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#### Abstract

This study takes into account a one-dimensional swelling porous elastic system with neutral delay and porous damping acting on the second equation. We verify the existence and uniqueness of the solution using Faedo-Galerkin approach, and we prove that the porous damping dissipation is powerful enough to stabilize the system exponentially even in the presence of neutral delay using the multiplier method.


## 1. Introduction

In recent decades, the theory of mixtures of solids has received a lot of attention by researchers and an increasing interest has been oriented to the study of the qualitative properties of solutions related to mixtures composed by two interacting continua, see [1]-[2]-[3]. Note that one of the first works in continuity theory applied to mixtures were the contributions of [4]-[5]. Eringen in [6] developed the first mathematical model consisting of three partial differential equations that give form to the problem of saturation of porous solids by the action of a gas or fluids. This mathematical model represents, in fact, the theory of mixtures for the saturation of porous solids by the action of a gas or fluid. Then, several mathematical results on the existence, uniqueness and asymptotic behaviour for this theory have been developed by many researchers see [7]-[8]. Alves et al. in [9], considered the one-dimensional system composed of a mixture of two thermoelastic solids. By using the semi-group method, they established a necessary and sufficient condition over the coefficients of the system to get the exponential stability of the corresponding semigroup. As established by Ieşan [10], towards the end of the 19th century and simplified by Quintanilla in [11], the basic field equations for the theory of swelling of one-dimensional porous elastic soils are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho_{z} z_{t t} & =P_{1 x}-G_{1}+H_{1},  \tag{1}\\
\rho_{u} u_{t t} & =P_{2 x}+G_{2}+H_{2},
\end{align*}
$$

here $P_{i}$ denotes the partial tensions, $H_{i}$ are the external forces and $G_{i}$ are internal body forces associated with the dependent variables $z$ and $u$, respectively. And we assume that the constitutive equations of partial

[^0]tensions are given by
\[

\binom{P_{1}}{P_{2}}=\underbrace{\left($$
\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1} & a_{2}  \tag{2}\\
a_{2} & a_{3}
\end{array}
$$\right)}_{=A}\binom{z_{x}}{u_{x}}
\]

where $a_{1}, a_{3}>0$ and $a_{2} \neq 0$ is a real number. The matrix $A$ is positive definite such that $a_{1} a_{3}>a_{2}^{2}$.
Ramos et al. [12] studied the case $H_{1}=0$ and $H_{2}=-\gamma(t) g\left(u_{t}\right)$ where $\gamma(t) g\left(u_{t}\right)$ is a nonlinear damping term, which acts only in the second equation. Using the multiplier method and some properties of convex functions, they established an exponential decay rate provided that the wave speeds of the system are equal. Similarly, in [13] Wang and Guo considered (1) with initial and some mixed boundary conditions and took

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{1}=G_{2}=0, \quad H_{1}=-\rho_{u} \gamma(x) u_{t}, \quad H_{2}=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma(x)$ is an internal viscous damping function with positive mean. Using the Riesz basis approach, they proved that the whole system can be exponentially stabilized by a single internal viscous damping. For more interesting results on swelling porous elastic soils, we refer the reader to [14]-[15].

Now, on the other hand, the scientific community is observing a considerable growth interest in problems involving time delays, because most phenomena naturally depend not only on the current state but also on some past events see [16]-[17]. Tatar in [18], considered the following damped wave equation with a neutral delay.

$$
u_{t t}=u_{x x}-u_{t}-\int_{0}^{t} h(t-s) u_{t t}(s) d s, x \in(0,1), t>0
$$

with initial and boundary conditions

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x), x \in(0,1) \\
u(0, t)=u(1, t)=0, t \geq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

he demonstrated that the solution decays exponentially under certain conditions on the kernel $h$. In many cases, it has been shown that delay is a source of instability unless additional conditions or control terms are added, as in the work of Kerbal and Tatar [19], where they investigated the following neutrally delayed viscoelastic Timoshenko beam system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\varphi_{t t}=\left(\varphi_{x}+\psi\right)_{x} \\
\left(\psi_{t}+\int_{0}^{t} k(t-s) \psi_{t}(s) d s\right)_{t}=\psi_{x x}-\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) \psi_{x x}(s) d s-\left(\varphi_{x}+\psi\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

for $x \in(0,1), t>0$ with initial and boundary conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{cases}\varphi(x, 0)=\varphi_{0}(x), \varphi_{t}(x, 0)=\varphi_{1}(x), & x \in(0,1) \\
\psi(x, 0)=\psi_{0}(x), \psi_{t}(x, 0)=\psi_{1}(x), & x \in(0,1)\end{cases} \\
& \begin{cases}\varphi(0, t)=\varphi(1, t)=\psi(0, t)=\psi(1, t)=0, \quad t \geq 0\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

they obtained an exponential stability result.
Our present work focuses on the study of system (1) with internel and eternal body forces which act only on the elastic solid present the porous dissipation and the neutral delay term respectively.

