Filomat 38:3 (2024), 1135–1142 https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL2403135D



Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

Interpolation formulas for 1-harmonic functions on the unit circle

D. Lj. Djukić^a, N. M. Mutavdžić^b, R. M. Mutavdžić Djukić^a

^a Department of Mathematics, University of Belgrade, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Kraljice Marije 16, 11120 Belgrade 35, Serbia ^b Mathmatical Institute of Serbian Academy of Science and Arts, Kneza Mihaila 36, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia

Abstract. A generalization of the deeply investigated harmonic functions, known as α -harmonic functions, have recently gained considerable attention. Similarly to the harmonic functions, an α -harmonic function u on the unit disc \mathbb{D} is uniquely determined by its values on the boundary of the disc $\partial \mathbb{D}$. In fact, for any $z \in \mathbb{D}$, the value of u(z) can be given as a contour integral over $\partial \mathbb{D}$ with a modified Poisson kernel. However, this integral can be difficult to evaluate, or the values on the boundary are known only empirically. In such cases, approximating u(z) with an interpolatory formula, as a weighted sum of values of u at n nodes on $\partial \mathbb{D}$, can be an attractive alternative. The nodes and weights are to be chosen so that the degree d of exactness of the formula is maximized. In other words, the formula should be exact for all basis functions for α -harmonic functions of degree up to d, with d as large as possible. In the case of harmonic functions, it is known that there is an interpolation formula of degree of exactness as large as d = n - 1. The objective of this paper are formulas of this type for α -harmonic functions. We will prove that, given n, in this case the degree of exactness cannot be n - 1, but there is a unique interpolation formula of degree n - 2. Finally, we will prove convergence of such formulas to u(z) as $n \to \infty$.

1. Introduction

For a complex-valued function *u* defined in a region *D* in the complex plane, two differential operators are commonly used:

$$\partial_z(u) = \frac{1}{2}(u_x - iu_y)$$
 and $\bar{\partial}_z(u) = \frac{1}{2}(u_x + iu_y)$, where $z = x + iy$.

In what follows, *D* will be the unit disc \mathbb{D} : $|z| \leq 1$.

The standard Laplace operator is $\Delta = \partial_z \bar{\partial}_z$. A function $u : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}$ is *harmonic* if it satisfies the Laplace equation $\Delta u = 0$. The functions Re z^k and Im z^k are harmonic and form a basis for *harmonic polynomials*, which are dense in the space of harmonic functions.

The Dirichlet boundary problem for harmonic functions is the problem of determining a harmonic function *u* if its values on the boundary $\partial \mathbb{D}$ are known:

u(z) = f(z) for $z \in \partial \mathbb{D}$ and $\Delta u = 0$.

(1)

Keywords. interpolation formulas, integration rules, α -harmonic functions, unit circle

Received: 20 July 2023; Revised: 21 July 2023; Accepted: 26 July 2023

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 65D05; Secondary 65D32

Communicated by Miodrag Spalević

Research of D. Lj. Djukić and R. M. Mutavdžić Djukić is supported in part by the Serbian Ministry of Science, Technological Development and Innovations, according to Contract 451-03-47/2023-01/200105 dated on February 3, 2023.

Email addresses: ddjukic@mas.bg.ac.rs (D. Lj. Djukić), nikola.math@gmail.com (N. M. Mutavdžić), rmutavdzic@mas.bg.ac.rs (R. M. Mutavdžić Djukić)

It is not required that *u* be defined on $\partial \mathbb{D}$. Thus, *f* is in general a distribution on $\partial \mathbb{D}$ and the boundary condition actually means $\lim_{r\to 1^-} u(re^{i\theta}) = f(e^{i\theta})$.

