



On initial inverse problem for Caputo fractional elliptic equation

Nguyen Hoang Tuan^{a,b,*}, Le Dinh Long^c, Le Xuan Dai^{a,b}

^aDepartment of Applied Mathematics, Faculty of Applied Science, Ho Chi Minh City University of Technology (HCMUT),
268 Ly Thuong Kiet, Ward 14, District 10, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

^bVietnam National University Ho Chi Minh City, Linh Trung Ward, Thu Duc City, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

^cFaculty of Information Technology, Industrial University Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

Abstract. In this work, we are interested to study the initial inverse problem for elliptic equation with Caputo derivative. We obtained some different results regarding the well-posedness of the solution corresponding to the given input data. In addition, we also derive the upper bound of the derivative of the mild solution. The principal analysis is based on the estimation of the Mittag-Leffler function, combined with main analysis in Hilbert scale authorized, and we also use fixed point techniques to prove the inequalities in this paper.

1. Introduction

Fractional calculus has extensive use in several sectors, including biology, engineering, physics, and more. While there are many different kinds of minor derivatives, the community focuses mostly on two types of derivatives: Riemann-Liouville and Caputo. Referring to some fascinating studies on Caputo or Riemann-Liouville, we have the following [16, 1, 12, 13, 14, 15, 25, 26, 27, 28].

Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N ($N \geq 1$) with sufficiently smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, T be a positive number. In this paper, we examined the following model

$$\begin{cases} {}_C D_t^\alpha u + \Delta u = F(x, t), & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T], \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_t(x, 0) = 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

with the terminal condition

$$u(x, T) = g(x), \quad x \in \Omega. \quad (2)$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47H07; Secondary 47H08, 47H10.

Keywords. Fixed point theory, Fractional elliptic equation, Mittag-Leffler functions, initial inverse problem

Received: 10 August 2024; Revised: 11 August 2024; Accepted: 20 August 2024

Communicated by Maria Alessandra Ragusa

* Corresponding author: Nguyen Hoang Tuan

Email addresses: nhtuan.sdh231@hcmut.edu.vn (Nguyen Hoang Tuan), ledinhlong@iuh.edu.vn (Le Dinh Long), ytkadai@hcmut.edu.vn (Le Xuan Dai)

Here g is the function defined later. The symbol ${}_C D_t^\alpha z$ is the Caputo derivative, (see [18, 6])

$$\begin{cases} {}_C D_t^\alpha z(x, t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(2 - \alpha)} \int_0^t (t - r)^{1-\alpha} \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial r^2}(x, r) dr, & \text{for } 1 < \alpha < 2, \\ {}_C D_t^\alpha z(t, x) = \frac{\partial^\alpha z}{\partial t^\alpha}(x, t), & \text{for } \alpha = 1, 2, \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

and Γ is the Gamma function. This model mentioned above is an approximation of the elliptic equation. Indeed, if $\alpha \rightarrow 2^-$ then the solution of Problem (1) tends to the solution of the elliptic equation. The elliptic equation has many applications, see in [7]. There are several results on Cauchy elliptic equations with classical derivatives such as [17, 10, 12] and references therein. In some phenomena related to memory, the fractional derivative model is often used instead of the classical derivative. It explains a number of phenomena related to past distribution or viscosity models. In [8], Binh-Thang-Phuong [8] considered the elliptic equation under the Caputo derivative on the plane

$$\begin{cases} {}_C D_t^\alpha u + \Delta u = F(x, t), & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, T], \\ u(x, 0) = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \\ u_t(x, 0) = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2. \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

They obtained several regularity results for the mild solution based on various assumptions of the input data. The principal techniques of the analysis is based on the bound of the Mittag-Leffler functions, combined with analysis in Hilbert scales space. In [9], the authors studied an initial value problem for a class of 2D time-fractional diffusion evolution equations with Riemann–Liouville fractional derivative.

They focused the existence and ill-posedness result (in the sense of Hadamard) in some cases of the source terms. By Fourier truncation method, the authors founded the regularized problems, and shown the error estimate between the exact solution and the approximate solution. Next, in [11], the authors considered a Cauchy problem for a semi linear fractional elliptic equation. They known the approximated solution through truncation method, the order logarithmic is error estimate between the regularized solution and the sought solution.

This type of backward problem in the fractional diffusion equation has an important application foundation and is receiving more and more attention. The final value problem is an inverse problem that requires redefining the distribution at the initial time when the distribution at the past time is known. Backward problem appear in many applications, such as image deblurring and inpainting. Some typical papers on backward problem can be listed as follows, see in [19, 12, 22, 23, 24].

This paper is organized as follows. Under homogeneous case, we obtain the well-posedness of the mild solution. Under the inhomogeneous linear source term, we also get the regularity results of the mild solution with some fixed point techniques used.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. *The spectral problem*

$$\begin{cases} \Delta e_n(x) = -\lambda_n e_n(x), & x \in \Omega, \\ e_n(x) = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

admits the eigenvalues $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n \leq \dots$, and corresponding eigenfunctions $e_n \in H_0^1(\Omega)$.

Definition 2.2. *The Hilbert scale space $\mathbb{H}^s(\Omega)$ given as follows*

$$\mathbb{H}^s(\Omega) = \left\{ f \in L^2(\Omega) \mid \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{2s} \left(\int_{\Omega} f(x) e_n(x) dx \right)^2 < \infty \right\}, \tag{5}$$

for any $s \geq 0$, with the the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^s(\Omega)} = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{2s} \left(\int_{\Omega} f(x)e_n(x)dx \right)^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad f \in \mathbb{H}^s(\Omega). \tag{6}$$

Definition 2.3. The Mittag-Leffler function

$$E_{\alpha,\theta}(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^m}{\Gamma(\alpha m + \theta)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{7}$$

where $\alpha > 0$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ are arbitrary constants.

