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#### Abstract

In this paper, we explicitly characterize bounded and compact composition operators from the space of exponential Cauchy kernels generated by special measures to Bloch and little Bloch type spaces. Moreover, the norm of a composition operator acting between these spaces is also obtained.


## 1. Introduction

Denote by $\mathbb{D}$ the open unit disk in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}, \partial \mathbb{D}$ the unit circle, $H(\mathbb{D})$ the class of all analytic functions on $\mathbb{D}$ and by $m$ the Lebesgue measure on $\partial \mathbb{D}$ such that $m(\partial \mathbb{D})=1$. Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be the space of all complex Borel measures on $\partial \mathbb{D}$ and $\mathfrak{M}^{*}$ the subset of $\mathfrak{M}$ consisting of probability measures. The family $\mathcal{K}$ of Cauchy transforms is a subspace of $H(\mathbb{D})$ consisting of all those functions which admits a representation of the form

$$
f(z)=\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{1-\bar{x} z} d \mu(x) \quad(z \in \mathbb{D})
$$

for some $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}$. Endowed with the norm

$$
\|f\|_{\mathcal{K}}=\inf _{\mu \in \mathfrak{M}}\left\{\|\mu\|: f(z)=\int_{\partial \mathrm{D}} \frac{1}{1-\bar{x} z} d \mu(x)\right\}
$$

$\mathcal{K}$ becomes a Banach space, where $\|\mu\|$ is the total variation of the measure $\mu$. By the Lebesgue decomposition theorem $\mathfrak{M}=\mathfrak{M}_{a}+\mathfrak{M}_{s}$, where $\mathfrak{M}_{a}=\left\{\mu_{a} \in \mathfrak{M}^{\prime}: \mu_{a} \ll m\right\}$ and $\mathfrak{M}_{s}=\left\{\mu_{s} \in \mathfrak{M}_{i}: \mu_{s} \perp m\right\}$. Therefore, for any $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}$, we have $\mu=\mu_{a}+\mu_{s}$, where $\mu_{a} \in \mathfrak{M}_{a}, \mu_{s} \in \mathfrak{M}_{s}$ and $\|\mu\|=\left\|\mu_{a}\right\|+\left\|\mu_{s}\right\|$. Thus we also have the decomposed: $\mathcal{K}=(\mathcal{K})_{a}+(\mathcal{K})_{s}$, where $(\mathcal{K})_{a}$ is isometrically isomorphic to $\mathfrak{M} / \overline{H_{0}^{1}}$, the closed subspace of $\mathfrak{M}$ of absolutely continuous measures and $(\mathcal{K})_{s}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{M}_{s}$, the closed subspace of $\mathfrak{M}$ consisting of singular measures.

[^0]Recently Yallaoui [22] defined the space of exponential Cauchy transforms as

$$
\mathcal{K}_{e}=\left\{f \in H(\mathbb{D}): f(z)=\int_{\partial \mathrm{D}} \exp \left[\frac{1}{1-x z}\right] d \mu(x)\right\} .
$$

The space $\mathcal{K}_{e}$ is a Banach space with respect to the norm

$$
\|f\|_{\mathcal{K}_{e}}=\inf _{\mu \in \mathfrak{M}}\left\{\|\mu\|: f(z)=\int_{\partial \mathrm{D}} \exp \left[\frac{1}{1-\bar{x} z}\right] d \mu(x)\right\},
$$

and can also be decomposed as $\mathcal{K}_{e}=\left(\mathcal{K}_{e}\right)_{a} \oplus\left(\mathcal{K}_{e}\right)_{s}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{K} \subset\left(\mathcal{K}_{e}\right)_{a}$ and $H^{p} \subset\left(\mathcal{K}_{e}\right)_{a}$ for all $p>0$. For more about these spaces, we refer [1]-[9] and [11]-[22].
The Bloch-type space $\mathcal{B}_{v}(\mathbb{D})=\mathcal{B}_{v}$ consists of all $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$
\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{v}}:=|f(0)|+b_{v}(f)=|f(0)|+\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}} v(z)\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|<\infty,
$$

