Filomat 38:7 (2024), 2399–2417 https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL2407399M



Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

\mathcal{P} -canonical forms and Drazin inverses of matrices

Mohammed Mouçouf^a

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Chouaib Doukkali University, El Jadida, Morocco

Abstract. In this paper, \mathcal{P} -canonical forms of $(A^k)_k$ (or simply of the matrix A) are defined and some of their properties are proved. It is also shown how we can deduce from them many interesting informations about the matrix A. In addition, it is proved that the \mathcal{P} -canonical form of A can be written as a sum of two parts, the geometric and the non-geometric parts of A, and that the \mathcal{P} -canonical form of the Drazin inverse A_d of A can be deduced by simply plugging -k for k in the geometric part of A. Finally, several examples are provided to illustrate the obtained results.

1. Introduction

Let *A* be a nonsingular matrix over a field *F*. It is a well known fact that, for many numerical examples of matrices *A*, replacing *k* with -k in certain forms of A^k , one can obtain the *k*th power of the inverse A^{-1} of *A*. However, this fact is not proven in general. The problem here is that the *k*th power of a matrix can be represented in several forms. In fact, It can happen that in certain forms of A^k it is not even possible to substitute k = -1 and, in other forms we can substitute k = -1 but we does not obtain A^{-1} . For example, Let *A* be an *r*-circulant matrix. The expression of A^k given in Theorem 4.1 of [25] does not provide A^{-1} when we plug into it k = -1.

In this paper, we consider an arbitrary matrix A, singular or nonsingular, with entries in a field F and we prove that if we plug -k for k into the geometric part of $(A^k)_k$ we get the kth power of the Drazin inverse of A. In order to avoid any confusion that may arise by using this plugging-in operation, we begin by showing that the representations of $(A^k)_k$ into them we plug -k for k, are canonical.

An element *a* of an associative ring is said to have a Drazin inverse [9] if there exists an element *b*, written $b = a_d$, such that

 $a^k ba = a^k$, ab = ba, bab = b

for some nonnegative integer k. It is well known that any element of any associative ring has at most one Drazin inverse (see [9]).

The theory of Drazin inverse has been extensively studied and successfully applied in many fields of science such as functional analysis, matrix computations, combinatorial problems, numerical analysis, statistics, population models, differential equations, Markov chains, control theory, and cryptography, etc. [1, 4, 5, 18, 19, 37]. For this reason, many interesting properties of the Drazin inverse have been

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 13Axx, 15Axx, 15A09, 11B37, 05A10

Keywords. Powers of matrices; *P*-Canonical forms; Drazin inverses; Binomial coefficients; Linear recurrence sequences Received: 08 April 2022; Accepted: 24 October 2023

Communicated by Yimin Wei

Email address: moucouf@hotmail.com (Mohammed Mouçouf)

obtained [3, 6, 8, 9, 13–15, 19–22, 24, 30–33] and a variety of direct and iterative methods have been developed for computation of this type of generalized inverse [2, 6, 8, 12–14, 17, 28–30, 34–37].

It should be noted that the method presented herein provides a closed-form formula for the *k*th power of the Drazin inverse A_d of A and gives other interesting information on the matrix A such as the Jordan-Chevalley decomposition of A. But for this, a closed-form formula for the *k*th power of the matrix A is required and this can be done by any of the well-known methods such as Kwapisz's method [16] or Elaydi and Harris's method [10].

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, some algebraic results are established for the *F*-algebra of all linear recurrence sequences over *F* whose characteristic polynomials split over *F*, and then, the definitions of the geometric part and the non-geometric part of a linear recurrence sequence are given. Results obtained there will be used in Section 3 to derive similar results for the set of all sequences of matrices, with coefficients in *F*, satisfying linear homogeneous recurrence relations with constant coefficients in *F*. In addition, some interesting properties are shown about the \mathcal{P} -canonical forms of matrices defined in this section. Lastly, section 4 shows that the \mathcal{P} -canonical forms of the Drazin inverse of a matrix *A* can be deduced from those of the matrix *A* by a simple plugging-in operation. Several examples are presented which illustrate the proposed methods.

1.1. Notations

Throughout the paper, we use the following notations:

- *F* is a field and C_F is the set of all sequences $s = (s_k)_{k \ge 0}$ over *F*. It is well known that C_F is an *F*-algebra under componentwise addition, multiplication and scalar multiplication;
- Sequences in this paper are written in bold symbol;
- Λ_i , $i \ge 0$, is the element $\binom{k}{i}_{k\ge 0}$ of C_F ;
- $\widetilde{\Lambda}_i$, $i \ge 0$, is the element $\binom{-k}{i}_{k\ge 0}$ of C_F ;
- \mathcal{T} is the set $\{\Lambda_i / i \ge 0\}$;
- **Γ** is the element (0, 1, 2, . . .) of *C*_{*F*};
- \mathcal{H} is the set { $\Gamma^i / i \ge 0$ };
- If \mathcal{R} is a subring of a ring \mathcal{D} and L is subset of \mathcal{D} , then $\mathcal{R}(L)$ denotes the submodule spanned by L;
- If *R* is a subalgebra of an algebra *K* and *L* is a subset of *K*, then *R*[*L*] denotes the subalgebra obtained by adjoining to *R* the set *L*;
- $M_q(G)$ is the set of $q \times q$ matrices over an *F*-algebra *G* and I_q is the identity matrix of $M_q(G)$;
- $S^* = \{\lambda = (\lambda^k)_{k \ge 0} | \lambda \in F, \lambda \neq 0\}$ the set of all nonzero geometric sequences;
- $S^{\circ} = \{\mathbf{0}_i \in C_F | i \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbf{0}_i(k) = \delta_{ik}\};$
- $S = S^* \cup S^\circ;$
- F_S denotes the *F*-vector space spanned by *S*. It is well known that F_S is the set of all linear recurrence sequences over *F* whose characteristic polynomials are of the form $X^m P(X)$ where the polynomials P(X) are square-free and split over *F* with nonzero constant terms;
- F_{S^*} denotes the *F*-vector spaces spanned by S^* . It is well known that F_{S^*} is the set of all linear recurrence sequences over *F* whose characteristic polynomials are square-free and split over *F* with nonzero constant terms;

- $F_{S^{\circ}}$ denotes the *F*-vector spaces spanned by S° . It is well known that $F_{S^{\circ}}$ is the set of all linear recurrence sequences over *F* whose characteristic polynomials split over *F* and have zero as their only root;
- $\mathcal{G}_q(F)$ denotes the subalgebra $M_q(F_{S^*})[\mathcal{T}]$ of $M_q(C_F)$ (here we have identified Λ_i and $\Lambda_i I_q$);
- $\mathcal{L}_q(F)$ denotes the subalgebra $M_q(F_S)[\mathcal{T}]$ of $M_q(C_F)$.

It is well known from the general theory of linear recurrence sequences that

- S, S^* and S° are, respectively, bases of F_S , F_{S^*} and F_{S° ;
- F_{S} , F_{S^*} and F_{S° are subalgebras of C_F . More precisely, the set S^* is a group, and hence F_{S^*} is exactly the group algebra of S^* over F;
- F_{S° and F_{S^*} are supplementary vector spaces relative to F_S , i.e., $F_S = F_{S^\circ} \oplus F_{S^*}$.

2. Canonical forms for linear recurrence sequences

Let $\mathcal{T} = {\Lambda_i / i \ge 0}$ be the set of all sequences $\Lambda_i = {\binom{k}{i}}_{k\ge 0}$ and consider the *F*-vector space $F\langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$ spanned by \mathcal{T} . Then we have the following result

Theorem 2.1. Let F be a field. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}$ be the set of all sequences $\widetilde{\Lambda}_i = (\binom{-k}{i})_{k\geq 0}$ and consider the sequence $\Gamma = (0, 1, 2, ...)$. Then we have

- 1. $F\langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$ is a subalgebra of C_F and \mathcal{T} is a basis of $F\langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$.
- 2. $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}$ is a basis of $F\langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$, and the linear automorphism χ of $F\langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$ which maps Λ_i to $\widetilde{\Lambda}_i$ is an involution of the algebra $F\langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$.
- *3.* $F\langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$ and F_{S^*} are *F*-linearly disjoint.
- 4. If *F* is of characteristic 0, then the sequence Γ is transcendental over the ring F_{S^*} .