The solution to the boundary problem (1) is then given by the Poisson integral

$$u(z) = \mathcal{P}[f](z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(e^{i\theta}) \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|z - e^{i\theta}|^2} d\theta \quad \text{for} \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

An extension of the Laplace operator are the so-called weighted Laplace operators

$$\Delta_w = \partial_z w(z)^{-1} \bar{\partial}_z,$$

in a domain Ω of the complex plane \mathbb{C} which is equipped with a weight function $w : \Omega \to (0, \infty)$. We mention that weighted Laplacians seem to have been first studied systematically by P. Garabedian [3].

In the study of Bergman spaces on the unit disc \mathbb{D} one often considers so-called standard weights, which are weight functions of the form

$$w(z) := w_{\alpha}(z) = (1 - |z|^2)^{\alpha},$$

where $\alpha > -1$ is a real constant. For an account of recent developments in Bergman space theory we mention the monograph [6] by Hedenmalm, Korenblum and Zhu. The case $\alpha = 0$ is commonly referred to as the unweighted case, whereas the case $\alpha = 1$ has attracted special attention recently, with contributions by Hedenmalm, Shimorin and others (see for instance [18], [19], [20], [32] in [12]).

For $\alpha > -1$, we will denote the weighted Laplace operator corresponding to the weight w_{α} by Δ_{α} :

$$\Delta_{\alpha} = \partial_z (1 - |z|^2)^{-\alpha} \bar{\partial}_z \quad \text{for} \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

A function *u* that satisfies the equation $\Delta_{\alpha} u = 0$ on \mathbb{D} is called α -harmonic. In particular, the case $\alpha = 0$ yields the harmonic functions. Properties of α -harmonic functions have recently been investigated in a number of papers. For instance, their Lipschitz continuity was investigated in [10].

The associated Dirichlet boundary value problem is

$$\lim_{r \to 1^{-}} u(re^{i\theta}) = f(e^{i\theta}) \quad \text{for} \quad z \in \partial \mathbb{D} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_{\alpha} u = 0,$$
(2)

where f is a distribution on \mathbb{D} . It is shown in [12] that the solution to the boundary problem (2) is given by

$$u(z) = \mathcal{P}_{\alpha}[f](z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} P_{\alpha}(ze^{-i\theta}) f(e^{i\theta}) d\theta \quad \text{for} \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$
(3)

where P_{α} is the α -harmonic Poisson kernel in \mathbb{D} :

$$P_{\alpha}(z) = \frac{(1-|z|^2)^{\alpha+1}}{(1-z)(1-\bar{z})^{\alpha+1}}.$$
(4)

A basis in the space of α -harmonic functions is formed by the functions

 $e_{\alpha,k}(z) = \mathcal{P}_{\alpha}[e^{ik\theta}](z), \text{ for an integer } k.$

Then $P_{\alpha}(z) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e_{\alpha,k}(z)$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. We have

$$e_{\alpha,k}(z) = z^k, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \qquad \text{and} \qquad e_{\alpha,-k}(z) = \frac{\bar{z}^k}{B(k,\alpha+1)} \int_0^1 t^{k-1} (1-t|z|^2)^\alpha dt, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots.$$
 (5)

Since $e_{\alpha,k}(e^{i\theta}z) = e^{ik\theta}e_{\alpha,k}(z)$, rotation of the variable *z* about the origin preserves α -harmonicity. However, unlike the harmonic case, α -harmonicity is not preserved under translation of the variable.

When *D* is any open, bounded and simply connected region in the *xy*-plane, assuming that its boundary ∂D is a rectifiable Jordan curve, a numerical approach to the boundary value problem $\Delta u = 0$ with $u \equiv f$ on ∂D was discussed in [1, 7]. Namely, for a given $\zeta \in D$, the value of $u(\zeta)$ can be approximated by an interpolation formula of the form

