Filomat 38:9 (2024), 2995–3000 https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL2409995P



Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

# Fixed points of $(\epsilon - \delta)$ nonexpansive mappings

## R. P. Pant<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Dalhousie Villa Compound, Ayarpata, Mallital, Nainital (India)

**Abstract.** We obtain fixed point theorems for nonexpansive mappings by employing a new ( $\epsilon$ ,  $\delta$ ) condition. Our results contain the well-known fixed point theorems due to Meir and Keeler, and Banach as particular cases. The fixed-point sets and domains of the mappings satisfying our theorems have interesting algebraic, geometric and dynamical features. Various examples substantiate our results.

### 1. Introduction

Meir and Keeler [8] proved that a selfmapping f of a complete metric space (X, d) has a unique fixed point if it satisfies:

(a) given  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta(\epsilon) > 0$  such that

$$\epsilon \leq d(x, y) < \epsilon + \delta \Rightarrow d(fx, fy) < \epsilon.$$

In 1999 Pant [10] employed the condition:

- (b) given  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta(\epsilon) > 0$  such that
  - $\epsilon < \max\{d(x, fx), d(y, fy)\} < \epsilon + \delta \Rightarrow d(fx, fy) \le \epsilon$

to resolve the Rhoades' problem [15] on the existence of contractive mappings having discontinuity at the fixed point. Later, Pant and Pant [11] showed that condition (b) applies to nonexpansive mappings as well (see Theorem 2.9 [11]) and named such mappings as  $(\epsilon - \delta)$  nonexpansive mappings. Condition (b) or its variants have been employed by researchers to find new solutions of Rhoades' problem, e. g., Bisht and Pant [2], Bisht and Rakocevic [3], Celik and Ozgur [4], Pant [12], Pant et al [13, 14], Tas and Ozgur [16], Zheng and Wang [18]. In the present paper, we replace condition (b) by a new ( $\epsilon - \delta$ ) condition that applies to contractive as well as nonexpansive mappings. Our result generalizes the fixed point results due to Meir and Keeler [8] and Banach [1].

**Definition 1.1 ([5, 6]).** If f is a self-mapping of a set X then a point x in X is called an eventually fixed point of f if there exists a natural number N such that  $f^{n+1}(x) = f^n(x)$  for  $n \ge N$ . If fx = x then x is called a fixed point of f. A point x in X is called a periodic point of period n if  $f^n x = x$ . The least positive integer n for which  $f^n x = x$  is called the prime period of x.

**Definition 1.2.** The set  $\{x \in X : fx = x\}$  is called the fixed point set of the mapping  $f : X \to X$ .

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47H10, Secondary 54H25.

*Keywords*. Contraction mappings, non-expansive mappings, fixed points, eventual fixed points, cardinality. Received: 24 June 2023; Accepted: 17 October 2023

Communicated by Vladimir Rakočević

Email address: pant\_rp@rediffmail.com (R. P. Pant)

#### 2. Main Results

**Theorem 2.1.** Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and  $f : X \to X$  be such that for each x, y in X with  $x \neq fx$  or  $y \neq fy$  we have

(*i*) Given  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta(\epsilon) > 0$  such that

$$\epsilon < d(x, y) < \epsilon + \delta \Rightarrow d(fx, fy) \le \epsilon,$$

(*ii*) d(fx, fy) < d(x, y).

*Then f has a fixed point. Further, f has a unique fixed point if and only if condition (ii) is satisfied for each*  $x \neq y$  *in X.* 

*Proof.* From (ii) it follows that  $d(fx, fy) \le d(x, y)$  for each x, y in X. Therefore, f is a nonexpansive mapping and, hence, continuous. Also, for any n points  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$  we get

$$d(fx_1, fx_2) + d(fx_2, fx_3) + \dots + d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n)$$
  

$$\leq d(x_1, x_2) + d(x_2, x_3) + \dots + d(x_{n-1}, x_n),$$
(1)

and 
$$d(fx_1, fx_2) + \dots + d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n) + d(fx_n, fx_1)$$
$$\leq d(x_1, x_2) + \dots + d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_1).$$
(2)

Let  $x_0$  be any point in X and  $\{x_n\}$  be the sequence defined by  $x_n = fx_{n-1}$ , that is,  $x_n = f^n x_0$ . If  $x_n = x_{n+1}$  for some n, then  $x_n$  is a fixed point of f and the theorem holds. Therefore, assume that  $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$  for each  $n \ge 0$ . Then using (ii), for each  $n \ge 1$  and  $p \ge 1$  we have

$$d(x_n, x_{n+p}) = d(fx_{n-1}, fx_{n+p-1}) < d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+p-1}).$$