5.
$$F_{\mathcal{S}}[\mathcal{T}] = F_{\mathcal{S}^\circ} \oplus F_{\mathcal{S}^*}[\mathcal{T}].$$

Proof.

1. Using the following formula (due to Riordan [27])

$$\binom{k}{i}\binom{k}{j} = \sum_{m=i}^{i+j} \binom{m}{i}\binom{i}{m-j}\binom{k}{m}$$
$$= \sum_{m=0}^{i} \binom{i+j-m}{m,i-m,j-m}\binom{k}{i+j-m}$$

we can easily deduce that $\Lambda_i \Lambda_j$ is a linear combination of elements of \mathcal{T} for all i, j. Then $F\langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$ is a subalgebra of C_F . More precisely, $F\langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$ is the set of all linear recurrence sequences over F with characteristic polynomials split over F and have one as their only root. It is well known that \mathcal{T} is a basis of $F\langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$.

2. Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$. It is clear that $\widetilde{\Lambda}_0 = \Lambda_0$ and then $\widetilde{\Lambda}_0 \in F\langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$. Suppose that $i \ge 1$. Then we have $\widetilde{\Lambda}_i = (-1)^i (\binom{k+i-1}{i})$. An easy application of the Vandermonde convolution shows that

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_i = \sum_{j=1}^i (-1)^i \binom{i-1}{i-j} \mathbf{\Lambda}_j.$$

Then $\widetilde{\Lambda}_i \in F\langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Since the coordinate matrix of the family $(\widetilde{\Lambda}_0, \ldots, \widetilde{\Lambda}_i)$ relative to $(\Lambda_0, \ldots, \Lambda_i)$ is the involutory lower triangular Pascal matrix of order i + 1, it follows that $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}$ is a basis of $F\langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$ and that χ is an involution.

We note that χ is an *F*-algebra automorphism is due to the fact that Riordan's formula remains true for any negative integer *k*.

3. Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ be any family of pairwise distinct elements of S^* and m be a positive integer, and set $P(X) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (X - \lambda_j)^{m+1}$. Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 of [11] assure that $\{\Lambda_i \lambda_j / 1 \le j \le n \text{ and } 0 \le i \le m\}$ is a

basis of the *F*-vector space of all linear recurrence sequences with characteristic polynomial *P*. It follows that the set { $\Lambda_i \lambda / i \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda \in S^*$ } is linearly independent over the field *F*. The result now follows from Proposition 11.6.1. of [7] and the fact that S^* and \mathcal{T} are, respectively, bases of the *F*-vector spaces F_{S^*} and $F(\mathcal{T})$.

- 4. It is well known that in the theory of linear recurrence sequences over a field *F* with characteristic 0, the family { $\Gamma^i / i \in \mathbb{N}$ }) plays the same algebraic role as that played by \mathcal{T} . By the same argument as in the proof of 3., it turns that the *F*-vector spaces F_{S^*} and $F[\Gamma]$ are linearly disjoint. It then follows that Γ is transcendental over the ring F_{S^*} .
- 5. First note that $F_{S^{\circ}}[\mathcal{T}] = F_{S^{\circ}}$ since $\mathbf{0}_i \mathbf{\Lambda}_j = \binom{i}{j} \mathbf{0}_i$ for all nonnegative integers *i* and *j*. Now, since

 $\{\mathbf{0}_0,\ldots,\mathbf{0}_{n'}\} \cup \{\mathbf{\Lambda}_i \boldsymbol{\lambda}_j / \boldsymbol{\lambda}_0,\ldots,\boldsymbol{\lambda}_n \in S^* \text{ and } 0 \leq i \leq m\}$

is linearly independent over the field *F*, because it is a basis of the *F*-vector space of all linear recurrence sequences with characteristic polynomial $X^{n'} \prod_{j=1}^{n} (X - \lambda_j)^{m+1}$, the result $F_{\mathcal{S}}[\mathcal{T}] = F_{\mathcal{S}^\circ} \oplus F_{\mathcal{S}^*}[\mathcal{T}]$ follows.

Remark 2.2. To be more precise, Proposition 11.6.1. of [7] shows that if A and B are F-subalgebras of Ω , then the following statement are equivalent:

- 1. A and B are F-linearly disjoint.
- 2. $\{u_i v_j\}_{i,j}$ is linearly independent over F whenever $\{u_i\}_i$ is a F-basis of A and $\{v_j\}_j$ is a F-basis of B.

However, using this result it is easy to prove that the two following statements are also equivalent:

- 1. A and B are F-linearly disjoint.
- 2. There exist a F-basis $\{u_i\}_i$ of A and a F-basis $\{v_i\}_i$ of B such that $\{u_iv_i\}_{i,i}$ is linearly independent over F.

From Theorem 2.1, it follows that each $u \in F_{\mathcal{S}}[\mathcal{T}]$ can be written in exactly one way as $u = v + u_0 \Lambda_0 + \cdots + u_m \Lambda_m$, in which $v \in F_{\mathcal{S}^\circ}$ and $u_0, \ldots, u_m \in F_{\mathcal{S}^\circ}$ and that this representation is canonical. For ease of reference, let us call

- $v + u_0 \Lambda_0 + \cdots + u_m \Lambda_m$ the canonical form of u relative to (S^*, \mathcal{T}) .
- $u_0\Lambda_0 + \cdots + u_m\Lambda_m$ the geometric part of u relative to (S^*, \mathcal{T}) .
- *v* the non-geometric part of *u*.

Before going further let us state the following definition.

Definition 2.3. We say a sequence of $F_{\mathcal{S}}[\mathcal{T}]$ to be purely geometric if its non-geometric part is identically zero.

Lemma 2.4. Let \boldsymbol{u} be a sequence over F. Suppose that there exist a purely geometric sequence $\boldsymbol{w} = \boldsymbol{w}_0 \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_0 + \dots + \boldsymbol{w}_m \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_m$ and a nonnegative integer τ such that $\boldsymbol{u}(k) = \boldsymbol{w}(k)$ for all $k \geq \tau$. Then $\boldsymbol{u} \in F_{\mathcal{S}}[\mathcal{T}]$; in this case, $\boldsymbol{w}_0 \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_0 + \dots + \boldsymbol{w}_m \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_m$ and $(\boldsymbol{u}(0) - \boldsymbol{w}(0))\boldsymbol{0}_0 + \dots + (\boldsymbol{u}(\tau - 1) - \boldsymbol{w}(\tau - 1))\boldsymbol{0}_{\tau-1}$ are, respectively, the geometric part and the non-geometric part of \boldsymbol{u} .

Proof. Follows immediately from the fact that

 $u = (u(0) - w(0))\mathbf{0}_0 + \cdots + (u(\tau - 1) - w(\tau - 1))\mathbf{0}_{\tau - 1} + w.$

Remark 2.5. It is easily seen that two sequences $u, v \in F_{S}[\mathcal{T}]$ are shift equivalent if and only if they have the same geometric parts.

3. *P*-canonical forms of matrices

Let $M_q(C_F)$ be the set of all matrices of order q over C_F and consider the subalgebra $\mathcal{L}_q(F)$ of $M_q(C_F)$. It is straightforward to check that $\mathcal{L}_q(F)$ is the set of all sequences of matrices of order q over C_F that are linear recurrence sequences with characteristic polynomials split over F, and that $M_q(F_{S^\circ})$ is the subset of $\mathcal{L}_q(F)$ consisting of all sequences of matrices whose terms vanish from some point onwards. Since

$$F_{\mathcal{S}}[\mathcal{T}] = F_{\mathcal{S}^{\circ}} \oplus F_{\mathcal{S}^{*}}[\mathcal{T}],$$

it follows that

$$\mathcal{L}_q(F) = M_q(F_{\mathcal{S}^\circ}) \oplus \mathcal{G}_q(F)$$

which shows that each $U \in \mathcal{L}_q(F)$ can be written in exactly one way as U = V + W, in which $V \in M_q(F_{S^\circ})$ and $W \in \mathcal{G}_q(F)$. Let U_{ij} be the (i, j)-th entry of U, then V_{ij} and W_{ij} are, respectively, the non-geometric part and the geometric part of U_{ij} . We say then that V and W are, respectively, the non-geometric part and the geometric part of U. We note that there exist matrices $\mathcal{V}_0, \ldots, \mathcal{V}_n$ with coefficients in F and $\mathcal{W}_0, \ldots, \mathcal{W}_m$ with coefficients in F_{S° such that

 $V = \mathcal{V}_0 \mathbf{0}_0 + \dots + \mathcal{V}_n \mathbf{0}_n$ is the non-geometric part of U

and

$$W = W_0 \Lambda_0 + \cdots + W_m \Lambda_m$$
 is the geometric part of U

We also note that, in view of Theorem 2.1, the matrices $\mathcal{V}_0, \ldots, \mathcal{V}_n$ and $\mathcal{W}_0, \ldots, \mathcal{W}_m$ are uniquely determined by \mathcal{U} . We conclude that every matrix \mathcal{U} of $\mathcal{L}_q(F)$ can be written in the form

$$\boldsymbol{U} = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}_0 \boldsymbol{0}_0 + \cdots + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}_n \boldsymbol{0}_n + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}_0 \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_0 + \cdots + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}_m \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_m,$$

where $\mathcal{V}_0, \ldots, \mathcal{V}_n \in M_q(F)$ and $\mathcal{W}_0, \ldots, \mathcal{W}_m \in M_q(F_{S^*})$, and that these matrices are uniquely determined by U. Let us call this representation the \mathcal{P} -canonical form of U relative to (S^*, \mathcal{T}) , and let us abbreviate this with "the \mathcal{P} -cf" of U relative to (S^*, \mathcal{T}) .