$$u(\zeta) \approx \sum_{k=1}^{n} A_k u(z_k), \tag{6}$$

where the *n* nodes $z_1, ..., z_n$ lie on the boundary ∂D and the weight coefficients A_k are constants. An *n*-node formula (6) is a *Gauss harmonic interpolation formula* if it gives the correct result whenever u(z) is of the form $P(z) + Q(\bar{z})$ for some polynomials *P* and *Q* of degree at most n - 1. Barrow and Stroud [1] established the existence of an *n*-node Gauss harmonic interpolation formula with positive real weights A_k . They further note that, under the assumption that u(z) is continuous on ∂D , the positivity of the weights A_k implies convergence of Gauss harmonic interpolation formulas to $u(\zeta)$ as $n \to \infty$. When *D* is a circular region, Johnson and Riess [7] developed a procedure for computing nodes and weights for a Gauss formula. Harmonic interpolation has applications e.g. in computer graphics, see for instance [5] where an arbitrary curve is approximated by harmonic interpolation.

In this paper we investigate interpolation formulas of the form (6) for α -harmonic functions u(z) when $\alpha = 1$ (here called simply 1-*harmonic functions*) and the region D is the unit disc \mathbb{D} . In this case, formula (6) is said to have the *degree of exactness d* if it gives the correct result whenever u(z) is a linear combination of the base functions e_k given by (5) for $-d \le k \le d$. We will prove that there is no *n*-node 1-harmonic interpolation formula of degree of exactness n - 1 (that would be called a "Gauss 1-harmonic formula"), but there is a unique *n*-node 1-harmonic interpolation formula of degree n - 2. Although its weights are not positive nor real, we will prove convergence of these formulas to $u(\zeta)$ as $n \to \infty$.

2. 1-harmonic interpolation formulas

Our objective are interpolation formulas of the type (6) when *u* is a 1-harmonic function on the unit disc \mathbb{D} and ζ inside the unit circle. Thus, we will require the nodes $z_1, z_2, ..., z_n$ to lie on the unit circle.

The basis (5) for $\alpha = 1$ becomes

$$e_k(z) = z^k$$
 and $e_{-k}(z) = (k+1-k|z|^2)\bar{z}^k$ for $k \ge 0$. (7)

We observe that for |z| = 1 we have $e_k(z) = z^{-k}$. Suppose that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} w_j z_j^k = e_k(\zeta) \tag{8}$$

holds for $-d_1 \le k \le d_2$, where d_1, d_2 are nonnegative integers. The formula (6) has the degree of exactness d if min $\{d_1, d_2\} \ge d$. Consider the polynomial

 $P(z) = (z - z_1)(z - z_2) \cdots (z - z_n) = a_n z^n + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 z + a_0 \qquad (a_n = 1).$

Multiplying the equation $\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i z_i^{r-k} = e_{r-k}(\zeta)$ in (8) by $a_r \zeta^k$ and adding over r = 0, 1, ..., n yields

$$\sum_{r=0}^{k-1} |\zeta|^{2(k-r)} \Big((k-r+1) - (k-r) |\zeta|^2 \Big) \cdot a_r \zeta^r + \sum_{r=k}^{n-1} a_r \zeta^r = -\zeta^n.$$

for $n - d_2 \le k \le d_1$. This can be written as

$$\left(\underbrace{1,1,\ldots,1}_{n-k},|\zeta|^2(2-|\zeta|^2),|\zeta|^4(3-2|\zeta|^2),\ldots,|\zeta|^{2k}(k+1-k|\zeta|^2)\right)\cdot(a_{n-1}\zeta^{n-1},\ldots,a_1\zeta,a_0)=-\zeta^n.$$

In other words, we have

$$A\mathbf{p}^T = \mathbf{z}^T,$$

where

$$\mathbf{p} = (a_{n-1}\zeta^{n-1} \dots a_1\zeta a_0) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{z} = \zeta^n (C_1 \ C_2 \ \dots \ C_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{9}$$

with $C_j = -1$ for $n - d_1 \le j \le d_2$, and

	[1	$ \zeta ^2(2- \zeta ^2)$	$ \zeta ^4(3-2 \zeta ^2)$	•••	$ \zeta ^{2n-2}(n-(n-1) \zeta ^2)$	1
	1	1	$ \zeta ^2(2- \zeta ^2)$	• • •	$ \zeta ^{2n-4}((n-1) - (n-2) \zeta ^2)$	
	1	1	1	•••	$ \zeta ^{2n-6}((n-2)-(n-3) \zeta ^2)$	
<i>A</i> =	:	:	:	·	:	ŀ
	1	1	1		$ \zeta ^2(2- \zeta ^2)$	
	1	1	1	•••	1	