This implies that  $\{d(x_n, x_{n+p})\}$  is a strictly decreasing sequence and, hence, tends to a limit  $r \ge 0$ . If r > 0, then there exists a natural number N such that

$$n \ge N \Rightarrow r < d(x_n, x_{n+p}) < r + \delta(r). \tag{3}$$

By virtue of (i) this implies that  $d(fx_n, fx_{n+p}) \le r$ , that is,  $d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+p+1}) \le r$ , which contradicts (3). Hence,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, x_{n+p}) = 0$  and  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since *X* is complete, there exists a point *z* in *X* such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = z$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} f^k x_n = z$  for each integer  $k \ge 1$ . Continuity of *f* yields  $\lim_{n\to\infty} fx_n = fz$ . This implies z = fz and *z* is a fixed point.

Now let *y* be any point in *X*. Then, since  $f^n x_0 = x_n$  is not a fixed point, using (ii) we get

$$d(f^n y, f^n x_0) < d(f^{n-1} y, f^{n-1} x_0).$$

This shows that  $\{d(f^n y, f^n x_0)\}$  is a strictly decreasing sequence that will tend to a limit  $t \ge 0$ . If t > 0, then there exists a natural number N such that

$$n \ge N \Longrightarrow t < d(f^n y, f^n x_0) < t + \delta(t).$$
<sup>(4)</sup>

Using (i), we get  $d(ff^n y, ff^n x_0) = d(f^{n+1}y, f^{n+1}x_0) \le t$ . This contradicts (4). Hence  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(f^n y, f^n x_0) = 0$ , that is,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} f^n y = z$ . Thus, if there exists a point  $x_0$  such that  $f^{n+1}x_0 \ne f^n x_0$  for each n, then for each y in X the sequence of iterates  $\{f^n y\}$  converges to z and z will be the unique fixed point. Thus  $f^{n+1}x_0 \ne f^n x_0, n \ge 0$ , for some  $x_0$  implies uniqueness of the fixed point. Now, if condition (ii) is satisfied for all x, y in X then f can have only one fixed point. Conversely, suppose that f has a unique fixed point. Then for distinct x, y we have  $x \ne fx$  or  $y \ne fy$  which implies that condition (ii) holds. This proves the theorem.  $\Box$ 

2996

**Example 2.2.** Let  $X = [1, \infty)$  and d be the Euclidean metric. Let  $f : X \to X$  be the signum function fx = sgn x defined as

$$fx = -1$$
 if  $x < 0$ ,  $f0 = 0$ ,  $fx = 1$  if  $x > 0$ .

Then fx = 1 for each x and f is a contraction mapping. f satisfies condition (ii) for all x, y in X, satisfies (i) with  $\delta(\epsilon) = \epsilon$  and has a unique fixed point x = 1. If  $x \neq 1$  then  $fx = f^2x$  and x is an eventually fixed point.

**Example 2.3.** Let  $X = (-\infty, -1] \cup [1, \infty)$  and *d* be the Euclidean metric on *X*. Let  $f : X \to X$  be the signum function fx = sgn x defined as in Example 2.2.

Then *f* satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.1 and has two fixed points -1 and 1. The mapping *f* satisfies condition (*i*) with  $\delta(\epsilon) = 2 - \epsilon$  if  $\epsilon < 2$  and  $\delta(\epsilon) = \epsilon$  if  $\epsilon \ge 2$ .

**Example 2.4.** Consider the region of the complex plane defined by  $z = re^{i\theta} = |z|e^{i\theta}, r \ge 1$ , where  $r, \theta$  and |z| have their usual meaning. Let X be the set of points of intersection of this region with the three rays beginning at the origin and respectively making angles  $0, \frac{2\pi}{3}, \frac{4\pi}{3}$  measured counter clockwise with the positive real axis. Let d be usual metric on X. Define  $f : X \to X$  by

$$fz = \frac{z}{|z|}.$$

Then f satisfies condition (i) with  $\delta(\epsilon) = \sqrt{3} - \epsilon$  if  $\epsilon < \sqrt{3}$  and  $\delta(\epsilon) = \epsilon$  if  $\epsilon \ge \sqrt{3}$ , and f satisfies  $d(fz_1, fz_2) < d(z_1, z_2)$  if  $z_1 \ne fz_1$  or  $z_2 \ne fz_2$ . Hence f satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.1 and has three fixed points  $e^{i0}$ ,  $e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}}$ ,  $e^{i\frac{4\pi}{3}}$ .