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{1}=0, G_{2}=-\beta u_{t}, \quad H_{1}=0, \quad H_{2}=-\left[\int_{0}^{t} h(t-r) u_{t}(r) d r\right]_{t} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta$ is a positive constant and $h$ is a given kernel.
Thus, when we substitute (4) into (1), our system becomes

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\rho_{z} z_{t t}=a_{1} z_{x x}+a_{2} u_{x x} & \text { in } \Omega, \\
\rho_{u}\left[u_{t}+\int_{0}^{t} h(t-r) u_{t}(x, r) d r\right]_{t}=a_{3} u_{x x}+a_{2} z_{x x}-\beta u_{t,} & \text { in } \Omega, \tag{5}
\end{array}
$$

where $\Omega=(0,1) \times(0, \infty)$, with initial conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& z(x, 0)=z_{0}(x), z_{t}(x, 0)=z_{1}(x) \\
& u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x), x \in[0,1] \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

and boundary conditions given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(0, t)=z(1, t)=u(0, t)=u(1, t)=0, t \geq 0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In what follows, we consider $(z, u)$ to be a solution of system (5)-(7) with some assumptions on the kernel $h$ needed to justify the calculations. In section 2 , we present preliminary materials which will be helpful in obtaining our results. In section 3, we prove the existence and uniqueness of the solution by using Faedo-Galerkin method. In section 4, we establish some useful lemmas to study the exponential stability result of our system.

## 2. Preliminaries

As a starting point, we make certain assumptions about the kernel $h$, and then we introduce the energy functional, which is nonnegative; at the very least, we provide a lemma and a definition that will be employed later.
$(\mathbf{H})$ The kernel $h$ is a nonnegative continuously differentiable and summable function satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{\prime}(t) \leq-\eta h(t), \quad \int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{\tau r}|h(t)| d r<\infty, t \geq 0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some positive constants $\eta$ and $\tau$. The associated energy $E(t)$ is a nonnegative functional defined as

$$
E(t)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\rho_{z} z_{t}^{2}+a_{1} z_{x}^{2}+2 a_{2} z_{x} u_{x}+\rho_{u} u_{t}^{2}+a_{3} u_{x}^{2}\right) d x+\frac{\rho_{u}}{2} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\int_{0}^{t} h(t-r) u_{t}^{2}(x, r) d r\right) d x
$$

Observing that

$$
a_{3} u_{x}^{2}+2 a_{2} u_{x} z_{x}+a_{1} z_{x}^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left[a_{3}\left(u_{x}+\frac{a_{2}}{a_{3}} z_{x}\right)^{2}+a_{1}\left(z_{x}+\frac{a_{2}}{a_{1}} u_{x}\right)^{2}+\left(a_{3}-\frac{a_{2}^{2}}{a_{1}}\right) u_{x}^{2}+\left(a_{1}-\frac{a_{2}^{2}}{a_{3}}\right) z_{x}^{2}\right]
$$

and using the assumption $a_{1} a_{3}>a_{2}^{2}$, we get

$$
a_{3} u_{x}^{2}+2 a_{2} u_{x} z_{x}+a_{1} z_{x}^{2}>\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(a_{3}-\frac{a_{2}^{2}}{a_{1}}\right) u_{x}^{2}+\left(a_{1}-\frac{a_{2}^{2}}{a_{3}}\right) z_{x}^{2}\right] .
$$

Now, we conclude that

$$
E(t)>\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1}\left[\rho_{z} z_{t}^{2}+a_{1}^{\prime} z_{x}^{2}+\rho_{u} u_{t}^{2}+a_{3}^{\prime} u_{x}^{2}+\rho_{u} \int_{0}^{t} h(t-r) u_{t}^{2}(x, r) d r\right] d x
$$

where $2 a_{1}^{\prime}=a_{1}-\frac{a_{2}^{2}}{a_{3}}$ and $2 a_{3}^{\prime}=a_{3}-\frac{a_{2}^{2}}{a_{1}}$.

Lemma 2.1. We have for $t \geq 0$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{1} u_{t}(t) \int_{0}^{t} h(t-r) u_{t t}(x, r) d r d x \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} h^{\prime} \square u_{t} d x+\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{t} h(t-r) u_{t}^{2}(x, r) d r d x+\frac{h(t)}{2} \int_{0}^{1} u_{t}^{2} d x-h(t) \int_{0}^{1} u_{t}(t) u_{t}(0) d x \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $u_{t} \in C^{1}\left([0, \infty) ; L^{2}(0,1)\right)$ and $h \in C^{1}([0, \infty))$.
Definition 2.2. We define the binary operators $\square$ and $\circ$ respectively by

$$
\begin{aligned}
h \square u_{t} & =\int_{0}^{t}|h(t-r)|\left|u_{t}(t)-u_{t}(s)\right| d r \\
h \circ u_{t} & =\int_{0}^{t}|h(t-r)|\left|u_{t}(t)-u_{t}(s)\right|^{2} d r
\end{aligned}
$$

In this study, we shall utilize the regular Lebesgue space $L^{2}(0,1)$ and the Sobolev space $H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$ with their typical scalar products and norms .

The space $H$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\left[H_{0}^{1}(0,1) \times L^{2}(0,1)\right]^{2} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. The well-posedness result

In this part, we will use Faedo-Galerkin technique to demonstrate the global existence and uniqueness of system's solutions (5)-(7). The following theorem is used as the first outcome.

Theorem 3.1. For all $\left(z_{0}, z_{1}, u_{0}, u_{1}\right) \in H,(\mathbf{H})$ is verified, and $T>0$, there existes a unique weak solution of problem (5)-(7) on ( $0, T$ ), such that

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
(z, u) \in C\left([0, T], H_{0}^{1}(0,1)\right) \cap C^{1}\left([0, T], L^{2}(0,1)\right) \\
\left(z_{t}, u_{t}\right)
\end{array}\right) \in L^{2}([0, T],(0,1)) \cap L^{2}\left([0, T], H_{0}^{1}(0,1)\right) .
$$

Proof. Existence : The main tool of our proof is the use of Faedo-Galerkin's method, which base on the construction of approximations of the solutions, then we obtain an energy estimates proving that $t_{n}=T$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Finally, we pass to the limit of the approximations, for more details see [20]-[21].