Then the polynomial *P* is given by

$$P(x) = x^n + \mathbf{x}\mathbf{p}^T = x^n + \mathbf{x}A^{-1}\mathbf{z}^T,$$

where \mathbf{z} and \mathbf{p} are given by (9) and

 $\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} (x/\zeta)^{n-1} & \dots & x/\zeta & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$

The inverse of the matrix *A* is

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{(1-|\zeta|^2)^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2|\zeta|^2 & |\zeta|^4 & & \mathbf{0} \\ -1 & 2|\zeta|^2 + 1 & -|\zeta|^4 - 2|\zeta|^2 & |\zeta|^4 & & \\ & -1 & 2|\zeta|^2 + 1 & -|\zeta|^4 - 2|\zeta|^2 & \ddots & \\ & & -1 & 2|\zeta|^2 + 1 & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & |\zeta|^4 & \\ & & & \ddots & 2|\zeta|^2 + 1 & -|\zeta|^4 - 2|\zeta|^2 & |\zeta|^4 \\ & & & & -1 & 2|\zeta|^2 + 1 & -2|\zeta|^2 \\ \mathbf{0} & & & & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

In the case d = n - 1 we obtain

$$P(x) = x^{n-1}(x-\zeta) - a(\bar{\zeta}x-1)^2,$$
(10)

where $a = (1 + C_n) \frac{\zeta^n}{(1 - |\zeta|^2)^2} \in \mathbb{C}$ is a constant.

Theorem 2.1. The polynomial P(x) given by (10) has at most two distinct zeros on the unit circle.

Proof. Suppose that x_0 is a zero of P(x) with $|x_0| = 1$. Conjugating the equation $x_0^{n-1}(x_0 - \zeta) = a(1 - \overline{\zeta}x_0)^2$ yields $1 - \overline{\zeta}x_0 = \overline{a}(x_0 - \zeta)^2 x_0^{n-2}$. These two equation together give $a\overline{a}^2(x_0 - \zeta)^3 x_0^{n-3} = 1$, and hence $|x_0 - \zeta| = \frac{1}{|a|}$. It follows that P(x) has at most two zeros of unit modulus.

Corollary 2.2. There is no n-node 1-harmonic interpolation formula or type (14) of degree of exactness n - 1 for n > 2.

We proceed to the case d = n - 2. Now the vector **z** takes the form $= \zeta^n(C_1, -1, ..., -1, C_{n-1}, C_n)$, where C_1, C_{n-1}, C_n are arbitrary constants, and we obtain

$$P(x) = x^{n-2}(x+a)(x-\zeta) + (bx+c)(1-\bar{\zeta}x)^2,$$
(11)

where $a = \frac{(C_1+1)\zeta}{(1-|\zeta|^2)^2}$, $b = \frac{(C_{n-1}+1)\zeta^{n-1}}{(1-|\zeta|^2)^2}$ and $c = \frac{(C_n-C_{n-1})\zeta^n}{(1-|\zeta|^2)^2}$ are some complex constants.

Theorem 2.3. *The polynomial* (11) *has n distinct zeros on the unit circle if and only if* $a = -\zeta$, b = 0 and |c| = 1.

