Filomat 38:8 (2024), 2721–2733 https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL2408721Y



Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

Design and connection of parametric surfaces through given regular curves

Dae Won Yoon^a, Hyun Chol Lee^a

^aDepartment of Mathematics Education and RINS Gyeongsang National University, Jinju 52828, Korea

Abstract. This study focused on the connections between two parametric surfaces through the given regular curves. Accordingly, we analyzed a C^0 -continuous connected surface in terms of the marching-scale functions of these surfaces. It should be noted that, in general, differentiability along a common curve for a C^0 -continuously connected surface is not guaranteed. To solve this problem, we introduced a C^1 -continuous connection and proved its existence for such continuous connections. These connections are improved versions of the G^1 -connection of developable surfaces introduced by Paluszny. Moreover, we suggested applications to illustrate the C^1 -continuous connection using Bézier curves and some marching-scale functions of the parametric surfaces.

1. Introduction

Surface construction from a given curve is of significant interest in computational and applied mathematics. In recent years, surface construction from one or more curves has garnered attention from several researchers [1, 3, 7, 9, 10, 14, 15, 18]. Wang et al. [17] proposed the surface interpolation of a curve as an isoparametric geodesic for surface construction. In particular, they presented the surface family in terms of marching-scale functions and the Frenet frames of a given curve, and studied the construction of surfaces that possess this curve as a geodesic. Subsequently, many mathematicians have studied parametric surface families through a given curve. Zhao and Wang [19] used the Frenet formulas to characterise developable surfaces through a given space curve (specifically in special cases where the curve is a geodesic on the surface) and presented the results to design developable surfaces. Kasap et al. [8] analyzed the problem of constructing parametric surface from a given geodesic curve, as demonstrated in [17], and considered more general marching-scale functions represented by factor decomposition. In addition, Sánchez-Reyes [16] introduced methods for constructing minimal surfaces from a prescribed geodesic to solve Plateau's problem. Moreover, Bayram et al. [1] studied the problem of finding a surface family from a given spatial asymptotic curve; Li, Wang, and Zhu [12] derived the sufficient condition for a given curve as a line of curvature of the surface under general expressions of the marching-scale functions.

A developable surface can be formed by bending or rolling a planar surface without stretching or tearing it. In other words, it can be isometrically developed or unrolled onto a plane. Developable surfaces are widely used in materials that are not amenable to stretching; their applications include the

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 53A05; Secondary 65D17, 68U05.

Keywords. Bézier curve; developable surface; marching-scale function; continuous connection.

Received: 04 April 2023; Accepted: 15 August 2023

Communicated by Ljubica Velimirović

Email addresses: dwyoon@gnu.ac.kr (Dae Won Yoon), lhc5373@gnu.ac.kr (Hyun Chol Lee)

formation of ship hulls, ducts, shoes, clothing, and automobile parts, such as upholstery, body panels, and windshields [4]. Various studies have analysed designs with developable surfaces [11, 14, 19]. Hu, Wu, and Wang [6] presented a class of methods for constructing locally controlled developable H-Bézier surfaces through a given characteristic curve, and further proposed a novel method for constructing developable surfaces using generalised C-Bézier bases with shape parameters [5]. Moreover, the problem of designing developable surfaces with Bézier patches was addressed in [2]. Zhao and Wang [19] proposed a new method for designing developable surfaces by constructing a surface pencil through a given curve as a geodesic. However, only one developable surface is not sufficient for practical applications. Therefore, a connection of two developable surfaces given abutting two curves must be considered. Paluszny [14] studied the G^1 joining of surface patches along a common pregeodesic and discussed the G^1 connection between developable surfaces along common rulings. The G^1 connection between two developable surfaces containing G^1 abutting pregeodesics may be useful in practical applications because it offers a wider family of G^1 patches containing G^1 two curves as a piecewise pregeodesic. Moreover, Li et al. [11] proposed a G^1 connection for developable surfaces through cubic Bézier curves as a geodesic. It should be noted that Paluszny and Li et al. only dealt with the connection between two developable surfaces abutting the curves and rulings; however, there may exist other surface connections through the curves on two parametric surfaces. Accordingly, we introduce the following problem: Which method can be used to connect any two parametric surfaces through given regular curves, and can such surfaces be constructed and designed using this method?

In this paper, we discuss the aforementioned problem and provide a partial solution. The remainder of this paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, we briefly review parametric surfaces through given curves. In Section 3, we introduce two surface connections, namely, C^0 and C^1 -continuous connections; moreover, we define the essential and degenerate functions to describe these connections. In the last section, we present a method for designing the C^1 -connection of surfaces through the given Bézier curves.

2. Parametric surface through a given curve

Let α be a spatially regular curve with parametric r. It is well known that the Frenet frames of the curve, $\alpha(r)$, are defined by:

$$\mathbf{T}(r) = \frac{\dot{\alpha}(r)}{||\dot{\alpha}(r)||},$$

$$\mathbf{B}(r) = \frac{\dot{\alpha}(r) \times \ddot{\alpha}(r)}{||\dot{\alpha}(r) \times \ddot{\alpha}(r)||},$$

$$\mathbf{N}(r) = \mathbf{B}(r) \times \mathbf{T}(r).$$
(1)

Additionally, the curvature, κ , and torsion, τ , are given by:

$$\kappa(r) = \frac{\|\dot{\alpha}(r) \times \ddot{\alpha}(r)\|}{\|\dot{\alpha}(r)\|^3}, \quad \tau(r) = \frac{\langle \dot{\alpha}(r) \times \ddot{\alpha}(r), \, \ddot{\alpha}(r) \rangle}{\|\dot{\alpha}(r) \times \ddot{\alpha}(r)\|^2}.$$
(2)

In contrast, the Frenet formula of a frame, {**T**, **N**, **B**}, for a regular curve, $\alpha(r)$, satisfies the following relationship:

$$\frac{d}{dr} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{T}(r) \\ \mathbf{N}(r) \\ \mathbf{B}(r) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & v(r)\kappa(r) & 0 \\ -v(r)\kappa(r) & 0 & v(r)\tau(r) \\ 0 & -v(r)\tau(r) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{T}(r) \\ \mathbf{N}(r) \\ \mathbf{B}(r) \end{pmatrix}$$

where $v(r) = ||\dot{\alpha}(r)||$.