where $v$ is a positive continuous function on $\mathbb{D}$ generally called a weight or a weight function. The weight $v$ is called typical if it is radial, i.e. $v(z)=v(|z|), z \in \mathbb{D}$ and $v(|z|)$ decreasingly converges to 0 as $|z| \rightarrow 1$. Also the little Bloch-type space

$$
\mathcal{B}_{v, 0}(\mathbb{D})=\mathcal{B}_{v, 0}=\left\{f \in H(\mathbb{D}): \lim _{|z| \rightarrow 1} v(z)\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|=0\right\}
$$

is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{B}_{v}$.
Recall that for $\varphi$ be a holomorphic self-map of $\mathbb{D}$, the composition operator $C_{\varphi}$ is a linear operator defined as

$$
C_{\varphi} f=f \circ \varphi, f \in H(\mathbb{D}) .
$$

Recently, Abu-Muhanna and Yallaoui [2] characterized bounded and compact composition operators on the space of exponential Cauchy transforms. Motivated by results in [2], we explicitly characterize bounded and compact composition operators from the space of exponential Cauchy kernels generated by special measures to Bloch and little Bloch type spaces. Moreover, we also obtain norm of a composition operator acting between these spaces. For recent study of composition operators on Cauchy transforms, see [1]-[2], [7], [8], [11], [12], [21] and the references therein. Throughout this paper, $v$ is a typical weight, and any positive constants is denoted by $C$ may not be same at each occurrence. The notation $a \lesssim b$ means that $a \leq C b$ and $a \gtrsim b$, means $a \geq C b$. Moreover, if $a \lesssim b$ and $b \leq C a$, then we write $a \asymp b$.

## 2. Main results

In this section, we characterize bounded and compact composition operators from the space of exponential Cauchy kernels to Bloch type spaces.
The following two lemmas will play important role in this paper, see Corollary 1 and Lemma 1 in [1].
Lemma 1. The family $\left\{f_{x}: x \in \partial \mathbb{D}\right\}$ is a subset of $\mathcal{K}_{e}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{x}(z)=\exp \left[\frac{1}{1-x z}\right], \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, $\sup _{x \in \partial \mathrm{D}}\|f\|_{\mathcal{K}_{e}}=1$.
Lemma 2. For each $f \in \mathcal{K}_{e}$, there exists a measure $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}_{\text {such }}$ that

$$
f(z)=\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \exp \left[\frac{1}{1-x z}\right] d \mu(x)
$$

and $\|f\|_{\mathcal{K}_{e}}=\|\mu\|$.

The next lemma is proved in [21].
Lemma 3. Let $v: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a typical weight and $d \lambda(z)=d A(z) /\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2}$. Then $f \in \mathcal{B}_{v}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{v}}^{2} \asymp|f(0)|^{2}+\sup _{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} v^{2}(z)\left(1-\left|\frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a} z}\right|^{2}\right)^{2} d \lambda(z)<\infty, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Standard arguments from Proposition 3.11 in [10] yields the proof of the next lemma. We omit details.
Lemma 4. If $C_{\varphi}$ maps $\mathcal{K}_{e}$ boundedly into $\mathcal{B}_{v}$, then $C_{\varphi}$ maps $\mathcal{K}_{e}$ compactly into $\mathcal{B}_{v}$ if and only if for any norm bounded sequence $\left\{f_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathcal{K}_{e}$ converging to zero on compact subsets of $\mathbb{D}$, we have that $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|C_{\varphi} f_{j}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}_{v}}=0$.
The compactness of a closed subset $F \subset \mathcal{B}_{v, 0}$ can be characterized as follows, see Lemma 1 in [20].
Lemma 5. A closed set $F$ in $\mathcal{B}_{v, 0}$ is compact if and only if it is bounded with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}_{v}}$ and satisfies

$$
\lim _{|z| \rightarrow 1} \sup _{f \in F} v(z)\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|=0
$$