## Step 1: Faedo-Galerkin approximations.

For every $n \geq 1$, let $V_{n}=\operatorname{Span}\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right\}, 1 \leq i \leq n$, be an Hilbert basis of the space $H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$ and $L^{2}(0,1)$. As Hilbert space is a separable space, we can choose $z_{0}^{n}, z_{1}^{n}, u_{0}^{n}$ and $u_{1}^{n} \in\left[w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right]$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& z_{0}^{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_{k}^{n} w_{k} \rightarrow z_{0} \text { in } H_{0}^{1}(0,1), \\
& z_{1}^{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \beta_{k}^{n} w_{k} \rightarrow z_{1} \text { in } H_{0}^{1}(0,1), \\
& u_{0}^{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \bar{\alpha}_{k}^{n} w_{k} \rightarrow u_{0} \text { in } H_{0}^{1}(0,1), \\
& u_{1}^{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \bar{\beta}_{k}^{n} w_{k} \rightarrow u_{1} \text { in } H_{0}^{1}(0,1) . \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we search for solution having the following form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z^{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} g_{k}^{n}(t) w_{k}(x), \\
& u^{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \bar{g}_{k}^{n}(t) w_{k}(x),
\end{aligned}
$$

of the following approximate system, for $k=1, \ldots, n$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\rho_{z} \int_{0}^{1} z_{t t}^{n} w_{k} d x+a_{1} \int_{0}^{1} z_{x}^{n} w_{k x} d x+a_{2} \int_{0}^{1} u_{x}^{n} w_{k x} d x=0,  \tag{12}\\
\rho_{u} \int_{0}^{1}\left[u_{t}^{n}+\int_{0}^{t} h(t-r) u_{t}^{n}(x, r) d r\right]_{t} w_{k} d x+a_{3} \int_{0}^{1} u_{x}^{n} w_{k x} d x \\
+a_{2} \int_{0}^{1} z_{x}^{n} w_{k x} d x+\beta \int_{0}^{1} u_{t}^{n} w_{k} d x=0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

with initial data

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{n}(x, 0)=z_{0}^{n}(x), z_{t}^{n}(x, 0)=z_{1}^{n}(x), u^{n}(x, 0)=u_{0}^{n}(x), u_{t}^{n}(x, 0)=u_{1}^{n}(x) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using the Caratheodory theorem for an ordinary differential equation, we derive that the aforementioned Cauchy problem (12) - (13) has a unique global solution $\left(g_{k}^{n}(t), \bar{g}_{k}^{n}(t)\right)_{k=1, \ldots, n}$ defined on $\left[0, t_{n}\right]$.

## Step 2 : Energy estimates.

The main purpose of this step is to prove that $t_{n}=T$, we obtain this result by multiplying in $L^{2}$ the first and the second equation of system (12) by $\left(\left(g_{k}^{n}(t)\right)^{\prime},\left(\bar{g}_{k}^{n}(t)\right)^{\prime}\right)$ respectively, and by using integration by parts, boundary-initial conditions and lemma 1 , we find for all $t>0$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{1}\left[\rho_{z}\left(z_{t}^{n}\right)^{2}+a_{1}\left(z_{x}^{n}\right)^{2}+2 a_{2} u_{x}^{n} z_{x}^{n}+\rho_{u}\left(u_{t}^{n}\right)^{2}+a_{3}\left(u_{x}^{n}\right)^{2}+\rho_{u} \int_{0}^{t} h(t-r)\left(u_{t}^{n}(r)\right)^{2} d r\right] d x \\
& =-\left(\frac{\rho_{u}}{2} h(t)+\beta\right) \int_{0}^{1}\left(u_{t}^{n}\right)^{2} d x+\frac{\rho_{u}}{2} \int_{0}^{1} h^{\prime} \square u_{t}^{n} d x, \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

for every $n \geq 1$. From the hypotheses on the function $h$, and by integrating (14) over $(0, t)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1}\left[\rho_{z}\left(z_{t}^{n}\right)^{2}+a_{1}\left(z_{x}^{n}\right)^{2}+2 a_{2} u_{x}^{n} z_{x}^{n}+\rho_{u}\left(u_{t}^{n}\right)^{2}+a_{3}\left(u_{x}^{n}\right)^{2}+\rho_{u} \int_{0}^{t} h(t-r)\left(u_{t}^{n}(r)\right)^{2} d r\right] d x \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1}\left[\rho_{z}\left(z_{1}^{n}\right)^{2}+a_{1}\left(z_{0}^{n}\right)^{2}+2 a_{2} u_{0}^{n} z z_{0}^{n}+\rho_{u}\left(u_{1}^{n}\right)^{2}+a_{3}\left(u_{0}^{n}\right)^{2}+\rho_{u} \int_{0}^{t} h(t-r)\left(u_{1}^{n}(r)\right)^{2} d r\right] d x . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

(15) may be noted as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{n}(t) \leq E^{n}(0) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, since the energy is nonnegative, from (11) and the hypotheses on the function $h$, we can write (16) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{n}(t) \leq E(0) \leq C \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is a positive constant independent of $n$ and $t$. From (17), we deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(z^{n}, u^{n}\right) \text { are bounded in } L^{\infty}\left([0, T] ; H_{0}^{1}(0,1)\right),  \tag{18}\\
& \left(z_{t}^{n}, u_{t}^{n}\right) \text { are bounded in } L^{\infty}\left([0, T] ; H_{0}^{1}(0,1)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

