



On class of fractional impulsive hybrid integro-differential equation

Mohamed Hannabou^{a,*}, Mohamed Bouaouid^b, Khalid Hilal^b

^aDepartment of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, Sultan Moulay Slimane University, Multidisciplinary faculty, Beni Mellal, Morocco
^bLaboratoire de Mathématiques Appliquées & Calcul Scientifique, Université Sultan Moulay Slimane, BP 523, 23000 Beni Mellal, Morocco

Abstract. In this work, a class of a impulsive hybrid fractional integro-differential equation with hybrid boundary conditions is studied by the generalization of Dhage's fixed point theorem by three operators. This study ends with on example illustrating the theoretical findings.

1. Introduction

During the last three decades fractional calculus and its applications become diversified more and has materialize as a significant tool for the comprehensive applications in mathematical modeling of nonlinear systems. The nonlocal nature of fractional order operators accounts the hereditary properties involved in various systems in terms of fractional differential operator. For further reference see [12, 14, 19, 20]. and the references cited therein.

The definitions like Riemann-Liouville (1832), Grunwald-Letnikov(1867), Hadamard (1891,[21]) and Caputo(1997) are used to model problems in engineering and applied sciences and the formulations are used to model the physical systems and has given more accurate results. In 1891, Hadamard introduced the new derivative. For more details one can refer [6, 8, 10, 18] and the references cited therein.

The impulsive differential equations served as the foundations of micro world of biology, which has to be led to a reconsideration of nature. It is also important for a variety of applications in bio-informatics and practical utilizations in biotechnologies [7, 15]. In addition to the great importance of studying the existence of solutions to fractional differential equations using the many theories of the fixed point, several studies have been conducted over the years to investigate how stability concepts such as the Mittag-Leffler function, exponential, and Lyapunov stability apply to various types of dynamic systems. Ulam and Hyers, on the other hand, identified previously unknown types of stability known as Ulam-stability [25]. This example is not exclusive, many similar works can be found in [26–29].

In 2015, Surang. Sitho et al.[16], discussed the following boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases} D^\tau \left(\frac{\rho(\hat{t}) - \sum_{i=1}^m I^{\beta_i} \varphi_i(\hat{t}, \rho(\hat{t}))}{\psi(\hat{t}, \rho(\hat{t}))} \right) = \omega(\hat{t}, \rho(\hat{t})), \hat{t} \in J = [0, T], & 0 < \tau \leq 1, \\ \rho(0) = 0, \end{cases}$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 34A38; Secondary 26A33.

Keywords. fractional calculus, integro-differential equation, impulsive hybrid.

Received: 19 April 2023; Accepted: 28 July 2023

Communicated by Maria Alessandra Ragusa

* Corresponding author: Mohamed Hannabou

Email addresses: hnnabou@gmail.com (Mohamed Hannabou), Bouaouidfst@gmail.com (Mohamed Bouaouid), Khalid.hilal.usms@gmail.com (Khalid Hilal)

where D^τ denotes the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order τ , $0 < \tau \leq 1$, I^ς is the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order $\varsigma > 0$, $\varsigma \in \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m\}$, $\psi \in C(J \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$, $\omega \in C(J \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, with $\varphi_i \in C(J \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ with $\varphi_i(0, 0) = 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

Benchohra and al.[11] are discussed the following boundary value problems for differential equations with fractional order

$$\begin{cases} {}^c D^\alpha y(t) = f(t, y(t)), & \text{for each } t \in J = [0, T], \quad 0 < \alpha < 1, \\ ay(0) + by(T) = c, \end{cases}$$

where ${}^c D^\alpha$ is the Caputo fractional derivative, $f : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, is a continuous function, a, b, c are real constants with $a + b \neq 0$.

Motivated by some recent studies on impulsive hybrid fractional integro-differential equations, we consider the following value problem :

$$\begin{cases} D^{\hat{l}} \left(\frac{\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}) - I^{\hat{k}} \xi(\hat{\lambda}, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), I^{\hat{l}_1} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), \dots, I^{\hat{l}_n} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}))}{\varphi(\hat{\lambda}, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), I^{\hat{l}_1} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), \dots, I^{\hat{l}_n} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}))} \right) = \omega(\hat{\lambda}, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), I^{\hat{k}_1} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), \dots, I^{\hat{k}_k} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda})), & \hat{\lambda} \in J = [0, T], \quad 1 < \hat{l} \leq 2, \\ \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_i^+) = \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_i^-) + I_i(\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_i^-)), & \hat{\lambda}_i \in (0, 1), i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\ \frac{\vartheta(0)}{\varphi(0, \vartheta(0), I^{\hat{l}_1} \vartheta(0), \dots, I^{\hat{l}_n} \vartheta(0))} = \vartheta_0, & \frac{\vartheta(T)}{\varphi(T, \vartheta(T), I^{\hat{l}_1} \vartheta(T), \dots, I^{\hat{l}_n} \vartheta(T))} = \vartheta_T, \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

where $\hat{l}_1, \dots, \hat{l}_n > 0$, $\hat{k}_1, \dots, \hat{k}_n > 0$, $\vartheta \in \mathbb{R}$, $D^{\hat{l}}$ denotes Caputo fractional derivative of order \hat{l} . $I^{\hat{k}}$ is the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order $\hat{k} > 0$. $\varphi : J \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, $\xi : J \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous with $\xi(0, \vartheta(0), I^{\hat{l}_1} \vartheta(0), \dots, I^{\hat{l}_n} \vartheta(0)) = 0$ and $\omega \in C(J \times \mathbb{R}^k, \mathbb{R})$ is a function via some properties.

The problem (1) considered here is general in the sense that it includes the following three well-known classes of initial value problems of fractional differential equations.

By a solution of the peoblem (1) we mean a function $\vartheta \in C(\mathcal{J}, \mathbb{R})$ such that

- (H₀) (i) The function $\hat{\lambda} \mapsto \frac{\vartheta}{\varphi(\hat{\lambda}, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), I^{\hat{l}_1} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), \dots, I^{\hat{l}_n} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}))}$ is increasing in \mathbb{R} for every $\hat{\lambda} \in J$, and
- (ii) ϑ satisfies the equations in (1).

This paper is arranged as follows. In Section 2, we recall some concepts and some fractional calculation law and establish preparation results. In Section 3, we study the existence solution of the initial value problem (1), based on the Dhage fixed point theorem. In section 4, example is provided to further clarify of the study’s finding. In section 5, a conclusion and a future work are introduced.

2. Preliminaries

Recalling some preliminary facts, some basic definitions and properties of the fractional calculus . Throughout this paper denotes $J_0 = [0, \hat{\lambda}_1]$, $J_1 = (\hat{\lambda}_1, \hat{\lambda}_2]$, \dots , $J_{n-1} = (\hat{\lambda}_{n-1}, \hat{\lambda}_n]$, $J_n = (\hat{\lambda}_n, T]$, $n \in \mathbb{N}, n > 1$. For $\hat{\lambda}_i \in (0, 1)$ such that $\hat{\lambda}_1 < \hat{\lambda}_2 < \dots < \hat{\lambda}_n$, we define the following spaces:

$$J' = J \setminus \{\hat{\lambda}_1, \hat{\lambda}_2, \dots, \hat{\lambda}_n\},$$

$$\hat{\mathfrak{X}} = \{\vartheta \in C(J, \mathbb{R}) : \vartheta \in C(J') \text{ and left } \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_i^+) \text{ and right limit } \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_i^-) \text{ exist and } \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_i^-) = \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_i), 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

Then the space $(\hat{\mathfrak{X}}, \|\cdot\|)$ endowed with the norm:

$$\|\vartheta\| = \sup\{|\vartheta(\hat{\lambda})|, \hat{\lambda} \in J\}.$$

Clearly $\hat{\mathfrak{X}}$ is a Banach algebra with respect to above supremum norm .

Definition 2.1. [10] The R-L fractional integral of the function $\eta \in L^1([a, b], \mathbb{R}^+)$, of order $\hat{l} \in \mathbb{R}^+$ is defined by

$$I_a^{\hat{l}} \eta(\hat{\lambda}) = \int_a^{\hat{\lambda}} \frac{(\hat{\lambda} - s)^{\hat{l}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{l})} \eta(s) ds,$$

where Γ is the gamma function.

Definition 2.2. [10] For a function η given on the interval $[a, b]$, the Riemann-Liouville fractional-order derivative of η , is defined by

$$({}^c D_{a^+}^{\hat{\iota}} \eta)(\hat{\chi}) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n - \kappa)} \left(\frac{d}{dt} \right)^n \int_a^{\hat{\chi}} \frac{(\hat{\chi} - s)^{n-\hat{\iota}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\iota})} \eta(s) ds,$$

where $n = [\hat{\iota}] + 1$ and $[\hat{\iota}]$ denotes the integer part of $\hat{\iota}$.