Let now *A* be a matrix over *F* and let P_A be its characteristic polynomial. It is well known that the entries of $\mathbf{A} = (A^k)_{k\geq 0}$ are linear recurrence sequences with characteristic polynomial P_A . From this it follows that if P_A splits over *F*, then

$$\boldsymbol{A} \in \mathcal{L}_q(F) = M_q(F_{\mathcal{S}^\circ}) \oplus \mathcal{G}_q(F)$$

and hence from the discussion above , there exist matrices $\mathcal{A}_0, \ldots, \mathcal{A}_l$ with coefficients in $F_{\mathcal{S}^*}$ and a matrix N(A) with coefficients in $F_{\mathcal{S}^\circ}$ such that

$$\boldsymbol{A} = \boldsymbol{N}(A) + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_0 \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_0 + \cdots + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_l \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_l,$$

and this representation is the \mathcal{P} -cf of A (or simply of A) relative to ($\mathcal{S}^*, \mathcal{T}$). Obviously, when F is a field of characteristic 0, we obtain the same results as above if we decide to use \mathcal{H} instead of \mathcal{T} . In this case the \mathcal{P} -cf of A relative to ($\mathcal{S}^*, \mathcal{H}$) has the form

$$\boldsymbol{A} = \boldsymbol{N}(A) + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}'}_0 \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^0 + \dots + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}'}_l \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^l.$$

For simplicity, in the sequel we call \mathcal{A}_i and \mathcal{A}'_i the *i*th coordinate of A with respect to $(\mathcal{S}^*, \mathcal{T})$ and $(\mathcal{S}^*, \mathcal{H})$ respectively.

In the following theorem we give some properties of the matrices $N(A), \mathcal{A}_0, \ldots, \mathcal{A}_l$.

Theorem 3.1. Let A be a square matrix of order q over F, and suppose that its minimal polynomial is of the form $m_A = X^{t_0} \prod_{j=1}^p (X - \lambda_j)^{t_j}$ with the λ_j distinct and belong to F(possibly $t_0 = 0$). Let $m = \max\{t_1 - 1, \ldots, t_p - 1\}$ and let π_0, \ldots, π_p be the spectral projections of A at $0, \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_p$, respectively. Then we have

1.
$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{j} \lambda_{j}^{-i} (A - \lambda_{j} I_{q})^{i} \pi_{j}, i \geq 1, and \, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_{0} = \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{1} \pi_{1} + \dots + \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{p} \pi_{p}.$$

2.
$$\mathbf{N}(A) = \sum_{i=0}^{10^{-4}} \mathbf{0}_i A^i \pi_0 \ (\mathbf{N}(A) = 0 \text{ if and only if } t_0 = 0).$$

- 3. *A* is nilpotent if and only if each \mathcal{A}_i is zero, i.e., $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{N}(A)$.
- 4. $\mathcal{A}_i = 0$ if and only if i > m.
- 5. If A is not nilpotent, then no coordinate \mathcal{A}_i , $1 \le i \le m$, is identically zero.

6.
$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{j} \lambda_{j}^{-i} (A - \lambda_{j} I_{q})^{i} \pi_{j}$$
 is a nilpotent matrix, $i \ge 1$.

7.
$$\mathbf{N}(A) = \mathbf{0}_0 \pi_0 + \mathbf{N}$$
 where $\mathbf{N} = \sum_{i=1}^{t_0-1} \mathbf{0}_i A^i \pi_0$ is a nilpotent matrix.

8. $\mathbf{A} = (\mathbf{N} + \mathcal{A}_1 \mathbf{\Lambda}_1 + \dots + \mathcal{A}_m \mathbf{\Lambda}_m) + (\mathbf{0}_0 \pi_0 + \mathcal{A}_0 \mathbf{\Lambda}_0)$ is the unique decomposition of \mathbf{A} as a sum of commuting nilpotent and diagonalizable matrices.

Proof. 1. and 2. We know that

$$I_q = \pi_0 + \pi_1 + \dots + \pi_p,$$

then

$$A = (A\pi_0)\pi_0 + (A\pi_1)\pi_1 + \dots + (A\pi_p)\pi_p,$$

and hence

$$A^{k} = (A\pi_{0})^{k}\pi_{0} + (A\pi_{1})^{k}\pi_{1} + \dots + (A\pi_{p})^{k}\pi_{p}$$

for all $k \ge 0$. But since $A\pi_i = (A - \lambda_i I_q)\pi_i + \lambda_i \pi_i$ and $(A - \lambda_i I_q)^{t_i} \pi_i = 0$, we see that

$$A^{k} = \sum_{i=0}^{t_{0}-1} 0^{k-i} \binom{k}{i} A^{i} \pi_{0} + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{j=0}^{s} \lambda_{i}^{k-j} (A - \lambda_{i} I_{q})^{j} \pi_{i} \binom{k}{j}$$

for all $k \ge 0$ and $s \ge \max\{t_1 - 1, \dots, t_p - 1\}$. Therefore,

$$\boldsymbol{A} = \boldsymbol{N}(A) + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_0 \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_0 + \cdots + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_s \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_s,$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}_{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \lambda_{j} \lambda_{j}^{-i} (A - \lambda_{j} I_{q})^{i} \pi_{j}, 1 \leq i \leq s,$$
$$\mathcal{A}_{0} = \lambda_{1} \pi_{1} + \dots + \lambda_{p} \pi_{p}$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{N}(A) = \sum_{i=0}^{t_0-1} \mathbf{0}_i A^i \pi_0.$$

3. Is evident.

4. Suppose $\mathcal{A}_i = 0$. Then we have $\sum_{j=1}^{p} \lambda_j \lambda_j^{-i} (A - \lambda_j I_q)^i \pi_j = 0$. Multiplying by π_r , we get $\lambda_r \lambda_r^{-i} (A - \lambda_r I_q)^i \pi_r = 0$

and hence $(A - \lambda_r I_q)^i \pi_r = 0$. The result now follows from the well-known fact that t_r is the smallest nonnegative integer satisfying

$$(A - \lambda_r I_q)^{t_r} \pi_r = 0.$$

5. Is evident.

6. Follows from the fact that if $i \ge 1$ then \mathcal{A}_i is a sum of commuting nilpotent matrices.

7. Is evident.

8. Let $A = A_n + A_s$ be the Jordan-Chevalley decomposition of A. Then $A^k = (A_n + A_s)^k = B_k + A_s^k$ where B_k is a matrix which commutes with A_n and A_s . More precisely, $A^k = B_k + A_s^k$ is the Jordan-Chevalley decomposition of A^k . Since $(A_s^k)_k = \mathbf{0}_0 \pi_0 + \mathcal{A}_0 \Lambda_0$ it follows that $(B_k)_k = \mathbf{N} + \mathcal{A}_1 \Lambda_1 + \dots + \mathcal{A}_m \Lambda_m$. The fact that the matrices $A_s^k, k \ge 0$ are simultaneously diagonalizable guarantees that the sequence of matrices $(A_s^k)_k$ is diagonalizable. The unicity of the decomposition follows from the fact that, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the matrix A^k can be uniquely expressed as the sum of commuting diagonalizable and nilpotent matrices. \Box

Remark 3.2. *In the above (and in the rest of this paper) we have identified sequences of matrices and their associated matrices of sequences.*

Remark 3.3. A is invertible if and only if N(A) = 0.