Lemma 2.4 (See [21]). Let $1 < \alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < 2$ and $\alpha \in [\alpha_0, \alpha_1]$. Then there exists a constant $m_1, m_2, \bar{m}_1, \bar{m}_2 > 0$ and $z > 0$ such that

$$\frac{m_1}{\alpha} \exp(z^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}) \leq E_{\alpha,1}(z) \leq \frac{m_2}{\alpha} \exp(z^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}), \tag{8}$$

and

$$\frac{\bar{M}_1}{\alpha} \exp(z^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}) \leq z^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(z) \leq \frac{\bar{M}_2}{\alpha} \exp(z^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}). \tag{9}$$

Lemma 2.5. Let $z \in \mathbb{R}$. Then we have

$$\frac{d}{dz} E_{\alpha,1}(z) = \frac{E_{\alpha,\alpha}(z)}{\alpha}, \quad \frac{d}{dz} E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda z^\alpha) = \frac{1}{z} E_{\alpha,0}(\lambda z^\alpha). \tag{10}$$

Lemma 2.6. Let $\alpha \in (1, 2)$, and $\lambda > 0$ and $\mu > 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{E_{\alpha,1}(\mu) - E_{\alpha,1}(-\mu)}{2\mu} &= E_{2\alpha,\alpha+1}(\mu^2), \\ \frac{E_{\rho,\mu-1}(z) + (1 - \rho + \mu) E_{\rho,\mu}(z)}{\rho} &= E_{\rho,\mu}(z^2), \\ E_{\alpha,\mu}(z) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu)} + z E_{\alpha,\mu+\alpha}(z), \quad \lambda > 0, \mu > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Lemma 2.7. For $z > 0$, $\alpha \in (1, 2)$, it gives (see p.5, [20])

$$E_{2,\alpha}(z^2) = \frac{1}{2} (E_\alpha(z) + E_\alpha(-z)), \tag{12}$$

and

$$\frac{d^m}{dz^m} [z^{\beta-1} E_{\alpha,\beta}(z^\alpha)] = z^{\beta-m-1} E_{\alpha,\beta-m}(z^\alpha). \tag{13}$$

Lemma 2.8. Let $h, k > 0$, then we receive

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(k)} \int_0^t (t-r)^{k-1} E_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda r^\alpha) r^{\beta-1} dr = t^{\beta+k-1} E_{\alpha,\beta+k}(\lambda t^\alpha). \tag{14}$$

We can see the proof in Vol. 1, pp. 269–295 [3].

Lemma 2.9. For $1 < \alpha < 2$, $\lambda > 0$, and $t > 0$, then we have

$$a) \quad \partial_t E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda t^\alpha) = \lambda t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(\lambda t^\alpha), \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_t (t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda t^\alpha)) = t^{\alpha-2} E_{\alpha,\alpha-1}(-\lambda t^\alpha);$$

b) $\partial_t^\alpha E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda t^\alpha) = -\lambda E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda t^\alpha)$, and $\partial_t^\alpha (t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda t^\alpha)) = -\lambda t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda t^\alpha)$.

Definition 2.10. The Gevrey class of functions of order $\Psi > 0$ and index $\Upsilon > 0$, defined by the spectrum of the Laplacian is denoted by

$$G_{\alpha,\Upsilon}^\Psi(\Omega) := \left\{ f \in L^2(\Omega) : \sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_j^{2\Psi} \exp(2\Upsilon \lambda_j^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}) u_j^2 < \infty \right\}, \tag{15}$$

and its norm given by

$$\|f\|_{G_{\alpha,\Upsilon}^\Psi} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_j^{2\Psi} \exp(2\Upsilon \lambda_j^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}) u_j^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{16}$$

Lemma 2.11. Let $1 < \alpha < 2$, then we get

$$\frac{m_1}{\alpha} \exp(\lambda_j^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} t) \leq E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j t^\alpha) \leq \frac{m_2}{\alpha} \exp(\lambda_j^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} t). \tag{17}$$

and

$$\frac{\bar{m}_1}{\alpha} \lambda_j^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} t^{1-\alpha} \exp(t \lambda_j^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}) \leq E_{\alpha,\alpha}(\lambda_j t^\alpha) \leq \frac{\bar{m}_2}{\alpha} \lambda_j^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} t^{1-\alpha} \exp(t \lambda_j^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}). \tag{18}$$

Using Lemma (2.4) with $z = \lambda_j^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} t$, (17) and (18) is proved.

Lemma 2.12. Let $1 < \alpha < 2$, then we receive

$$\frac{m_1}{m_2} \exp\left(\lambda_j^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(t - T)\right) \leq \frac{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j t^\alpha)}{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j T^\alpha)} \leq \frac{m_2}{m_1} \exp\left(\lambda_j^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(t - T)\right). \tag{19}$$

Using Lemma (2.4), we derive that

$$\frac{m_1}{\alpha} \exp(\lambda_j^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} t) \leq E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j t^\alpha) \leq \frac{m_2}{\alpha} \exp(\lambda_j^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} t). \tag{20}$$

Since (20), one has

$$\frac{m_1}{m_2} \exp(\lambda_j^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(t - T)) \leq \frac{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j t^\alpha)}{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j T^\alpha)} \leq \frac{m_2}{m_1} \exp(\lambda_j^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(t - T)). \tag{21}$$

Lemma 2.13. Let $1 < \alpha < 2$, then we have

$$\frac{\bar{m}_1}{m_2} t^{1-\alpha} \lambda_j^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} ((t - T) \lambda_j^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}) \leq \frac{E_{\alpha,\alpha}(\lambda_j t^\alpha)}{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j T^\alpha)} \leq \frac{\bar{m}_2}{m_1} t^{1-\alpha} \lambda_j^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} ((t - T) \lambda_j^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}). \tag{22}$$

Using (9), for any $0 \leq t \leq T$, we have

$$E_{\alpha,\alpha}(\lambda_j t^\alpha) \leq \frac{\bar{m}_2}{\alpha} \exp((\lambda_j t^\alpha)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}) (\lambda_j t^\alpha)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} = \frac{\bar{m}_2}{\alpha} \lambda_j^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} t^{1-\alpha} \exp(t \lambda_j^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}). \tag{23}$$

This inequality together with (20) yields to

$$\frac{E_{\alpha,\alpha}(\lambda_j t^\alpha)}{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j T^\alpha)} \leq \frac{\bar{m}_2}{m_1} t^{1-\alpha} \lambda_j^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} ((t - T) \lambda_j^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}), \tag{24}$$

by a similar techniques, one has

$$\frac{E_{\alpha,\alpha}(\lambda_j t^\alpha)}{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j T^\alpha)} \geq \frac{\bar{m}_1}{m_2} t^{1-\alpha} \lambda_j^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \exp((t - T) \lambda_j^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}). \tag{25}$$

3. Homogeneous case $F = 0$

We consider the following problem

$$\begin{cases} {}_C D_t^\alpha w + \Delta w = 0, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T], \\ w|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ w_t(x, 0) = 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases} \tag{26}$$

with the terminal condition (2).