Definition 2.3. [10] For a function η given on the interval $[a, b]$, the Caputo fractional-order derivative of η , is defined by

$$({}^c D_{a^+}^{\hat{\iota}} \eta)(\hat{\chi}) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n - \hat{\iota})} \int_a^{\hat{\chi}} \frac{(\hat{\chi} - s)^{n-\hat{\iota}-1}}{\Gamma(\kappa)} \eta^{(n)}(s) ds,$$

where $n = [\hat{\iota}] + 1$ and $[\hat{\iota}]$ denotes the integer part of $\hat{\iota}$.

Lemma 2.4. [10] Let $\hat{\iota} > 0$ and $\vartheta \in C(0, T) \cap L(0, T)$. Then the fractional differential equation

$$D^{\hat{\iota}} \vartheta(\hat{\chi}) = 0,$$

has a unique solution

$$\vartheta(\hat{\chi}) = \tau_1 \hat{\chi}^{\hat{\iota}-1} + \tau_2 \hat{\chi}^{\hat{\iota}-2} + \dots + \tau_n \hat{\chi}^{\hat{\iota}-n},$$

where $\tau_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and $n - 1 < \hat{\iota} < n$.

Lemma 2.5. Let $\hat{\iota} > 0$. Then for $\vartheta \in C(0, T) \cap L(0, T)$ we have

$$I^{\hat{\iota}} D^{\hat{\iota}} \vartheta(\hat{\chi}) = \vartheta(\hat{\chi}) + c_0 + c_1 \hat{\chi} + \dots + c_{n-1} \hat{\chi}^{n-1},$$

for some $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$. Where $n = [\hat{\iota}] + 1$.

Lemma 2.6. [9] For any $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ nonempty, closed convex and bounded subset of a Banach algebra $\hat{\mathcal{X}}$ and for any operators $\hat{\mathcal{A}}, \hat{\mathcal{C}} : \hat{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{X}}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{B}} : \hat{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{X}}$ such that:

- (i) $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ are Lipschitzian with Lipschitz constants $\hat{\tau}$ and ρ , respectively,
- (ii) $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$ is compact and continuous,
- (iii) $\vartheta = \hat{\mathcal{A}}\vartheta\hat{\mathcal{B}}\eta + \hat{\mathcal{C}}\vartheta \implies \vartheta \in \hat{\mathcal{E}}$ for all $\eta \in \hat{\mathcal{E}}$,
- (iv) $\hat{\tau}\hat{\mathcal{B}} + \rho < 1$, where $\hat{\mathcal{B}} = \|\hat{\mathcal{B}}(\hat{\mathcal{E}})\|$.

Then the equation $\vartheta = \hat{\mathcal{A}}\vartheta\hat{\mathcal{B}}\vartheta + \hat{\mathcal{C}}\vartheta$ has a solution.

Lemma 2.7. [13] Let $\hat{\iota} \in (0, 1)$ and $\eta : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. A function $\vartheta \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ is a solution of the fractional integral equation

$$\vartheta(\hat{\chi}) = \vartheta_0 - \int_0^a \frac{(\hat{\chi} - s)^{\hat{\iota}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\iota})} \eta(s) ds + \int_0^{\hat{\chi}} \frac{(\hat{\chi} - s)^{\hat{\iota}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\iota})} \eta(s) ds,$$

if and only if ϑ is a solution of the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} D^{\hat{\iota}} \vartheta(\hat{\chi}) = \eta(\hat{\chi}), \hat{\chi} \in [0, T] \\ \vartheta(a) = \vartheta_0, \quad a > 0. \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

For brevity let us take,

$$\begin{aligned} \eta &= \frac{1}{T} \vartheta_T - \frac{1}{T} \vartheta_0 - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\hat{t})} \int_0^T (T-s)^{\hat{t}-1} \chi(s) ds - \frac{d}{T}, \\ d &= \frac{I^{\hat{t}} \omega(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x}), I^{\hat{t}_1} \vartheta(\hat{x}), \dots, I^{\hat{t}_n} \vartheta(\hat{x}))}{\varphi(T, \vartheta(T), I^{\hat{t}_1} \vartheta(T), \dots, I^{\hat{t}_n} \vartheta(T))}, \\ \gamma &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{I_i(\vartheta(\hat{x}_i^-) - I^{\hat{k}} \xi(\hat{x}_i, \vartheta(\hat{x}_i), I^{\hat{t}_1} \vartheta(\hat{x}_i), \dots, I^{\hat{t}_n} \vartheta(\hat{x}_i)))}{\varphi(\hat{x}_i, \vartheta(\hat{x}_i), I^{\hat{t}_1} \vartheta(\hat{x}_i), \dots, I^{\hat{t}_n} \vartheta(\hat{x}_i))}, \\ \delta &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\int_0^{\hat{x}_i} \frac{(\hat{x}_i-s)^{\hat{k}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{k})} \xi(s, \vartheta(s), I^{\hat{t}_1} \vartheta(s), \dots, I^{\hat{t}_n} \vartheta(s)) ds}{\varphi(\hat{x}_i, \vartheta(\hat{x}_i), I^{\hat{t}_1} \vartheta(\hat{x}_i), \dots, I^{\hat{t}_n} \vartheta(\hat{x}_i))}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.8. Then, for any $\chi \in L^1(J, \mathbb{R})$, the function $\vartheta \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}(J, \mathbb{R})$ is a solution of the

$$\begin{cases} D^{\hat{t}} \left(\frac{\vartheta(\hat{x}) - I^{\hat{k}} \xi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x}), I^{\hat{t}_1} \vartheta(\hat{x}), \dots, I^{\hat{t}_n} \vartheta(\hat{x}))}{\varphi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x}), I^{\hat{t}_1} \vartheta(\hat{x}), \dots, I^{\hat{t}_n} \vartheta(\hat{x}))} \right) = \chi(\hat{x}), & a.e. \quad \hat{x} \in J = [0, T], \quad 1 < \hat{t} \leq 2, \\ \vartheta(\hat{x}_i^+) = \vartheta(\hat{x}_i^-) + I_i(\vartheta(\hat{x}_i^-)), & \hat{x}_i \in (0, 1), i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\ \frac{\vartheta(0)}{\varphi(0, \vartheta(0), I^{\hat{t}_1} \vartheta(0), \dots, I^{\hat{t}_n} \vartheta(0))} = \vartheta_0, & \frac{\vartheta(T)}{\varphi(T, \vartheta(T), I^{\hat{t}_1} \vartheta(T), \dots, I^{\hat{t}_n} \vartheta(T))} = \vartheta_T, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

if and only if ϑ satisfies the hybrid integral equation

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta(\hat{x}) &= \varphi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x}), I^{\hat{t}_1} \vartheta(\hat{x}), \dots, I^{\hat{t}_n} \vartheta(\hat{x})) \left[\gamma + \delta + \eta \chi_1 + \vartheta_0 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^{\hat{x}} \frac{(\hat{x}-s)^{\hat{t}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{t})} \chi(s) ds \right] + \int_0^{\hat{x}} \frac{(\hat{x}-s)^{\hat{k}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{k})} \xi(s, \vartheta(s), I^{\hat{t}_1} \vartheta(s), \dots, I^{\hat{t}_n} \vartheta(s)) ds, \quad \hat{x} \in [0, T]. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Proof. We assume that ϑ is a solution of the problem (3).

If $\hat{x} \in [\hat{x}_0, \hat{x}_1]$, By definition, $\left(\frac{\vartheta(\hat{x}) - I^{\hat{k}} \xi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x}), I^{\hat{t}_1} \vartheta(\hat{x}), \dots, I^{\hat{t}_n} \vartheta(\hat{x}))}{\varphi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x}), I^{\hat{t}_1} \vartheta(\hat{x}), \dots, I^{\hat{t}_n} \vartheta(\hat{x}))} \right)$ is continuous. Applying $I^{\hat{t}}$ of the order \hat{t} on both sides of (3), we can obtain,

$$\frac{\vartheta(\hat{x}) - I^{\hat{k}} \xi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x}), I^{\hat{t}_1} \vartheta(\hat{x}), \dots, I^{\hat{t}_n} \vartheta(\hat{x}))}{\varphi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x}), I^{\hat{t}_1} \vartheta(\hat{x}), \dots, I^{\hat{t}_n} \vartheta(\hat{x}))} = I^{\hat{t}} \chi(\hat{x}) - c_0 - c_1 \vartheta,$$

so we get

$$\frac{\vartheta(\hat{x})}{\varphi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x}), I^{\hat{t}_1} \vartheta(\hat{x}), \dots, I^{\hat{t}_n} \vartheta(\hat{x}))} = I^{\hat{t}} \chi(\hat{x}) - c_0 - c_1 \vartheta + \frac{I^{\hat{k}} \xi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x}), I^{\hat{t}_1} \vartheta(\hat{x}), \dots, I^{\hat{t}_n} \vartheta(\hat{x}))}{\varphi(\hat{x}, \vartheta(\hat{x}), I^{\hat{t}_1} \vartheta(\hat{x}), \dots, I^{\hat{t}_n} \vartheta(\hat{x}))}.$$