In this study, we considered a surface generated from a regular curve: $\alpha(r)$ (see [17]).

$$\mathbf{X}(r,t) = \alpha(r) + (F(r,t) \ G(r,t) \ H(r,t)) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{T}(r) \\ \mathbf{N}(r) \\ \mathbf{B}(r) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$r_0 \le r \le r_1, \quad t_0 \le t \le t_1,$$
(3)

where F(r, t), G(r, t), and H(r, t) denote the smooth functions.

If parameter *t* denotes the time, functions F(r, t), G(r, t), and H(r, t) can be viewed as the directed marching distances of a unit point in time *t* in direction $\mathbf{T}(r)$, $\mathbf{N}(r)$, and $\mathbf{B}(r)$, respectively. In particular, the values of functions F(r, t), G(r, t), and H(r, t) indicate extension-, flexion-, and retortion-like effects of the unit point over time *t*, respectively, starting from $\alpha(r)$. Moreover, functions F(r, t), G(r, t), and H(r, t) are considered marching-scale functions in directions $\mathbf{T}(r)$, $\mathbf{N}(r)$, and $\mathbf{B}(r)$, respectively.

Definition 2.1. A curve, $\alpha(r)$, on a parametric surface, $\mathbf{X}(r, t)$, defined in (3) is said to be an isoparametric curve if it is a parametric curve, i.e., there exists a parameter, t_0 , such that $\alpha(r) = \mathbf{X}(r, t_0)$.

Owing to Definition 2.1 and (3), we obtain the following result, which is useful late:

Lemma 2.2. Curve $\alpha(r)$ on parametric surface **X**(r, t), defined by (3), is an isoparametric curve if and only if the marching-scale functions satisfy $F(r, t_0) = G(r, t_0) = H(r, t_0) = 0$.

3. Connections of two parametric surfaces

In the study of surface connections, $IS(\alpha, F, G, H)$ denotes the parametric surface, $\mathbf{X}(r, t)$, defined by (3), through an isoparametric curve, $\alpha(r) = \mathbf{X}(r, t_0)$.

3.1. C^0 -continuous connection

Let X_i denote parametric surfaces defined by

$$\mathbf{X}_{i}(r,t) = \alpha_{i}(r) + (F_{i}(r,t) \ G_{i}(r,t) \ H_{i}(r,t)) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{T}_{i}(r) \\ \mathbf{N}_{i}(r) \\ \mathbf{B}_{i}(r) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(4)$$

where $F_i(r, t)$, $G_i(r, t)$, and $H_i(r, t)$ denote the smooth functions for i = 1, 2.

First, we introduce a method for surface connection by gluing the *t*-parameter curves of two parametric surfaces, X_1 and X_2 , along $r = r_1$.

Definition 3.1. If $\mathbf{X}_1(r_1, t) = \mathbf{X}_2(r_1, t)$, then the surface connected by \mathbf{X}_1 and \mathbf{X}_2 along $r = r_1$ is said to be a C^0 -continuously connected surface, which can be denoted by $SC^0(\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2)$ (see Figure 1).



Figure 1 : Continuously connected surface, $SC^0(X_1, X_2)$

Definition 3.2. Let α_i denote the regular curves for $r_{i-1} \leq r \leq r_i$ and i = 1, 2. If $\alpha_1^{(j)}(r_1) = \alpha_2^{(j)}(r_1)$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, m$, where $\alpha_i^{(j)}$ is the *j*-th derivative of α_i . Then, the two curves α_1 and α_2 are said to be *j*-th continuous at $r = r_1$, which can be denoted by $C^j(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$.

While studying parametric surfaces through a given curve, Wang et al. [17] used marching-scale functions expressed as two factors, whereas Kasap et al. [8] selected more general marching-scale functions. It should be noted that marching-scale functions play an important role in the construction of parametric surfaces. Thus, it is important to explain the construction of marching-scale functions for surface connection. We now suggest sets of two variable functions for the design and construction of parametric surface connections through a given space curve.

Let \mathcal{F} be a set of two variable functions: $\mathcal{F} = \{f \mid f : \mathbf{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}\}$, where $(r, t) \in \mathbf{D} = [r_0, r_1] \times [t_0, t_1]$.

Definition 3.3. For a given function $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{F}$, function \hat{f} is called an essential C^0 function along $r = r_1$ if $f(r_1, t) = \hat{f}(r_1, t)$ for any $f \in \mathcal{F}$. The set of essential C^0 functions is denoted by $C^0_{\hat{f}(r_1, t)}$.

Definition 3.4. A function $f \in \mathcal{F}$ is called a degenerate C^0 function along $r = r_1$ if $f(r_1, t) = 0$. The set of degenerate C^0 functions is denoted by $\mathcal{D}_{r=r_1}^0$.

From an essential C^0 function and degenerate C^0 function along $r = r_1$, we can obtain the following properties.

Proposition 3.5. Let $\hat{f} \in C^0_{\hat{f}(r_1,t)}$ and $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{D}^0_{r=r_1}$. Then, for any $f \in \mathcal{F}$, we have

 $\begin{array}{l} (1) \ \hat{f} + \tilde{f} \in C^0_{\hat{f}(r_1,t)}. \\ (2) \ f \tilde{f} \in \mathcal{D}^0_{r=r_1}. \\ (3) \ \hat{f} + f \tilde{f} \in C^0_{\hat{f}(r_1,t)}. \end{array}$

Proof. The sum of functions \hat{f} and \tilde{f} along $r = r_1$ is

$$(\hat{f} + \tilde{f})(r_1, t) = \hat{f}(r_1, t) + \tilde{f}(r_1, t).$$

Because $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{D}_{r=r_1}^0$, i.e., $\tilde{f}(r_1, t) = 0$, we have

$$(\hat{f} + \tilde{f})(r_1, t) = \hat{f}(r_1, t),$$

which follows $\hat{f} + \tilde{f} \in C^0_{\hat{f}(r_1,t)}$.