Remark 3.4. If we plug k = 0 into $(\mathcal{A}_0 \Lambda_0 + \dots + \mathcal{A}_m \Lambda_m)_k$, we get $\pi_1 + \dots + \pi_p = I_q - \pi_0$. Thus, if we have already determined the geometric part of a matrix A, then we can easily check whether or not A is nonsingular. For this, it is sufficient to plug k = 0 into the geometric part of A and see if we get the identity matrix or not.

The Jordan-Chevalley decomposition of a matrix *A* can certainly be used to determine the \mathcal{P} -cf of *A* relative to ($\mathcal{S}^*, \mathcal{T}$). The corollary below shows that the converse is also true.

Corollary 3.5. Let A be a square matrix over F. Then the diagonalizable part of A is $A_s = \mathcal{A}_0(1)$ and the nilpotent part of A is $A_n = A - A_s = \mathcal{N}(A)(1) + \mathcal{A}_1(1)$, where \mathcal{A}_0 and \mathcal{A}_1 are the 0th and the 1th coordinates of A with respect to $(\mathcal{S}^*, \mathcal{T})$.

Proof. Follows immediately from Property 8 of Theorem 3.1.

The following theorem is also a consequence of Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.6. Let A be a square matrix over F and let t_0 be its index. Suppose there exists a purely geometric sequence $A(k)_{k\geq 0}$ and a nonnegative integer τ such that $A^k = A(k)$ for all $k \geq \tau$. Then we have 1. $t_0 \leq \tau$.

2. t_0 is the smallest nonnegative integer for which $A^{t_0} = A(t_0)$. Furthermore, we have $A^k = A(k)$ for all $k \ge t_0$.

Proof. On the one hand, according to Lemma 2.4,

$$\mathbf{N}(A) = (I - A(0))\mathbf{0}_0 + (A - A(1))\mathbf{0}_1 + \dots + (A^{\tau - 1} - A(\tau - 1))\mathbf{0}_{\tau - 1}.$$

On the other hand, according to Theorem 3.1,

$$\boldsymbol{N}(A) = \sum_{i=0}^{t_0-1} \mathbf{0}_i A^i \pi_0.$$

Therefore, it follows that $t_0 \le \tau$ Since t_0 is the smallest nonnegative integer for which $A^{t_0}\pi_0 = 0$. Furthermore, the unicity of the representation of N(A) ensures that $A^i - A(i) = A^i\pi_0 \ne 0$, $0 \le i \le t_0 - 1$ and $A^i - A(i) = 0$, $t_0 \le i \le \tau$. Therefore, t_0 is the smallest nonnegative integer for which $A^{t_0} = A(t_0)$. The last assertion of (2) is also immediate after the previous discussion. This completes the proof of the theorem. \Box

As an illustration, consider the following example taken from [23].

Example 3.7. Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and let A(k) be the sequence of matrices

$$A(k) = \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1+k} & 2^{-1+k} & \frac{1}{16}2^k((-1)^{1+k}+5) & \frac{1}{16}2^k((-1)^k-1) \\ 2^{-1+k} & 2^{-1+k} & \frac{5}{16}2^k((-1)^{1+k}+1) & \frac{1}{16}2^k(5(-1)^k-1) \\ 0 & 0 & (-1)^k2^{-1+k} & (-1)^{1+k}2^{-1+k} \\ 0 & 0 & (-1)^{1+k}2^{-1+k} & (-1)^k2^{-1+k} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is proven in [23] that $A^k = A(k)$ for all $k \ge 4$. We have $A(0) \ne I_4$, then A is singular. We also have $A(1) \ne A$, $A(2) = A^2$. Then $A^k = A(k)$ for all $k \ge 2$. From these results, we may conclude that

- The non-geometric part of A is $N(A) = (I_4 A(0))\mathbf{0}_0 + (A A(1))\mathbf{0}_1$.
- The geometric part of A is

•
$$A_s = \mathcal{A}_0(1) = A(1)$$
 and $A_n = N(A)(1) = A - A(1)$.

•
$$\pi_0 = I_4 - A(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1} & -2^{-1} & -\frac{1}{4} & 0 \\ -2^{-1} & 2^{-1} & 0 & \frac{-1}{4} \\ 0 & 0 & 2^{-1} & 2^{-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 2^{-1} & 2^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

 $\pi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1} & 2^{-1} & \frac{5}{16} & \frac{-1}{16} \\ 2^{-1} & 2^{-1} & \frac{5}{16} & \frac{-1}{16} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$
 $\pi_{-2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{-1}{16} & \frac{1}{16} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{-1}{16} & \frac{1}{16} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{-1}{16} & \frac{1}{16} \\ 0 & 0 & 2^{-1} & -2^{-1} \\ 0 & 0 & -2^{-1} & 2^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$

4. *P*-canonical forms of the Drazin inverses of matrices

Consider the following linear map

$$\begin{aligned} \theta: F_{\mathcal{S}^*} &\longrightarrow F_{\mathcal{S}^*} \\ \boldsymbol{\lambda} &\longmapsto \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, $\theta(S^*) = S^*$ and $\theta^{-1} = \theta$. Since $\theta(\lambda \mu) = \theta(\lambda)\theta(\mu)$ for all $\lambda, \mu \in S^*$, it follows that θ is an *F*-algebra automorphism of F_{S^*} .

Let

$$\Psi: F_{\mathcal{S}^\circ} \longrightarrow F_{\mathcal{S}^\circ}$$

be any *F*-endomorphism of $F_{S^{\circ}}$ and consider

$$\theta_{\Psi} = \Psi \oplus \theta : F_{\mathcal{S}} \longrightarrow F_{\mathcal{S}}.$$

The direct sum of θ and Ψ . It is obvious that θ_{Ψ} is an *F*-endomorphism of F_{S} , involutory if Ψ is, but it is not necessarily an algebra homomorphism; in fact, we have $\lambda \mathbf{0}_{i} = \lambda^{i} \mathbf{0}_{i}$, then $\theta_{\Psi}(\lambda \mathbf{0}_{i}) = \lambda^{i} \Psi(\mathbf{0}_{i})$ which is not always equal to $\theta_{\Psi}(\lambda) \theta_{\Psi}(\mathbf{0}_{i}) = \lambda^{-1} \Psi(\mathbf{0}_{i})$.

Let us denote by $\widetilde{\Psi}$ the *F*-endomorphism

$$\Psi: M_q(F_{\mathcal{S}^\circ}) \longrightarrow M_q(F_{\mathcal{S}^\circ})$$
$$(A_{ij}) \longmapsto (\Psi(A_{ij}))$$

Let us also denote by $\tilde{\theta}$ the *F*-algebra automorphism

$$\theta: M_q(F_{\mathcal{S}^*}) \longrightarrow M_q(F_{\mathcal{S}^*})$$

$$(A_{ii}) \longmapsto (\theta(A_{ii}))$$

Note that $\widetilde{\theta}$ is an involution of $M_q(F_{S^*})$. Let $\widetilde{\theta}_{\Psi}$ denote the linear endomorphism induced by $\widetilde{\Psi} \oplus \widetilde{\theta}$ on

$$\mathcal{L}_q(F) = M_q(F_{\mathcal{S}^\circ}) \oplus \mathcal{G}_q(F)$$

defined by

$$\widetilde{\theta}_{\Psi} : \mathcal{L}_{q}(F) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{q}(F)$$

$$\boldsymbol{U} \longmapsto \mathcal{V}_{0}\Psi(\boldsymbol{0}_{0}) + \dots + \mathcal{V}_{n}\Psi(\boldsymbol{0}_{n}) + \widetilde{\theta}(\boldsymbol{W}_{0})\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{0}} + \dots + \widetilde{\theta}(\boldsymbol{W}_{m})\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{m}},$$

where

$$\mathcal{V}_0\mathbf{0}_0+\cdots+\mathcal{V}_n\mathbf{0}_n+\mathcal{W}_0\Lambda_0+\cdots+\mathcal{W}_m\Lambda_m$$

is the \mathcal{P} -cf of \boldsymbol{U} relative to $(\mathcal{S}^*, \mathcal{T})$. The map $\widetilde{\theta}_{\Psi}$ is well-defined, since $\widetilde{\Lambda}_i \in F\langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$ for all non-negative integer *i* (see Theorem 2.1).