Substituting $\vartheta = 0$ we have

$$c_0 = - \frac{\vartheta(0)}{\varphi(0, \vartheta(0), I^{\hat{t}_1} \vartheta(0), \dots, I^{\hat{t}_n} \vartheta(0))} = -\vartheta_0.$$

And substituting $\vartheta = T$ we have

$$\frac{\vartheta(T)}{\varphi(T, \vartheta(T), I^{\hat{t}_1} \vartheta(T), \dots, I^{\hat{t}_n} \vartheta(T))} = I^{\hat{t}} \chi(T) + \vartheta_0 - c_1 T + d.$$

Then

$$c_1 = \frac{1}{T} (\vartheta_0 + I^{\hat{t}} \chi(T) - \vartheta_T + d).$$

In consequence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}) &= (\varphi(\hat{\lambda}, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), I^{\hat{i}_1} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), \dots, I^{\hat{i}_n} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}))) \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\hat{i})} \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}} (\hat{\lambda} - s)^{\hat{i}-1} \chi(s) ds + \left(1 - \frac{\hat{\lambda}}{T}\right) \vartheta_0 + \frac{\hat{\lambda}}{T} \vartheta_T \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{\hat{\lambda}}{T\Gamma(\hat{i})} \int_0^T (T - s)^{\hat{i}-1} \chi(s) ds - \frac{\hat{\lambda}d}{T} \right) + \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}} \frac{(\hat{\lambda} - s)^{\hat{k}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{k})} \xi(s, \vartheta(s), I^{\hat{i}_1} \vartheta(s), \dots, I^{\hat{i}_n} \vartheta(s)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

If $\hat{\lambda} \in [\hat{\lambda}_1, \hat{\lambda}_2]$, then

$$D^{\hat{i}} \left(\frac{\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}) - I^{\hat{k}} \xi(\hat{\lambda}, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), I^{\hat{i}_1} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), \dots, I^{\hat{i}_n} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}))}{\varphi(\hat{\lambda}, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), I^{\hat{i}_1} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), \dots, I^{\hat{i}_n} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}))} \right) = \chi(\hat{\lambda}), \quad \hat{\lambda} \in [\hat{\lambda}_1, \hat{\lambda}_2], \tag{5}$$

$$\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1^+) = \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1^-) + I_1(\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1^-)). \tag{6}$$

According to Lemma 2.7 and the continuity of $\hat{\lambda} \rightarrow \varphi(\hat{\lambda}, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), I^{\hat{i}_1} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), \dots, I^{\hat{i}_n} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}) - I^{\hat{k}} \xi(\hat{\lambda}, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), I^{\hat{i}_1} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), \dots, I^{\hat{i}_n} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}))}{\varphi(\hat{\lambda}, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), I^{\hat{i}_1} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), \dots, I^{\hat{i}_n} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}))} &= \frac{(\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1^+) - I^{\hat{k}} \xi(\hat{\lambda}_1, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1), I^{\hat{i}_1} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1), \dots, I^{\hat{i}_n} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1)))}{\varphi(\hat{\lambda}_1, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1), I^{\hat{i}_1} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1), \dots, I^{\hat{i}_n} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1))} \\ &\quad - \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}_1} \frac{(\hat{\lambda}_1 - s)^{\hat{i}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{i})} \chi(s) ds + \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}} \frac{(\hat{\lambda} - s)^{\hat{i}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{i})} \chi(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}) - I^{\hat{k}} \xi(\hat{\lambda}, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), I^{\hat{i}_1} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), \dots, I^{\hat{i}_n} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}))}{\varphi(\hat{\lambda}, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), I^{\hat{i}_1} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), \dots, I^{\hat{i}_n} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}))} &= \frac{(\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1^-) + I_1(\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1^-)) - I^{\hat{k}} \xi(\hat{\lambda}_1, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1), I^{\hat{i}_1} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1), \dots, I^{\hat{i}_n} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1)))}{\varphi(\hat{\lambda}_1, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1), I^{\hat{i}_1} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1), \dots, I^{\hat{i}_n} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1))} \\ &\quad - \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}_1} \frac{(\hat{\lambda}_1 - s)^{\hat{i}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{i})} \chi(s) ds + \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}} \frac{(\hat{\lambda} - s)^{\hat{i}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{i})} \chi(s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

according to

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}^-) &= (\varphi(\hat{\lambda}_1, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1), I^{\hat{i}_1} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1), \dots, I^{\hat{i}_n} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1))) \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\hat{i})} \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}_1} (\hat{\lambda}_1 - s)^{\hat{i}-1} \chi(s) ds + \left(1 - \frac{\hat{\lambda}_1}{T}\right) \vartheta_0 + \frac{\hat{\lambda}_1}{T} \vartheta_T \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{\hat{\lambda}_1}{T\Gamma(\hat{i})} \int_0^T (T - s)^{\hat{i}-1} \chi(s) ds - \frac{\hat{\lambda}_1 d}{T} \right) + \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}_1} \frac{(\hat{\lambda}_1 - s)^{\hat{k}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{k})} \xi(s, \vartheta(s), I^{\hat{i}_1} \vartheta(s), \dots, I^{\hat{i}_n} \vartheta(s)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}) &= \varphi(\hat{\lambda}, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), I^{\hat{i}_1} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), \dots, I^{\hat{i}_n} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda})) \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\hat{i})} \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}_1} (\hat{\lambda}_1 - s)^{\hat{i}-1} \chi(s) ds \right. \\ &\quad + \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}_1} \frac{(\hat{\lambda}_1 - s)^{\hat{k}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{k})} \xi(s, \vartheta(s), I^{\hat{i}_1} \vartheta(s), \dots, I^{\hat{i}_n} \vartheta(s)) ds + \frac{I_1(\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1^-)) - I^{\hat{k}} \xi(\hat{\lambda}_1, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1), I^{\hat{i}_1} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1), \dots, I^{\hat{i}_n} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1))}{\varphi(\hat{\lambda}_1, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1), I^{\hat{i}_1} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1), \dots, I^{\hat{i}_n} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1))} \\ &\quad \left. - \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}_1} \frac{(\hat{\lambda}_1 - s)^{\hat{i}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{i})} \chi(s) ds + \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}} \frac{(\hat{\lambda} - s)^{\hat{i}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{i})} \chi(s) ds + \eta \varpi_1 + \vartheta_0 \right] \\ &\quad + \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}} \frac{(\hat{\lambda} - s)^{\hat{k}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{k})} \xi(s, \vartheta(s), I^{\hat{i}_1} \vartheta(s), \dots, I^{\hat{i}_n} \vartheta(s)) ds, \end{aligned}$$

so, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}) &= \varphi(\hat{\lambda}, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), I^{\hat{l}_1} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), \dots, I^{\hat{l}_n} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda})) \left[\int_0^{\hat{\lambda}_1} \frac{(\hat{\lambda}_1 - s)^{\hat{k}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{k})} \xi(s, \vartheta(s), I^{\hat{l}_1} \vartheta(s), \dots, I^{\hat{l}_n} \vartheta(s)) ds \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{I_1(\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1^-)) - I^{\hat{k}} \xi(\hat{\lambda}_1, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1), I^{\hat{l}_1} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1), \dots, I^{\hat{l}_n} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1))}{\varphi(\hat{\lambda}_1, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1), I^{\hat{l}_1} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1), \dots, I^{\hat{l}_n} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1))} + \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}} \frac{(\hat{\lambda} - s)^{\hat{l}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{l})} \chi(s) ds + \eta \mu_1 + \vartheta_0 \Big] \\ &\quad + \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}} \frac{(\hat{\lambda} - s)^{\hat{k}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{k})} \xi(s, \vartheta(s), I^{\hat{l}_1} \vartheta(s), \dots, I^{\hat{l}_n} \vartheta(s)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

If $\hat{\lambda} \in [\hat{\lambda}_2, \hat{\lambda}_3[$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}) - I^{\hat{k}} \xi(\hat{\lambda}, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), I^{\hat{l}_1} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), \dots, I^{\hat{l}_n} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}))}{\varphi(\hat{\lambda}, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), I^{\hat{l}_1} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), \dots, I^{\hat{l}_n} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}))} &= \frac{(\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_2^+) - I^{\hat{k}} \xi(\hat{\lambda}_2, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_2), I^{\hat{l}_1} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_2), \dots, I^{\hat{l}_n} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_2)))}{\varphi(\hat{\lambda}_2, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_2), I^{\hat{l}_1} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_2), \dots, I^{\hat{l}_n} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_2))} \\ &\quad - \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}_2} \frac{(\hat{\lambda}_2 - s)^{\hat{l}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{l})} \chi(s) ds + \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}} \frac{(\hat{\lambda} - s)^{\hat{l}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{l})} \chi(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}) - I^{\hat{k}} \xi(\hat{\lambda}, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), I^{\hat{l}_1} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), \dots, I^{\hat{l}_n} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}))}{\varphi(\hat{\lambda}, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), I^{\hat{l}_1} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), \dots, I^{\hat{l}_n} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}))} &= \frac{(\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_2^-) + I_2(\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_2^-)) - I^{\hat{k}} \xi(\hat{\lambda}_2, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_2), I^{\hat{l}_1} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_2), \dots, I^{\hat{l}_n} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_2)))}{\varphi(\hat{\lambda}_2, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_2), I^{\hat{l}_1} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_2), \dots, I^{\hat{l}_n} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_2))} \\ &\quad - \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}_2} \frac{(\hat{\lambda}_2 - s)^{\hat{l}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{l})} \chi(s) ds + \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}} \frac{(\hat{\lambda} - s)^{\hat{l}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{l})} \chi(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