Theorem 3.1. *Let the terminal data $g \in \mathbb{H}^{q-\frac{\rho}{\alpha}}(\Omega)$ for $q \geq 0$ and $0 < \rho < 1$. Then problem (26)-(2) has a unique solution $w \in L^s(0, T; \mathbb{H}^q(\Omega))$ for any $1 < s < \frac{1}{\rho}$. In addition, we get*

$$\|w\|_{L^s(0, T; \mathbb{H}^q(\Omega))} \leq C(\rho, s, q) T^{\frac{1}{s}-\rho} \|g\|_{\mathbb{H}^{q-\frac{\rho}{\alpha}}(\Omega)}. \tag{27}$$

If $g \in \mathbb{H}^{q+\frac{1-\beta}{\alpha}-1}(\Omega)$ for $0 < \beta < 1$ then $w \in C^{1-\beta}([0, T]; \mathbb{H}^q(\Omega))$. In addition, we get that

$$\|w\|_{C^{1-\beta}([0, T]; \mathbb{H}^q(\Omega))} \leq \frac{C_\beta \bar{m}_2 T^{\alpha-1}}{m_1(1-\beta)} \theta^{1-\beta} \|g\|_{\mathbb{H}^{q+\frac{1-\beta}{\alpha}-1}(\Omega)}. \tag{28}$$

Let us assume that the problem (26) with the terminal condition (2) has a solution w , then we have

$$w_j(t) = E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j t^\alpha) w_j(0). \tag{29}$$

Taking the derivative of w_j , we get

$$w'_j(t) = \frac{d}{dt}(E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j t^\alpha)) w_j(0). \tag{30}$$

From (30), we know that

$$w_j(T) = E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j T^\alpha) w_j(0) = g_j. \tag{31}$$

Hence, we derive that

$$w_j(t) = \frac{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j t^\alpha)}{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j T^\alpha)} \left(\int_{\Omega} g(x) e_j(x) dx \right). \tag{32}$$

This implies that

$$w(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j t^\alpha)}{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j T^\alpha)} \left(\int_{\Omega} g(x) e_j(x) dx \right) e_j(x). \tag{33}$$

Thank to the inequality $e^{-y} \leq C_\rho y^{-\rho}$ for any $\rho > 0$, one has

$$\left(\frac{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j t^\alpha)}{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j T^\alpha)} \right)^2 \leq \frac{m_2^2}{m_1^2} \exp(2\lambda_j^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(t-T)) \leq |C_\rho|^2 \frac{m_2^2}{m_1^2} (T-t)^{-2\rho} \lambda_j^{-\frac{2\rho}{\alpha}}. \tag{34}$$

where we have used Lemma (2.12). This follows from (33) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|w(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^q(\Omega)}^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^{2q} \left(\frac{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j t^\alpha)}{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j T^\alpha)} \right)^2 \left(\int_{\Omega} g(x) e_j(x) dx \right)^2 \\ &\leq |C_\rho|^2 \frac{m_2^2}{m_1^2} (T-t)^{-2\rho} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^{2q-\frac{2\rho}{\alpha}} \left(\int_{\Omega} g(x) e_j(x) dx \right)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Therefore, we give the following bound

$$\|w(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^q(\Omega)} \leq C(\rho, m_2, m_1)(T - t)^{-\rho} \|g\|_{\mathbb{H}^{q-\frac{\rho}{\alpha}}(\Omega)}, \tag{36}$$

for any $\rho < 1$ and $C(\rho, m_2, m_1)$ depends on ρ, m_2, m_1 . Since $1 < s < \frac{1}{\rho}$, then the integral $\int_0^T (T - t)^{-\rho s} dt$ is convergent. This allows us to get that $w \in L^s(0, T; \mathbb{H}^q(\Omega))$ since the following observation

$$\|w\|_{L^s(0, T; \mathbb{H}^q(\Omega))} = \left(\int_0^T \|w(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^q(\Omega)}^s dt \right)^{1/s} \leq C(\rho, m_1, m_1, s, q) T^{\frac{1}{s}-\rho} \|g\|_{\mathbb{H}^{q-\frac{\rho}{\alpha}}(\Omega)}. \tag{37}$$

From (33) and we take $t, t + \theta$ such that $0 \leq t \leq t + \theta \leq T$, and $\theta > 0$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} w(x, t + \theta) - w(x, t) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j(t + \theta)^\alpha) - E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j t^\alpha)}{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j T^\alpha)} \left(\int_{\Omega} g(x) e_j(x) dx \right) e_j(x) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_j \int_t^{t+\theta} r^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(\lambda_j r^\alpha) dr}{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j T^\alpha)} \left(\int_{\Omega} g(x) e_j(x) dx \right) e_j(x). \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Here in the last above equality, we have used Lemma 2.5 in order to obtain that

$$\frac{d}{dt} E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j t^\alpha) = \frac{dE_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j t^\alpha)}{d(\lambda_j t^\alpha)} \frac{d(\lambda_j t^\alpha)}{dt} = \lambda_j t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(\lambda_j t^\alpha). \tag{39}$$

In view of Lemma (2.13), we derive that for any $0 < r < T$

$$\frac{E_{\alpha,\alpha}(\lambda_j r^\alpha)}{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j T^\alpha)} \leq \frac{\bar{m}_2}{m_1} t^{1-\alpha} \lambda_j^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \exp((r - T)\lambda_j^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}) \leq \frac{C_\beta \bar{m}_2}{m_1} t^{1-\alpha} \lambda_j^{\frac{1-\beta}{\alpha}-1} (T - r)^{-\beta}, \tag{40}$$

where we used the inequality $e^{-z} \leq C_\beta z^{-\beta}$ for any $z > 0$ and $\beta > 0$. Thus, if we choose $0 < \beta < 1$, one has

$$\frac{\lambda_j \int_t^{t+\theta} r^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(\lambda_j r^\alpha) dr}{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j T^\alpha)} \leq \frac{C_\beta \bar{m}_2}{m_1} t^{1-\alpha} \lambda_j^{\frac{1-\beta}{\alpha}} \int_t^{t+\theta} r^{\alpha-1} (T - r)^{-\beta} dr. \tag{41}$$