In the remainder of this section, we will only be interested in the special case when Ψ is the zero map. Let us denote the map

$$0 \oplus \theta : F_{\mathcal{S}} \longrightarrow F_{\mathcal{S}}$$

simply by θ_0 . It should be noted that the image of a sequence \boldsymbol{u} of F_S under θ_0 can be obtained by simply plugging in -k for k in the geometric part of \boldsymbol{u} relative to (S^*, \mathcal{T}) and neglecting its non-geometric part. Let A be a square matrix of index t_0 with characteristic polynomial splits over F. We may assume that the

Jordan canonical form of A has the form as follows

$$A = P \begin{pmatrix} D & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{pmatrix} P^{-1}$$

where *P* is a nonsingular matrix, *D* is a nonsingular matrix of order $r = rank(A^{t_0})$, and *N* is a nilpotent matrix such that $N^{t_0} = 0$. Then we can write the matrix **A** in the form

$$\boldsymbol{A} = P \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & N^k \end{pmatrix} P^{-1} + P \begin{pmatrix} D^k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} P^{-1}.$$

It is clear that these two matrices are the non-geometric and the geometric parts of *A* written in a form other than the \mathcal{P} -cf. If we plug -k for *k* into this form of the geometric part of *A*, we get the matrix $\mathbf{A}_d - \pi_0 \mathbf{0}_0$. In the theorem below, we show that the same remains true for the \mathcal{P} -cf of *A* relative to ($\mathcal{S}^*, \mathcal{T}$).

Theorem 4.1. Let A be a square matrix of index t_0 with coefficients in F such that its characteristic polynomial splits over F. Then we have $\mathbf{A}_d = \widetilde{\theta}_0(\mathbf{A}) + \mathbf{0}_0 \pi_0$, where $\mathbf{A}_d = (A_d^k)_k$.

Proof. We must proof that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \boldsymbol{A}^{t_0+1}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0(\boldsymbol{A}) + \boldsymbol{0}_0 \pi_0) &=& \boldsymbol{A}^{t_0} & (1^{t_0}), \\ (\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_0(\boldsymbol{A}) + \boldsymbol{0}_0 \pi_0) \boldsymbol{A}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_0(\boldsymbol{A}) + \boldsymbol{0}_0 \pi_0) &=& \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_0(\boldsymbol{A}) + \boldsymbol{0}_0 \pi_0 & (3), \end{array}$$

$$\boldsymbol{A}(\theta_0(\boldsymbol{A}) + \boldsymbol{0}_0 \pi_0) = (\theta_0(\boldsymbol{A}) + \boldsymbol{0}_0 \pi_0) \boldsymbol{A}$$
 (5).

From Theorem 3.1 it follows that

$$\boldsymbol{A} = \sum_{i=0}^{t_0-1} \boldsymbol{0}_i A^i \pi_0 + \sum_{i=0}^m (\sum_{j=1}^p \boldsymbol{\lambda}_j \lambda_j^{-i} (A - \lambda_j I_q)^i \pi_j) \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_i,$$

and then

$$\widetilde{\theta}_0(\boldsymbol{A}) = \sum_{i=0}^m (\sum_{j=1}^p \boldsymbol{\lambda}_j^{-1} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_j^{-i} (\boldsymbol{A} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}_j \boldsymbol{I}_q)^i \boldsymbol{\pi}_j) \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}_i,$$

where $m = \max\{t_1 - 1, \dots, t_p - 1\}$. Clearly, $\tilde{\theta}_0(\mathbf{A}) + \mathbf{0}_0 \pi_0$ satisfies (5). In order to prove that $\tilde{\theta}_0(\mathbf{A}) + \mathbf{0}_0 \pi_0$ satisfies (3), observe first that

$$\mathcal{A}_{i}\widetilde{\Theta}_{0}(\mathcal{A}_{l}\Lambda_{l}) = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \lambda_{j}^{-(i+l)} (A - \lambda_{j}I_{q})^{i+l} \pi_{j}\Lambda_{i}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{l}.$$

As $(A - \lambda_j I_q)^{m+1} \pi_j = 0$, it follows that

$$\boldsymbol{A}\widetilde{\theta}_{0}(\boldsymbol{A}) = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \sum_{i=0}^{m} \lambda_{j}^{-i} (\boldsymbol{A} - \lambda_{j} I_{q})^{i} \pi_{j}) (\sum_{l=0}^{i} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{l} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}_{i-l}).$$

Furthermore, since $\sum_{l=0}^{i} \binom{k}{l} \binom{-k}{i-l} = 0$ for all positive integer *i*, we also have

$$\sum_{l=0}^{i} \mathbf{\Lambda}_{l} \widetilde{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{i-l} = \mathbf{0}.$$

2409

Therefore,

$$\boldsymbol{A}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_0(\boldsymbol{A}) = \sum_{j=1}^p \pi_j = I_q - \pi_0.$$

Hence

$$\widetilde{\theta}_0(\boldsymbol{A})\boldsymbol{A}\widetilde{\theta}_0(\boldsymbol{A}) = \widetilde{\theta}_0(\boldsymbol{A}) - \pi_0\widetilde{\theta}_0(\boldsymbol{A}) = \widetilde{\theta}_0(\boldsymbol{A})$$

and because of $A0_0^2 \pi_0^2 = 0_0 \pi_0$, we conclude that

$$(\overline{\theta}_0(\boldsymbol{A}) + \boldsymbol{0}_0 \pi_0) \boldsymbol{A} (\overline{\theta}_0(\boldsymbol{A}) + \boldsymbol{0}_0 \pi_0) = \overline{\theta}_0(\boldsymbol{A}) + \boldsymbol{0}_0 \pi_0$$

To complete the proof, it remains to show that $\tilde{\theta}_0(\mathbf{A}) + \mathbf{0}_0 \pi_0$ satisfies (1^{t_0}) . Since $\mathbf{A}\tilde{\theta}_0(\mathbf{A}) = I_q - \pi_0$ and $\mathbf{A}^{t_0}\pi_0 = \mathbf{0}_0\pi_0$, it follows that

$$\boldsymbol{A}^{t_0+1}\boldsymbol{\theta}_0(\boldsymbol{A}) = \boldsymbol{A}^{t_0} - \boldsymbol{A}^{t_0}\boldsymbol{\pi}_0 = \boldsymbol{A}^{t_0} - \boldsymbol{0}_0\boldsymbol{\pi}_0.$$

But since $\mathbf{A}^{t_0+1}\mathbf{0}_0\pi_0 = \mathbf{0}_0\pi_0$, it follows that

$$\boldsymbol{A}^{t_0+1}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0(\boldsymbol{A}) + \boldsymbol{0}_0 \boldsymbol{\pi}_0) = \boldsymbol{A}^{t_0}$$

The uniqueness of a $\{1^{t_0}, 3, 5\}$ -inverse $(B_k)_k$ of \boldsymbol{A} follows from the uniqueness of each B_k which is the unique $\{1^{t_0}, 3, 5\}$ -inverse of A^k , for all positive integer k. We conclude that $\overline{\theta}_0(\boldsymbol{A}) + \boldsymbol{0}_0 \pi_0$ is the $\{1^{t_0}, 3, 5\}$ -inverse of \boldsymbol{A} . \Box

Remark 4.2.

Although we have specified that the set F is a field and that the characteristic polynomial of A splits over F, the theorem 4.1 remains true in any integral domain R and for any square matrix. In this case, A_d is a matrix over the algebraic closure of the quotient field of R.

Remark 4.3.

If $F = \mathbb{C}$ is the complex field, then

$$A_d = (\boldsymbol{A}_d)(1) = \overline{\theta}_0(\boldsymbol{A})(1)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{p} \pi_{j} \sum_{i=0}^{m} \lambda_{j}^{-i-1} (A - \lambda_{j} I_{q})^{i}) (\widetilde{\Lambda}_{i}) (1)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{p} \pi_{j} \sum_{i=0}^{m} (-1)^{i} \lambda_{j}^{-i-1} (A - \lambda_{j} I_{q})^{i}).$$

We find then the well-known result that $A_d = \frac{1}{z}(A)$ is the matrix function corresponding to the reciprocal $f(z) = \frac{1}{z}$, defined on nonzero eigenvalues (see e.g. Corollary 1. p. 165 of [1]).