Moreover, the product of functions *f* and \tilde{f} along $r = r_1$ becomes

$$(f\tilde{f})(r_1,t) = f(r_1,t)\tilde{f}(r_1,t)$$

for any $f \in \mathcal{F}$. Note that $\tilde{f}(r_1, t) = 0$; thus, we have:

$$(f\tilde{f})(r_1,t)=0,$$

which implies that $f\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{D}_{r=r_1}^0$. Finally, (1) and (2) lead to $\hat{f} + f\tilde{f} \in C^0_{\hat{f}(r_1,t)}$. \Box

Using Proposition 3.5 (3), we can construct marching-scale functions that share the essential C^0 functions, i.e., \hat{f} , \hat{g} , and \hat{h} ; accordingly, we obtain the following:

Corollary 3.6. Let $\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{h} \in \mathcal{D}^0_{r=r_1}$ and $\hat{f} \in C^0_{\hat{f}(r_1,t)}, \hat{g} \in C^0_{\hat{g}(r_1,t)}, \hat{h} \in C^0_{\hat{h}(r_1,t)}$ for i = 1, 2. Marching-scale functions F_i, G_i and H_i can be defined as follows:

$$F_i = \hat{f} + f_i \tilde{f}, \quad G_i = \hat{g} + g_i \tilde{g}, \quad H_i = \hat{h} + h_i \tilde{h}$$

for some $f_i, g_i, h_i \in \mathcal{F}$. Then, $F_i \in C^0_{\hat{f}(r_1,t)}$, $G_i \in C^0_{\hat{g}(r_1,t)}$, and $H_i \in C^0_{\hat{h}(r_1,t)}$.

Remark 3.7. The degenerate C^0 function plays an important role in continuous surface connection. We can easily construct degenerate C^0 functions along $r = r_1$ in various forms, such as $r - r_1$, $sin(r - r_1)$, and $sinh(r - r_1)$.

Theorem 3.8. Let \mathbf{X}_i denote parametric surfaces defined by $\mathbf{X}_i(r, t) = IS(\alpha_i, F_i, G_i, H_i), r_{i-1} \le r \le r_i, t_0 \le t \le t_1$ with $F_i \in C^0_{\hat{f}(r_1,t)}, G_i \in C^0_{\hat{g}(r_1,t)}, H_i \in C^0_{\hat{h}(r_1,t)}$ for i = 1, 2. If the isoparametric curves are $C^2(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ at $r = r_1$, then we have a C^0 -continuously connected surface, $SC^0(\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2)$.

Proof. Let X_i denote parametric surfaces defined by $X_i(r, t) = IS(\alpha_i, F_i, G_i, H_i)$, $r_{i-1} \le r \le r_i$, $t_0 \le t \le t_1$ for i = 1, 2. Then, inserting $r = r_1$ yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{X}_{1}(r_{1},t) &= \alpha_{1}(r_{1}) + F_{1}(r_{1},t)\mathbf{T}_{1}(r_{1}) + G_{1}(r_{1},t)\mathbf{N}_{1}(r_{1}) + H_{1}(r_{1},t)\mathbf{B}_{1}(r_{1}), \\ \mathbf{X}_{2}(r_{1},t) &= \alpha_{2}(r_{1}) + F_{2}(r_{1},t)\mathbf{T}_{2}(r_{1}) + G_{2}(r_{1},t)\mathbf{N}_{2}(r_{1}) + H_{2}(r_{1},t)\mathbf{B}_{2}(r_{1}). \end{aligned}$$
(5)

If the isoparametric curves are $C^2(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ at $r = r_1$, we obtain the following:

$$\alpha_1(r_1) = \alpha_2(r_1),$$

$$\mathbf{T}_1(r_1) = \mathbf{T}_2(r_1), \quad \mathbf{N}_1(r_1) = \mathbf{N}_2(r_1), \quad \mathbf{B}_1(r_1) = \mathbf{B}_2(r_1).$$
(6)

We assume that $F_i \in C^0_{\hat{f}(r_1,t)}$, $G_i \in C^0_{\hat{g}(r_1,t)}$, and $H_i \in C^0_{\hat{h}(r_1,t)}$ for i = 1, 2. Then, we also have

$$F_1(r_1,t) - F_2(r_1,t) = G_1(r_1,t) - G_2(r_1,t) = H_1(r_1,t) - H_2(r_1,t) = 0.$$
(7)

To demonstrate that $X_1(r, t) = X_2(r, t)$ along $r = r_1$, we confirm $X_1(r_1, t) - X_2(r_1, t) = 0$. Equation (5) implies that

$$\mathbf{X}_{1}(r_{1},t) - \mathbf{X}_{2}(r_{1},t) = \sum_{i=1}^{2} (-1)^{i-1} \{ \alpha_{i}(r_{1}) + F_{i}(r_{1},t) \mathbf{T}_{i}(r_{1}) + G_{i}(r_{1},t) \mathbf{N}_{i}(r_{1}) + H_{i}(r_{1},t) \mathbf{B}_{i}(r_{1}) \}.$$

Moreover, (6) results in:

$$\mathbf{X}_{1}(r_{1},t) - \mathbf{X}_{2}(r_{1},t) = \{F_{1}(r_{1},t) - F_{2}(r_{1},t)\}\mathbf{T}_{1}(r_{1}) + \{G_{1}(r_{1},t) - G_{2}(r_{1},t)\}\mathbf{N}_{1}(r_{1}) + \{H_{1}(r_{1},t) - H_{2}(r_{1},t)\}\mathbf{B}_{1}(r_{1}).$$

Thus, (7) leads to $X_1(r_1, t) - X_2(r_1, t) = 0.$

3.2. C^1 -continuous connection

Although $SC^0(\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2)$ is continuous along the common curve, $\mathbf{X}_1(r_1, t) = \mathbf{X}_2(r_1, t)$, of surfaces \mathbf{X}_1 and \mathbf{X}_2 (see Figure 1(b)), differentiability is not guaranteed along the common curve of the connected surface. In general, surfaces $SC^0(\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2)$ are not connected smoothly. This problem can be solved using degenerate C^0 functions.

