i(I_i)$ , then  $S_i \cap \phi_i(I_i) = \emptyset$  and if  $S_i \cap \delta_i(I_i) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $S_i \cap I_i = S_i \cap \delta_i(I_i)$ . Then we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 4.4.** Let  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$  be commutative rings with  $(1 \neq 0)$ , and let  $R = R_1 \times R_2$  be a direct product ring and  $S = S_1 \times S_2$  a multiplicative subset of R. Suppose that  $\delta_i$  is an expansion function of ideals of  $R_i$  and  $\phi_i$  is a reduction function of ideals of  $R_i$  for each i = 1, 2. Let  $I = I_1 \times I_2$  be a proper ideal of R, for some ideals  $I_1 \neq \phi_1(I_1)$ ,  $I_2 \neq \phi_2(I_2)$  of  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$ , respectively, such that for every  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , if  $S_i \cap \delta_i(I_i) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $S_i \cap I_i = S_i \cap \delta_i(I_i)$ . Let I be a  $\hat{\phi}$ -S-1-absorbing  $\hat{\delta}$ -primary ideal of R associated to  $(s_1, s_2) \in S$ . Then one of the following statements must be hold.

(1)  $I_1 = R_1$ ,  $I_2$  is a  $\phi_2$ - $\delta_2$ - $S_2$ -primary ideal of  $R_2$  associated to  $s_2$  and if  $R_1$  is a non-quasi-local ring, then  $I_2$  is a  $\delta_2$ - $S_2$ -primary ideal of  $R_2$  associated to  $s_2$ .

(2)  $I_2 = R_2$ ,  $I_1$  is a  $\phi_1$ - $\delta_1$ - $S_1$ -primary ideal of  $R_1$  associated to  $s_1$  and if  $R_2$  is a non-quasi-local ring, then  $I_1$  is a  $\delta_1$ - $S_1$ -primary ideal of  $R_1$  associated to  $s_1$ .

(3)  $I_2 \cap S_2 \neq \emptyset$ ,  $I_1$  is a  $\delta_1$ - $S_1$ -primary ideal of  $R_1$  associated to  $s_1$ .

(4)  $I_1 \cap S_1 \neq \emptyset$ ,  $I_2$  is a  $\delta_2$ - $S_2$ -primary ideal of  $R_2$  associated to  $s_2$ .

#### Proof.

First, we show that  $S_1 \cap I_1 \neq \emptyset$  or  $S_2 \cap I_2 \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $a, b \in I_1$ , choose  $c \in I_2 - \phi_2(I_2)$ . Then  $(a, 1)(b, 1)(1, c) = (ab, c) \in I - \hat{\phi}(I)$ . As *I* is a  $\hat{\phi}$ -*S*-1-absorbing  $\hat{\delta}$ -primary ideal of *R* associated to  $(s_1, s_2)$ , we have

$$(s_1, s_2)(a, 1)(b, 1) = (s_1ab, s_2) \in I = I_1 \times I_2$$
 or  $(s_1, s_2)(1, c) = (s_1, s_2c) \in \delta(I) = \delta_1(I_1) \times \delta_2(I_2)$ .

Thus,  $s_2 \in S_2 \cap I_2$  or  $s_1 \in S_1 \cap \delta_1(I_1) = S_1 \cap I_1$ . Hence,  $S_1 \cap I_1 \neq \emptyset$  or  $S_2 \cap I_2 \neq \emptyset$ .

(1) If  $I_1 = R_1$ , then  $S_2 \cap I_2 = \emptyset$ , since  $S \cap I = \emptyset$  and  $S_1 \cap I_1 \neq \emptyset$ . Thus, by the remark above,  $I_2$  is a  $\phi_2 \cdot \delta_2 \cdot S_2$ -primary ideal of  $R_2$  associated to  $s_2$ , since  $\phi_2(I_2) \neq I_2$ . Suppose that  $R_1$  is a non-quasi-local ring, we show that  $I_2$  is a  $\delta_2 \cdot S_2$ -primary ideal of  $R_2$  associated to  $s_2$ . Let  $a, b \in R_2$  such that  $ab \in I_2$ . If a or b is a unit in  $R_2$ , then we are done. Therefore, we may assume that a, b are nonunit elements in  $R_2$ . Since  $R_1$  is a non-quasi-local ring and  $R_1 \neq \phi_1(R_1)$ , choose a nonunit  $x \in R_1 - \phi_1(R_1)$ . Then (x, 1), (1, a), (1, b) are nonunit elements in R such that  $(x, 1)(1, a)(1, b) \in R_1 \times I_2 - \hat{\phi}(R_1 \times I_2)$  which implies that  $(s_1, s_2)(x, 1)(1, a) = (s_1x, s_2a) \in R_1 \times I_2$  or  $(s_1, s_2)(1, b) = (s_1, s_2b) \in \hat{\delta}(R_1 \times I_2)$ . So,  $s_2a \in I_2$  or  $s_2b \in \delta_2(I_2)$ . Hence, we conclude that  $I_2$  is a  $\delta_2$ - $S_2$ -primary ideal of  $R_2$  associated to  $s_2$ .

(2) If  $I_2 = R_2$ , then by using the same argument above  $I_1 \cap S_1 = \emptyset$ ,  $I_1$  is a  $\phi_1 - \delta_1 - S_1$ -primary ideal of  $R_1$  associated to  $s_1$  and if  $R_2$  is a non-quasi-local ring, then  $I_1$  is a  $\delta_1 - S_1$ -primary ideal of  $R_1$  associated to  $s_1$ .