It is obvious to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_t^{t+\theta} r^{\alpha-1} (T - r)^{-\beta} dr &\leq T^{\alpha-1} \int_t^{t+\theta} (T - r)^{-\beta} dr \\ &= T^{\alpha-1} \frac{(T - t)^{1-\beta} - (T - t - \theta)^{1-\beta}}{1 - \beta} \leq \frac{T^{\alpha-1} \theta^{1-\beta}}{1 - \beta}. \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

Combining (40) and (42), we infer that

$$\frac{\lambda_j \int_t^{t+\theta} r^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(\lambda_j r^\alpha) dr}{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j T^\alpha)} \leq \frac{C_\beta \bar{m}_2 T^{\alpha-1}}{m_1(1 - \beta)} \lambda_j^{\frac{1-\beta}{\alpha}-1} \theta^{1-\beta}, \quad 0 < \beta < 1. \tag{43}$$

This inequality together with (38) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \|w(x, t + \theta) - w(x, t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^q(\Omega)}^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^{2q} \left(\frac{\lambda_j \int_t^{t+\theta} r^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(\lambda_j r^\alpha) dr}{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j T^\alpha)} \right)^2 \left(\int_{\Omega} g(x) e_j(x) dx \right)^2 \\ &\leq \left(\frac{C_\beta \bar{m}_2 T^{\alpha-1}}{m_1(1 - \beta)} \right)^2 \theta^{2-2\beta} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^{2q+\frac{2-2\beta}{\alpha}-2} \left(\int_{\Omega} g(x) e_j(x) dx \right)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

By Parseval’s equality, we derive that

$$\|w(x, t + \theta) - w(x, t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^q(\Omega)} \leq \frac{C_\beta \bar{m}_2 T^{\alpha-1}}{m_1(1-\beta)} \theta^{1-\beta} \|g\|_{\mathbb{H}^{q+\frac{1-\beta}{\alpha}-1}(\Omega)}. \tag{45}$$

This implies that $w \in C^{1-\beta}([0, T]; \mathbb{H}^q(\Omega))$.

4. Linear inhomogeneous case $F = F(x, t)$

We consider the following problem

$$\begin{cases} {}_C D_t^\alpha w + \Delta w = F(x, t), & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T], \\ w|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ w_t(x, 0) = 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases} \tag{46}$$

with the terminal condition (2). Our main aim in this section is to show that the well-posedness of the mild solution.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $g \in \mathbb{H}^{q-\frac{\rho}{\alpha}}(\Omega)$ for $q \geq 0, 0 < \rho < 1$, let $F \in L^2(0, T; \mathbf{G}_{\alpha, 2T}^{2q-\frac{2\rho}{\alpha}+\frac{1}{\alpha}-2}(\Omega))$, then*

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^q(\Omega)} \leq C_\rho (T-t)^{-\rho} \|g\|_{\mathbb{H}^{q-\frac{\rho}{\alpha}}(\Omega)} + (T-t)^{-\rho} \|F\|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbf{G}_{\alpha, 2T}^{2q-\frac{2\rho}{\alpha}+\frac{1}{\alpha}-2}(\Omega))} + \sqrt{\frac{m_2 \bar{m}_2}{\alpha^2}} \|F\|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbf{G}_{\alpha, 2T}^{2q+\frac{1}{\alpha}-2}(\Omega))}. \tag{47}$$

Let $g \in \mathbb{H}^{q-\frac{\rho}{\alpha}}(\Omega)$ and $F \in L^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{H}^q(\Omega))$, then we obtain

$$\|u(\cdot, 0)\|_{\mathbb{H}^q(\Omega)} \leq C_\rho T^{-\rho} \|g\|_{\mathbb{H}^{q-\frac{\rho}{\alpha}}(\Omega)} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{\bar{m}_2}{m_1}\right)^2 C_\alpha \lambda_1^{\frac{3-3\alpha}{\alpha}} \frac{T^{2-\alpha}}{2-\alpha}} \|F\|_{L^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{H}^q(\Omega))}. \tag{48}$$

From [8], one gets

$$w_j(t) = E_{\alpha, 1}(\lambda_j t^\alpha) w_j(0) + \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(\lambda_j(t-\tau)^\alpha) F_j(s) ds. \tag{49}$$

By let $t = T$, we find that

$$g_j = w_j(T) = E_{\alpha, 1}(\lambda_j T^\alpha) w_j(0) + \int_0^T (T-\tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(\lambda_j(T-\tau)^\alpha) F_j(\tau) d\tau. \tag{50}$$

Hence, one has the following equality

$$w_j(0) = \frac{g_j}{E_{\alpha, 1}(\lambda_j T^\alpha)} - \frac{\int_0^T (T-\tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(\lambda_j(T-\tau)^\alpha) F_j(\tau) d\tau}{E_{\alpha, 1}(\lambda_j T^\alpha)}. \tag{51}$$

From (49) and (51), one gets

$$\begin{aligned} u_j(t) &= \frac{E_{\alpha, 1}(\lambda_j t^\alpha) g_j}{E_{\alpha, 1}(\lambda_j T^\alpha)} + \frac{E_{\alpha, 1}(\lambda_j t^\alpha) \int_0^T (T-\tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(\lambda_j(T-\tau)^\alpha) F_j(s) ds}{E_{\alpha, 1}(\lambda_j T^\alpha)} \\ &\quad + \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(\lambda_j(t-\tau)^\alpha) F_j(\tau) d\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

Hence, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(x, t) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j t^\alpha)}{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j T^\alpha)} g_j e_j(x) - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j t^\alpha) \int_0^T (T-\tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(\lambda_j (T-\tau)^\alpha) F_j(s) ds}{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j T^\alpha)} \right) e_j(x) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(\lambda_j (t-\tau)^\alpha) F_j(\tau) d\tau \right) e_j(x) \\
 &= \mathbb{K}_1(x, t) + \mathbb{K}_2(x, t) + \mathbb{K}_3(x, t).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{53}$$

Part 1. The case $t > 0$. Under this case, we get that

$$u(x, t) = \mathbb{K}_1(x, t) + \mathbb{K}_2(x, t) + \mathbb{K}_3(x, t).
 \tag{54}$$