Definition 3.9. If $\mathbf{X}_1(r_1, t) = \mathbf{X}_2(r_1, t)$, $\mathbf{X}_{1t}(r_1, t) = \mathbf{X}_{2t}(r_1, t)$, and $\mathbf{X}_{1r}(r_1, t) = \mathbf{X}_{2r}(r_1, t)$, then the surfaces are called C^1 -continuously connected by \mathbf{X}_1 and \mathbf{X}_2 along $r = r_1$, denoted by $SC^1(\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2)$ (see Figure 2 (b)).

To provide smoothness to the surface connection, $SC^0(X_1, X_2)$, we now consider a set of smooth functions that share an essential C^0 function.

Definition 3.10. For a given function $\hat{f} \in C^0_{\hat{f}(r_1,t)'}$ function \hat{f} is called an essential C^1 function along $r = r_1$ if $f_r(r_1,t) = \hat{f}_r(r_1,t)$ for any $f \in C^0_{\hat{f}(r_1,t)}$. The set of essential C^1 functions is denoted by $C^1_{\hat{f}(r_1,t)}$.

Definition 3.11. A function $f \in \mathcal{D}_{r=r_1}^0$ is called a degenerate C^1 function along $r = r_1$ if $f_r(r_1, t) = 0$. The set of degenerate C^1 functions is denoted by $\mathcal{D}_{r=r_1}^1$.



Figure 2 : Continuously connected surface $SC^1(\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2)$

Proposition 3.12. Let $\hat{f} \in C^1_{\hat{f}(r_1,t)}$ and $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{D}^1_{r=r_1}$. Then, for any $f \in \mathcal{F}$, we have:

 $\begin{array}{l} (1) \ \hat{f} + \tilde{f} \in C^1_{\hat{f}(r_1,t)}. \\ (2) \ f\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{D}^1_{r=r_1}. \\ (3) \ \hat{f} + f\tilde{f} \in C^1_{\hat{f}(r_1,t)}. \end{array}$

Proof. The sum of functions \hat{f} and \tilde{f} along $r = r_1$ is

$$(\hat{f} + \tilde{f})(r_1, t) = \hat{f}(r_1, t) + \tilde{f}(r_1, t) = \hat{f}(r_1, t).$$

Because $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{D}_{r=r_1}^1$, i.e., $\tilde{f}(r_1, t) = 0$ and $\tilde{f}_r(r_1, t) = 0$, we have

$$(\hat{f} + \tilde{f})(r_1, t) = \hat{f}(r_1, t).$$

In addition, the partial derivative of $\hat{f} + \tilde{f}$ along $r = r_1$ becomes

$$(\hat{f} + \tilde{f})_r(r_1, t) = \hat{f}_r(r_1, t) + \tilde{f}_r(r_1, t).$$

Moreover, it is known that $\tilde{f}_r(r_1, t) = 0$; accordingly,

$$(\hat{f} + \tilde{f})_r(r_1, t) = \hat{f}_r(r_1, t).$$

Thus, we obtain $\hat{f} + \tilde{f} \in C^1_{\hat{f}(r_1,t)}$.

For any $f \in \mathcal{F}$, $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{D}^1_{r=r_1}$; because $\tilde{f}(r_1, t) = 0$, we have

$$(f\tilde{f})(r_1,t) = f(r_1,t)\tilde{f}(r_1,t) = 0.$$

In addition, the partial derivative of $(f\tilde{f})(r_1, t)$ along $r = r_1$ is:

$$(f\tilde{f})_r(r_1,t) = f(r_1,t)\tilde{f}_r(r_1,t) + f_r(r_1,t)\tilde{f}(r_1,t).$$

Thus, we have $(f\tilde{f})_r(r_1, t) = 0$ because $\tilde{f}(r_1, t) = \tilde{f}_r(r_1, t) = 0$. Hence, $(f\tilde{f}) \in \mathcal{D}^1_{r=r_1}$. Using Propositions 3.12 (1) and (2), we finally obtain $\hat{f} + f\tilde{f} \in C^1_{\hat{f}(r_1, t)}$. \Box

Thus, from Proposition 3.12 (3), we construct marching-scale functions that share the essential C^1 functions, \hat{f} , \hat{g} , and \hat{h} , for the connection of surfaces.

Corollary 3.13. Let $\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{h} \in \mathcal{D}_{r=r_1}^1$ and $\hat{f} \in C_{\hat{f}(r_1,t)}^1$, $\hat{g} \in C_{\hat{g}(r_1,t)}^1$, $\hat{h} \in C_{\hat{h}(r_1,t)}^1$ for i = 1, 2. Marching-scale functions, F_i, G_i and H_i , can be defined as follows:

$$F_i = \hat{f} + f_i \tilde{f}, \quad G_i = \hat{g} + g_i \tilde{g}, \quad H_i = \hat{h} + h_i \tilde{h}$$

for some $f_i, g_i, h_i \in \mathcal{F}$. Then, $F_i \in C^1_{\hat{f}(r_1,t)'} G_i \in C^1_{\hat{g}(r_1,t)'}$ and $H_i \in C^1_{\hat{h}(r_1,t)'}$.

Proposition 3.14. If $f, g \in C^1_{f(r_1,t)}$, then $f - g \in \mathcal{D}^1_{r=r_1}$.