For

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_2^-) &= \varphi(\hat{\lambda}_2, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_2), I^{\hat{l}_1} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_2), \dots, I^{\hat{l}_n} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_2)) \left[\frac{\int_0^{\hat{\lambda}_1} \frac{(\hat{\lambda}_1 - s)^{\hat{k}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{k})} \xi(s, \vartheta(s), I^{\hat{l}_1} \vartheta(s), \dots, I^{\hat{l}_n} \vartheta(s)) ds}{\varphi(\hat{\lambda}_1, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1), I^{\hat{l}_1} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1), \dots, I^{\hat{l}_n} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1))} \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{I_1(\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1^-)) - I^{\hat{k}} \xi(\hat{\lambda}_1, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1), I^{\hat{l}_1} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1), \dots, I^{\hat{l}_n} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1))}{\varphi(\hat{\lambda}_1, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1), I^{\hat{l}_1} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1), \dots, I^{\hat{l}_n} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1))} + \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}_2} \frac{(\hat{\lambda}_2 - s)^{\hat{l}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{l})} \chi(s) ds + \eta \mu_1 + \vartheta_0 \Big] \\ &\quad + \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}_2} \frac{(\hat{\lambda}_2 - s)^{\hat{k}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{k})} \xi(s, \vartheta(s), I^{\hat{l}_1} \vartheta(s), \dots, I^{\hat{l}_n} \vartheta(s)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}) &= \varphi(\hat{\lambda}, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), I^{\hat{l}_1} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), \dots, I^{\hat{l}_n} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda})) \left[\frac{I_1(\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1^-)) - I^{\hat{k}} \xi(\hat{\lambda}_1, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1), I^{\hat{l}_1} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1), \dots, I^{\hat{l}_n} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1))}{\varphi(\hat{\lambda}_1, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1), I^{\hat{l}_1} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1), \dots, I^{\hat{l}_n} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1))} \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{(I_2 \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_2^-) - I^{\hat{k}} \xi(\hat{\lambda}_2, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_2), I^{\hat{l}_1} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_2), \dots, I^{\hat{l}_n} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_2)))}{\varphi(\hat{\lambda}_2, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_2), I^{\hat{l}_1} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_2), \dots, I^{\hat{l}_n} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_2))} + \frac{\int_0^{\hat{\lambda}_1} \frac{(\hat{\lambda}_1 - s)^{\hat{k}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{k})} \xi(s, \vartheta(s), I^{\hat{l}_1} \vartheta(s), \dots, I^{\hat{l}_n} \vartheta(s)) ds}{\varphi(\hat{\lambda}_1, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1), I^{\hat{l}_1} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1), \dots, I^{\hat{l}_n} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1))} \\ &\quad + \frac{\int_0^{\hat{\lambda}_2} \frac{(\hat{\lambda}_2 - s)^{\hat{k}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{k})} \xi(s, \vartheta(s), I^{\hat{l}_1} \vartheta(s), \dots, I^{\hat{l}_n} \vartheta(s)) ds}{\varphi(\hat{\lambda}_2, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_2), I^{\hat{l}_1} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_2), \dots, I^{\hat{l}_n} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_2))} + \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}_2} \frac{(\hat{\lambda}_2 - s)^{\hat{l}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{l})} \chi(s) ds + \eta \mu_1 + \vartheta_0 \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}} \frac{(\hat{\lambda} - s)^{\hat{l}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{l})} \chi(s) ds - \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}_2} \frac{(\hat{\lambda}_2 - s)^{\hat{l}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{l})} \chi(s) ds \right] + \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}} \frac{(\hat{\lambda} - s)^{\hat{k}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{k})} \xi(s, \vartheta(s), I^{\hat{l}_1} \vartheta(s), \dots, I^{\hat{l}_n} \vartheta(s)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}) &= \varphi(\hat{\lambda}, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), I^{\hat{1}}\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), \dots, I^{\hat{n}}\vartheta(\hat{\lambda})) \left[\sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{I_i(\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_i^-) - I^{\hat{\kappa}}\xi(\hat{\lambda}_i, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_i), I^{\hat{1}}\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_i), \dots, I^{\hat{n}}\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_i)))}{\varphi(\hat{\lambda}_i, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_i), I^{\hat{1}}\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_i), \dots, I^{\hat{n}}\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_i))} \right. \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{\int_0^{\hat{\lambda}_i} \frac{(\hat{\lambda}_i-s)^{\hat{\kappa}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\kappa})} \xi(s, \vartheta(s), I^{\hat{1}}\vartheta(s), \dots, I^{\hat{n}}\vartheta(s)) ds}{\varphi(\hat{\lambda}_i, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_i), I^{\hat{1}}\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_i), \dots, I^{\hat{n}}\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_i))} + \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}_2} \frac{(\hat{\lambda}_2-s)^{\hat{t}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{t})} \chi(s) ds + \eta\kappa_1 + \vartheta_0 \\ &+ \left. \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}} \frac{(\hat{\lambda}-s)^{\hat{t}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{t})} \chi(s) ds - \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}_2} \frac{(\hat{\lambda}_2-s)^{\hat{t}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{t})} \chi(s) ds \right] + \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}} \frac{(\hat{\lambda}-s)^{\hat{\kappa}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\kappa})} \xi(s, \vartheta(s), I^{\hat{1}}\vartheta(s), \dots, I^{\hat{n}}\vartheta(s)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

By using the same method, for $\hat{\lambda} \in [\hat{\lambda}_i, \hat{\lambda}_{i+1}[(i = 3, 4, \dots, n)$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}) &= \varphi(\hat{\lambda}, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), I^{\hat{1}}\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), \dots, I^{\hat{n}}\vartheta(\hat{\lambda})) \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{I_i(\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_i^-) - I^{\hat{\kappa}}\xi(\hat{\lambda}_i, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_i), I^{\hat{1}}\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_i), \dots, I^{\hat{n}}\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_i)))}{\varphi(\hat{\lambda}_i, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_i), I^{\hat{1}}\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_i), \dots, I^{\hat{n}}\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_i))} \right. \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\int_0^{\hat{\lambda}_i} \frac{(\hat{\lambda}_i-s)^{\hat{\kappa}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\kappa})} \xi(s, \vartheta(s), I^{\hat{1}}\vartheta(s), \dots, I^{\hat{n}}\vartheta(s)) ds}{\varphi(\hat{\lambda}_i, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_i), I^{\hat{1}}\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_i), \dots, I^{\hat{n}}\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_i))} + \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}_2} \frac{(\hat{\lambda}_2-s)^{\hat{t}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{t})} \chi(s) ds + \eta\kappa_1 + \vartheta_0 \\ &+ \left. \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}} \frac{(\hat{\lambda}-s)^{\hat{t}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{t})} \chi(s) ds - \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}_2} \frac{(\hat{\lambda}_2-s)^{\hat{t}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{t})} \chi(s) ds \right] + \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}} \frac{(\hat{\lambda}-s)^{\hat{\kappa}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\kappa})} \xi(s, \vartheta(s), I^{\hat{1}}\vartheta(s), \dots, I^{\hat{n}}\vartheta(s)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, assume that ϑ satisfies (20). If $\hat{\lambda} \in [\hat{\lambda}_0, \hat{\lambda}_1[$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}) &= (\varphi(\hat{\lambda}, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), I^{\hat{1}}\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), \dots, I^{\hat{n}}\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}))) \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\hat{t})} \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}} (\hat{\lambda}-s)^{\hat{t}-1} \chi(s) ds + \left(1 - \frac{\hat{\lambda}}{T}\right) \vartheta_0 + \frac{\hat{\lambda}}{T} \vartheta_T \right. \\ &- \left. \frac{\hat{\lambda}}{T\Gamma(\hat{t})} \int_0^T (T-s)^{\hat{t}-1} \chi(s) ds - \frac{\hat{\lambda}d}{T} \right) + \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}} \frac{(\hat{\lambda}-s)^{\hat{\kappa}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\kappa})} \xi(s, \vartheta(s), I^{\hat{1}}\vartheta(s), \dots, I^{\hat{n}}\vartheta(s)) ds. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Then, we multiplied by $\varphi(\hat{\lambda}, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), I^{\hat{1}}\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), \dots, I^{\hat{n}}\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}))$ and applying $D^{\hat{t}}$ on both sides of (8), we get first equation in (3).