Theorem 1. $C_{\varphi}$ maps $\mathcal{K}_{e}$ boundedly into $\mathcal{B}_{v}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{1}:=\sup _{x \in \partial \mathrm{D}} \sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{v(z)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|}{|1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)|^{2}} \exp \left[\mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{1}{1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)}\right)\right]<\infty . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if $C_{\varphi}$ maps $\mathcal{K}_{e}$ boundedly into $\mathcal{B}_{v}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|C_{\varphi}\right\|_{\mathcal{K}_{e} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{v}}=M_{1}+\sup _{x \in \partial \mathrm{D}} \exp \left[\mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{1}{1-\bar{x} \varphi(0)}\right)\right] . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. First, suppose that (2.3) holds. Let $f \in \mathcal{K}_{e}$. Then by Lemma 2 , there is a measure $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \exp \left[\frac{1}{1-\bar{x} z}\right] d \mu(x) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\|\mu\|=\|f\|_{\mathcal{K}_{e}}$. Thus, we have

$$
f^{\prime}(z)=\int_{\partial \mathrm{D}} \exp \left[\frac{1}{1-\bar{x} z}\right] \frac{\bar{x}}{(1-\bar{x} z)^{2}} d \mu(x)
$$

and

$$
f^{\prime}(\varphi(z))=\int_{\partial \mathrm{D}} \exp \left[\frac{1}{1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)}\right] \frac{\bar{x}}{(1-\bar{x} \varphi(z))^{2}} d \mu(x)
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
v(z)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|\left|f^{\prime}(\varphi(z))\right| & \left.\leq \int_{\partial \mathrm{D}} \frac{v(z)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|}{|1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)|^{2} \mid} \exp \left[\frac{1}{1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)}\right]|d| \mu \right\rvert\,(x)  \tag{2.6}\\
& \leq \sup _{x \in \partial \mathrm{D}} \sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{v(z)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|}{|1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)|^{2}}\left|\exp \left[\frac{1}{1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)}\right]\right| \int_{\partial \mathrm{D}} d|\mu|(x)
\end{align*}
$$

Using the facts that $\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} d|\mu|(x)=\|\mu\|,\|\mu\|=\|f\|_{\mathcal{K}_{e}}$ and $|\exp (f(z))|=\exp [\Re(f(z))]$ for any $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$, we have that

$$
\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}} v(z)\left|\left(C_{\varphi} f\right)^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \sup _{x \in \partial \mathbb{D}} \sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{v(z)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|}{\mid 1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)^{2}} \exp \left[\mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{1}{1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)}\right)\right]\|f\|_{\mathcal{K}_{e}}
$$

Taking the supremum over $z \in \mathbb{D}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}} v(z)\left|\left(C_{\varphi} f\right)^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq M_{1}\|f\|_{\mathcal{K}_{e}} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\left(C_{\varphi} f\right)(0)\right| & =|f(\varphi(0))|=\left|\int_{\partial \mathrm{D}} \exp \left[\frac{1}{1-\bar{x} \varphi(0)}\right] d \mu(x)\right| \\
& \leq \sup _{x \in \partial \mathrm{D}}\left|\exp \left[\frac{1}{1-\bar{x} \varphi(0)}\right]\right| \int_{\partial \mathrm{D}} d|\mu|(x) \\
& =\sup _{x \in \partial \mathrm{D}} \exp \left[\Re\left(\frac{1}{1-\bar{x} \varphi(0)}\right)\right]\|f\|_{\mathcal{K}_{e}} . \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus from (2.7) and (2.8), we have

$$
\left\|C_{\varphi} f\right\|_{\mathcal{B}_{v}} \leq\left\{M_{1}+\sup _{x \in \partial \mathrm{D}} \exp \left[\mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{1}{1-\bar{x} \varphi(0)}\right)\right]\right\}\|f\|_{\mathcal{K}_{e}} .
$$

Hence $C_{\varphi}$ maps $\mathcal{K}_{e}$ boundedly into $\mathcal{B}_{v}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|C_{\varphi}\right\|_{\mathcal{K}_{e} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{v}} \leq M_{1}+\sup _{x \in \partial \mathrm{D}} \exp \left[\mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{1}{1-\bar{x} \varphi(0)}\right)\right] . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next suppose that $C_{\varphi}$ maps $\mathcal{K}_{e}$ boundedly into $\mathcal{B}_{v}$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{x}(z)=\exp \left[\frac{1}{1-\bar{x} z}\right], \quad x \in \partial \mathbb{D} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by lemma 1 , we have $\sup _{x \in \mathbb{D}}\left\|f_{x}\right\|_{\mathcal{K}_{e}}=1$ and

$$
f_{x}^{\prime}(z)=\exp \left[\frac{1}{1-\bar{x} z}\right] \frac{\bar{x}}{(1-\bar{x} z)^{2}}
$$