Proof. <u>"Only if" part:</u> Complex numbers of unit modulus are characterized by $\frac{1}{z} = \overline{z}$. It follows that, if all zeros of the polynomial P(x) are of unit modulus, the monic polynomials $\frac{1}{P(0)}x^nP(\frac{1}{x})$ and $\overline{P(\overline{x})}$ have the same zeros, and therefore coincide. Thus, comparing the coefficients of

$$\frac{1}{P(0)}x^n P(\frac{1}{x}) = x^{n-3}(x+\frac{b}{c})(x-\bar{\zeta})^2 + \frac{1}{c}(1+ax)(1-\zeta x) \text{ and}$$
$$\overline{P(\bar{x})} = x^{n-2}(x+\bar{a})(x-\bar{\zeta}) + (\bar{b}x+\bar{c})(1-\zeta x)^2$$

we easily obtain $a = -\zeta$, b = 0 and |c| = 1. Therefore,

$$P(x) = P_n(x) = x^{n-2}(x-\zeta)^2 + c(1-\bar{\zeta}x)^2, \quad \text{where} \quad |c| = 1.$$
(12)

"If" part: Let P_n be given by (12). Since obviously $P_n(\bar{\zeta}^{-1}) \neq 0$, the equation $P_n(x) = 0$ can be written as

$$x^{n-2} = -cf(x)^2$$
, where $f(x) = \frac{1 - \bar{\zeta}x}{x - \zeta}$.

We observe that the Möbius transformation f(x) bijectively maps the unit circle onto itself, and since |f(0)| > 1, it maps the interior of the unit circle to its exterior and vice-versa. It follows that for |x| > 1 we have $|x^{n-2}| > 1 > |cf(x)|$, and for |x| < 1 we have $|x^{n-2}| < 1 < |cf(x)|$. Therefore, $x^{n-2} = f(x)$ can hold only if |x| = 1.

It remains to show that P_n has no multiple roots. Any such root x would also be a root of $P'_n(x) = (n-2)x^{n-3}(x-\zeta)^2 + 2x^{n-2}(x-\zeta) - 2c\zeta(1-\zeta x)$, and since $x^{n-2} = -cf(x)^2$, substituting will reduce the last equation to

$$(n-2)\left(\frac{|\zeta|}{\zeta}x\right)^2 - \left(\frac{n}{|\zeta|} + (n-4)|\zeta|\right)\left(\frac{|\zeta|}{\zeta}x\right) + (n-2) = 0,$$

which is a real quadratic in $|\zeta|x/\zeta$ with a positive discriminant, and hence has two positive real roots different from 1, contrary to the assumption that |x| = 1. \Box

The polynomial (12) is obtained for b = 0 in (11), that is, for $C_{n-1} = -1$. By (9), this means that (8) actually holds for $-(n-2) \le k \le (n-1)$, so

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ z_1 & z_2 & \cdots & z_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ z_1^{n-1} & z_2^{n-1} & \cdots & z_n^{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \\ \vdots \\ w_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \zeta \\ \vdots \\ \zeta^{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

From here we find

$$w_i = \frac{P_n(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z_i)P'_n(z_i)} = \frac{c(1 - |\zeta|^2)^2}{(\zeta - z_i)P'_n(z_i)}.$$
(13)

To sum up:

Theorem 2.4. For each n > 2 and z on the unit circle, there is a unique n-node 1-harmonic interpolation formula (6) of degree of exactness at least n - 2 whose nodes $z_1, ..., z_n$ lie on the unit circle and $z_n = z$. This formula is given by

$$\mathcal{K}_n(u) = \sum_{j=1}^n w_j u(z_j),\tag{14}$$

where the nodes z_j are the zeros of the polynomial (12) with $c = -z^{n-2}(\frac{z-\zeta}{1-\zeta z})^2$, and the weights w_j are given by (13). Then $\mathcal{K}_n(u) = u(\zeta)$ whenever u is a linear combination of the functions e_k given by (7) for $-(n-2) \le k \le n-1$.