Again, substituting $\hat{\lambda} = 0$ and $\hat{\lambda} = T$ in (8), and $\vartheta \rightarrow \frac{\vartheta}{\varphi(\hat{\lambda}, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), I^{\hat{1}}\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), \dots, I^{\hat{n}}\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}))}$, is increasing in \mathbb{R} for $\hat{\lambda} \in [\hat{\lambda}_0, \hat{\lambda}_1[$, the map $\vartheta \rightarrow \frac{\vartheta}{\varphi(\hat{\lambda}, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), I^{\hat{1}}\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), \dots, I^{\hat{n}}\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}))}$, is injective in \mathbb{R} . Then we get

$$\frac{\vartheta(0)}{\varphi(0, \vartheta(0), I^{\hat{1}}\vartheta(0), \dots, I^{\hat{n}}\vartheta(0))} = \vartheta_0, \quad \frac{\vartheta(T)}{\varphi(T, \vartheta(T), I^{\hat{1}}\vartheta(T), \dots, I^{\hat{n}}\vartheta(T))} = \vartheta_T,$$

If $\hat{\lambda} \in [\hat{\lambda}_1, \hat{\lambda}_2[$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}) &= \varphi(\hat{\lambda}, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), I^{\hat{1}}\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), \dots, I^{\hat{n}}\vartheta(\hat{\lambda})) \left[\sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{I_i(\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_i^-) - I^{\hat{\kappa}}\xi(\hat{\lambda}_i, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_i), I^{\hat{1}}\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_i), \dots, I^{\hat{n}}\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_i)))}{\varphi(\hat{\lambda}_i, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_i), I^{\hat{1}}\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_i), \dots, I^{\hat{n}}\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_i))} \right. \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{\int_0^{\hat{\lambda}_i} \frac{(\hat{\lambda}_i-s)^{\hat{\kappa}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\kappa})} \xi(s, \vartheta(s), I^{\hat{1}}\vartheta(s), \dots, I^{\hat{n}}\vartheta(s)) ds}{\varphi(\hat{\lambda}_i, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_i), I^{\hat{1}}\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_i), \dots, I^{\hat{n}}\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_i))} + \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}_2} \frac{(\hat{\lambda}_2-s)^{\hat{t}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{t})} \chi(s) ds + \eta\kappa_1 + \vartheta_0 \\ &+ \left. \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}} \frac{(\hat{\lambda}-s)^{\hat{t}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{t})} \chi(s) ds - \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}_2} \frac{(\hat{\lambda}_2-s)^{\hat{t}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{t})} \chi(s) ds \right] + \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}} \frac{(\hat{\lambda}-s)^{\hat{\kappa}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\kappa})} \xi(s, \vartheta(s), I^{\hat{1}}\vartheta(s), \dots, I^{\hat{n}}\vartheta(s)) ds. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Then, we dividing by $\varphi(\hat{\lambda}, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), I^{\hat{1}}\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), \dots, I^{\hat{n}}\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}))$ and applying $D^{\hat{t}}$ on both sides of (8), we get equation (5). Again by (H_0) , substituting $\hat{\lambda} = \hat{\lambda}_1$ in (8) and taking the limit of (8), then (8) minus (8) gives (6).

Similarly, for $\hat{\lambda} \in [\hat{\lambda}_i, \hat{\lambda}_{i+1}] (i = 2, 3, \dots, n)$, we get

$$D^{\hat{\lambda}} \left(\frac{\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}) - I^{\hat{\kappa}} \xi(\hat{\lambda}, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), I^{\hat{\lambda}_1} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), \dots, I^{\hat{\lambda}_n} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}))}{\varphi(\hat{\lambda}, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), I^{\hat{\lambda}_1} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), \dots, I^{\hat{\lambda}_n} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}))} \right) = \chi(\hat{\lambda}), \tag{9}$$

$$\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1^+) = \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1^-) + I_1(\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1^-)). \tag{10}$$

□

This completes the proof.

3. Main Result

In this section, we prove the existence of a mild solution for problem (1) by Lemma 2.6. For this study, we need the following assumptions. Assume that :

(H₁) The functions $\varphi : J \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, $\xi : J \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\omega : J \times \mathbb{R}^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are Carathéodory functions, $\xi(0, \vartheta(0), I^{\hat{\lambda}_1} \vartheta(0), \dots, I^{\hat{\lambda}_n} \vartheta(0)) = 0$ and there exist $p, m : J \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ with bound $\|p\|$ and $\|m\|$ respectively, such that

$$|\varphi(\hat{\lambda}, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n+1}) - \varphi(\hat{\lambda}, \omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_{n+1})| \leq p(\hat{\lambda}) \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |v_i - \omega_i|, \tag{11}$$

and

$$|\xi(\hat{\lambda}, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n+1}) - \xi(\hat{\lambda}, \omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_{n+1})| \leq m(\hat{\lambda}) \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |v_i - \omega_i|, \tag{12}$$

for $\hat{\lambda} \in J$ and $(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_{n+1}), (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

(H₂) There exists a function $h \in L^1(J, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$|\omega(\hat{\lambda}, \omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_k)| \leq h(\hat{\lambda}), (\hat{\lambda}, \omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_k) \in J \times \mathbb{R}^k. \tag{13}$$

(H₃) There exists $r > 0$ such that

$$r \geq \frac{F_0 \left(|\gamma + \delta + \eta \kappa_1 + \vartheta_0| + \frac{\|h\|_{L^1} T^{\hat{\lambda}_i}}{\Gamma(\hat{\lambda}_i + 1)} \right) + \frac{T^{\hat{\kappa}}}{\Gamma(\hat{\kappa} + 1)} K_0}{1 - \left(1 + \frac{T^{\hat{\lambda}_1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\lambda}_1 + 1)} + \dots + \frac{T^{\hat{\lambda}_n}}{\Gamma(\hat{\lambda}_n + 1)} \right) \left[\|p\| \left(|\gamma + \delta + \eta \kappa_1 + \vartheta_0| + \frac{\|h\|_{L^1} T^{\hat{\lambda}_i}}{\Gamma(\hat{\lambda}_i + 1)} \right) + \|m\| \frac{T^{\hat{\kappa}}}{\Gamma(\hat{\kappa} + 1)} \right]}. \tag{14}$$

where $F_0 = \sup_{\hat{\lambda} \in J} |\omega(\hat{\lambda}, 0, 0, \dots, 0)|$ and $K_0 = \sup_{\hat{\lambda} \in J} |\xi(\hat{\lambda}, 0, 0, \dots, 0)|$.

Theorem 3.1. Assume that the conditions (H₁) – (H₃) hold. Then the initial value problem (1) has at least one solution on J provided that

$$\left(1 + \frac{T^{\hat{\lambda}_1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\lambda}_1 + 1)} + \dots + \frac{T^{\hat{\lambda}_n}}{\Gamma(\hat{\lambda}_n + 1)} \right) \left[\|p\| \left(|\gamma + \delta + \eta \kappa_1 + \vartheta_0| + \frac{\|h\|_{L^1} T^{\hat{\lambda}_i}}{\Gamma(\hat{\lambda}_i + 1)} \right) + \|m\| \frac{T^{\hat{\kappa}}}{\Gamma(\hat{\kappa} + 1)} \right] < 1. \tag{15}$$

Proof. we define a subset $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ of $\hat{\mathcal{X}}$ as

$$\hat{\mathcal{C}} = \{\vartheta \in \hat{\mathcal{X}} : \|\vartheta\| \leq r\},$$

where r satisfies inequality (14).

Clearly $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ is closed, convex, and bounded subset of the Banach space $\hat{\mathcal{X}}$. Now we define three operators, $\hat{\mathfrak{A}} : \hat{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{X}}$ by:

$$\hat{\mathfrak{A}}\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}) = \varphi(\hat{\lambda}, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), I^{\hat{l}_1}\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), \dots, I^{\hat{l}_n}\vartheta(\hat{\lambda})), \quad \hat{\lambda} \in J. \tag{16}$$

$\hat{\mathfrak{B}} : \hat{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{X}}$ by:

$$\hat{\mathfrak{B}}\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}) = \gamma + \delta + \eta\kappa_1 + \vartheta_0 + \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}} \frac{(\hat{\lambda} - s)^{\hat{l}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{l})} \omega(s, \vartheta(s), I^{\hat{k}_1}\vartheta(s), \dots, I^{\hat{k}_k}\vartheta(s)) ds, \quad \hat{\lambda} \in J, \tag{17}$$

and $\hat{\mathfrak{C}} : \hat{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{X}}$ by:

$$\hat{\mathfrak{C}}\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}) = \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}} \frac{(\hat{\lambda} - s)^{\hat{\kappa}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\kappa})} \xi(s, \vartheta(s), I^{\hat{l}_1}\vartheta(s), \dots, I^{\hat{l}_n}\vartheta(s)) ds, \quad \hat{\lambda} \in J. \tag{18}$$

We shall prove that the operators $\hat{\mathfrak{A}}$, $\hat{\mathfrak{B}}$, and $\hat{\mathfrak{C}}$ satisfy all the the hypothesis of Lemma 2.6.

Claim 1. We will prove that $\hat{\mathfrak{A}}$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{C}}$ are Lipschitzian on $\hat{\mathcal{X}}$, that is, the assumption (i) of Lemma 2.6 holds.