In this example if we take any four points  $z_1$ ,  $z_2$ ,  $z_3$ ,  $z_4$  then, in addition to condition (ii), we get inequality (2) for n = 4 and

$$\begin{aligned} &\epsilon < d(z_1, z_2) + d(z_2, z_3) + d(z_3, z_4) + d(z_4, z_1) < \epsilon + \delta(\epsilon) \\ &\Rightarrow d(fz_1, fz_2) + d(fz_2, fz_3) + d(fz_3, fz_4) + d(fz_4, fz_1) \le \epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

with  $\delta(\epsilon) = 3 + \sqrt{3} - \epsilon$  if  $\epsilon < 3 + \sqrt{3}$  and  $\delta(\epsilon) = \epsilon$  if  $\epsilon \ge 3 + \sqrt{3}$ .

**Example 2.5.** In analogy with Example 2.4, if we consider the set of points of intersection of the region  $z = re^{i\theta}$ ,  $r \ge 1$ , with four rays beginning at the origin and respectively making angles  $0, \frac{\pi}{2}, \pi, 3\frac{\pi}{2}$  measured counter clockwise with the positive real axis then f satisfies conditions (i) and (ii) and we get four fixed points  $e^{i0}, e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}}, e^{i\pi}, e^{i(3\frac{\pi}{2})}$ . If we take any five points  $z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_5$  then, analogous to (2), we get the inequalities

$$d(fz_1, fz_2) + d(fz_2, fz_3) + d(fz_3, fz_4) + d(fz_4, fz_5) + d(fz_5, fz_1)$$
  
$$< d(z_1, z_2) + d(z_2, z_3) + d(z_3, z_4) + d(z_4, z_5) + d(z_5, z_1),$$
(5)

and 
$$\epsilon < d(z_1, z_2) + d(z_2, z_3) + d(z_3, z_4) + d(z_4, z_5) + d(z_5, z_1) < \epsilon + \delta(\epsilon)$$
  
 $\implies d(fz_1, fz_2) + d(fz_2, fz_3) + d(fz_3, fz_4) + d(fz_4, fz_5) + d(fz_5, fz_1) \le \epsilon.$ 

In a similar manner, if we take the intersection of the region  $z = re^{i\theta}$ ,  $r \ge 1$ , with two rays beginning at the origin and making angles  $0, \pi$  respectively with the positive real axis then we get Example 2.3 given above. Likewise, if we take intersection of the region  $z = re^{i\theta}$ ,  $r \ge 1$ , with the positive real axis then we get Example 2.2 above.

**Example 2.6.** If we consider the set of points of intersection of the region  $z = re^{i\theta}$ ,  $r \ge 1$ , with N rays beginning at the origin and respectively making angles  $0, \frac{2\pi}{N}, 2(\frac{2\pi}{N}), 3(\frac{2\pi}{N}), \ldots, (N-1)(\frac{2\pi}{N})$  measured counter clockwise with the positive real axis, then for the function  $fz = \frac{z}{|z|}$  we will get N fixed points  $e^{i0}, e^{i\frac{2\pi}{N}}, e^{i2(\frac{2\pi}{N})}, \ldots, e^{i(N-1)(\frac{2\pi}{N})}$ . Also, for any (N + 1) points we will get inequalities analogous to (2).

**Example 2.7.** Let us consider a family of concentric circles  $z = re^{i\theta} = |z|e^{i\theta}$ ,  $r = 4^n$ , n = 0, 1, 2, ..., in the complex plane, where  $r, \theta$  and |z| have their usual meaning. Let X be the set of points of intersection of these circles with the three rays beginning at the origin and respectively making angles  $0, \frac{2\pi}{3}, \frac{4\pi}{3}$  measured counter clockwise with the positive real axis. Let d be usual metric on X. Define  $f : X \to X$  by  $fz = \frac{z}{|z|}$ .

Then *f* has three fixed points  $e^{i0}$ ,  $e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}}$ ,  $e^{i\frac{4\pi}{3}}$  and every other point in *X* is an eventually fixed point since  $f^2z = fz$  for such points. *f* satisfies condition (*i*) with  $\delta(\epsilon) = \sqrt{3} - \epsilon$  if  $\epsilon < \sqrt{3}$  and  $\delta(\epsilon) = \epsilon$  if  $\epsilon \ge \sqrt{3}$ . If  $z_1 \ne fz_1$  or  $z_2 \ne fz_2$  then we have  $d(fz_1, fz_2) \le (\frac{2}{3})d(z_1, z_2)$  and condition (*ii*) is satisfied. For any four points  $z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4$  we shall get  $d(fz_1, fz_2) + d(fz_2, fz_3) + d(fz_3, fz_4) + d(fz_4, fz_1) \le \frac{2}{3}[d(z_1, z_2) + d(z_2, z_3) + d(z_4, z_1)].$ 

**Example 2.8.** Let  $X = \{4^n e^{i\theta} : 0 \le \theta \le 2\pi, n = 0, 1, 2, ...\}$  be the self-similar family of concentric circles, each lying within larger circles having radii in a geometric progression, in the XY-plane and let d be the usual metric on X. Define  $f : X \to X$  by  $fz = \frac{z}{|z|}$ .