## Step 3: The limit process.

Now, by using Aubin-Lions Theorem [22] and up to the subsequence, we can observe from (18) that there exists a subsequences $\left(z^{m}, u^{m}\right)$ of $\left(z^{n}, u^{n}\right)$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(z^{m}, u^{m}\right) \rightarrow(z, u) \quad \text { weak * in } L^{\infty}\left([0, T] ; H_{0}^{1}(0,1)\right)  \tag{19}\\
& \left(z_{t}^{m}, u_{t}^{m}\right) \rightarrow\left(z_{t}, u_{t}\right) \text { weak * in } L^{\infty}\left([0, T] ; H_{0}^{1}(0,1)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and by using the fact that

$$
L^{\infty}\left([0, T] ; H_{0}^{1}(0,1)\right) \hookrightarrow L^{2}\left([0, T] ; H_{0}^{1}(0,1)\right)
$$

We get
$\left(z^{n}, u^{n}\right)$ are bounded in $L^{2}\left([0, T] ; H_{0}^{1}(0,1)\right)$,
$\left(z_{t}^{n}, u_{t}^{n}\right)$ are bounded in $L^{2}\left([0, T] ; H_{0}^{1}(0,1)\right)$.
Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(z^{n}, u^{n}\right) \text { are bounded in } H^{1}\left([0, T] ; H^{1}(0,1)\right) . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the embedding $H^{1}\left([0, T] ; H^{1}(0,1)\right) \hookrightarrow L^{2}\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(0,1)\right)$ is compact, then the subsequences

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(z^{m}, u^{m}\right) \rightarrow(z, u) \text { strongly in } L^{2}\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(0,1)\right)  \tag{22}\\
& \left(z_{t}^{m}, u_{t}^{m}\right) \rightarrow\left(z_{t}, u_{t}\right) \text { strongly in } L^{2}\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(0,1)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Uniqueness: Let $\left(z^{1}, u^{1}\right)$ and $\left(z^{2}, u^{2}\right)$ two solutions of (5)-(7), and let $\tilde{z}=z^{1}-z^{2}$ and $\tilde{u}=u^{1}-u^{2}$ satisfy

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\rho_{z} \tilde{z}_{t t}-a_{1} \tilde{z}_{x x}-a_{2} \tilde{u}_{x x}=0  \tag{23}\\
\rho_{u}\left[\tilde{u}_{t}+\int_{0}^{t} h(t-r) \tilde{u}_{t}(x, r) d r\right]_{t}-a_{3} \tilde{u}_{x x}-a_{2} \tilde{z}_{x x}+\beta \tilde{u}_{t}=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Multiplying (23) by $\tilde{z}_{t}, \tilde{u}_{t}$ respectively, then, integrating over $(0,1)$ and by using lemma 1 , we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{1}\left[\rho_{z} \tilde{z}_{t}^{2}+a_{1} \tilde{z}_{x}^{2}+2 a_{2} \tilde{u}_{x} \tilde{z}_{x}+\rho_{u} \tilde{u}_{t}^{2}+a_{3} \tilde{u}_{x}^{2}+\rho_{u} \int_{0}^{t} h(t-r) \tilde{u}_{t}^{2}(r) d r\right] d x \\
& =-\left(\frac{\rho_{u}}{2} h(t)+\beta\right) \int_{0}^{1} \tilde{u}_{t}^{2} d x+\frac{\rho_{u}}{2} \int_{0}^{1} h^{\prime} \square \tilde{u}_{t} d x \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we integrate (24) over ( $0, t$ ), we obtain :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1}\left[\rho_{z} \tilde{z}_{t}^{2}+a_{1} \tilde{z}_{x}^{2}+2 a_{2} \tilde{u}_{x} \tilde{z}_{x}+\rho_{u} \tilde{u}_{t}^{2}+a_{3} \tilde{u}_{x}^{2}+\rho_{u} \int_{0}^{t} h(t-r) \tilde{u}_{t}^{2}(r) d r\right] d x \\
& =-\left(\frac{\rho_{u}}{2} \int_{0}^{t} h(\tau) d \tau+\beta t\right) \int_{0}^{1} \tilde{u}_{t}^{2} d x d s-\frac{\rho_{u} \eta}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{1} h \square \tilde{u}_{t} d x d s \leq 0 \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

From estimate (25), we deduce that $(\tilde{z}, \tilde{u})=(0,0)$, which implies that problem (5)-(7) has a unique solution. Continuous dependence : Multiplying the first and the second equation of system (5) by $z_{t}, u_{t}$ respectively, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{1}\left[\rho_{z} z_{t}^{2}+a_{1} z_{x}^{2}+2 a_{2} u_{x} z_{x}+\rho_{u} u_{t}^{2}+a_{3} u_{x}^{2}+\rho_{u} \int_{0}^{t} h(t-r) u_{t}^{2}(r) d r\right] \leq 0 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

integrating (26) over ( $0, t$ ), and from the positivity of the energy, we can get the following estimate :