Let $\vartheta, v \in \hat{\mathcal{X}}$. Then by (H_1) , for $\hat{\lambda} \in J$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{\mathfrak{A}}\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}) - \hat{\mathfrak{A}}v(\hat{\lambda})| &= |\varphi(\hat{\lambda}, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), I^{\hat{l}_1}\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), \dots, I^{\hat{l}_n}\vartheta(\hat{\lambda})) - \varphi(\hat{\lambda}, v(\hat{\lambda}), I^{\hat{l}_1}v(\hat{\lambda}), \dots, I^{\hat{l}_n}v(\hat{\lambda}))| \\ &\leq \sup_{\hat{\lambda} \in J} (|\rho|\|\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}) - v(\hat{\lambda})\|) \left(1 + \frac{T^{\hat{l}_1}}{\Gamma(\hat{l}_1 + 1)} + \dots + \frac{T^{\hat{l}_n}}{\Gamma(\hat{l}_n + 1)}\right) \\ &\leq \|\rho\| \left(1 + \frac{T^{\hat{l}_1}}{\Gamma(\hat{l}_1 + 1)} + \dots + \frac{T^{\hat{l}_n}}{\Gamma(\hat{l}_n + 1)}\right) \|\vartheta - v\|, \end{aligned}$$

for all $\hat{\lambda} \in J$.

This implies that

$$\|\hat{\mathfrak{A}}\vartheta - \hat{\mathfrak{A}}v\| \leq \|\rho\| \left(1 + \frac{T^{\hat{l}_1}}{\Gamma(\hat{l}_1 + 1)} + \dots + \frac{T^{\hat{l}_n}}{\Gamma(\hat{l}_n + 1)}\right) \|\vartheta - v\|,$$

for all $\vartheta, v \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}$.

So $\hat{\mathfrak{A}}$ is a Lipschitz on $\hat{\mathcal{X}}$ with Lipschitz constant $\|\rho\| \left(1 + \frac{T^{\hat{l}_1}}{\Gamma(\hat{l}_1 + 1)} + \dots + \frac{T^{\hat{l}_n}}{\Gamma(\hat{l}_n + 1)}\right)$.

Analogously, for any $\vartheta, v \in \hat{\mathcal{X}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{\mathfrak{C}}\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}) - \hat{\mathfrak{C}}v(\hat{\lambda})| &= \left| \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}} \frac{(\hat{\lambda} - s)^{\hat{\kappa}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\kappa})} [\xi(s, \vartheta(s), I^{\hat{l}_1}\vartheta(s), \dots, I^{\hat{l}_n}\vartheta(s)) - \xi(s, v(s), I^{\hat{l}_1}v(s), \dots, I^{\hat{l}_n}v(s))] ds \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{\hat{\lambda} \in J} (|m|\|\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}) - v(\hat{\lambda})\|) \left(1 + \frac{T^{\hat{l}_1}}{\Gamma(\hat{l}_1 + 1)} + \dots + \frac{T^{\hat{l}_n}}{\Gamma(\hat{l}_n + 1)}\right) \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}} \frac{(\hat{\lambda} - s)^{\hat{\kappa}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\kappa})} ds \\ &\leq \|m\| \left(1 + \frac{T^{\hat{l}_1}}{\Gamma(\hat{l}_1 + 1)} + \dots + \frac{T^{\hat{l}_n}}{\Gamma(\hat{l}_n + 1)}\right) \frac{T^{\hat{\kappa}}}{\Gamma(\hat{\kappa} + 1)} \|\vartheta - v\|, \end{aligned}$$

for all $\hat{\lambda} \in J$.

This implies that

$$\|\hat{\mathfrak{C}}\vartheta - \hat{\mathfrak{C}}v\| \leq \|m\| \left(1 + \frac{T^{\hat{l}_1}}{\Gamma(\hat{l}_1 + 1)} + \dots + \frac{T^{\hat{l}_n}}{\Gamma(\hat{l}_n + 1)}\right) \frac{T^{\hat{\kappa}}}{\Gamma(\hat{\kappa} + 1)} \|\vartheta - v\|.$$

So, $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ is a Lipschitzian on $\hat{\mathcal{X}}$ with Lipschitz constant $\|m\| \left(1 + \frac{T^{\hat{l}_1}}{\Gamma(\hat{l}_1+1)} + \dots + \frac{T^{\hat{l}_n}}{\Gamma(\hat{l}_n+1)} \right) \frac{T^{\hat{k}}}{\Gamma(\hat{k}+1)}$.

Claim 2. We prove that the operator \mathfrak{B} is completely continuous on $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$. We first prove that the operator \mathfrak{B} is continuous on $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$.

Let $\{\vartheta_n\}$ be a sequence in $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ converging to a point $\vartheta \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}$. Then by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, for all $\hat{\lambda} \in J$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\gamma + \delta + \eta \kappa_1 + \vartheta_0 + \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}} \frac{(\hat{\lambda} - s)^{\hat{l}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{l})} \omega(s, \vartheta_n(s), I^{\hat{k}_1} \vartheta_n(s), \dots, I^{\hat{k}_k} \vartheta_n(s)) ds \right) \\ &= \gamma + \delta + \eta \kappa_1 + \vartheta_0 + \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}} \frac{(\hat{\lambda} - s)^{\hat{l}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{l})} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\omega(s, \vartheta_n(s), I^{\hat{k}_1} \vartheta_n(s), \dots, I^{\hat{k}_k} \vartheta_n(s)) \right) ds \\ &= \gamma + \delta + \eta \kappa_1 + \vartheta_0 + \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}} \frac{(\hat{\lambda} - s)^{\hat{l}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{l})} \omega(s, \vartheta(s), I^{\hat{k}_1} \vartheta(s), \dots, I^{\hat{k}_k} \vartheta(s)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

In consequence, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{B} \vartheta_n = \mathfrak{B} \vartheta.$$

This shows that \mathfrak{B} is continuous on $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$.

It is sufficient to show that the set $\mathfrak{B}(\hat{\mathcal{C}})$ is a uniformly bounded in $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$. For any $\vartheta \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathfrak{B} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda})| &= \left| \gamma + \delta + \eta \kappa_1 + \vartheta_0 + \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}} \frac{(\hat{\lambda} - s)^{\hat{l}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{l})} \omega(s, \vartheta(s), I^{\hat{k}_1} \vartheta(s), \dots, I^{\hat{k}_k} \vartheta(s)) ds \right| \\ &\leq |\gamma| + |\delta| + |\eta \kappa_1| + |\vartheta_0| + \left(\|h\| \frac{T^{\hat{l}}}{\Gamma(\hat{l} + 1)} \right) = K_1, \end{aligned}$$

for all $\hat{\lambda} \in J$. Taking supremum over the interval J , the above inequality becomes, $\|\mathfrak{B} \vartheta\| \leq K_1$ for all $\vartheta \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}$.

This shows that $\mathfrak{B}(\hat{\mathcal{C}})$ is uniformly bounded on $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$.

Next we show that $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ is an equicontinuous set in $\hat{\mathcal{X}}$. We take, $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in J$ with $\tau_1 < \tau_2$ and $\vartheta \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}$.

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathfrak{B} \vartheta(\tau_2) - \mathfrak{B} \vartheta(\tau_1)| &= \left| \int_0^{\tau_2} \frac{(\tau_2 - s)^{\hat{l}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{l})} \omega(s, \vartheta(s), I^{\hat{k}_1} \vartheta(s), \dots, I^{\hat{k}_k} \vartheta(s)) ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_0^{\tau_1} \frac{(\tau_1 - s)^{\hat{l}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{l})} \omega(s, \vartheta(s), I^{\hat{k}_1} \vartheta(s), \dots, I^{\hat{k}_k} \vartheta(s)) ds + [(\gamma + \delta + \eta \kappa_1 + \vartheta_0) - (\gamma + \delta + \eta \kappa_1 + \vartheta_0)] \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^{\tau_1} \frac{|(\tau_2 - s)^{\hat{l}-1} - (\tau_1 - s)^{\hat{l}-1}|}{\Gamma(\hat{l})} |\omega(s, \vartheta(s), I^{\hat{k}_1} \vartheta(s), \dots, I^{\hat{k}_k} \vartheta(s))| ds \\ &\quad + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \frac{(\tau_2 - s)^{\hat{l}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{l})} |\omega(s, \vartheta(s), I^{\hat{k}_1} \vartheta(s), \dots, I^{\hat{k}_k} \vartheta(s))| ds \\ &\leq \int_0^{\tau_1} \frac{|(\tau_2 - s)^{\hat{l}-1} - (\tau_1 - s)^{\hat{l}-1}|}{\Gamma(\hat{l})} \|h\|_{L^1} ds + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \frac{(\tau_2 - s)^{\hat{l}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{l})} \|h\|_{L^1} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have that $|\mathfrak{B} \vartheta(\tau_2) - \mathfrak{B} \vartheta(\tau_1)| \rightarrow 0$ as $\tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_1$

which is independent of $\vartheta \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}$.

which is independent of $\vartheta \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}$. Thus, $\mathfrak{B}(\hat{\mathcal{C}})$ is equicontinuous. So \mathfrak{B} is relatively compact on $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$. Hence, by the Arzelá-Ascoli theorem, \mathfrak{B} is compact on $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$.