Proof. Assume that $f, g \in C^1_{\hat{f}(r_1, t)}$. Then, we have

$$\begin{split} f(r_1,t) &= g(r_1,t) = \hat{f}(r_1,t), \\ f_r(r_1,t) &= g_r(r_1,t) = \hat{f_r}(r_1,t). \end{split}$$

Accordingly,

$$(f-g)(r_1,t) = f(r_1,t) - g(r_1,t) = 0,$$

(f-g)_r(r_1,t) = f_r(r_1,t) - g_r(r_1,t) = 0

Thus, we obtain $f - g \in \mathcal{D}^1_{r=r_1}$. \Box

Proposition 3.15. If $\tilde{f}, \tilde{g} \in \mathcal{D}_{r=r_1}^0, \tilde{f}\tilde{g} \in \mathcal{D}_{r=r_1}^1$.

Proof. Suppose that $\tilde{f}, \tilde{g} \in \mathcal{D}^0_{r=r_1}$. Then, we have

$$\tilde{f}(r_1, t) = \tilde{g}(r_1, t) = 0$$
 and $\tilde{f}(r_1, t)\tilde{g}(r_1, t) = 0$.

The partial derivative of $\tilde{f}\tilde{g}$ with respect to *r* is:

$$(\tilde{f}\tilde{g})_r = \tilde{f}_r\tilde{g} + \tilde{f}\tilde{g}_r.$$

By taking $r = r_1$, we obtain

$$(\tilde{f}\tilde{g})_r(r_1,t) = \tilde{f}_r(r_1,t)\tilde{g}(r_1,t) + \tilde{f}(r_1,t)\tilde{g}_r(r_1,t)$$

Note that $\tilde{f}(r_1, t) = 0$ and $\tilde{g}(r_1, t) = 0$; thus, we have

$$(\tilde{f}\tilde{g})_r(r_1,t)=0.$$

This leads to $\tilde{f}\tilde{g} \in \mathcal{D}^1_{r=r_1}$. \square

Remark 3.16. From Proposition 3.15, we can easily determine degenerate C^1 functions along $r = r_1$ as $(r - r_1)^2$, and $\sin^2(r - r_1)$, $\sinh^2(r - r_1)$.

Using the essential C^1 functions, we obtain the following result for a C^1 -surface continuously connected by X_1 and X_2 along $r = r_1$.

Theorem 3.17. Let \mathbf{X}_i denote parametric surfaces defined by $\mathbf{X}_i(r, t) = IS(\alpha_i, F_i, G_i, H_i), r_{i-1} \le r \le r_i$, and $t_0 \le t \le t_1$ for i = 1, 2. If $F_i \in C^1_{\hat{f}(r_1,t)'}$, $G_i \in C^1_{\hat{g}(r_1,t)'}$, $H_i \in C^1_{\hat{h}(r_1,t)}$ for i = 1, 2 and the isoparametric curves are $C^3(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ at $r = r_1$, we have a C^1 -continuously connected surface, $SC^1(\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2)$.

Proof. Let \mathbf{X}_i denote parametric surfaces defined by $\mathbf{X}_i(r, t) = IS(\alpha_i, F_i, G_i, H_i), r_{i-1} \le r \le r_i$, and $t_0 \le t \le t_1$ for i = 1, 2. If $F_i \in C^1_{\hat{f}(r_1,t)}$, $G_i \in C^1_{\hat{g}(r_1,t)}$, and $H_i \in C^1_{\hat{h}(r_1,t)}$, then $F_i \in C^0_{\hat{f}(r_1,t)}$, $G_i \in C^0_{\hat{g}(r_1,t)}$, and $H_i \in C^1_{\hat{h}(r_1,t)}$, then $F_i \in C^0_{\hat{f}(r_1,t)}$, $G_i \in C^0_{\hat{g}(r_1,t)}$, and $H_i \in C^1_{\hat{h}(r_1,t)}$ for i = 1, 2, respectively. In addition, if the isoparametric curves are $C^3(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ at $r = r_1$, the curves imply $C^2(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ at $r = r_1$. Owing to Theorem 3.8, we have $\mathbf{X}_1(r_1, t) = \mathbf{X}_2(r_1, t)$. This immediately provides $\mathbf{X}_{1t}(r_1, t) = \mathbf{X}_{2t}(r_1, t)$ for $t_0 \le t \le t_1$.

However, the partial derivative of $X_i(r, t)$ with respect to *r* leads to:

$$\mathbf{X}_{ir} = (v_i + F_{ir} - v_i \kappa_i G_i) \mathbf{T}_i(r) + (G_{ir} + v_i \kappa_i F_i - v_i \tau_i H_i) \mathbf{N}_i(r) + (v_i \tau_i G_i + H_{ir}) \mathbf{B}_i(r),$$
(8)

where κ_i and τ_i denote the curvatures and torsions of α_i , respectively, and $v_i = ||\dot{\alpha}_i(r)||$ for i = 1, 2. To demonstrate that $\mathbf{X}_{1r}(r_1, t) = \mathbf{X}_{2r}(r_1, t)$ along $r = r_1$, we can confirm $\mathbf{X}_{1r}(r_1, t) - \mathbf{X}_{2r}(r_1, t) = 0$ for $t_0 \le t \le t_1$. From (8), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{X}_{1}(r_{1},t) - \mathbf{X}_{2}(r_{1},t) &= \sum_{i=1}^{2} (-1)^{i+1} [\{ (v_{i}(r_{1}) + F_{ir}(r_{1},t) - v_{i}(r_{1})\kappa_{i}(r_{1})G_{i}(r_{1},t) \}\mathbf{T}_{i}(r_{1}) \\ &+ \{ G_{ir}(r_{1},t) + v_{i}(r_{1})\kappa_{i}(r_{1})F_{i}(r_{1},t) - v_{i}(r_{1})\tau_{i}(r_{1})H_{i}(r_{1},t) \}\mathbf{N}_{i}(r_{1}) \\ &+ \{ v_{i}(r_{1})\tau_{i}(r_{1})G_{i}(r_{1},t) + H_{ir}(r_{1},t) \}\mathbf{B}_{i}(r_{1})]. \end{aligned}$$