By a similar techniques as in (35), we find that

$$\|\mathbb{K}_1(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^q(\Omega)}^2 \leq C(\rho, m_2, m_1)(T-t)^{-2\rho} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^{2q-\frac{2\rho}{\alpha}} \left(\int_{\Omega} g(x) e_j(x) dx \right)^2.
 \tag{55}$$

Thus, we get that

$$\|\mathbb{K}_1(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^q(\Omega)} \leq C(\rho, m_2, m_1)(T-t)^{-\rho} \|g\|_{\mathbb{H}^{q-\frac{\rho}{\alpha}}(\Omega)}, \quad 0 < \rho < 1.
 \tag{56}$$

Let us continue to estimate the second term \mathbb{K}_2 . It is obvious to see that

$$\|\mathbb{K}_2(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^q(\Omega)}^2 \leq C_{\rho}(T-t)^{-2\rho} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^{2q-\frac{2\rho}{\alpha}} \left(\int_0^T (T-\tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(\lambda_j (T-\tau)^\alpha) F_j(s) ds \right)^2.
 \tag{57}$$

Using Hölder inequality, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left(\int_0^T (T-\tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(\lambda_j (T-\tau)^\alpha) F_j(\tau) d\tau \right)^2 \\
 &\leq \left(\int_0^T (T-\tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(\lambda_j (T-\tau)^\alpha) ds \right) \left(\int_0^T (T-\tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(\lambda_j (T-\tau)^\alpha) F_j^2(s) ds \right) \\
 &= \frac{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j T^\alpha) - 1}{\lambda_j} \left(\int_0^T (T-\tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(\lambda_j (T-\tau)^\alpha) F_j^2(s) ds \right) = \mathbb{L}_1.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{58}$$

Here we note that

$$\int_0^T (T-\tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(\lambda_j (T-\tau)^\alpha) ds = \frac{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j T^\alpha) - 1}{\lambda_j}.$$

In view of Lemma (2.11), we get

$$E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j T^\alpha) \leq \frac{m_2}{\alpha} \exp\left(\lambda_j^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} T\right), \quad E_{\alpha,\alpha}(\lambda_j t^\alpha) \leq \frac{\overline{m}_2}{\alpha} \lambda_j^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} t^{1-\alpha} \exp\left(t \lambda_j^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\right).
 \tag{59}$$

This together with (57) yields to

$$\|\mathbb{K}_2(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^q(\Omega)}^2 \leq \frac{C_{\rho}(T-t)^{-2\rho} m_2 \overline{m}_2}{\alpha^2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^{2q-\frac{2\rho}{\alpha}+\frac{1}{\alpha}-2} \exp\left(t \lambda_j^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\right) \int_0^T \exp\left((T-\tau) \lambda_j^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\right) F_j^2(\tau) d\tau.
 \tag{60}$$

It is obvious to see that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^{2q-\frac{2\rho}{\alpha}+\frac{1}{\alpha}-2} \exp(t\lambda_j^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}) \int_0^T \exp((T-\tau)\lambda_j^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}) F_j^2(\tau) d\tau \leq \int_0^T \|F(\cdot, \tau)\|_{G_{\alpha,2T}^{2q-\frac{2\rho}{\alpha}+\frac{1}{\alpha}-2}(\Omega)}^2 d\tau.$$

Thus, one gets

$$\|\mathbb{K}_2(x, t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^q(\Omega)} \leq \sqrt{\frac{C_\rho(T-t)^{-2\rho} m_2 \bar{m}_2}{\alpha^2}} \|F\|_{L^2(0,T;G_{\alpha,2T}^{2q-\frac{2\rho}{\alpha}+\frac{1}{\alpha}-2}(\Omega))}. \tag{61}$$

In order to estimate the third term \mathbb{K}_3 , we observe that its evaluation is similar to the evaluation for the component \mathbb{L}_1 . Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(\lambda_j(t-\tau)^\alpha) F_j(\tau) d\tau \right)^2 \\ & \leq \frac{m_2 \bar{m}_2}{\alpha^2} \lambda_j^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-2} \exp(\lambda_j^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} t) \int_0^t \exp((t-\tau)\lambda_j^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}) F_j^2(\tau) d\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbb{K}_3(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^q(\Omega)}^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^{2q} \left(\int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(\lambda_j(t-\tau)^\alpha) F_j(\tau) d\tau \right)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{m_2 \bar{m}_2}{\alpha^2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^{2q+\frac{1}{\alpha}-2} \int_0^t \exp((t-\tau)\lambda_j^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}) F_j^2(\tau) d\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

Thus, we obtain that

$$\|\mathbb{K}_3(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^q(\Omega)} \leq \sqrt{\frac{m_2 \bar{m}_2}{\alpha^2}} \|F\|_{L^2(0,T;G_{\alpha,2T}^{2q+\frac{1}{\alpha}-2}(\Omega))}. \tag{64}$$

By collecting some previous results, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^q(\Omega)} &\leq \sum_{j=1}^3 \|\mathbb{K}_j(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^q(\Omega)} \leq C_\rho(T-t)^{-\rho} \|g\|_{\mathbb{H}^{q-\frac{\rho}{\alpha}}(\Omega)} \\ &+ (T-t)^{-\rho} \|F\|_{L^2(0,T;G_{\alpha,2T}^{2q-\frac{2\rho}{\alpha}+\frac{1}{\alpha}-2}(\Omega))} + \sqrt{\frac{m_2 \bar{m}_2}{\alpha^2}} \|F\|_{L^2(0,T;G_{\alpha,2T}^{2q+\frac{1}{\alpha}-2}(\Omega))}. \end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

Part 2. The case $t = 0$. Under this case, we get that

$$u(x, 0) = \mathbb{K}_1(x, 0) + \mathbb{K}_2(x, 0).$$

Using the similar techniques as in (56), we know that

$$\|\mathbb{K}_1(x, 0)\|_{\mathbb{H}^q(\Omega)} \leq C_\rho T^{-\rho} \|g\|_{\mathbb{H}^{q-\frac{\rho}{\alpha}}(\Omega)}, \quad 0 < \rho < 1. \tag{66}$$

In addition, one gets

$$\mathbb{K}_2(x, 0) = - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\int_0^T (T-\tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(\lambda_j(T-\tau)^\alpha) F_j(\tau) d\tau}{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j T^\alpha)} \right) e_j(x). \tag{67}$$