Claim 3. The hypothesis (iii) of Lemma 2.6 is satisfied.

Let $\vartheta \in \hat{\mathfrak{X}} \in \hat{\mathfrak{C}}$ be arbitrary elements such that $\vartheta = \hat{\mathfrak{A}}\vartheta\hat{\mathfrak{B}}\vartheta + \hat{\mathfrak{C}}\vartheta$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}) &= \varphi(\hat{\lambda}, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), I^{\hat{\iota}_1}\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), \dots, I^{\hat{\iota}_n}\vartheta(\hat{\lambda})) \left[\gamma + \delta + \eta\kappa_1 + \vartheta_0 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}} \frac{(\hat{\lambda} - s)^{\hat{\iota}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\iota})} \omega(s, \vartheta(s), I^{\hat{\kappa}_1}\vartheta(s), \dots, I^{\hat{\kappa}_k}\vartheta(s)) ds \right] \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

$$+ \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}} \frac{(\hat{\lambda} - s)^{\hat{\kappa}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\kappa})} \xi(s, \vartheta(s), I^{\hat{\iota}_1}\vartheta(s), \dots, I^{\hat{\iota}_n}\vartheta(s)) ds, \quad \hat{\lambda} \in [0, T]. \tag{20}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\vartheta(\hat{\lambda})| &\leq |\hat{\mathfrak{A}}\vartheta\hat{\mathfrak{B}}\vartheta + \hat{\mathfrak{C}}\vartheta| \\ &\leq |\varphi(\hat{\lambda}, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), I^{\hat{\iota}_1}\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), \dots, I^{\hat{\iota}_n}\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}))| \left[|\gamma + \delta + \eta\kappa_1 + \vartheta_0| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}} \frac{(\hat{\lambda} - s)^{\hat{\iota}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\iota})} |\omega(s, \vartheta(s), I^{\hat{\kappa}_1}\vartheta(s), \dots, I^{\hat{\kappa}_k}\vartheta(s))| ds + \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}} \frac{(\hat{\lambda} - s)^{\hat{\kappa}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\kappa})} \xi(s, \vartheta(s), I^{\hat{\iota}_1}\vartheta(s), \dots, I^{\hat{\iota}_n}\vartheta(s)) ds \right] \\ &\leq (|\varphi(\hat{\lambda}, \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), I^{\hat{\iota}_1}\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}), \dots, I^{\hat{\iota}_n}\vartheta(\hat{\lambda})) - \varphi(\hat{\lambda}, 0, \dots, 0)| + |\varphi(\hat{\lambda}, 0, \dots, 0)|) \left(|\gamma + \delta + \eta\kappa_1 + \vartheta_0| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}} \frac{(\hat{\lambda} - s)^{\hat{\iota}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\iota})} |h(s)| ds \right) \\ &\quad + \int_0^{\hat{\lambda}} \frac{(\hat{\lambda} - s)^{\hat{\kappa}-1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\kappa})} \left[|\xi(s, \vartheta(s), I^{\hat{\iota}_1}\vartheta(s), \dots, I^{\hat{\iota}_n}\vartheta(s)) - \xi(s, 0, \dots, 0)| + |\xi(s, 0, \dots, 0)| \right] ds \\ &\leq [r\|p\| \left(1 + \frac{T^{\hat{\iota}_1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\iota}_1 + 1)} + \dots + \frac{T^{\hat{\iota}_n}}{\Gamma(\hat{\iota}_n + 1)} \right) + F_0] \left(|\gamma + \delta + \eta\kappa_1 + \vartheta_0| + \frac{\|h\|_{L^1} T^{\hat{\iota}}}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{r\|m\| T^{\hat{\kappa}}}{\Gamma(\hat{\kappa} + 1)} \left(1 + \frac{T^{\hat{\iota}_1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\iota}_1 + 1)} + \dots + \frac{T^{\hat{\iota}_n}}{\Gamma(\alpha_n + 1)} \right) + \frac{T^{\hat{\kappa}}}{\Gamma(\hat{\kappa} + 1)} k_0. \end{aligned}$$

We deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\vartheta\| &\leq [r\|p\| \left(1 + \frac{T^{\hat{\iota}_1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\iota}_1 + 1)} + \dots + \frac{T^{\hat{\iota}_n}}{\Gamma(\hat{\iota}_n + 1)} \right) + F_0] \left(|\gamma + \delta + \eta\kappa_1 + \vartheta_0| + \frac{\|h\|_{L^1} T^{\hat{\iota}}}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{r\|m\| T^{\hat{\kappa}}}{\Gamma(\hat{\kappa} + 1)} \left(1 + \frac{T^{\hat{\iota}_1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\iota}_1 + 1)} + \dots + \frac{T^{\hat{\iota}_n}}{\Gamma(\alpha_n + 1)} \right) + \frac{T^{\hat{\kappa}}}{\Gamma(\hat{\kappa} + 1)} k_0, \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

that is, $\vartheta \in \hat{\mathfrak{C}}$.

Claim 4. Finally we show that $\hat{\tau}\mathcal{W} + \rho < 1$, that is, (iv) of Lemma 2.6 holds.

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W} = \|\hat{\mathfrak{B}}(\hat{\mathfrak{C}})\| &= \sup_{\vartheta \in \hat{\mathfrak{C}}} \{ \sup_{\hat{\lambda} \in J} |\hat{\mathfrak{B}}\vartheta(\hat{\lambda})| \} \\ &\leq \left(|\gamma + \delta + \eta\kappa_1 + \vartheta_0| + \frac{\|h\|_{L^1} T^{\hat{\iota}}}{\Gamma(\hat{\iota} + 1)} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

and by theorem 3.1 we have

$$\left(1 + \frac{T^{\hat{\iota}_1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\iota}_1 + 1)} + \dots + \frac{T^{\hat{\iota}_n}}{\Gamma(\hat{\iota}_n + 1)} \right) \left[\|p\| \mathcal{W} + \|m\| \frac{T^{\hat{\kappa}}}{\Gamma(\hat{\kappa} + 1)} \right] < 1,$$

with $\hat{\nu} = \left(1 + \frac{T^{\hat{\iota}_1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\iota}_1 + 1)} + \dots + \frac{T^{\hat{\iota}_n}}{\Gamma(\hat{\iota}_n + 1)} \right) \|p\|$ and $\rho = \|m\| \frac{T^{\hat{\kappa}}}{\Gamma(\hat{\kappa} + 1)} \left(1 + \frac{T^{\hat{\iota}_1}}{\Gamma(\hat{\iota}_1 + 1)} + \dots + \frac{T^{\hat{\iota}_n}}{\Gamma(\hat{\iota}_n + 1)} \right)$.

Thus all the conditions of Lemma 2.6 are satisfied and hence the operator equation $\vartheta = \hat{\mathfrak{A}}\vartheta\hat{\mathfrak{B}}\vartheta + \hat{\mathfrak{C}}\vartheta$ has a solution in $\hat{\mathfrak{C}}$. In consequence, problem (1) has a solution on J . This completes the proof. \square

4. Exemple

In this section we give an example to illustrate the usefulness of our main results. Let us consider the following fractional boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases} D^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}) - I^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\frac{2\hat{\lambda}e^{-3\hat{\lambda}}}{15(3+\hat{\lambda})} \left(\sin \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}) + \frac{\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}) + 9I^{\sqrt{2}|\vartheta(\hat{\lambda})|}}{I^{\sqrt{2}|\vartheta(\hat{\lambda})|+5}} \right) \right]}{\frac{(\hat{\lambda}+1)^2}{100} \left(\sin \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}) + \frac{I^{\sqrt{2}|\vartheta(\hat{\lambda})|}}{1+I^{\sqrt{2}|\vartheta(\hat{\lambda})|}} + 3 \right)} \right) = \hat{\lambda}^2 \sin \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}) + \cos(I^{\frac{1}{4}} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda})) + 1, \hat{\lambda} \in J = [0, 1], \\ \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1^+) = \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1^-) + (-2\vartheta(\hat{\lambda}_1^-)), \hat{\lambda}_1 \neq 0, 1, \\ \frac{\vartheta(0)}{\varphi(0, \vartheta(0), 0)} = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \frac{\vartheta(1)}{\varphi(1, \vartheta(1), I^{\alpha_1} \vartheta(1))} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{23}$$

Put $\hat{t} = \frac{1}{2}$, $\hat{t}_1 = \sqrt{2}$, $\hat{\kappa} = \frac{1}{2}$, $\hat{\kappa} = \frac{1}{4}$, $T = 1$, $n = k = 1$, $\varphi(\hat{\lambda}, \vartheta, \nu) = \frac{(\hat{\lambda}+1)^2}{100} \left(\sin \nu(\hat{\lambda}) + \frac{I^{|\vartheta|}}{1+I^{|\vartheta|}} + 3 \right)$, $\omega(\hat{\lambda}, \vartheta, \nu) = \hat{\lambda}^2 \sin \vartheta(\hat{\lambda}) + \cos(I^{\frac{1}{4}} \vartheta(\hat{\lambda})) + 1$, $\xi(\hat{\lambda}, \vartheta, \nu) = \frac{2\hat{\lambda}e^{-3\hat{\lambda}}}{15(3+\hat{\lambda})} \left(\sin \nu(\hat{\lambda}) + \frac{\vartheta^2(\hat{\lambda}) + 9I^{|\vartheta(\hat{\lambda})|}}{I^{|\vartheta(\hat{\lambda})|+5}} \right)$, $m(\hat{\lambda}) = \frac{2\hat{\lambda}}{15(3+\hat{\lambda})}$, and $p(\hat{\lambda}) = \frac{(\hat{\lambda}+1)^2}{100}$ for $\hat{\lambda} \in [0, 1]$.