If curves α_1 and α_2 are $C^3(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ at $r = r_1$, from (1) and (2), we have

$$\mathbf{T}_{1}(r_{1}) = \mathbf{T}_{2}(r_{1}), \ \mathbf{N}_{1}(r_{1}) = \mathbf{N}_{2}(r_{1}), \ \mathbf{B}_{1}(r_{1}) = \mathbf{B}_{2}(r_{1}), v_{1}(r_{1}) = v_{2}(r_{1}), \ \kappa_{1}(r_{1}) = \kappa_{2}(r_{1}), \ \tau_{1}(r_{1}) = \tau_{2}(r_{1}).$$
(9)

Accordingly, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{X}_{1}(r_{1},t) - \mathbf{X}_{2}(r_{1},t) &= \sum_{i=1}^{2} (-1)^{i+1} [\{F_{ir}(r_{1},t) - v_{1}(r_{1})\kappa_{1}(r_{1})G_{i}(r_{1},t)\}\mathbf{T}_{1}(r_{1}) \\ &+ \{G_{ir}(r_{1},t) + v_{1}(r_{1})\kappa_{1}(r_{1})F_{i}(r_{1},t) - v_{1}(r_{1})\tau_{1}(r_{1})H_{i}(r_{1},t)\}\mathbf{N}_{1}(r_{1}) \\ &+ \{v_{1}(r_{1})\tau_{1}(r_{1})G_{i}(r_{1},t) + H_{ir}(r_{1},t)\}\mathbf{B}_{1}(r_{1})]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $F_i \in C^1_{\hat{f}(r_1,t)}$, $G_i \in C^1_{\hat{g}(r_1,t)}$, and $H_i \in C^1_{\hat{h}(r_1,t)}$ for i = 1, 2, from Proposition 3.14, we obtain $F_1 - F_2$, $G_1 - G_2$, and $H_1 - H_2$ as the degenerate C^1 functions along $r = r_1$, i.e.,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2} (-1)^{i+1} F_i(r_1, t) = \sum_{i=1}^{2} (-1)^{i+1} G_i(r_1, t) = \sum_{i=1}^{2} (-1)^{i+1} H_i(r_1, t) = 0$$
(10)

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2} (-1)^{i+1} F_{ir}(r_1, t) = \sum_{i=1}^{2} (-1)^{i+1} G_{ir}(r_1, t) = \sum_{i=1}^{2} (-1)^{i+1} H_{ir}(r_1, t) = 0.$$
(11)

Thus, (10) and (11) result in $X_{1r}(r_1, t) - X_{2r}(r_1, t) = 0$. This indicates that we can construct C^1 -continuously connected surfaces using X_1 and X_2 along $r = r_1$. \Box

4. Applications

In this section, we describe a method for designing surface connections using the given Bézier curves.

A Bézier curve of degree n is specified by a sequence of n + 1 points called control points. Polygons obtained by joining the control points with line segments in the prescribed order are called a control polygon. Controlling the shape of a Bézier curve is facilitated by the fact that the control polygon reflects the basic shape of the curve.

Definition 4.1. [13] An arbitrary interval Bézier curve, $\mathbf{B}(r)$, of degree *n* with control points \mathbf{b}_0 , \mathbf{b}_1 , \cdots , and \mathbf{b}_n , defined on interval [*a*, *b*] is given by

$$\mathbf{B}(r) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \mathbf{b}_{i} B_{i,n} \left(\frac{r-a}{b-a} \right),$$

where

$$B_{i,n}(r) = \begin{cases} \frac{n!}{(n-i)! \ i!} \left(1 - \frac{r-a}{b-a}\right)^{n-i} \left(\frac{r-a}{b-a}\right)^{i}, & \text{if } 0 \le i \le n\\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

are called the Bernstein polynomials.

4.1. C¹-continuous connection of surfaces through Bézier curves

In this subsection, we explain the C^1 -continuous connections between two parametric surfaces with C^3 -continuous Bézier curves; we consider two Bézier curves, $\alpha_1(r)$ ($r \in [0, 1]$) and $\alpha_2(r)$ ($r \in [1, 2]$), with the following control points.

$$\alpha_{1}(r) :
\mathbf{b}_{0}(0,0,0), \mathbf{b}_{1}(0,2,-0.1), \mathbf{b}_{2}(1,3,-0.2), \mathbf{b}_{3}(2,3,-0.2), \mathbf{b}_{4}(4,2,-0.3),
\alpha_{2}(r) :
\mathbf{c}_{0}(4,2,-0.3), \mathbf{c}_{1}(6,1,-0.4), \mathbf{c}_{2}(9,-1,-0.6), \mathbf{c}_{3}(14,-4,-1.1), \mathbf{c}_{4}(7,-7,-1.2).$$
(12)

Because $\alpha_1^{(j)}(1) = \alpha_2^{(j)}(1)$ for j = 0, 1, 2, 3, Bézier curves $\alpha_1(r)$ and $\alpha_2(r)$ are C^3 -continuous at r = 1.

Example 4.2. Let X_1 and X_2 denote parametric surfaces given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{X}_1(r,t) = & IS(\alpha_1, \hat{f} + f_1 \tilde{f}, \hat{g} + g_1 \tilde{g}, \hat{h} + h_1 \tilde{h}) \\ & 0 \le r \le 1, \quad 0 \le t \le 1, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathbf{X}_{2}(r,t) = IS(\alpha_{2}, \hat{f} + f_{2}\tilde{f}, \hat{g} + g_{2}\tilde{g}, \hat{h} + h_{2}\tilde{h})$$

$$1 \le r \le 2, \quad 0 \le t \le 1,$$

where

$$\begin{split} \hat{f} &= 2t, & \tilde{f} &= (r-1)^2, & f_1 &= 2t, & f_2 &= t^2, \\ \hat{g} &= t^2, & \tilde{g} &= (r-1)^2, & g_1 &= t^2, & g_2 &= t^2, \\ \hat{h} &= t(t+1), & \tilde{h} &= (r-1)^2, & h_1 &= 2t, & h_2 &= 3t. \end{split}$$

The C^1 -continuously connected surface, $SC^1(X_1, X_2)$, of parametric surfaces X_1 and X_2 through Bézier curves α_1 and α_2 , respectively, is depicted in Figure 3(a).