Since for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $n \ge 32/(1 - |\zeta|)^2$

$$\begin{aligned} |P'_n(z_i)| &= \left| (n-2)z_i^{n-3}(z_i-\zeta)^2 + 2z_i^{n-2}(z_i-\zeta) - 2c\bar{\zeta}(1-\bar{\zeta}z_i) \right| \\ &\ge (n-2)|z_i-\zeta|^2 - 2|z_i-\zeta| - 2|\zeta||z_i-\zeta| \ge n(1-|\zeta|)^2 - 16 \ge \frac{1}{2}(1-|\zeta|)^2n, \end{aligned}$$

we have $|w_i| \leq \frac{2(1+|\zeta|)^2}{(1-|\zeta|)n}$ and hence the sum of weights is bounded:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} |w_i| \leq \frac{2(1+|\zeta|)^2}{(1-|\zeta|)}$$

for *n* large enough, which is a well-known criterion for convergence of a sequence of quadratures as $n \to \infty$ (see, e.g., [11, p.203]). Therefore:

Theorem 2.5. If *u* is 1-harmonic in \mathbb{D} and continuous on $\partial \mathbb{D}$, then the sequence of interpolation formulas $\mathcal{K}_n(u)$ given by (14) converges to $u(\zeta)$ as $n \to \infty$.

We end this section with a statement that provides closer information about the location of the nodes z_1, \ldots, z_n on the unit circle.

Theorem 2.6. Every arc of length $\frac{2\pi}{n-2}$ on the unit circle $\partial \mathbb{D}$ contains a zero of the polynomial $P_n(x)$ (12).

Proof. A complex number $x = \cos t + i \cdot \sin t$ is a zero of P_n if g(t) := (n-2)t - 2f(t) is a multiple of 2π , where

$$f(t) = \arg \frac{1 - \bar{\zeta}(\cos t + i \cdot \sin t)}{\cos t + i \cdot \sin t - \zeta}$$

Clearly, we can define the argument so as to make f(t) continuous for $t \in [0, 2\pi]$. It is easy to show that then f(t) is a decreasing function with $f(2\pi) = f(0) - 2\pi$. Therefore $g'(t) \ge n - 2$ for each t, so when t passes an interval of length $\frac{2\pi}{n-2}$, the function g(t) takes at least one value that is a multiple of 2π .

It follows that the nodes z_1, \ldots, z_n are distributed fairly uniformly on the unit circle, even if ζ is close to the boundary. In fact, with more careful computation, one could refine the statement of Theorem 2.6 to give that the distance between any two consecutive zeros of P_n along the circle is between $2\pi/(n - \frac{4|\zeta|}{1+|\zeta|})$ and $2\pi/(n + \frac{4|\zeta|}{1-|\zeta|})$.

3. Numerical examples

Example 3.1. (a) We will use the interpolation formula (14) for n = 5 and n = 10, with the parameter c set to -1, to approximate the value $u(\frac{1}{2})$, where u is the 1-harmonic function

 $u(z) = 2(z+1)\ln|z+1| - |z|^2$ for $z \neq -1$, with u(-1) := -1.

The correct value is $u(\frac{1}{2}) \approx 0.9663953243$. The nodes z_j and the weights w_j of the formulas \mathcal{K}_5 and \mathcal{K}_{10} are shown in Table 1 and graphically in Figure 1.

n=5:	$\mathcal{K}_5(u) = 0.9648\dots$
$z_1 = -0.6614 - i \cdot 0.75$	$w_1 = 0.0541 - i \cdot 0.0153$
$z_2 = -0.6614 + i \cdot 0.75$	$w_2 = 0.0541 + i \cdot 0.0153$
$z_3 = 0.6614 - i \cdot 0.75$	$w_3 = 0.1959 - i \cdot 0.1097$
$z_4 = 0.6614 + i \cdot 0.75$	$w_4 = 0.1959 + i \cdot 0.1097$
$z_5 = 1$	$w_5 = 0.5$