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t) \leq E(0)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t}[\int_{0}^{1}(\rho_{z} z_{t}^{2}+a_{1} z_{x}^{2}+\underbrace{2 a_{2} u_{x} z_{x}}_{f_{0}}+\rho_{u} u_{t}^{2}+\rho_{u} \int_{0}^{t} h(t-r) u_{t}^{2}(r) d r+a_{3} u_{x}^{2}) d x] d \tau \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, applying young's inequality to $f_{0}$ with the fact that $a_{1} a_{3}>a_{2}^{2}$, gives

$$
\begin{align*}
E(t) & \leq E(0)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left[\int_{0}^{1}\left(\rho_{z} z_{t}^{2}+2 a_{1} z_{x}^{2}++\rho_{u} u_{t}^{2}+2 a_{3} u_{x}^{2}+\rho_{u} \int_{0}^{t} h(t-r) u_{t}^{2}(r) d r\right) d x\right] d \tau \\
& \leq E(0)+\rho_{1} \int_{0}^{t}\left[\int_{0}^{1}\left(z_{t}^{2}+z_{x}^{2}++u_{t}^{2}+u_{x}^{2}+\int_{0}^{t} h(t-r) u_{t}^{2}(r) d r\right) d x\right] d \tau \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

where $2 \rho_{1}=\max \left(\rho_{z}, 2 a_{1}, \rho_{u}, 2 a_{3}\right)$. On the other side, we know that

$$
\begin{align*}
E(t) & >\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1}\left[\rho_{z} z_{t}^{2}+a_{1}^{\prime} z_{x}^{2}+\rho_{u} u_{t}^{2}+a_{3}^{\prime} u_{x}^{2}+\rho_{u} \int_{0}^{t} h(t-r) u_{t}^{2}(x, r) d r\right] d x \\
& >\rho_{2} \int_{0}^{1}\left[z_{t}^{2}+z_{x}^{2}+u_{t}^{2}+\int_{0}^{t} h(t-r) u_{t}^{2}(x, r) d r+u_{x}^{2}\right] d x \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

where $2 \rho_{2}=\max \left(\rho_{z}, a_{1}^{\prime}, \rho_{u}, a_{3}^{\prime}\right), 2 a_{1}^{\prime}=a_{1}-\frac{a_{2}^{2}}{a_{3}}$ and $2 a_{3}^{\prime}=a_{3}-\frac{a_{2}^{2}}{a_{1}}$. From (28) - (29), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho_{2} \int_{0}^{1}\left[z_{t}^{2}+z_{x}^{2}+u_{t}^{2}+\int_{0}^{t} h(t-r) u_{t}^{2}(x, r) d r+u_{x}^{2}\right] d x \\
& <E(0)+\rho_{1} \int_{0}^{t}\left[\int_{0}^{1}\left(z_{t}^{2}+z_{x}^{2}++u_{t}^{2}+u_{x}^{2}+\int_{0}^{t} h(t-r) u_{t}^{2}(r) d r\right) d x\right] d \tau \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying Gronwall's inequality on (30), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1}\left[z_{t}^{2}+z_{x}^{2}+u_{t}^{2}+\int_{0}^{t} h(t-r) u_{t}^{2}(x, r) d r+u_{x}^{2}\right] d x \leq E(0) e^{\rho_{3} t} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho_{3}$ is a positive constant. From (31), we deduce that the solution of problem (5)-(7) continuously depends on initial data.

## 4. Stability Result

In this section, we state and prove some technical lemmas. Subsequently, we end the section with the statement and proof of our stability result.

Lemma 4.1. The energy functional $E$, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\rho_{z} z_{t}^{2}+a_{1} z_{x}^{2}+2 a_{2} z_{x} u_{x}+\rho_{u} u_{t}^{2}+a_{3} u_{x}^{2}\right) d x+\frac{\rho_{u}}{2} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\int_{0}^{t} h(t-r) u_{t}^{2}(x, r) d r\right) d x, t>0 \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{\prime}(t) \leq-\beta \int_{0}^{1} u_{t}^{2} d x+\frac{\rho_{u}}{2} \int_{0}^{1} h^{\prime} \square u_{t} d x, t>0 \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Multiplying the first equation of (5) by $z_{t}$, and integrating by parts over ( 0,1 ), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\rho_{z}}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{1} z_{t}^{2} d x+\frac{a_{1}}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{1} z_{x}^{2} d x+a_{2} \int_{0}^{1} u_{x} z_{t x} d x=0, t>0 \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying the second equation of (5) by $u_{t}$, and integrating by parts over ( 0,1 ), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\rho_{u}}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{1} u_{t}^{2} d x+\rho_{u} \int_{0}^{1}\left[\int_{0}^{t} h(t-r) u_{t}(r) d r\right]_{t} u_{t} d x+\frac{a_{3}}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{1} u_{x}^{2} d x+a_{2} \int_{0}^{1} z_{x} u_{t x} d x+\beta \int_{0}^{1} u_{t}^{2} d x=0 \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\int_{0}^{t} h(t-r) u_{t}(r) d r\right]_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} h(t-r) u_{t t}(r) d r+h(t) u_{t}(0) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using (9) and (36), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\rho_{u}}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{1} u_{t}^{2} d x-\frac{\rho_{u}}{2} \int_{0}^{1} h^{\prime} \square u_{t} d x+\frac{\rho_{u}}{2} h(t) \int_{0}^{1} u_{t}^{2} d x+\frac{\rho_{u}}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{t} h(t-r) u_{t}^{2}(r) d r d x+\frac{a_{3}}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{1} u_{x}^{2} d x \\
& +a_{2} \int_{0}^{1} z_{x} u_{t x} d x+\beta \int_{0}^{1} u_{t}^{2} d x=0 \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