Example 4.3. Consider the parametric surfaces defined by

$$\mathbf{X}_1(r,t) = IS(\alpha_1, \hat{f} + f_1\tilde{f}, \hat{g} + g_1\tilde{g}, \hat{h} + h_1\tilde{h})$$

$$0 \le r \le 1, \quad 0 \le t \le 1$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{X}_2(r,t) = & IS(\alpha_2, \hat{f} + f_2 \tilde{f}, \hat{g} + g_2 \tilde{g}, \hat{h} + h_2 \tilde{h}) \\ & 1 \le r \le 2, \quad 0 \le t \le 1 \end{aligned}$$

2729

where

$$\begin{split} \hat{f} &= \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}t\right), \quad \tilde{f} &= \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2}(r-1)\right), \ f_1 &= 0.3t, \qquad f_2 &= 0.1t^2, \\ \hat{g} &= \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}t\right), \quad \tilde{g} &= \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2}(r-1)\right), \ g_1 &= 0.1t^2, \qquad g_2 &= 0.2t, \\ \hat{h} &= \frac{\pi}{2}t, \qquad \tilde{h} &= \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2}(r-1)\right), \ h_1 &= 0.2t, \qquad h_2 &= 0.3t. \end{split}$$

The C^1 -continuously connected surface, $SC^1(\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2)$, of parametric surfaces \mathbf{X}_1 and \mathbf{X}_2 through Bézier curves α_1 and α_2 , respectively, is shown in Figure 3(b).



with C³-continuous Bézier curves.

4.2. C¹-continuous connection of developable surfaces through Bézier curves

In this subsection, we explain the C^1 -continuous connections between two developable surfaces with C^3 -continuous Bézier curves.

Li, Wang, and Zhu [11] discussed that an isoparametric surface, X(r, t), is developable if and only if it can be represented by:

$$\mathbf{X}(r,t) = \alpha(r) + tg(r)\{\tau(r)\mathbf{T}(r) + \kappa(r)\mathbf{B}(r)\},\tag{13}$$

where

$$g(r) = \frac{p(r)||\alpha'(r)||}{\tau(r)} = \frac{\tilde{p}(r)||\alpha'(r) \times \alpha''(t)||}{\kappa(r)}$$

for any function p(t) and $\tilde{p}(t)$. Specifically, if α is a planar curve, then the developable surface is

$$\mathbf{X}(r,t) = \alpha(r) + tq(r)\mathbf{B}(r) \tag{14}$$

for any function, q(t). Using (13) and (14), we obtain examples of C^1 -continuous connections of developable surfaces.

Example 4.4. Let α_1 and α_2 be planar Bézier curves satisfying C³-continuous at r = 1 with the control points:

 $\alpha_1(r)(r \in [0, 1]) : \mathbf{b_0}(0, 0, 0), \mathbf{b_1}(0, 4, 0), \mathbf{b_2}(2, 6, 0), \mathbf{b_3}(4, 4, 0)$

and

$$\alpha_2(r)(r \in [1, 2])$$
: $\mathbf{c_0}(4, 4, 0)$, $\mathbf{c_1}(6, 2, 0)$, $\mathbf{c_2}(8, -4, 0)$, $\mathbf{c_3}(8, -16, 0)$

Consider the parametric surfaces defined by:

$$\mathbf{X}_1(r,t) = \alpha_1(r) + tq_1(r)\mathbf{B}_1(r)$$

$$0 \le r \le 1, \quad 0 \le t \le 1$$

and

$$\mathbf{X}_2(r,t) = \alpha_2(r) + tq_2(r)\mathbf{B}_2(r)$$

 $1 \le r \le 2, \quad 0 \le t \le 1,$

where

$$q_1(r) = 4 + 0.2(r-1)^2, \quad q_2(r) = 4 + 0.1(r-1)^2.$$

Then, we can design a C¹-continuous connection between two developable surfaces through planar Bézier curves, α_1 and α_2 , as shown in Figure 4(*a*).

Example 4.5. Let α_1 and α_2 be spatial Bézier curves satisfying C^3 -continuous at r = 1 with the control points:

$$\alpha_1(r)(r \in [0,1]) : \mathbf{b}_0(0,0,0), \mathbf{b}_1(0,4,\frac{1}{2}), \mathbf{b}_2(2,6,1), \mathbf{b}_3(4,4,\frac{3}{2})$$

and

$$\alpha_2(r)(r \in [1,2]): \mathbf{c_0}(4,4,\frac{3}{2}), \mathbf{c_1}(\frac{11}{2},\frac{5}{2},\frac{15}{8}), \mathbf{c_2}(7,-1,\frac{9}{4}), \mathbf{c_3}(8,-7,\frac{21}{8}), \mathbf{c_4}(7,-10,3).$$

Then, the curvature, κ_i , and torsion, τ_i , of the spatial Bézier curve, α_i , for i = 1, 2 are obtained by