Note that, $\|\omega(\hat{\lambda}, \vartheta, \nu)\| \leq \hat{\lambda}^2 + 2$, and

$$|\varphi(\hat{\lambda}, \vartheta, \nu) - \varphi(\hat{\lambda}, \vartheta', \nu')| \leq \frac{(\hat{\lambda} + 1)^2}{100} (|\vartheta - \vartheta'| + |\nu - \nu'|),$$

and

$$|\xi(\hat{\lambda}, \vartheta, \nu) - \xi(\hat{\lambda}, \vartheta', \nu')| \leq \left(\frac{2\hat{\lambda}}{15(3 + \hat{\lambda})} \right) (|\vartheta - \vartheta'| + |\nu - \nu'|).$$

We have

$$\left(1 + \frac{T^{\hat{t}_1}}{\Gamma(\hat{t}_1 + 1)} + \dots + \frac{T^{\hat{t}_n}}{\Gamma(\hat{t}_n + 1)} \right) \left[\|p\| \left(|\gamma + \delta + \eta\kappa_1 + \vartheta_0| \frac{\|h\|_{L^1} T^{\hat{t}}}{\Gamma(\hat{t} + 1)} \right) + \|m\| \frac{T^{\hat{\kappa}}}{\Gamma(\hat{\kappa} + 1)} \right] \approx 0.18957628293 < 1.$$

By using the theorem 3.1, the problem (23) has a solution.

5. Conclusion

Most natural phenomena are treated using different types of fractional differential equations. This diversity in this type of equation helps us to scrutinize the integration of many phenomena in various fields. This helps us in creating programs that enable us to consume rational materials. In our contribution, we presented the existence of the integral solution of a impulsive hybrid differential equation in the the frame of the fractional derivative. The main results are obtained by using on the generalization of Dhage’s fixed point theorem by three operators. For future work, we study the coupled for this problem.

References

- [1] P. Erdős, S. Shelah, *Separability properties of almost-disjoint families of sets*, Israel J. Math. **12** (1972), 207–214.
- [2] J. A. Goguen, *L-fuzzy sets*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **18** (1967), 145–174.
- [3] W. Rudin, *Real and complex analysis*, (3rd edition), McGraw-Hill, New York, 1986.
- [4] I. Podlubny, *Fractional Differential Equations*, Academic Press, New York, 1993.
- [5] K.S. Miller, B. Ross, *An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential Equations*, Wiley, New York, 1993.
- [6] S. G. Samko , A. A. Kilbas and O. I. Marichev, *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives*, Theory and Applications; Gordon and Breach: Yverdon, Switzerland 1993.
- [7] A. M. Samoilenko and N. A. Perestyuk, *Impulsive Differential Equations*, World Scientific, Singapore, (1995).
- [8] I. Podlubny, *Fractional Differential Equations*, Academic Press: San Diego, CA, USA, 1999.
- [9] B.C.Dhage, *A fixed point theorem in Banach algebras with applications to functional integral equations*, Kyungpook Math. J. **44**, (2004), 145-155.
- [10] A.A. Kilbas, H.M. Srivastava, J.J. Trujillo, *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, **204**, Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, 2006.

- [11] M. Benchohra, S. Hamani, S.K. Ntouyas, *Boundary value problems for differential equations with fractional order*, Surveys in Mathematics and its Appl. **3**, (2008), 1-12.
- [12] V. E. Tarasov, *Fractional Dynamics: Applications of Fractional Calculus to Dynamics of Particles, Fields and Media*; Springer: New York, NY, USA. (2010).
- [13] Feckan, M, Zhou, Y, Wang, J, *On the concept and existence of solutions for impulsive fractional differential equations*, Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul. **17** (2012), 3050-3060.
- [14] M. Di Paola, F.P. Pinnola, M. Zingales, *Fractional differential equations and related exact mechanical models*, Comput. Math. Appl. **66** (2013), 608-620.
- [15] D. N. Chalishajar and F. S. Acharya, *Controllability of Second Order Semi-linear Neutral Impulsive Differential Inclusion on unbounded domain with infinite delay in Banach Spaces*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **48(4)**, (2011), 813–838.
- [16] Surang Sitho, Sotiris K Ntouyas and Jessada Tariboon, *Existence results for hybrid fractional integro-differential equations*, Boundary Value Problems (2015).
- [17] K. Hilal, and A.Kajouni, *Boundary value problems for hybrid differential equations with fractional order*, Advances in Difference Equations (2015).
- [18] B. Ahmad, A. Alsaedi, S.K Ntouyas and J. Tariboon, *Hadamard-Type Fractional 3-Differential Equations, Inclusions and Inequalities*, Springer International Publishing: Cham, Switzerland. (2017).
- [19] V. V. Tarasova and V.E Tarasov, *Logistic map with memory from economic model*. Chaos Solitons Fractals. **95**, (2017) 84-91.
- [20] N. Ahmed, D. Vieru, C. Fetecau, N. A. Shah, *Convective flows of generalized time-nonlocal nanofluids through a vertical rectangular channel*, Phys. Fluids. **30**, (2018), 052002.
- [21] W.Benhamida, S. Hamani and J. Henderson, *Boundary value problems for Caputo-Hadamard fractional differential equations*, Adv in the Theory of nonlinear Ana. Applns. **2(3)**,(2018), 138-145.
- [22] Mohamed Houas, *Existence results for a coupled system of fractional differential equations with multi-point boundary value problems*, Mediterreanean Journal of Modeling and Simulation. **10**, 045-059, (2018).
- [23] M. Bouaouid, M. Hannabou and K. Hilal, *Nonlocal Conformable-Fractional Differential Equations with a Measure of Noncompactness in Banach Spaces*, J. Math. 2020, (2020), Article ID 5615080.
- [24] M. Hannabou and K. Hilal, *Existence Results for a System of Coupled Hybrid Differential Equations with Fractional Order*, Int. J. Differ. Equ. 2020, (2020).
- [25] Al Elaiw, A., Manigandan, M., Awadalla, M., and Abuasbeh, K. *Existence results by Mönch's fixed point theorem for a tripled system of sequential fractional differential equations*, AIMS Mathematics, **8(2)**, (2023), 3969-3996.
- [26] Awadalla, M., Abuasbeh, K., Subramanian, M., and Manigandan, M. *On a System of ψ -Caputo Hybrid Fractional Differential Equations with Dirichlet Boundary Conditions*. Mathematics, **10(10)**,(2022), 1681.
- [27] Agarwal, R., Hristova, S., and O'Regan, D, *Stability of generalized proportional Caputo fractional differential equations by Lyapunov functions*. Fractal and Fractional, **6(1)**, 34,(2022).
- [28] Shahmohammadi, M. A., Mirfatah, S. M., Salehipour, H., Azhari, M., and Civalek, Ö, *Free vibration and stability of hybrid nanocomposite-reinforced shallow toroidal shells using an extended closed-form formula based on the Galerkin method*, Mechanics of Advanced Materials and Structures, **29(26)**,(2022), 5284-5300.
- [29] Abbas, M. I, *Ulam stability and existence results for fractional differential equations with hybrid proportional-Caputo derivatives*, Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics, **25(2)**,(2022), 213-231.
- [30] Abbas. M. I, and Ragusa. M. A, *On the hybrid fractional differential equations with fractional proportional derivatives of a function with respect to a certain function*, Symmetry, **13(2)**,(2021), 264.
- [31] Arab, M., and Awadalla, M, *A Coupled System of Caputo-Hadamard Fractional Hybrid Differential Equations with Three-Point Boundary Conditions*, Mathematical Problems in Engineering,(2022).
- [32] Gul, S., Khan, R. A., Khan, H., George, R., Etemad, S., and Rezapour. S, *Analysis on a coupled system of two sequential hybrid BVPs with numerical simulations to a model of typhoid treatment*, Alexandria Engineering Journal, **61(12)**, 10085-10098,(2022).
- [33] Muath Awadalla, Mohamed Hannabou, Kinda Abuasbeh and Khalid Hilal, *A Novel Implementation of Dhage's Fixed Point Theorem to Nonlinear Sequential Hybrid Fractional Differential Equation*, Fractal Fract. **7**,(2023), 144.