Using Lemma (2.13), we get that

$$E_{\alpha,\alpha}(\lambda_j(T - \tau)^\alpha) \left(E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j T^\alpha)\right)^{-1} \leq \frac{\bar{m}_2}{m_1} (T - \tau)^{1-\alpha} \lambda_j^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \exp(-\tau \lambda_j^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}). \tag{68}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\left| \frac{\int_0^T (T - \tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(\lambda_j(T - \tau)^\alpha) F_j(\tau) d\tau}{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j T^\alpha)} \right| \leq \frac{\bar{m}_2}{m_1} \sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_j^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \left(\int_0^T \exp(-\tau \lambda_j^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}) F_j(\tau) d\tau \right). \tag{69}$$

Using Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbb{K}_2(\cdot, 0)\|_{\mathbb{H}^q(\Omega)}^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^\infty \left(\lambda_j^{2q} \frac{\int_0^T (T - \tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(\lambda_j(T - \tau)^\alpha) F_j(\tau) d\tau}{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j T^\alpha)} \right)^2 \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\bar{m}_2}{m_1}\right)^2 \sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_j^{2q} \lambda_j^{\frac{2}{\alpha}-2} \left(\int_0^T \exp(-\tau \lambda_j^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}) F_j(\tau) d\tau \right)^2 \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\bar{m}_2}{m_1}\right)^2 \sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_j^{2q} \lambda_j^{\frac{2}{\alpha}-2} \left(\int_0^T \exp(-2\tau \lambda_j^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}) |F_j(\tau)|^2 d\tau \right). \end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

Using the inequality $e^{-y} \leq C_\mu y^{-\mu}$ with $\mu = \frac{\alpha-1}{2}$ and $y = \tau \lambda_j^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$, we get

$$\exp(-2\tau \lambda_j^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}) \leq C_\alpha \tau^{1-\alpha} \lambda_j^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}}.$$

This implies that

$$\sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_j^{2q} \lambda_j^{\frac{2}{\alpha}-2} \left(\int_0^T \exp(-2\tau \lambda_j^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}) |F_j(\tau)|^2 d\tau \right) \leq C_\alpha \int_0^T \tau^{1-\alpha} \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_j^{2q} \lambda_j^{\frac{3-3\alpha}{\alpha}} |F_j(\tau)|^2 \right) d\tau. \tag{71}$$

Combining (70) and (71), and noting that $\lambda_j^{\frac{3-3\alpha}{\alpha}} \leq \lambda_1^{\frac{3-3\alpha}{\alpha}}$, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbb{K}_2(\cdot, 0)\|_{\mathbb{H}^q(\Omega)}^2 &\leq \left(\frac{\bar{m}_2}{m_1}\right)^2 C_\alpha \lambda_1^{\frac{3-3\alpha}{\alpha}} \int_0^T \tau^{1-\alpha} \|F(\tau)\|_{\mathbb{H}^q(\Omega)}^2 d\tau \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\bar{m}_2}{m_1}\right)^2 C_\alpha \lambda_1^{\frac{3-3\alpha}{\alpha}} \|F\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{H}^q(\Omega))}^2 \left(\int_0^T \tau^{1-\alpha} d\tau \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{\bar{m}_2}{m_1}\right)^2 C_\alpha \lambda_1^{\frac{3-3\alpha}{\alpha}} \frac{T^{2-\alpha}}{2-\alpha} \|F\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{H}^q(\Omega))}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

Thus, we deduce that

$$\|\mathbb{K}_2(\cdot, 0)\|_{\mathbb{H}^q(\Omega)} \leq \left(\left(\frac{\bar{m}_2}{m_1}\right)^2 C_\alpha \lambda_1^{\frac{3-3\alpha}{\alpha}} \frac{T^{2-\alpha}}{2-\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|F\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{H}^q(\Omega))}. \tag{73}$$

Combining (66) and (73), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(\cdot, 0)\|_{\mathbb{H}^q(\Omega)} &\leq \|\mathbb{K}_1(\cdot, 0)\|_{\mathbb{H}^q(\Omega)} + \|\mathbb{K}_2(\cdot, 0)\|_{\mathbb{H}^q(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C_\rho T^{-\rho} \|g\|_{\mathbb{H}^{q-\frac{\rho}{\alpha}}(\Omega)} + \left(\left(\frac{\bar{m}_2}{m_1}\right)^2 C_\alpha \lambda_1^{\frac{3-3\alpha}{\alpha}} \frac{T^{2-\alpha}}{2-\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|F\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{H}^q(\Omega))}. \end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

Theorem 4.2. Let $F(x, t) \equiv F(x)$, let us assume that $g \in \mathbb{H}^{q-\frac{\rho}{\alpha}}(\Omega)$ and $F \in \mathbb{H}^{q-1}(\Omega)$ for any $\rho > 0, q \geq 0$, then we obtain

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^q(\Omega)} \leq C(\rho, m_1, m_2)(T - t)^{-\rho} (\|g\|_{\mathbb{H}^{q-\frac{\rho}{\alpha}}(\Omega)} + \|F\|_{\mathbb{H}^{q-\frac{\rho}{\alpha}-1}(\Omega)}) + \|F\|_{\mathbb{H}^{q-1}(\Omega)}. \tag{75}$$

If $g \in \mathbb{H}^{q+1-\frac{\rho}{\alpha}}(\Omega)$ and $F \in \mathbb{H}^{q-\frac{\rho}{\alpha}}(\Omega)$ then

$$\|D_t^\alpha u(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^q(\Omega)} \leq C(\rho, m_1, m_2)(T - t)^{-\rho} (\|g\|_{\mathbb{H}^{q+1-\frac{\rho}{\alpha}}(\Omega)} + \|F\|_{\mathbb{H}^{q-\frac{\rho}{\alpha}}(\Omega)}). \tag{76}$$

Since $F(x, t) \equiv F(x)$, we know that $F_j(\tau) = F_j$ for any $0 \leq \tau \leq T$, where

$$F_j = \int_{\Omega} F(x)e_j(x)dx.$$

Thus, we have immediately that

$$\frac{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j t^\alpha) \int_0^T (T - \tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(\lambda_j(T - \tau)^\alpha) F_j(s) ds}{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j T^\alpha)} = \frac{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j t^\alpha) E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j T^\alpha) - 1}{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j T^\alpha) \lambda_j}. \tag{77}$$