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_1(r) &= \frac{16}{3} \frac{\sqrt{64r^4 - 128r^3 + 194r^2 - 128r + 66}}{(32r^4 + 64r^2 - 128r + 65)^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \quad \tau_1(r) = -\frac{2}{3(32r^4 - 64r^3 + 97r^2 - 64r + 33)}, \\ \kappa_2(r) &= \frac{48\sqrt{784r^8 - 4480r^7 + 8416r^6 - 1280r^5 - 13411r^4 + 10738r^3 + 5590r^2 - 7570r + 1825}}{(2368r^6 - 15168r^5 + 36000r^4 - 39872r^3 + 5590r^2 - 7570r + 1825)^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \\ \tau_2(r) &= -\frac{6(7r^2 - 10r + 5)}{784r^8 - 4480r^7 + 8416r^6 - 1280r^5 - 13411r^4 + 10738r^3 + 5590r^2 - 7570r + 1825}. \end{aligned}$$

To construct a C¹-continuous connection between two developable surfaces, we consider parametric surfaces as follows:

$$\mathbf{X}_{1}(r,t) = \alpha_{1}(r) + tg_{1}(r)\{\tau_{1}(r)\mathbf{T}_{1}(r) + \kappa_{1}(r)\mathbf{B}_{1}(r)\}$$

$$0 \le r \le 1, \quad 0 \le t \le 1,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{X}_{2}(r,t) &= \alpha_{2}(r) + tg_{2}(r)\{\tau_{2}(r)\mathbf{T}_{2}(r) + \kappa_{2}(r)\mathbf{B}_{2}(r)\}\\ &1 \leq r \leq 2, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$g_1(r) = \frac{8 + 4(r-1)^2}{\kappa_1(r)}, \quad g_2(r) = \frac{8 + 3(r-1)^2}{\kappa_2(r)}.$$

Accordingly, we can construct a surface connection between developable surfaces X_1 and X_2 with $C^3(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$, as shown in Figure 4(b).



5. Conclusions and Further Study

In this study, we presented the following problem: 'Which method can be used to connect any two parametric surfaces through given regular curves, and can such surfaces be constructed and designed using this method?' To solve this problem, we introduced the method of C^0 - and C^1 -continuously connected surfaces through the given curves on parametric surfaces. To illustrate the construction and design of surface connections, we defined essential and degenerate functions for the given marching-scale functions of parametric surfaces. Moreover, we used Bézier curves as the curves given on parametric surfaces. Essential and degenerate functions cause the deformation of partial surfaces, although the curve connected by the surfaces is maintained. Finally, further studies using the connection of parametric surfaces can be conducted. Another question to consider would be as follows: 'How can algebraic or geometric characteristics of essential and degenerate functions affect marching-scale functions?'

Acknowledgment

The first author was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF), funded by the Ministry of Education (NRF-2018R1D1A1B07046979), and the second author was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF), funded by the Ministry of Education (NRF-2021R11A1A01059768)

References

- [1] E. Bayram, F. Güler, and E. Kasap, *Parametric representation of a surface pencil with common asymptotic curve*, Comput. Aided Des. **44** (2012), 637-643.
- [2] L. Fernández-Jambrina, Bézier developable surfaces, Comput. Aided Geom. Des. 55 (2017), 15-28.
- [3] L. Fernández-Jambrina, *Characterization of rational and NURBS developable surfaces in computer aided design*, J. Comput. Math. **29** (2021), 556-573.
- [4] W.H. Frey, and D. Bindschadler, Computer-aided Design of a Class of Developable Bézier Surfaces, R&D Publication 8057, General Motors, Sep. (1993).
- [5] G. Hu, H. Čao, J. Wu, and G. Wei, Construction of developable surfaces using generalized C-Bézier bases with shape parameters, Comput. Appl. Math. 39 (2020), 157.
- [6] G. Hu, J. Wu, and X. Wang, Constructing local controlled developable H-Bézier surfaces by interpolating characteristic curves, Comput. Appl. Math. 40 (2021), 216.
- [7] X. Jiang, P. Jiang, J. Meng, and K. Wang, Surface pencil pair interpolating Bertrand pair as common asymptotic curves in Galilean space G₃, Intern. J. Geom. Methods Modern Phys. 18 (2021), 2150114.
- [8] E. Kasap, F.T. Akyildiz, and K. Orbay, A generalization of surfaces family with common spatial geodesic, Appl. Math. Comput. 201 (2008), 781-789.
- [9] L.H. Kauffman, Lj.S. Velimirović, M. S.Najdanović, R.Rančić, Infinitesimal bending of knots and energy change, Journal of Knot Theory and Its Ramifications Vol. 28, No. 11, (2019), 1940009.

- [10] H.C. Lee, J.W. Lee, and D.W. Yoon, *Interpolation of surfaces with geodesics*, J. Korean. Math. Soc. 57, (2020), 957-971.
 [11] C.Y. Li, R.H. Wang, and C.G. Zhu, *Design and G¹ connection of developable surfaces through Bézier geodesics*, Appl. Math. Comput. 218 (2011), 3199-3208.
- [12] C.Y. Li, R.H. Wang, and C.G. Zhu, A generalization of surface family with common line of curvature, Appl. Math. Comp. 219 (2013), 9500-9507.
- [13] D. Marsh, Applied Geometry for Computer Graphics and CAD, (2nd edn), Springer-Verlag, London (2005).
- [14] M. Paluszny, Cubic polynomial patches through geodesics, Comput. Aided Des. 40 (2008), 56-61.
- [15] R. Rančić, M.S. Najdanović, Lj.S. Velimirović, Surfaces defined by bending of knots, Filomat 37:25 (2023), 8635-8640.
- [16] J. Sánchez-Reyes, On the construction of minimal surfaces from geodesics, Appl. Math. Model. 40 (2016), 1676-1682.
- [17] G.J. Wang, K. Tang, and C.L. Tai, Parametric representation of a surface pencil with a common spatial geodesic, Comput. Aided Des. 36 (2004), 447-459.
- [18] Z.K. Yüzbaşı, M. Bektas, On the construction of a surface family with common geodesic in Galilean space G_3 , Open Phys. 14 (2016), 360-363.
- [19] H. Zhao, G. Wang, A new method for designing a developable surface utilizing the surface pencil through a given curve, Progress Nat. Sci. 18 (2008), 105-110.