n = 10: %	$\mathcal{C}_{10}(u) = 0.9666\dots$
$z_1 = -1$	$w_1 = 0.0192$
$z_2 = -0.75 - i \cdot 0.6614$	$w_2 = 0.0221 - i \cdot 0.0053$
$z_3 = -0.75 + i \cdot 0.6614$	$w_3 = 0.0221 + i \cdot 0.0053$
$z_4 = -0.1404 - i \cdot 0.9901$	$w_4 = 0.0343 - i \cdot 0.0159$
$z_5 = -0.1404 + i \cdot 0.9901$	$w_5 = 0.0343 + i \cdot 0.0159$
$z_6 = 0.5 - i \cdot 0.8660$	$w_6 = 0.0750 - i \cdot 0.0433$
$z_7 = 0.5 + i \cdot 0.8660$	$w_7 = 0.0750 + i \cdot 0.0433$
$z_8 = 0.8904 - i \cdot 0.4552$	$w_8 = 0.1983 - i \cdot 0.0813$
$z_9 = 0.8904 + i \cdot 0.4552$	$w_9 = 0.1983 + i \cdot 0.0813$
$z_{10} = 1$	$w_{10} = 0.3214$

Table 1: The nodes z_i *and weights* w_i *for the formula (14) in Example 3.1 for* $n \in \{5, 10\}$ *.*



Figure 1: The nodes z_i *and weights* w_i *for the formula (14) in Example 3.1 for* $n \in \{5, 10\}$ *.*

(b) In order to compare the errors, we now use the formula (14) for n = 5 and n = 10 with c = -1 for different values of ζ to approximate $u(\zeta)$. We obtain the following results.

ζ	$u(\zeta)$	$\mathcal{K}_5(u)$	$ \mathcal{K}_5(u) - u(\zeta) $	$\mathcal{K}_{10}(u)$	$ \mathcal{K}_{10}(u) - u(\zeta) $
0.99	1.7586758622	1.7586758512	1.10×10^{-8}	1.7586758633	1.11×10^{-9}
0.9	1.6290447675	1.6290335082	1.13×10^{-5}	1.6290459451	1.18×10^{-6}
0.75	1.3961552578	1.3959711650	1.84×10^{-4}	1.3961756559	2.04×10^{-5}
0.5	0.9663953243	0.9648017744	1.59×10^{-3}	0.9665917001	1.96×10^{-4}
0.25	0.4953588783	0.4895176678	5.84×10^{-3}	0.4961723873	8.14×10^{-4}
0	0	-0.0150733146	1.51×10^{-2}	0.0024250561	2.43×10^{-3}

(c) The same nodes and weights from part (a) can be used if z is any point with $|z| = \frac{1}{2}$. Indeed, since α -harmonicity is invariant under rotation of z, we can write $z = e^{i\theta}|z|$, define $v(x) = u(e^{i\theta}x)$ and then evaluate v(|z|) instead.

For example, suppose that we need $u(\frac{1}{2}e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}})$ for the 1-harmonic function $u(z) = e^z$. We can use the formula (14) for n = 5 and n = 10 on the function $v(z) = e^{ze^{i\pi/7}}$ to approximate $v(\frac{1}{2})$. The results obtained for n = 5 and n = 10 are

 $\mathcal{K}_5(v) \approx 1.5281282288 + i \cdot 0.3418971610$ and $\mathcal{K}_{10}(v) \approx 1.5322934416 + i \cdot 0.3377334760$,

whereas the exact value is $u(\frac{1}{2}e^{i\frac{\pi}{7}}) \approx 1.5322934702 + i \cdot 0.3377336491$.

Example 3.2. (a) We will now apply the formula (14) for n = 5 and n = 10 on the function $v(z) = e^{ze^{i\pi/7}}$ from *Example 3.1(b)*, but with different choices of the parameter c, to approximate $v(\frac{1}{2})$.