Let now \mathcal{U} be a basis of the free $F_{S^{\circ}}$ -module $F_{S^{\circ}}\langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$. Since \mathcal{U} has the same cardinality as \mathcal{T} , it can be indexed by \mathbb{N} , i.e., $\mathcal{U} = \{\mathcal{U}_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Theorem 4.4. Let *F* be a field of characteristic 0 and $\mathcal{U} = \{\mathcal{U}_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a basis of the $F_{\mathcal{S}^*}$ -module $F_{\mathcal{S}^*}\langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$. Assume that \mathcal{U} is contained in the *F*-vector space spanned by \mathcal{T} . Then we have the following:

- 1. For all nonnegative integer *i*, there exists a polynomial $P_i(X) \in F[X]$ such that $\mathcal{U}_i = (P_i(k))_{k \ge 0}$.
- 2. $\widetilde{\Theta}(\mathcal{U}_i) = (P_i(-k))_{k>0}$ For all nonnegative integer *i*.
- 3. Let A be a square matrix over F with characteristic polynomial splits over F. Let

$$\boldsymbol{A} = \boldsymbol{N}(A) + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}_0(P_0(k))_k + \cdots + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}_m(P_m(k))_k$$

2410

be the representation of A with respect to (S^*, U) , which is the \mathcal{P} -cf of A relative to (S^*, U) . Then the sequence of matrices obtained by plugging -k for k in the geometric part

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}_0(P_0(k))_k + \cdots + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}_m(P_m(k))_k$$

of A is $\mathbf{A}_d - \pi_0 \mathbf{0}_0$.

Proof.

1. It is well known that when the characteristic of *F* is 0, $\binom{k}{j}$ is a polynomial in *k*, i.e., there exists $Q_j(X) \in F[X]$ such that $\binom{k}{j} = Q_j(k)$ and then $\Lambda_j = (Q_j(k))_{k \ge 0}$. Since \mathcal{U} is contained in the *F*-vector space spanned by \mathcal{T} , there exist elements a_{ij} in *F*, such that

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}_i = \sum_{finite} a_{ij} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_j.$$

In other words, $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}_i = (P_i(k))_{k \ge 0}$, where

$$P_i(k) = \sum_{finite} a_{ij} Q_j(k).$$

2. It is easily seen that the equality $\binom{k}{j} = Q_j(k)$, which is between two different forms of the same sequence, still valid when we plug in -k for k. Then

$$\widetilde{\Theta}(\mathcal{U}_i) = \sum_{finite} a_{ij} \widetilde{\Theta}(\Lambda_j)$$

$$= \sum_{finite} a_{ij} \binom{-k}{j}_{k\geq 0}$$

$$= \sum_{finite} a_{ij} (Q_j(-k))_{k\geq 0}$$

$$= (P_i(-k))_{k\geq 0}.$$

3. The result follows immediately from 2. above together with Theorem 4.1.

As a particular consequence of the above theorem, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 4.5. Let *F* be a field of characteristic 0 and $\mathcal{H} = (\Gamma^i)_{i \ge 0}$, where Γ is the sequence $\Gamma = (0, 1, 2, ...)$. Let *A* be a square matrix over *F* with characteristic polynomial splits over *F*. Then the sequence of matrices obtained by plugging -k for *k* in the geometric part of *A* relative to (S^*, \mathcal{H}) is $A_d - \pi_0 \mathbf{0}_0$.

Proof. It is well known that

$$(\mathbf{\Gamma}^i)_{i\geq 0} = T(\mathbf{\Lambda}_i)_{i\geq 0},$$

where *T* is the infinite invertible lower triangular matrix $(m!S(n,m))_{n,m\geq 0}$ and S(n,m) are the Stirling numbers of the second kind (see, e.g. Quaintance and Gould [26]).

From this result, we deduce on the one hand that \mathcal{H} is contained in the *F*-vector space spanned by \mathcal{T} and on the other hand that \mathcal{H} is, as is well known, a basis of the F_{S^*} -module $F_{S^*}\langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$. The theorem is then a consequence of Theorem 4.4. \Box

Now let us consider the case where $F = \mathbb{C}$ the complex field. Consider the following sets

- $S^* = \{ \boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda^k)_{k \ge 0} | \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \lambda \neq 0 \},\$
- $\widetilde{S} = \{ \boldsymbol{\lambda} / \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda \neq 0 \},\$
- $\mathcal{S}^+ = \{ \boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathcal{S}^* / Im(\lambda) > 0 \},\$
- $S^- = \{ \boldsymbol{\lambda} \in S^* / Im(\lambda) < 0 \} = \{ \overline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} / \boldsymbol{\lambda} \in S^+ \},\$
- $S_1^+ = \{\frac{\lambda + \overline{\lambda}}{2} / \lambda \in S^+\} = \{[r, \cos(\theta)] / 0 \neq r \in \mathbb{R}^+, \theta \in]0, \pi[\}, \text{ where } [r, \cos(\theta)] = (r^k \cos(k\theta))_k, \theta \in [0, \pi[\}, \theta \in [0, \pi[], \theta$
- $S_2^+ = \{\frac{\lambda \overline{\lambda}}{2i} / \lambda \in S^+\} = \{[r, \sin(\theta)] / 0 \neq r \in \mathbb{R}^+, \theta \in]0, \pi[\}, \text{ where } [r, \sin(\theta)] = (r^k \sin(k\theta))_k.$

Clearly \tilde{S}, S^+, S^- constitute a partition of S^* , and then the set $S^\circ \cup S$, $S = \tilde{S} \cup S_1^+ \cup S_2^+$, is \mathbb{C} -linearly independent and thus is \mathbb{R} -linearly independent.

From the the general theory of linear recurrence sequences we have the following:

- $\mathbb{R}_{S^{\circ}} \bigoplus \mathbb{R}_{S}$ is the \mathbb{R} -vector space of real linear recurrence sequences whose characteristic polynomials are of the form $X^{m}P(X)$ where the polynomials $P(X) \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ are square-free with nonzero constant terms;
- **Γ** is transcendental over **C**_{S^{*}} and it is so over **R**_S;
- If $\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{S}^{\circ}} \bigoplus \mathbb{R}_{\mathbf{S}}[\Gamma]$ then there exist $\boldsymbol{\rho}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\rho}_l \in \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_1 = (r_1^k \exp(ik\theta_1))_k, \dots, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_m = (r_m^k \exp(ik\theta_m))_k \in \mathcal{S}^+, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_m \in]0, \pi[, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_q \in \mathbb{R}, P_1, \dots, P_l \in \mathbb{R}[X] \text{ and } Q_1, \dots, Q_m \in \mathbb{C}[X] \text{ such that, for all } k \ge 0,$

$$u(k) = \sum_{i=1}^{q} \mu_{i} \mathbf{0}_{i}(k) + \sum_{i=0}^{l} P_{i}(k) \rho_{i}^{k} + \sum_{j=0}^{m} (Q_{j}(k)\lambda_{j}^{k} + \overline{Q}_{j}(k)\overline{\lambda}_{j}^{k})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{q} \mu_{i} \mathbf{0}_{i}(k) + \sum_{i=0}^{l} P_{i}(k) \rho_{i}^{k} + \sum_{i=0}^{m} (Q_{i}(k)\lambda_{i}^{k} + \overline{Q_{i}(k)\lambda_{i}^{k}})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{q} \mu_i \mathbf{0}_i(k) + \sum_{i=0}^{l} P_i(k) \rho_i^k +$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m} 2Re(Q_i(k))[r_i, \cos(\theta_i)](k) - \sum_{i=0}^{m} 2Im(Q_i(k))[r_i, \sin(\theta_i)](k).$$

This means that

$$S^{\circ} \bigcup \{ \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{i} / 0 \neq \boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}, i \in \mathbb{N} \} \bigcup \{ [r, \cos(\theta)] \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{i}, [r, \sin(\theta)] \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{i} / i \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \neq r \in \mathbb{R}^{+}, \theta \in]0, \pi[\} \}$$

spans the \mathbb{R} -vector space $\mathbb{R}_{S^{\circ}} \bigoplus \mathbb{R}_{S}[\Gamma]$, and hence is a basis for it.

With the above results and notations we can formulate the following.

Theorem 4.6. Let $A \in M_q(\mathbb{R})$ be a real matrix and let $\$ = \widetilde{S} \cup S_1^+ \cup S_2^+$. Then the sequence of matrices obtained by plugging -k for k in the geometric part of A relative to $(\$, \mathcal{H})$ is $\mathbf{A}_d - \pi_0 \mathbf{0}_0$.