Now by adding (34) to (37) and by using the fact that the kernel $h$ is a nonnegative function, we get, for any $t>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{1}\left[\rho_{z} z_{t}^{2}+a_{1} z_{x}^{2}+2 a_{2} z_{x} u_{x}+\rho_{u} u_{t}^{2}+a_{3} u_{x}^{2}+\rho_{u} \int_{0}^{t} h(t-r) u_{t}^{2}(x, r) d r\right] d x \\
& \leq-\beta \int_{0}^{1} u_{t}^{2} d x+\frac{\rho_{u}}{2} \int_{0}^{1} h^{\prime} \square u_{t} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.
Lemma 4.2. The functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}(t)=\rho_{u} \int_{0}^{1}\left(u_{t}+\int_{0}^{t} h(t-r) u_{t}(r) d r\right) u d x+\frac{\beta}{2} \int_{0}^{1} u^{2} d x-\frac{a_{2}}{a_{1}} \rho_{z} \int_{0}^{1} z_{t} u d x, \quad t>0 \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfies, for any $\varepsilon_{1}>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}^{\prime}(t) \leq-\left(a_{3}-\frac{a_{2}^{2}}{a_{1}}\right) \int_{0}^{1} u_{x}^{2} d x+\varepsilon_{1} \int_{0}^{1} z_{t}^{2} d x+C_{1} \int_{0}^{1} u_{t}^{2} d x+\frac{1}{2}\left(\int_{0}^{t} h(r) d r\right) \int_{0}^{1} h \circ u_{t} d x, t>0 \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{1}=\frac{a_{2}^{2} \rho_{z}^{2}}{4 \varepsilon_{1} a_{1}^{2}}+\rho_{u}\left(1+\int_{0}^{t} h(r) d r+\frac{\rho_{u}}{2}\right)$.
Proof. A simple differentiation of (38) and by using the first and the second equation of (5), we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}^{\prime}(t)=-\left(a_{3}-\frac{a_{2}^{2}}{a_{1}}\right) \int_{0}^{1} u_{x}^{2} d x+\rho_{u} \int_{0}^{1} u_{t}^{2} d x-\underbrace{\frac{a_{2}}{a_{1}} \rho_{z} \int_{0}^{1} z_{t} u_{t} d x}_{f_{1}}+\underbrace{\rho_{u} \int_{0}^{1} u_{t} \int_{0}^{t} h(t-r) u_{t}(r) d r d x}_{f_{2}}, t>0 \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Young's inequality to $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$, gives

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{1} & \leq \varepsilon_{1} \int_{0}^{1} z_{t}^{2} d x+\frac{a_{2}^{2} \rho_{z}^{2}}{4 \varepsilon_{1} a_{1}^{2}} \int_{0}^{1} u_{t}^{2} d x .  \tag{41}\\
f_{2} & =\rho_{u} \int_{0}^{t} h(r) d r \int_{0}^{1} u_{t}^{2} d x-\rho_{u} \int_{0}^{1} u_{t} \int_{0}^{t} h(t-r)\left(u_{t}(t)-u_{t}(r)\right) d r d x \\
& \leq \rho_{u}\left(\int_{0}^{t} h(r) d r+\frac{\rho_{u}}{2}\right) \int_{0}^{1} u_{t}^{2} d x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} h(r) d r \int_{0}^{1} h \circ u_{t} d x . \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (41) and (42) into (40), we find (39).
Lemma 4.3. The functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2}(t)=a_{2}\left[\int_{0}^{1}\left(u_{t}+\int_{0}^{t} h(t-r) u_{t}(r) d r\right) z d x-\int_{0}^{1} z_{t} u d x+\frac{\beta}{\rho_{u}} \int_{0}^{1} z u d x\right], t>0, \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfies, for any $\varepsilon_{2}>0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{2}^{\prime}(t) & \leq-\frac{a_{2}^{2}}{2 \rho_{u}} \int_{0}^{1} z_{x}^{2} d x+a_{2}^{2} \varepsilon_{2} \int_{0}^{1} z_{t}^{2} d x+\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{2}}\left(\int_{0}^{t} h(r) d r\right)^{2} \int_{0}^{1} u_{t}^{2} d x+\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{2}}\left(\int_{0}^{t} h(r) d r\right)^{2} \int_{0}^{1} h \circ u_{t} d x \\
& +C_{2}\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right) \int_{0}^{1} u_{x}^{2} d x, t>0 \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{2}\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right)=\frac{a_{1}^{2}}{\rho_{z}}+\frac{\rho_{u}}{2}\left(\frac{a_{1}}{\rho_{z}}-\frac{a_{2}}{\rho_{u}}\right)^{2}+\frac{\beta^{2}}{2 \varepsilon_{2} \rho_{u}^{2}}$.
Proof. A simple differentiation of (43) and using the first and the second equation of (5), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{2}^{\prime}(t) & =-\frac{a_{2}^{2}}{\rho_{u}} \int_{0}^{1} z_{x}^{2} d x+\underbrace{a_{2}\left(\frac{a_{1}}{\rho_{z}}-\frac{a_{3}}{\rho_{u}}\right) \int_{0}^{1} z_{x} u_{x} d x}_{f_{3}}+\frac{a_{2}^{2}}{\rho_{z}} \int_{0}^{1} u_{x}^{2} d x+\underbrace{a_{2} \int_{0}^{1} z_{t} \int_{0}^{t} h(t-r) u_{t}(r) d r d x}_{f_{4}} \\
& +\underbrace{\frac{a_{2} \beta}{\rho_{u}} \int_{0}^{1} z_{t} u d x}_{f_{5}} . \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, by using Poincaré's and Young's inequalities, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{3} & \leq \frac{a_{2}^{2} \varepsilon_{3}}{2} \int_{0}^{1} z_{x}^{2} d x+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon_{3}}\left(\frac{a_{1}}{\rho_{z}}-\frac{a_{2}}{\rho_{u}}\right)^{2} \int_{0}^{1} u_{x}^{2} d x,  \tag{46}\\
f_{4} & \leq \frac{a_{2}^{2} \varepsilon_{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{1} z_{t}^{2} d x+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon_{2}} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\int_{0}^{t} h(t-r) u_{t}(r) d r\right)^{2} d x \\
& \leq \frac{a_{2}^{2} \varepsilon_{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{1} z_{t}^{2} d x+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon_{2}} \int_{0}^{1}\left(-\int_{0}^{t} h(t-r)\left[u_{t}(t)-u_{t}(r)-u_{t}(t)\right] d r\right)^{2} d x \\
& \leq \frac{a_{2}^{2} \varepsilon_{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{1} z_{t}^{2} d x+\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{2}} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\int_{0}^{t} h(t-r)\left(u_{t}(t)-u_{t}(r)\right) d r\right)^{2} d x+\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{2}}\left(\int_{0}^{t} h(r) d r\right)^{2} \int_{0}^{1} u_{t}^{2} d x \\
& \leq \frac{a_{2}^{2} \varepsilon_{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{1} z_{t}^{2} d x+\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{2}}\left(\int_{0}^{t} h(r) d r\right) \int_{0}^{1} h \circ u_{t} d x+\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{3}}\left(\int_{0}^{t} h(r) d r\right)^{2} \int_{0}^{1} u_{t}^{2} d x,  \tag{47}\\
f_{5} & \leq \frac{\varepsilon_{2} a_{2}^{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{1} z_{t}^{2} d x+\frac{\beta^{2}}{2 \varepsilon_{2} \rho_{u}^{2}} \int_{0}^{1} u_{x}^{2} d x . \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