Then each point on the unit circle  $z = e^{i\theta}$  is a fixed point while every other point is an eventually fixed point. In this example, the unit circle is a fixed circle. Fixed circles are presently an active area of study (see [7, 9, 17]). If  $x \neq fx$  or  $y \neq fy$  then  $d(fx, fy) \leq \frac{2}{3}d(x, y)$  and, therefore, conditions (i) and (ii) hold.

**Example 2.9.** Let (X, d) be a metric space and f be the identity mapping on X, that is, fx = x for each x in X. Then f satisfies conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 2.1 and each point is a fixed point.

**Remark 2.10.** If a selfmapping f of a complete metric space (X, d) satisfies the condition (a) of the Meir-Keeler theorem then f has a unique fixed point and consequently satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.1 also. Hence Theorem 2.1 contains the Meir-Keeler theorem as a particular case. This further implies that Theorem 2.1 contains the Banach contraction theorem since the Meir-Keeler theorem contains the Banach contraction theorem.

**Remark 2.11.** In Example 2.6 the fixed point set consists of N fixed points  $e^{i0}$ ,  $e^{i\frac{2\pi}{N}}$ ,  $e^{i2(\frac{2\pi}{N})}$ ,  $e^{i3(\frac{2\pi}{N})}$ , ...,  $e^{i(N-1)(\frac{2\pi}{N})}$ . Some interesting features of this set are:

- A. These fixed points are the N<sup>th</sup> roots of unity, lie on the unit circle, form a cyclic group under multiplication,
- *B. These points are the vertices of a regular polygon of N sides.*
- C. If  $N = 2^n 1$  then the fixed point set is identical with the periodic points of period n for the doubling map which is important in dynamics of complex functions (see [5], [6]).

Similarly, the fixed points in Examples 2.4 and 2.5 respectively represent the cube roots and  $4^{th}$  roots of unity and the set of fixed points in Example 2.4 is identical with the set of periodic points of period 2 for the doubling map.

**Remark 2.12.** The domain of a mapping satisfying Theorem 2.1 may possess interesting geometric features. For example, the domain of the mapping in Example 2.8 is a self-similar family of circles.

#### 3. Applications

We now give an application of condition (ii) in determining the cardinality of the fixed point set of a mapping for which Theorem 2.1 holds.

Suppose (*X*, *d*) is a complete metric space and Theorem 2.1 holds for  $f : X \to X$ . Then *f* has one or more fixed points. We have seen in Theorem 2.1 that if condition (ii) is satisfied for all  $x, y, x \neq y$ , in *X* then *f* has a unique fixed point. If  $u \neq v$  are fixed points of *f* then we obviously get d(fu, fv) = d(u, v).

Suppose each set of n + 1 points  $y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{n+1}$  in X satisfies

 $d(fy_1, fy_2) + d(fy_2, fy_3) + \ldots + d(fy_n, fy_{n+1}) + d(fy_{n+1}, fy_1)$  $< d(y_1, y_2) + d(y_2, y_3) + \ldots + d(y_n, y_{n+1}) + d(y_{n+1}, y_1).$  Then, the number of fixed points of *f* cannot exceed *n*. For, if *f* has n + 1 fixed points, say  $z_1, z_2, ..., z_{n+1}$ , then we get

$$d(fz_1, fz_2) + d(fz_2, fz_3) + \ldots + d(fz_n, fz_{n+1}) + d(fz_{n+1}, fz_1)$$
  
=  $d(z_1, z_2) + d(z_2, z_3) + \ldots + d(z_n, z_{n+1}) + d(z_{n+1}, z_1),$ 

which contradicts our assumption.