From this and the fact that $C_{\varphi}$ maps $\mathcal{K}_{e}$ boundedly into $\mathcal{B}_{v}$, we have that $\left\|C_{\varphi} f_{x}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}_{v}} \leq\left\|C_{\varphi}\right\|_{\mathcal{K}_{e} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{v}}$, for every $x \in \partial \mathbb{D}$ and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{1}+\sup _{x \in \partial \mathrm{D}} \exp \left[\mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{1}{1-\bar{x} \varphi(0)}\right)\right] \leq\left\|C_{\varphi}\right\|_{\mathcal{K}_{e} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{v}} . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, from (2.9) and (2.11), (2.4) follows and the proof is accomplished.
Theorem 2. $C_{\varphi}$ maps $\mathcal{K}_{e}$ boundedly into $\mathcal{B}_{v}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{2}:=\sup _{x \in \partial \mathbb{D}} \sup _{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{v^{2}(z)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}}{|1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)|^{4}} \exp \left[\mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{2}{1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)}\right)\right]\left(1-\left|\frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a} z}\right|^{2}\right)^{2} d \lambda(z) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

is finite.
Proof. It is sufficient to show that $M_{2} \asymp M_{1}^{2}$. Claim that $M_{2} \gtrsim M_{1}^{2}$. For $z \in D(a)$, we have $v(a) \asymp v(z)$ and $|1-\bar{a} z| \asymp 1-|a|^{2}$, Thus by the subharmonicity of the function

$$
\frac{v^{2}(z)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}}{|1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)|^{4}} \exp \left[\mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{2}{1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)}\right)\right],
$$

we can have

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{2} & \geq \sup _{x \in D \mathrm{D}} \sup \int_{D(a)} \frac{v^{2}(z)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}}{|1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)|^{4}} \exp \left[\Re\left(\frac{2}{1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)}\right)\right]\left(1-\left|\frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a} z}\right|^{2}\right)^{2} d \lambda(z) \\
& =\sup _{x \in \partial \mathrm{D}} \sup _{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{D(a)} \frac{v^{2}(z)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}}{|1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)|^{4}} \exp \left[\mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{2}{1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)}\right)\right] \frac{\left(1-|a|^{2}\right)^{2}}{|1-\bar{a} z|^{4}} d A(z) \\
& \geq \sup _{x \in \partial \mathrm{D}} \sup _{a \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{v^{2}(z)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}}{|1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)|^{4}} \exp \left[\mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{2}{1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)}\right)\right]=M_{1}^{2} . \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

This settles the claim. Next we show that $M_{2} \lesssim M_{1}^{2}$. Again

$$
\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left(1-\left|\frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a} z}\right|^{2}\right)^{2} d \lambda(z) \lesssim 1
$$

we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{2} \leq M_{1}^{2} \sup _{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left(1-\left|\eta_{a}(z)\right|^{2}\right)^{2} d \lambda(z) \lesssim M_{1}^{2} . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the proof is accomplished.
Theorem 3. The following statements are equivalent:
(1) $C_{\varphi}$ maps $\mathcal{K}_{e}$ compactly into $\mathcal{B}_{v}$.
(2) For each of $x \in \mathbb{T}$, the transform $\Lambda$ defined as

$$
\Lambda(x)=\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{v^{2}(z)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}}{|1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)|^{\mid}} \exp \left[\mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{2}{1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)}\right)\right]\left(1-\left|\eta_{a}(z)\right|^{2}\right)^{2} d \lambda(z)
$$

is a continuous function of $x$.
(3) For each $x$ in $\partial \mathbb{D}$ and $E$ of $\mathbb{D}$, let

$$
v_{x}(E)=\int_{E} \frac{v^{2}(z)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}}{|1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)|^{4}} \exp \left[\Re\left(\frac{2}{1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)}\right)\right]\left(1-\left|\frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a} z}\right|^{2}\right)^{2} d \lambda(z) .
$$