We end up with (44), by substituting (46), (47) and (48) into (45) and taking $\varepsilon_{3}=\frac{1}{\rho_{u}}$.
Lemma 4.4. The functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{3}(t)=-\rho_{z} \int_{0}^{1} z_{t} z d x, t>0 \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{3}^{\prime}(t) \leq-\rho_{z} \int_{0}^{1} z_{t}^{2} d x+2 a_{1} \int_{0}^{1} z_{x}^{2} d x+\frac{a_{3}}{4} \int_{0}^{1} u_{x}^{2} d x, t>0 \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. A simple differentiation of (49) and using the second equation of (5), we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{3}^{\prime}(t)=-\rho_{z} \int_{0}^{1} z_{t}^{2} d x+a_{1} \int_{0}^{1} z_{x}^{2} d x+\underbrace{a_{2} \int_{0}^{1} u_{x} z_{x} d x}_{f_{5}} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $a_{1} a_{3}>a_{2}^{2}$ and thanks to Young's inequality, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{5} \leq a_{1} \int_{0}^{1} z_{x}^{2} d x+\frac{a_{3}}{4} \int_{0}^{1} u_{x}^{2} d x \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (52) into (51), we end up with (50).
Lemma 4.5. The functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{4}(t)=e^{-\delta t} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{t} e^{\delta r} K(t-r) u_{t}^{2}(r) d r d x, t>0 \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
K(t)=\int_{t}^{+\infty} e^{\tau r}|h(t)| d r
$$

satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{4}^{\prime}(t)=-\delta I_{4}(t)+\int_{0}^{1} K(0) u_{t}^{2} d x-\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{t} h(t-r) u_{t}^{2}(r) d r d x, t>0 \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, by using the previous lemmas and the Lyapunov functional $F(t)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t)=N E(t)+N_{1} I_{1}(t)+N_{2} I_{2}(t)+I_{3}(t)+I_{4}(t), t>0, \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N, N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ are positive constants. Then, our stability result reads.
Lemma 4.6. For some positive constants $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$, the Lyapunov functional $F(t)$ introduced by (55) is equivalent to $N E(t)+I_{4}(t)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1} E(t) \leq F(t) \leq \alpha_{2}\left[E(t)+I_{4}(t)\right], t>0 . \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By exploiting relation (55) with the use of (38), (43) and (49), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|F(t)-N E(t)-I_{4}(t)\right| \\
& =\left|N_{1} I_{1}(t)+N_{2} I_{2}(t)+I_{3}(t)\right| \\
& \leq \rho_{u} N_{1} \int_{0}^{1}\left|u_{t}+\int_{0}^{t} h(t-r) u_{t}(r) d r\right||u| d x+\frac{\beta N_{1}}{2} \int_{0}^{1} u^{2} d x+N_{2} a_{2} \int_{0}^{1}\left|u_{t}+\int_{0}^{t} h(t-r) u_{t}(r) d r\right||z| d x \\
& +\frac{N_{2} \beta a_{2}}{\rho_{u}} \int_{0}^{1}|z||u| d x-a_{2}\left(\frac{N_{1} \rho_{z}}{a_{1}}+N_{2}\right) \int_{0}^{1}\left|z_{t}\right||u| d x-\rho_{z} \int_{0}^{1}\left|z_{t}\right||z| d x
\end{aligned}
$$

by using Poincaré's, Cauchy-Schwarz and Young's inequalities, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|F(t)-N E(t)-I_{4}(t)\right| \leq \lambda E(t), \quad \lambda>0 . \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, (57) leads to

$$
(N-\lambda) E(t)+I_{4}(t) \leq F(t) \leq(N+\lambda) E(t)+I_{4}(t)
$$

we conclude (56), when we set $\alpha_{1}=\min \{N-\lambda, 1\}$ and $\alpha_{2}=\max \{N+\lambda, 1\}$ such that $N$ is sufficiently large.