Proof. The proof follows simply from the fact that the equalities

$$\boldsymbol{\lambda} + \boldsymbol{\lambda} = 2[r, \cos(\theta)]$$

and

$$\lambda - \lambda = 2\mathrm{i}[r, \sin(\theta)]$$

still valid when we plug in -k for k. \Box

From Theorem 4.6, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 4.7. Let $A \in M_q(\mathbb{R})$ be a real matrix and let $\$ = \widetilde{S} \cup S_1^+ \cup S_2^+$. Then the sequence of matrices obtained by plugging -k for k in the geometric part of A relative to $(\$, \mathcal{T})$ is $\mathbf{A}_d - \pi_0 \mathbf{0}_0$.

Proof. The proof follows immediately from the fact that \mathcal{T} is contained in the \mathbb{R} -vector space spanned by $\mathcal{H} = (\mathbf{\Gamma}^i)_{i \ge 0}$, since $(\mathbf{\Lambda}_i)_{i \ge 0} = D(\mathbf{\Gamma}^i)_{i \ge 0}$ where

$$D = (\frac{s(n,k)}{n!})_{n,k \ge 0}$$

is the infinite lower triangular matrices with coefficients in \mathbb{R} and s(n, k) are the Stirling numbers of the first kind (see, e.g. Quaintance and Gould [26]). \Box

Remark 4.8. It is obvious that the 0th coordinate \mathcal{A}_0 of A with respect to $(\mathcal{S}^*, \mathcal{T})$ and the 0th coordinate \mathcal{A}'_0 of A with respect to $(\mathcal{S}^*, \mathcal{H})$ are the same, then $A_s = \mathcal{A}'_0(1)$ in virtue of Corollary 3.5. In general we can easily establish the following formulas for change of coordinates of a matrix A from $(\mathcal{S}^*, \mathcal{T})$ to $(\mathcal{S}^*, \mathcal{H})$, and vice versa:

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{R}}_{i} = \sum_{j=0}^{m} j! S(j, i) \boldsymbol{\mathcal{R}'}_{j}$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{R}'}_i = \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{s(j,i)}{j!} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{R}}_j$$

where S(n, j) and s(n, j) are the Stirling numbers of the second kind and the first kind respectively, and m is the integer defined in Theorem 3.1.

For the purpose of illustration, let us consider the following examples.

Example 4.9. Let

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -2 & -1 & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then one can verify that for all $k \ge 1$ *we have* $B^k = B(k)$ *, where*

$$B(k) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\frac{k\pi}{2}) + \sin(\frac{k\pi}{2}) & \frac{2\sin(\frac{k\pi}{2}) - k\cos(\frac{k\pi}{2})}{2} \\ -2\sin(\frac{k\pi}{2}) & \frac{(k+2)\cos(\frac{k\pi}{2}) + (k-1)\sin(\frac{k\pi}{2})}{2} \\ 2\sin(\frac{k\pi}{2}) & \frac{-k\cos(\frac{k\pi}{2}) + (1-k)\sin(\frac{k\pi}{2})}{2} \\ -2\sin(\frac{k\pi}{2}) & \frac{k\cos(\frac{k\pi}{2}) + (k-3)\sin(\frac{k\pi}{2})}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\frac{(1-k)\sin(\frac{k\pi}{2}) - k\cos(\frac{k\pi}{2})}{2} \qquad \frac{(1-k)\sin(\frac{k\pi}{2})}{2} \\ k\sin(\frac{k\pi}{2}) \qquad \frac{-k\cos(\frac{k\pi}{2}) + (k-1)\sin(\frac{k\pi}{2})}{2} \\ (1-k)\sin(\frac{k\pi}{2}) + \cos(\frac{k\pi}{2}) \qquad \frac{k\cos(\frac{k\pi}{2}) + (3-k)\sin(\frac{k\pi}{2})}{2} \\ (k-2)\sin(\frac{k\pi}{2}) \qquad \frac{(2-k)\cos(\frac{k\pi}{2}) + (k-3)\sin(\frac{k\pi}{2})}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

From this result, we may conclude the following:

- Since $B(0) = I_4$, B is nonsingular.
- We have

$$B^{-1} = B(-1) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -2 & -1 & -2 & -2 \\ 2 & 2 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- Also we have $B^{-k} = B(-k)$.
- By virtue of Corollary 3.5 and Remark 4.8, we have

$$B_{s} = \mathbf{\mathcal{B}}_{0}(1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ -2 & \frac{-1}{2} & 0 & \frac{-1}{2} \\ 2 & \frac{1}{2} & 1 & \frac{3}{2} \\ -2 & \frac{-3}{2} & -2 & \frac{-3}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Example 4.10. *Let us take example 3.7. We have*

$$A_d = A(-1) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{3}{16} & \frac{-1}{16} \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{5}{16} & \frac{-3}{16} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{-1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{-1}{4} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For all $k \ge 1$,

$$A_{d}^{k} = A(-k) = \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1-k} & 2^{-1-k} & \frac{1}{16}2^{-k}((-1)^{1+k} + 5) & \frac{1}{16}2^{-k}((-1)^{k} - 1) \\ 2^{-1-k} & 2^{-1-k} & \frac{5}{16}2^{-k}((-1)^{1+k} + 1) & \frac{1}{16}2^{-k}(5(-1)^{k} - 1) \\ 0 & 0 & (-1)^{k}2^{-1-k} & (-1)^{1+k}2^{-1-k} \\ 0 & 0 & (-1)^{1+k}2^{-1-k} & (-1)^{k}2^{-1-k} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Example 4.11. Let $x \in \mathbb{C}$ and let

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} 2\sqrt{3} - x - 10 & 2\sqrt{3} - 2x - 23 & \sqrt{3} - x - 5 \\ 4 & \sqrt{3} + 9 & 2 \\ -2\sqrt{3} + 2x + 2 & -4\sqrt{3} + 4x + 5 & -\sqrt{3} + 2x + 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let

$$\delta_s(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0, \\ x^s & \text{if } x \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

/

and put

$$E(k) = \begin{pmatrix} e_{11}(k) & e_{12}(k) & e_{13}(k) \\ e_{21}(k) & e_{22}(k) & e_{23}(k) \\ e_{31}(k) & e_{32}(k) & e_{33}(k) \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} e_{11}(k) &= 2^{k+1}(\cos(\frac{k\pi}{6}) - 5\sin(\frac{k\pi}{6})) - \delta_k(x), \\ e_{12}(k) &= 2^{k+1}(\cos(\frac{k\pi}{6}) - \frac{23}{2}\sin(\frac{k\pi}{6})) - 2\delta_k(x), \\ e_{13}(k) &= 2^k(\cos(\frac{k\pi}{6}) - 5\sin(\frac{k\pi}{6})) - \delta_k(x), \\ e_{21}(k) &= 2^{k+2}\sin(\frac{k\pi}{6}), \\ e_{22}(k) &= 2^k(\cos(\frac{k\pi}{6}) + 9\sin(\frac{k\pi}{6})), \\ e_{23}(k) &= 2^{k+1}\sin(\frac{k\pi}{6}), \\ e_{31}(k) &= -2^{k+1}(\cos(\frac{k\pi}{6}) - \sin(\frac{k\pi}{6})) + 2\delta_k(x), \\ e_{32}(k) &= -2^{k+2}(\cos(\frac{k\pi}{6}) - \frac{5}{4}\sin(\frac{k\pi}{6})) + 4\delta_k(x), \\ e_{33}(k) &= -2^k(\cos(\frac{k\pi}{6}) - \sin(\frac{k\pi}{6})) + 2\delta_k(x). \end{aligned}$$

Then one can verify that for all $k \ge 1$, we have $E^k = E(k)$. From this result one can conclude easily the following:

• We have

$$E(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 - \delta_0(x) & 2 - 2\delta_0(x) & 1 - \delta_0(x) \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 + 2\delta_0(x) & -4 + 4\delta_0(x) & -1 + 2\delta_0(x) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus E is nonsingular if and only if $x \neq 0$ *;*

• Also we have

$$E_{d} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{3}+5}{2} - \delta_{-1}(x) & \frac{2\sqrt{3}+23}{4} - 2\delta_{-1}(x) & \frac{\sqrt{3}+5}{4} - \delta_{-1}(x) \\ -1 & \frac{\sqrt{3}-9}{4} & \frac{-1}{2} \\ \frac{-\sqrt{3}-1}{2} + 2\delta_{-1}(x) & \frac{-4\sqrt{3}-5}{4} + 4\delta_{-1}(x) & \frac{-\sqrt{3}-1}{4} + 2\delta_{-1}(x) \end{pmatrix};$$