Then for any given $\varepsilon>0$ and a subset $E$ of $\mathbb{D}$, there is a $\delta>0$ such that $v_{x}(E)<\varepsilon$ for all $x$ in $\partial \mathbb{D}$ whenever

$$
\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left(1-\left|\frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a} z}\right|^{2}\right)^{2} d \lambda(z)<\delta .
$$

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Let $\left\{x_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $\partial \mathbb{D}$ such that $x_{j}$ converges to $x$ for some $x$ in $\partial \mathbb{D}$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Let $f_{x_{j}}$ be defined as in (2.1). Then sup ${ }_{j \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|f_{x_{j}}\right\|_{\mathcal{K}_{e}}=1$ and $f_{x_{j}} \rightarrow f_{x}$ uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{D}$. Since $C_{\varphi}$ maps $\mathcal{K}_{e}$ compactly into $\mathcal{B}_{v}$, so by Lemma 4 , we have that $\left\|C_{\varphi}\left(f_{x_{j}}-f_{x}\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{B}_{v}} \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\Lambda\left(x_{j}\right)-\Lambda(x)\right| & =\left\lvert\, \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left\{\frac{v^{2}(z)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}}{\left|1-\overline{x_{j}} \varphi(z)\right|^{4}} \exp \left[\mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{2}{1-\overline{x_{j}} \varphi(z)}\right)\right]\right.\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{v^{2}(z)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}}{|1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)|^{4}} \exp \left[\mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{2}{1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)}\right)\right]\right\} \left.\left(1-\left|\frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a} z}\right|^{2}\right)^{2} d \lambda(z) \right\rvert\, \\
& =\left\lvert\, \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left\{\left|\left(f_{x_{j}} \circ \varphi\right)^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}-\left|\left(f_{x} \circ \varphi\right)^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}\right\} v^{2}(z)\left(\left.1-\left.\left|\frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a} z}\right|^{2}\right|^{2} d \lambda(z) \right\rvert\,\right.\right. \\
& \lesssim\left(\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f_{x_{j}}^{\prime}(\varphi(z)) \varphi^{\prime}(z)-f_{x}^{\prime}(\varphi(z)) \varphi_{i}^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} v^{2}(z)\left(1-\left|\frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a} z}\right|^{2}\right)^{2} d \lambda(z)\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& =\left\|C_{\varphi}\left(f_{x_{j}}-f_{x}\right)\right\| \|_{\mathcal{B}_{v}} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } j \rightarrow \infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\Lambda\left(x_{j}\right) \rightarrow \Lambda(x)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Hence the transformation $\Lambda$ is a continuous function of $x \in \partial \mathbb{D}$.
(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3). If possible, suppose that (2) does not hold. Then there are sequences $\left\{x_{k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\partial \mathbb{D}$ and $\left\{E_{k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathbb{D}$ such that $x_{k} \rightarrow x$ and

$$
\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left(1-\left|\frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a} z}\right|^{2}\right)^{2} d \lambda(z) \rightarrow 0
$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$, but $v_{x_{k}}\left(E_{k}\right) \gtrsim 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Now

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|v_{x_{k}}\left(E_{k}\right)-v_{x}\left(E_{k}\right)\right| \leq & \int_{E_{k}} \left\lvert\, \frac{v^{2}(z)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}}{\left|1-\overline{x_{k}} \varphi(z)\right|^{4}} \exp \left[\mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{2}{1-\overline{x_{k}} \varphi(z)}\right)\right]\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{v^{2}(z)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}}{|1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)|^{4}} \exp \left[\mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{2}{1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)}\right)\right] \right\rvert\,\left(1-\left|\frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a} z}\right|^{2}\right)^{2} d \lambda(z) . \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{x_{k}}\left(E_{k}\right) \leq & \int_{E_{k}} \left\lvert\, \frac{v^{2}(z)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}}{\left|1-\overline{x_{k}} \varphi(z)\right|^{4}} \exp \left[\Re\left(\frac{2}{1-\overline{x_{k}} \varphi(z)}\right)\right]\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{v^{2}(z)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}}{|1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)|^{4}} \exp \left[\Re\left(\frac{2}{1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)}\right)\right] \right\rvert\,\left(1-\left|\frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a} z}\right|^{2}\right)^{2} d \lambda(z)+v_{x}\left(E_{k}\right) \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Also $C_{\varphi}$ maps $\mathcal{K}_{e}$ boundedly into $\mathcal{B}_{v}$, so we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{x}\left(E_{k}\right) & =\int_{E_{k}} \frac{v^{2}(z)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}}{|1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)|^{4}} \exp \left[\mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{2}{1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)}\right)\right]\left(1-\left|\frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a} z}\right|^{2}\right)^{2} d \lambda(z) \\
& \leq M_{1}^{2} \int_{E_{k}}\left(1-\left|\frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a} z}\right|^{2}\right)^{2} d \lambda(z) \rightarrow 0 \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (2.16) and (2.17) in (2.15), we have that $v_{x}\left(E_{k}\right) \rightarrow 0$, contradiction. Hence (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) holds.
$(3) \Rightarrow(1)$. Let $\epsilon>0$ be given. Using the identity:

$$
1-\left|\frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a} z}\right|^{2}=\frac{\left(1-|a|^{2}\right)\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)}{|1-\bar{a} z|^{2}}
$$

we can easily see that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left(1-\left|\frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a} z}\right|^{2}\right)^{2} d \lambda(z)=1
$$

Therefore, by the Jensen's inequality we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{v^{2}(z)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}}{|1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)|^{4}} \exp \left[\mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{2}{1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)}\right)\right]\left(1-\left|\frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a} z}\right|^{2}\right)^{2} d \lambda(z) \\
& \quad \leq\left\|\mu_{k}\right\| \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{v^{2}(z)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}}{|1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)|^{4}} \exp \left[\mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{2}{1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)}\right)\right]\left(1-\left|\frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a} z}\right|^{2}\right)^{2} d \lambda(z) d\left|\mu_{k}\right|(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Choose a compact set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{D}$ such that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{D} \backslash \Omega}\left(1-\left|\frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a} z}\right|^{2}\right)^{2} d \lambda(z)<\delta
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{D} \backslash \Omega} \frac{v^{2}(z)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}}{|1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)|^{4}} \exp \left[\Re\left(\frac{2}{1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)}\right)\right]\left(1-\left|\frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a} z}\right|^{2}\right)^{2} d \lambda(z) \\
& \leq\left\|\mu_{k}\right\| \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D} \backslash \Omega} \frac{v^{2}(z)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}}{|1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)|^{4}} \exp \left[\Re\left(\frac{2}{1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)}\right)\right]\left(1-\left|\frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a} z}\right|^{2}\right)^{2} d \lambda(z) d\left|\mu_{k}\right|(x) \\
& \leq \epsilon\left\|\mu_{k}\right\| \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} d\left|\mu_{k}\right|(x)=\epsilon\left\|f_{k}\right\|_{\mathcal{K}_{e}}^{2}<\epsilon . \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

On $\Omega$, there is some $k_{0}$ such that $\left|f_{k}^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{i}(z)\right)\right|^{2}<\epsilon$ for $k \geq k_{0}$. Thus for $k \geq k_{0}$, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{K}\left|f_{k}^{\prime}(\varphi(z))\right|^{2}\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} v^{2}(z)\left(1-\left|\frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a} z}\right|^{2}\right)^{2} d \lambda(z) \\
& \quad \leq \epsilon C \int_{K}\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} v^{2}(z)\left(1-\left|\frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a} z}\right|^{2}\right)^{2} d \lambda(z)<\epsilon C\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}_{v}}^{2} \tag{2.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, by (2.18), (2.19) and the fact that $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_{v}$, we have that $\left.\| C_{\varphi}\right) f_{k} \|_{\mathcal{B}_{v}} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof of $(3) \Rightarrow(1)$.