С	$\mathcal{K}_5(v)$	$ \mathcal{K}_{5}(v) - v(\frac{1}{2}) $	$\mathcal{K}_{10}(v)$	$ \mathcal{K}_{10}(v) - v(\frac{1}{2}) $
-1	$1.5281282288 + i \cdot 0.3418971610$	5.89×10^{-3}	$1.5322934416 + i \cdot 0.3377334760$	1.75×10^{-7}
1	$1.5364531904 + i \cdot 0.3335681930$	5.89×10^{-3}	$1.5322934987 + i \cdot 0.3377338223$	1.76×10^{-7}
$e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}$	$1.5379834822 + i \cdot 0.3392543157$	5.89×10^{-3}	$1.5322933345 + i \cdot 0.3377337605$	1.76×10^{-7}
$\frac{3+4i}{5}$	$1.5381242523 + i \cdot 0.3385625645$	5.89×10^{-3}	$1.5322933488 + i \cdot 0.3377337759$	1.76×10^{-7}

Curiously, the error is in each case almost the same in modulus, and moreover, the difference of the arguments of the error and the parameter c is almost constant. However, this phenomenon seems to be due to the nature of the function u.

(b) Applying the formula (14) for n = 5 and n = 10 on the function $u(z) = 2(z + 1) \ln |z + 1| - |z|^2$ from Example 3.1(*a*) with the same choices of the parameter *c* gives larger errors:

С	$\mathcal{K}_5(u)$	$ \mathcal{K}_{5}(u) - u(\frac{1}{2}) $	$\mathcal{K}_{10}(u)$	$ \mathcal{K}_{10}(u) - u(\frac{1}{2}) $
-1	0.9648017744	1.59×10^{-3}	0.9665917001	1.96×10^{-4}
1	0.9686305590	2.24×10^{-3}	0.9662496647	1.46×10^{-4}
$e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}$	$0.9670826366 + i \cdot 0.0231630420$	2.32×10^{-2}	$0.9663084775 - i \cdot 0.0029317982$	2.93×10^{-3}
$\frac{3+4i}{5}$	$0.9673073392 + i \cdot 0.0231187990$	2.31×10^{-2}	$0.9662961073 - i \cdot 0.0026367261$	2.64×10^{-3}

References

- [1] D. L. Barrow, A. H. Stroud, Existence of Gauss Harmonic Interpolation Formulas, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 13(1) (1976), 18–26.
- [2] L. Daruis, P. González-Vera, Szegö polynomials and quadrature formulas on the unit circle, Appl. Numer. Math. 36(1) (2001), 79-112.
- [3] P. R. Garabedian, A partial differential equation arising in conformal mapping, Pacific J. Math. 1 (1951), 485–524.
- [4] P. González-Vera, J. C. Santos-León, O. Njåstad, Some results about numerical quadrature on the unit circle, Adv. Comput. Math. 5 (1996), 297–328.
- [5] A. Hardy, W.-H. Steeb, Harmonic Interpolation, Bézier Curves and Trigonometric Interpolation, Zeitschrift f
 ür Naturforschung A 59(9) (2004), 591–596.
- [6] H. Hedenmalm, B. Korenblum, K. Zhu, Theory of Bergman Spaces, Springer-Verlag, 2000.
- [7] L. W. Johnson, R. D. Riess, Gauss Harmonic Interpolation Formulas for Circular Regions, IMA J. Appl. Math. 23(2) (1979), 215–222,
- [8] A. Khalfallah, M. Mateljević, H^p norm estimates of the partial derivatives and Schwarz lemma for α -harmonic functions, arXiv:2302.09613 (2023).
- [9] S.-M. Kim, L. Reichel, Anti-Szegö quadrature rules, Math. Comput. 76 (2007), 795-810.
- [10] M. Mateljević, N. Mutavdžić, A. Khalfallah, Lipschitz Continuity for Harmonic Functions and Solutions of the α-Poisson Equation, Axioms 12(10):998 (2023).
- [11] G. V. Milovanović, Numerical analysis II (in Serbian), Naučna knjiga, Belgrade, 1991.
- [12] A. Olofsson, J. Wittsten, Poisson integrals for standard weighted Laplacians in the unti disc, J. Math. Soc. Japan 65 (2013), 447-486.
- [13] G. Szegö, Orthogonal Polynomials, (4th edition), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1975.