Hence, we get the following equality

$$\mathbb{K}_2(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{-E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j t^\alpha) F_j}{\lambda_j} e_j(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j t^\alpha) F_j}{\lambda_j E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j T^\alpha)} e_j(x), \tag{78}$$

and

$$\mathbb{K}_3(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\int_0^t (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(\lambda_j(t - \tau)^\alpha) F_j(\tau) d\tau \right) e_j(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j t^\alpha) - 1}{\lambda_j} F_j e_j(x). \tag{79}$$

By collecting some previous results, we obtain the fomula of the mild solution

$$u(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j t^\alpha)}{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j T^\alpha)} \left(g_j + \frac{F_j}{\lambda_j} \right) e_j(x) - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{F_j}{\lambda_j} e_j(x). \tag{80}$$

Using Parseval’s equality, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^q(\Omega)}^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^{2q} \left(\frac{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j t^\alpha)}{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j T^\alpha)} \right)^2 \left(g_j + \frac{F_j}{\lambda_j} \right)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^{2q} \left(\frac{F_j}{\lambda_j} \right)^2 \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^{2q} \left(\frac{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j t^\alpha)}{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j T^\alpha)} \right)^2 g_j^2 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^{2q} \left(\frac{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j t^\alpha)}{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j T^\alpha)} \right)^2 \left(\frac{F_j}{\lambda_j} \right)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^{2q} \left(\frac{F_j}{\lambda_j} \right)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

By noting (34), we get

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^{2q} \left(\frac{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j t^\alpha)}{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j T^\alpha)} \right)^2 g_j^2 \leq |C_\rho|^2 \frac{m_2^2}{m_1^2} (T - t)^{-2\rho} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^{2q-\frac{2\rho}{\alpha}} g_j^2, \tag{82}$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^{2q} \left(\frac{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j t^\alpha)}{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j T^\alpha)} \right)^2 \left(\frac{F_j}{\lambda_j} \right)^2 \leq |C_\rho|^2 \frac{m_2^2}{m_1^2} (T - t)^{-2\rho} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^{2q-\frac{2\rho}{\alpha}-2} F_j^2, \tag{83}$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^{2q} \left(\frac{F_j}{\lambda_j}\right)^2 = \|F\|_{\mathbb{H}^{q-1}(\Omega)}^2, \tag{84}$$

Combining (88), (82), (89), (84), we derive that

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^q(\Omega)}^2 \leq 2|C_\rho|^2 \frac{m_2^2}{m_1^2} (T-t)^{-2\rho} \|g\|_{\mathbb{H}^{q-\frac{\rho}{\alpha}}(\Omega)}^2 + 2|C_\rho|^2 \frac{m_2^2}{m_1^2} (T-t)^{-2\rho} \|F\|_{\mathbb{H}^{q-\frac{\rho}{\alpha}-1}(\Omega)}^2 + \|F\|_{\mathbb{H}^{q-1}(\Omega)}^2. \tag{85}$$

This implies that

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^q(\Omega)} \leq C(\rho, m_1, m_2)(T-t)^{-\rho} (\|g\|_{\mathbb{H}^{q-\frac{\rho}{\alpha}}(\Omega)} + \|F\|_{\mathbb{H}^{q-\frac{\rho}{\alpha}-1}(\Omega)}) + \|F\|_{\mathbb{H}^{q-1}(\Omega)}. \tag{86}$$

Let us now to provide the proof of estimate for the derivative of the mild solution. The proof is similar to the above but with other modifications. In fact, it yields

$$D_t^\alpha E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j t^\alpha) = \lambda_j E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j t^\alpha)$$

and based on (80), we get the following equalities

$$D_t^\alpha u(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \frac{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j t^\alpha)}{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j T^\alpha)} \left(g_j + \frac{F_j}{\lambda_j}\right) e_j(x). \tag{87}$$

Using Parseval’s equality and the inequality $(a + b)^2 \leq 2a^2 + 2b^2$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_t^\alpha u(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^q(\Omega)}^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^{2q+2} \left(\frac{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j t^\alpha)}{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j T^\alpha)}\right)^2 \left(g_j + \frac{F_j}{\lambda_j}\right)^2 \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^{2q+2} \left(\frac{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j t^\alpha)}{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j T^\alpha)}\right)^2 g_j^2 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^{2q+2} \left(\frac{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j t^\alpha)}{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j T^\alpha)}\right)^2 \left(\frac{F_j}{\lambda_j}\right)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{88}$$

In view of (82), we know that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^{2q+2} \left(\frac{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j t^\alpha)}{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j T^\alpha)}\right)^2 g_j^2 \leq |C_\rho|^2 \frac{m_2^2}{m_1^2} (T-t)^{-2\rho} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^{2q+2-\frac{2\rho}{\alpha}} g_j^2. \tag{89}$$

In view of (83), we know that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^{2q+2} \left(\frac{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j t^\alpha)}{E_{\alpha,1}(\lambda_j T^\alpha)}\right)^2 \left(\frac{F_j}{\lambda_j}\right)^2 \leq |C_\rho|^2 \frac{m_2^2}{m_1^2} (T-t)^{-2\rho} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^{2q-\frac{2\rho}{\alpha}} F_j^2. \tag{90}$$

Combining three latter results, we obtain that

$$\|D_t^\alpha u(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^q(\Omega)}^2 \leq 2|C_\rho|^2 \frac{m_2^2}{m_1^2} (T-t)^{-2\rho} \|g\|_{\mathbb{H}^{q+1-\frac{\rho}{\alpha}}(\Omega)}^2 + 2|C_\rho|^2 \frac{m_2^2}{m_1^2} (T-t)^{-2\rho} \|F\|_{\mathbb{H}^{q-\frac{\rho}{\alpha}}(\Omega)}^2. \tag{91}$$

This completes the proof of (76).

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the Ho Chi Minh City University of Technology (HCMUT 268 Ly Thuong Kiet, Ward 14, District 10, Ho Chi Minh City Vietnam).