(3) Assume that  $I_2 \cap S_2 \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $I_1 \cap S_1 = \emptyset$ , since  $I \cap S = \emptyset$ . Suppose that  $I = I_1 \times I_2$  such that  $I_i \neq R_i$  for each i = 1, 2. We show that  $I_1$  is a  $\delta_1$ - $S_1$ -primary ideal of  $R_1$  associated to  $s_1$ . Let  $a, b \in R_1$  such that  $ab \in I_1$ . If a or b is a unit in  $R_1$ , then we are done. Therefore, we may assume that a, b are nonunit elements in  $R_1$ . Since  $S_2 \cap I_2 \neq \emptyset$  and  $S_2 \cap \phi_2(I_2) = \emptyset$ , choose  $t \in S_2 \cap I_2 - \phi_2(I_2)$ . Then (a, 1), (1, t), (b, 1) are nonunit elements in R such that  $(a, 1)(1, t)(b, 1) \in I - \hat{\phi}(I)$  which implies that  $(s_1, s_2)(a, 1)(1, t) = (s_1a, s_2t) \in I$  or  $(s_1, s_2)(b, 1) = (s_1b, s_2) \in \hat{\delta}(I)$ . Thus,  $s_1a \in I_1$  or  $s_1b \in \delta_1(I_1)$ . Accordingly, we conclude that  $I_1$  is a  $\delta_1$ - $S_1$ -primary ideal of  $R_1$  associated to  $s_1$ . (4) Assume that  $I_1 \cap S_1 \neq \emptyset$ , then by using the same argument above  $I_2 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$  and  $I_2$  is a  $\delta_2$ - $S_2$ -primary ideal of  $R_2$  associated to  $s_2$ .

## 5. Acknowledgment

The author would like to thank the anonymous referees for careful reading and the helpful comments improving this paper.

A. Jaber / Filomat 38:2 (2024), 405-420

#### References

- [1] D. Anderson, M. Bataineh, Generalizations of prime ideals, Communications in Algebra 36 (2) (2008), 686–696.
- [2] A. Badawi, E. Celikel, On 1-absorbing primary ideals of a commutative rings, J. Algebra Appl. 19 (6) (2020), article 2050111.
- [3] A. Badawi, E. Celikel, On Weakly 1-Absorbing Primary Ideals of Commutative Rings, Algebra Colloquium 29 (2) (2022), 189–202.
- [4] A. Badawi, B. Fahid, On weakly 2-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideals of commutative rings, Georgian Mathematical Journal 27 (4) (2017), 503–516.
- [5] A. El Khalfi, N. Mahdou, U. Tekir, S. Koc, On 1-absorbing δ-primary ideals, An. St. Univ. Ovidius Constanta 29 (3) (2021), 135–150.
- [6] B. El Mehdi, T. Mohammed, U. Tekir, S. Koc, Notes On 1-Absorbing Prime Ideals, Proceedings of the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences 75 (5) (2022), 631–639.
- [7] B. A. Ersoy, U. Tekir, E. Kaya, M. Bolat, S. Koc, On φ-δ-Primary Submodules, Iranian Journal of Science and Technology, Transactions A: Science 46 (2) (2022), 421–427.
- [8] F. Almahdia, M. Tamekkanteb, A. Koam, Note on Weakly 1-Absorbing Primary Ideals, Filomat 36 (1) (2022), 165–173.
- [9] R. Gilmer, Multiplicative Ideal Theory, 12 M. Dekker, 1972.
- [10] A. Hamed, A. Malek, S-prime ideals of a commutative ring, Beitr. Algebra Geom. 61 (2019), 533-542.
- [11] A. Jaber, On  $\phi$ - $\delta$ -S-primary ideals of commutative rings, Khayyam Journal of Mathematics **9** (1) (2023), 61–80.
- [12] A. Jaber, Properties of  $\phi$ - $\delta$ -primary and 2-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideals of commutative rings, Asian-European Journal of Mathematics 13 (01) (2020), article 2050026.
- [13] S. Koc, U. Tekir, E. Yildiz, On weakly 1-Absorbing prime ideals, Ricerche di Matematica (2021), https://doi.org/10.1007/s11587-020-00550-4.
- [14] N. Mahdou, A. Mimouni, Y. Zahir, On S-1-absorbing prime and weakly S-1-absorbing prime ideals, Quaestiones Mathematicae (2022), DOI: 10.2989/16073606.2021.2011797.
- [15] E. S. Sevim, T. Arabaci, U. Tekir, S. Koc, On S-prime submodules, Turkish Journal of Mathematics 43 (2) (2019), 1036–1046.
- [16] R. Y. Sharp, Steps in commutative algebra, (second edition), Cambridge university press, England, 2000.
- [17] A. Yassine, M. J. Nikmehr, R. Nikandish, On 1-absorbing prime ideals of a commutative rings, Journal of Algebra and Its Applications **20** (10) (2020), article 2150175.
- [18] S. Yavuz, S. Onar, B. Ersoy, U. Tekir, S. Koc, 2-absorbing  $\phi$ - $\delta$ -primary ideals, Turkish Journal of Mathematics 45 (2021), 1927–1939.
- [19] Ε. Yildiz, U. Tekir, S. Koc, On φ-1-absorbing prime ideals, Contributions to Algebra and Geometry **62** (2021), 907–918.
- [20] D. Zhao, δ-primary Ideals of Commutative Rings, Kyungpook Mathematical Journal 41 (2001), 17–22.