Theorem 4. $C_{\varphi}$ maps $\mathcal{K}_{e}$ boundedly into $\mathcal{B}_{v, 0}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{1}:=\sup _{x \in \partial \mathbb{D}} \sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{v(z)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|}{|1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)|^{2}} \exp \left[\mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{1}{1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)}\right)\right]<\infty . \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|z| \rightarrow 1} \frac{v(z)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|}{|1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)|^{2}} \exp \left[\Re\left(\frac{1}{1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)}\right)\right]=0 \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $x \in \partial \mathbb{D}$.
Proof. First suppose that (2.20) and (2.21) hold. Let $f \in \mathcal{K}_{e}$ be arbitrary. Then using Lemma 2 and proceeding as in Theorem 1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(z)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|\left|f^{\prime}(\varphi(z))\right| \leq \int_{\partial \mathrm{D}} \frac{v(z)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|}{|1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)|^{2}}\left|\exp \left[\frac{1}{1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)}\right]\right| d|\mu|(x) \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.21), the the left hand side in (2.22) tends to zero for every $x \in \partial \mathbb{D}$, as $|z| \rightarrow 1$, and it is dominated by $M_{1}$, where $M_{1}$ is as in Theorem 1. Thus by the Lebesgue-dominated convergence theorem, the integral in (2.22) tends to zero as $|z| \rightarrow 1$. Therefore,

$$
\lim _{|z| \rightarrow 1} v(z)\left|\left(C_{\varphi} f\right)^{\prime}(z)\right|=0
$$

Thus $C_{\varphi} f \in \mathcal{B}_{v, 0}$ for every $f \in \mathcal{K}_{e}$. Hence $C_{\varphi}$ maps $\mathcal{K}_{e}$ boundedly into $\mathcal{B}_{v, 0}$. Conversely, suppose that $C_{\varphi}$ maps $\mathcal{K}_{e}$ boundedly into $\mathcal{B}_{v, 0}$. Then $C_{\varphi} f_{x} \in \mathcal{B}_{v, 0}$ for every function $f_{x}, x \in \partial \mathbb{D}$, defined in (2.10), that is

$$
\lim _{|z| \rightarrow 1} \frac{v(z)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|}{\mid 1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)^{2}} \exp \left[\mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{1}{1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)}\right)\right]=0
$$

for every $x \in \partial \mathbb{D}$. Since $C_{\varphi}$ maps $\mathcal{K}_{e}$ boundedly into $\mathcal{B}_{v, 0}$, so $C_{\varphi}$ maps $\mathcal{K}_{e}$ boundedly into $\mathcal{B}_{v}$. Therefore, by Theorem 1, (2.20) follows, as desired.

Theorem 5. $C_{\varphi}$ maps $\mathcal{K}_{e}$ boundedly into $\mathcal{B}_{v, 0}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|z| \rightarrow 1} \sup _{x \in \partial \mathrm{D}} \frac{v(z)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|}{|1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)|^{2}} \exp \left[\mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{1}{1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)}\right)\right]=0 . \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Lemma 5, the set $\left\{C_{\varphi} f: f \in \mathcal{K}_{e},\|f\|_{\mathcal{K}_{e}} \leq 1\right\}$ has compact closure in $\mathcal{B}_{v, 0}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|z| \rightarrow 1} \sup \left\{v(z)\left|\left(C_{\varphi} f\right)^{\prime}(z)\right|: f \in \mathcal{K}_{e},\|f\|_{\mathcal{K}_{e}} \leq 1\right\}=0 \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f$ be a function in the unit ball of $\mathcal{K}_{e}$. Then there is a $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}$ such that $\|\mu\|=\|f\|_{\mathcal{K}_{e}}$ and

$$
f(z)=\int_{\partial \mathrm{D}} \exp \left[\frac{1}{1-\bar{x} z}\right] d \mu(x) .
$$

Thus proceeding as in Theorem 1, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
v(z)\left|\left(C_{\varphi} f\right)^{\prime}(z)\right| & \leq \frac{v(z)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|}{|1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)|^{2}} \exp \left[\mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{1}{1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)}\right)\right] \\
& \leq \frac{v(z)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|}{|1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)|^{2}} \exp \left[\mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{1}{1-\bar{x} \varphi(z)}\right)\right] . \tag{2.25}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (2.24) in (2.25), we get (2.23). Hence $C_{\varphi}$ maps $\mathcal{K}_{e}$ compactly into $\mathcal{B}_{v, 0}$. Conversely, suppose that $C_{\varphi}$ maps $\mathcal{K}_{e}$ compactly into $\mathcal{B}_{v, 0}$. Using the functions in (2.10) in (2.24), we easily have the desired condition in (2.23).
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