References

- [1] A.T. Nguyen, T. Caraballo, and N.H. Tuan. *On the initial value problem for a class of nonlinear biharmonic equation with time-fractional derivative*. Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh Section A: Mathematics (2021): 1–43.
- [2] N.H. Tuan, T.B. Ngoc, Y. Zhou, D. O'Regan, *On existence and regularity of a terminal value problem for the time fractional diffusion equation*, Inverse Problems (2020) 36 (5), 055011.
- [3] Handbook of Fractional Calculus with Applications. Vols. 1–8. Tenreiro Machado J. A. (ed.). Berlin, Boston: Walter de Gruyter GmbH, 2019.
- [4] T.T. Binh, N.P. Binh, B.D. Thang, L.D. Long, *Regularization of Cauchy Problem for 2d Time-Fractional Diffusion Evolution Equations*, Fractals Vol. 30, No. 05, 2240181 (2022)
- [5] D.T. Dang, E. Nane, D.M. Nguyen and N. H. Tuan, *Continuity of solutions of a class of fractional equations* Potential Anal., 49 (2018), no. 3, pp. 423–478.
- [6] K. Sakamoto and M. Yamamoto, *Initial value/boundary value problems for fractional diffusion-wave equations and applications to some inverse problems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 382 (2011), pp. 426–447.
- [7] M. Amar, D. Andreucci, P. Bisegna, R. Gianni, *Exponential asymptotic stability for an elliptic equation with memory arising in electrical conduction in biological tissues* European J. Appl. Math. 20 (2009), no. 5, 431–459
- [8] T.T. Binh, B.D. Thang, N.D. Phuong, *On initial value problem for elliptic equation on the plane under Caputo derivative*, Demonstratio Mathematica, <https://doi.org/10.1515/dema-2022-0257>
- [9] T.T. Binh, N.P. Binh, B.D. Thang, L.D. Long, *Regularization of Cauchy Problem for 2d Time-Fractional Diffusion Evolution Equations*, Fractals, Volume 30, Issue 5, 2022.
- [10] N. H. Tuan, L.D. Thang, D. Lesnic, *A new general filter regularization method for Cauchy problems for elliptic equations with a locally Lipschitz nonlinear source*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 434 (2016), pp. 1376–1393.
- [11] N.H.Tuan, T.D. Xuan, N.A. Triet, D. Lesnic, *On the Cauchy problem for a semilinear fractional elliptic equation*, Appl. Math. Lett., 83 (2018), pp. 80–86.
- [12] N.H. Tuan, V.A. Khoa, M.N. Minh, T. Tran, *Reconstruction of the electric field of the Helmholtz equation in three dimensions* J. Comput. Appl. Math. 309 (2017), 56–78.
- [13] N. Chems Eddine, M.A. Ragusa, D.D. Repovš, *Correction to: On the concentration compactness principle for anisotropic variable exponent Sobolev spaces and its applications (Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis, (2024), 27, 2, (725-756), 10.1007/s13540-024-00246-8)*, Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis, doi:10.1007/s13540-024-00282-4, (2024).
- [14] S. Irandoust-Pakchin, S. Abdi-Mazraeh, I. Fahimi-Khalilabad, *Higher order class of finite difference method for time-fractional Liouville-Caputo and space-Riesz fractional diffusion equation*, Filomat, 38 (2), 505-521, (2024).
- [15] G.S. Saluja, H.K. Nashine, R. Jain, R.W. Ibrahim, H.A. Nabwey, *Common fixed point theorems on S-metric spaces for integral type contractions involving rational terms and application to fractional integral equation*, Journal of Function Spaces, vol.2024, art.n.5108481, (2024).
- [16] R. Patela, A. Shuklab, J.J. Nieto, V. Vijayakumard, S. S. Jadon, *New discussion concerning to optimal control for semilinear population dynamics system in Hilbert spaces*, Nonlinear Analysis: Modelling and Control 27 (2022): 1-17.
- [17] V.A. Khoa, M.T.N. Truong, N.H.M. Duy, N.H. Tuan, *The Cauchy problem of coupled elliptic sine-Gordon equations with noise: analysis of a general kernel-based regularization and reliable tools of computing* Comput. Math. Appl. 73 (2017), no. 1, 141–162
- [18] Y. Kian, M. Yamamoto, *On existence and uniqueness of solutions for semilinear fractional wave equations*, Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal., 20 (2017), no. 1, pp. 117–138.
- [19] N.H. Tuan, V.A. Khoa, V.V. Au, *Analysis of a quasi-reversibility method for a terminal value quasi-linear parabolic problem with measurements* SIAM J. Math. Anal. 51 (2019), no. 1, 60–85.
- [20] Haubold, H. J.; Mathai, A. M.; Saxena, R. K. *Mittag-Leffler functions and their applications*. J. Appl. Math. 2011, Art. ID 298628, 51 pp.
- [21] D.D. Trong, N.H. Tuan, *Regularization and error estimates for nonhomogeneous backward heat problems* Electron. J. Differential Equations 2006, No. 4, 10 pp
- [22] Luc, Nguyen Hoang, Le Nhat Huynh, and Nguyen Huy Tuan. "On a backward problem for inhomogeneous time-fractional diffusion equations." Computers & Mathematics with Applications 78.5 (2019): 1317-1333.
- [23] Tuan, N. H., Long, L. D., Nguyen, V. T., & Tran, T. (2017). On a final value problem for the time-fractional diffusion equation with inhomogeneous source. Inverse Problems in Science and Engineering, 25(9), 1367-1395.
- [24] Long, L. D., Zhou, Y., Sakthivel, R., & Tuan, N. H. (2021). Well-posedness and ill-posedness results for backward problem for fractional pseudo-parabolic equation. Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computing, 67(1-2), 175-206.
- [25] R. S. Adiguzel, U. Aksoy, E. Karapinar and I. M. Erhan, *Uniqueness of solution for higher-order nonlinear fractional differential equations with multi-point and integral boundary conditions*, Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM 115 (2021), no. 3, Paper No. 155, 16 pp.
- [26] R. S. Adiguzel, U. Aksoy, E. Karapinar and I. M. Erhan, *On the solutions of fractional differential equations via Geraghty type hybrid contractions*, Appl. Comput. Math., 20 (2021), 313–333.
- [27] R. S. Adiguzel, U. Aksoy, E. Karapinar and I. M. Erhan, *On the solution of a boundary value problem associated with a fractional differential equation*, Math Meth Appl Sci. 2020, DOI: 10.1002/mma.6652.

- [28] B. Alqahtani, A. Fulga, F. Jarad and E. Karapinar, *Nonlinear F-contractions on b-metric spaces and differential equations in the frame of fractional derivatives with Mittag-Leffler kernel*, *Chaos Solitons Fractals*, **128** (2019), 349–354.