• For all $k \ge 1$

$$E_d^k = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}(k) & a_{12}(k) & a_{13}(k) \\ a_{21}(k) & a_{22}(k) & a_{23}(k) \\ a_{31}(k) & a_{32}(k) & a_{33}(k) \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_{11}(k) &= 2^{-k+1}(\cos(\frac{k\pi}{6}) + 5\sin(\frac{k\pi}{6})) - \delta_{-k}(x), \\ a_{12}(k) &= 2^{-k+1}(\cos(\frac{k\pi}{6}) + \frac{23}{2}\sin(\frac{k\pi}{6})) - 2\delta_{-k}(x), \\ a_{13}(k) &= 2^{-k}(\cos(\frac{k\pi}{6}) + 5\sin(\frac{k\pi}{6})) - \delta_{-k}(x), \\ a_{21}(k) &= -2^{-k+2}\sin(\frac{k\pi}{6}), \\ a_{22}(k) &= 2^{-k}\cos(\frac{k\pi}{6}) - 9 \times 2^{-k}\sin(\frac{k\pi}{6}), \\ a_{23}(k) &= -2^{-k+1}\sin(\frac{k\pi}{6}), \\ a_{31}(k) &= -2^{-k+1}(\cos(\frac{k\pi}{6}) + \sin(\frac{k\pi}{6})) + 2\delta_{-k}(x), \\ a_{32}(k) &= -2^{-k+2}(\cos(\frac{k\pi}{6}) - \frac{5}{4}\sin(\frac{k\pi}{6})) + 4\delta_{-k}(x), \\ a_{33}(k) &= -2^{-k}(\cos(\frac{k\pi}{6}) + \sin(\frac{k\pi}{6})) + 2\delta_{-k}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Conclusion

In this paper, we establish some algebraic results for the *F*-algebra of all linear recurrence sequences over a field *F*. Then we extend these results to the set of all sequences of matrices over *F*. In addition, We define *P*-canonical forms of matrices and study some of their properties. Furthermore, we also show how we can deduce from them the Drazin inverses of matrices and their positive integer powers.

Conflict of interest

The author declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank our Editor, Professor Yimin Wei, and the anonymous reviewer(s) for their valuable comments.

References

[1] A. Ben-Israel, T.N.E. Greville, Generalized Inverse Theory and Applications, (2nd Edition), Springer Verlag, New York, 2003.

- [2] S.L. Campbell, C.D.Jr. Meyer, N.J. Rose, Applications of the Drazin Inverse to Linear Systems of Differential Equations with Singular Constant Coefficients, SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics 31 (1976) 411–425.
- [3] S.L. Campbell, Continuity of The Drazin inverse, Linear and Multilinear Algebra 8 (1980) 265-268.
- [4] S.L. Campbell, *The Drazin inverse and systems of second order linear differential equations*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra 14 (1983) 195–198.
- [5] S.L. Campbell, C.D.Jr. Meyer, Generalized Inverses of Linear Transformations, Dover, New York, 1991.
- [6] J. Chen, \overline{Z} . Xu, Y. Wei, *Representations for the Drazin inverse of the sum* P + Q + R + S and its applications, Linear Algebra and its Applications 430, No. 1 (2009) 438–454.
- [7] P.M.R. Cohn, Basic Algebra, Springer, London, 2003.
- [8] D.S. Cvetković-Ilić, J. Chen, Z. Xu, Explicit representations of the Drazin inverse of block matrix and modified matrix, Linear and Multilinear Algebra 57 (2009) 355–364.
- [9] M.R. Drazin, Pseudo-inverses in associative rings and semigroups, American Mathematical Monthly 65 (1958) 506–514.
- [10] S.N. Elaydi, W.A.Jr. Harris, On the computation of Aⁿ, SIAM Review 40 (1998) 965–971.
- [11] J.P. Fillmore, M.L. Marx, *Linear recursive sequences*, SIAM Review 10 (1968) 342–353.
- [12] T.N.E. Greville, *The Souriau-Frame Algorithm and the Drazin Pseudoinverse*, Linear Algebra and its Applications 6 (1973) 205–208.
- [13] R. Hartwig, G. Wang, Y. Wei, Some additive results on Drazin inverse, Linear Algebra and its Applications 322, No. 1-3 (2001) 207–217.
- [14] R. Hartwig, X. Li, Y. Wei, Representations for the Drazin inverse of a 2 × 2 block matrix, SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications 27, No. 3 (2006) 757–771.
- [15] S. Kirkland, M. Neumann, Group Inverses of M-Matrices and Their Applications, CRC Press, 2012.
- [16] M. Kwapisz, Remarks on the calculation of the power of a matrix, Journal of Difference Equations and Applications 10 (2004) 139–149.
 [17] I.I. Kyrche, Analogs of the adjoint matrix for generalized inverse and corresponding Cramer rules, Linear and Multilinear Algebra 56 (2008) 453–469.
- [18] J. Levine, R.E. Hartwig, Applications of Drazin inverse to the Hill cryptographic systems, Cryptologia 4 (1980) 71–85.
- [19] X. Liu, L. Wu, J. Benitez, On linear combinations of generalized involutive matrices, Linear and Multilinear Algebra 59 (2011) 1221–1236.
 [20] H. Ma, Acute and stable perturbations of the Drazin inverse of bounded linear operators in Banach spaces, Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization 41, No. 14, (2020) 1748–1760.
- [21] H. Ma, Stanimirovic, Predrag S. Characterizations, approximation and perturbations of the core-EP inverse, Applied Mathematics and Computation 359, (2019) 404–417.
- [22] H. Ma, T. Li, Characterizations and representations of the core inverse and its applications, Linear and Multilinear Algebra 69, No. 1, (2021) 93–103.
- [23] B. Malesevic, I. Jovovic, A procedure for finding the k-th power of a matrix, arXiv:0704.0755v2 [math.GM] (2007).
- [24] Y. Miao, L. Qi, Y. Wei, T-Jordan canonical form and T-Drazin inverse based on the T-product, Communications on Applied Mathematics and Computation 3, No. 2, (2021) 201–220.
- [25] M. Mouçouf, Arbitrary positive powers of semicirculant and r-circulant matrices, Linear and Multilinear Algebra (2021). https: //doi.org/10.1080/03081087.2021.1968329
- [26] J. Quaintance, H.W. Gould, Combinatorial Identities for Stirling Numbers: the Unpublished Notes of HW Gould, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Singapore, 2016.
- [27] J. Riordan, Combinatorial Identities, John Wiley & Sons, Inc, New York, 1968.
- [28] N.J. Rose, A Note on Computing the Drazin Inverse, Linear Algebra and its Applications 15 (1976) 95–98.
- [29] F. Soleymani, P.S. Stanimirović, A higher order iterative method for computing the Drazin inverse, The Scientific World Journal 2013 (2013) 115–124.
- [30] Y. Wei, *Expression for the Drazin inverse of a* 2 × 2 *block matrix*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra 45 (1998) 131–146.
- [31] Y. Wei, C. Deng, A note on additive results for the Drazin inverse, Linear and Multilinear Algebra 59, No. 12 (2011) 1319–1329.
- [32] Y. Wei, Generalized inverses of matrices, in: Handbook of Linear Algebra, 2nd edition, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2014 (Chapter 27), edited by Leslie Hogben.
- [33] Y. Wei, Acute perturbation of the group inverse, Linear Algebra and its Applications 534 (2017) 135–157.
- [34] Y. Wei, P. Stanimirovic, M. Petkovic, Numerical and Symbolic Computations of Generalized Inverses, Hackensack, NJ: World Scientific, 2018.
- [35] J. Wilkinson, Note on the Practical Significance of the Drazin Inverse, in : S.L. Campbell (Ed.), Recent Applications of Generalized Inverses, Pitman Advanced Publishing Program, Research Notes in Mathematics, No. 66, Boston; NASA: Washington, DC, USA. (1982) 82–99.
- [36] Y. Yu, Y. Wei, Determinantal representation of the generalized inverse $A_{T,S}^{(2)}$ over integral domains and its applications, Linear and Multilinear Algebra 57 (2009) 547–559.
- [37] X. Zhang, G. Chen, The computation of Drazin inverse and its application in Markov chains, Applied Mathematics and Computation 183 (2006) 292–300.