Now, suppose there exists a set of *n* points  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$  in *X* such that *f* does not satisfy

$$d(fx_1, fx_2) + d(fx_2, fx_3) + \ldots + d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n) + d(fx_n, fx_1)$$
  
<  $d(x_1, x_2) + d(x_2, x_3) + \ldots + d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_1).$ 

This condition implies that each of  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$  is a fixed point of f. To see this, suppose  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_{n-1}$  are fixed points of but not  $x_n$ . Then

$$d(fx_1, fx_2) + d(fx_2, fx_3) + \dots + d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n) + d(fx_n, fx_1)$$
  
=  $d(x_1, x_2) + d(x_2, x_3) + \dots + d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) + d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n) + d(fx_n, fx_1)$ 

Using (ii) we get  $d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n) + d(fx_n, fx_1) < d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_1)$  which implies

$$d(fx_1, fx_2) + d(fx_2, fx_3) + \ldots + d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n) + d(fx_n, fx_1)$$
  
<  $d(x_1, x_2) + d(x_2, x_3) + \ldots + d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_1).$ 

This contradicts our assumption. Hence each of  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$  is a fixed point of f. This can be summarised as:

**Theorem 3.1.** The cardinality of the set of fixed point of a selfmapping f satisfying the conditions of Theorem 2.1 equals n if and only if for each set of n + 1 points  $y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{n+1}$  we have

$$d(fy_1, fy_2) + d(fy_2, fy_3) + \dots + d(fy_n, fy_{n+1}) + d(fy_{n+1}, fy_1) < d(y_1, y_2) + d(y_2, y_3) + \dots + d(y_n, y_{n+1}) + d(y_{n+1}, y_1),$$
(6)

while there exists a set of n points  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$  in X that does not satisfy

$$d(fx_1, fx_2) + d(fx_2, fx_3) + \dots + d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n) + d(fx_n, fx_1)$$
  
$$< d(x_1, x_2) + d(x_2, x_3) + \dots + d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_1).$$
(7)

**Remark 3.2.** The proof of Theorem 2.1 shows that if for some x in X we have  $f^n x \neq f^{n+1}x$  for each  $n \ge 0$  then f has a unique fixed point. This implies that if f has more than one fixed point then the orbit  $\{f^n x : n = 0, 1, ...\}$  of each x in X is a finite set, that is, starting the iteration with any initial point we reach the fixed point in a finite number of steps. This simplifies the search for fixed points. If f has a finite number of fixed points then inequalities (6) and (7) will help in finding cardinality of the fixed point set.

#### References

- S. Banach, Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux equations integrals, Fund. Math., 3(1922), 133-181.
- [2] R. K. Bisht and R. P. Pant, A remark on discontinuity at fixed point, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 445-2(2017), 1239-1242.
- [3] R. K. Bisht and V. Rakocevic, Generalized Meir-Keeler type contractions and discontinuity at fixed point. Fixed Point Theory, 19(1) (2018), 57-64.
- [4] U. Celik and N. Ozgur, A new solution to the discontinuity problem on metric spaces, Turkish J. Math. 44 (4) (2020), 1115-1126.
- [5] R. L. Devaney, An introduction to chaotic dynamical systems, Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Co., California, 1986.
- [6] R. A. Holmgren, A first course in discrete dynamical systems, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.
- [7] A. Hussain, H. Al-Sulami, N. Hussain, and H. Farooq, Newly fixed disc results using advanced contractions on F-metric space. Journal of Applied Analysis and Computation, 10 (6) (2020), 2313-2322.
- [8] A. Meir and E. Keeler, A theorem on contraction mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 28(1969), 326-329.

- [9] N. Y. Ozgur and N. Tas, Some fixed-circle theorems on metric spaces, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. 42 (4) (2019), 1433-1449.
- [10] R. P. Pant, Discontinuity and fixed points, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 240(1999) 284-289.
- [11] A. Pant and R. P. Pant, Fixed points and continuity of contractive maps, Filomat 31:11(2017), 3501-3506.
- [12] R. P. Pant, Noncompatible mappings and common fixed points, Soochow J. Math. 26(1) (2000), 29-35.
- [13] R. P. Pant, N. Y. Özgür and N. Tas, Discontinuity at fixed points with applications, Bull. Belgian Math. Soc. Simon Stevin 26 4 (2019), 571-589.
- [14] R. P. Pant, N. Y. Özgür and N. Tas, On Discontinuity Problem at Fixed Point, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc 43 (2020), 499-517.
- [15] B. E. Rhoades, Contractive definitions and continuity, Contemporary Mathematics (Amer. Math. Soc.) 72(1988), 233-245.
- [16] N. Tas and N. Y. Ozgur, A new contribution to discontinuity at fixed point, Fixed Point Theory 20(2)(2019), 715-728.
- [17] N. Tas, N. Y. Ozgur and N. Mlaiki, New types of *F<sub>c</sub>*-contractions and the fixed circle Problem, Mathematics 6(2018), 188.
- [18] D. Zheng and P. Wang, Weak  $\theta \varphi$ -contractions and discontinuity, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., 10 (2017), 2318-2323.