Theorem 4.7. Under the above assumptions $\mathbf{( H ) , ~ w e ~ h a v e ~}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t) \leq M e^{-\delta t}, \quad t>0 \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some positive constants $M$ and $\delta$.
Proof. Recalling (33), (39), (44), (50) and (54), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
F^{\prime}(t) & \leq-\left[N \beta-N_{1} C_{1}-\frac{N_{2}}{\varepsilon_{2}}\left(\int_{0}^{t} h(r) d r\right)^{2}-K(0)\right] \int_{0}^{1} u_{t}^{2} d x \\
& -\left[N_{1}\left(a_{3}-\frac{a_{2}^{2}}{a_{1}}\right)-N_{2} C_{2}\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right)-\frac{a_{3}}{4}\right] \int_{0}^{1} u_{x}^{2} d x-\left[\rho_{z}-N_{1} \varepsilon_{1}-N_{2} a_{2}^{2} \varepsilon_{2}\right] \int_{0}^{1} z_{t}^{2} d x \\
& -\left[\frac{N_{2} a_{2}^{2}}{2 \rho_{u}}-2 a_{1}\right] \int_{0}^{1} z_{x}^{2} d x+\left[\frac{N_{1}}{2} \int_{0}^{t} h(r) d r+\frac{N_{2}}{\varepsilon_{2}}\left(\int_{0}^{t} h(r) d r\right)^{2}\right] \int_{0}^{1} h \circ u_{t} d x \\
& +\frac{N \rho_{u}}{2} \int_{0}^{1} h^{\prime} \square u_{t} d x-\delta I_{4}(t)-\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{t} h(t-r) u_{t}^{2}(r) d r d x,
\end{aligned}
$$

by taking $\varepsilon_{1}=\frac{\rho_{z}}{4 N_{1}}$ and $\varepsilon_{2}=\frac{\rho_{z}}{4 a_{2}^{2} N_{2}}$, we end up with

$$
\begin{align*}
F^{\prime}(t) & \leq-\left[N \beta-N_{1} C_{1}-\frac{4\left(a_{2} N_{2}\right)^{2}}{\rho_{z}} h_{0}^{2}-K(0)\right] \int_{0}^{1} u_{t}^{2} d x+\left[\frac{N_{1}}{2} h_{0}+\frac{4\left(a_{2} N_{2}\right)^{2}}{\rho_{z}} h_{0}^{2}\right] \int_{0}^{1} h \circ u_{t} d x \\
& -\left[N_{1}\left(a_{3}-\frac{a_{2}^{2}}{a_{1}}\right)-N_{2} C_{2}\left(\frac{\rho_{z}}{4 a_{2}^{2} N_{2}}\right)-\frac{a_{3}}{4}\right] \int_{0}^{1} u_{x}^{2} d x-\left[\frac{N_{2} a_{2}^{2}}{2 \rho_{u}}-2 a_{1}\right] \int_{0}^{1} z_{x}^{2} d x \\
& -\frac{\rho_{z}}{2} \int_{0}^{1} z_{t}^{2} d x+\frac{N \rho_{u}}{2} \int_{0}^{1} h^{\prime} \square u_{t} d x-\delta I_{4}(t)-\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{t} h(t-r) u_{t}^{2}(r) d r d x \tag{59}
\end{align*}
$$

where, for all $t \geq t_{0}>0$,

$$
\int_{0}^{t} h(r) d r \geq \int_{0}^{t_{0}} h(r) d r=h_{0}
$$

Now, we choose $N_{2}$ large enough such that

$$
N_{2}>\frac{4 \rho_{u} a_{1}}{a_{2}^{2}}
$$

then, we select $N_{1}$ large enough such that

$$
N_{1}\left(a_{3}-\frac{a_{2}^{2}}{a_{1}}\right)-N_{2} C_{2}\left(\frac{\rho_{z}}{4 a_{2}^{2} N_{2}}\right)-\frac{a_{3}}{4}>0
$$

Finally

$$
N \beta-N_{1} C_{1}-\frac{4\left(a_{2} N_{2}\right)^{2}}{\rho_{z}} h_{0}^{2}-K(0)>0
$$

As a result, the relation (59) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\prime}(t) \leq-C_{3} \int_{0}^{1}\left(u_{t}^{2}+u_{x}^{2}+z_{t}^{2}+z_{x}^{2}+h * u_{t}^{2}\right) d x-C_{4} I_{4}(t), \forall t>0 \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{3}$ and $C_{4}$ are positive constants. We also have from (32), after using Young's inequality, that

$$
\begin{align*}
E(t) & \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1}\left[\rho_{z} z_{t}^{2}+\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right) z_{x}^{2}+\rho_{u} u_{t}^{2}+\left(a_{3}+a_{2}\right) u_{x}^{2}\right] d x+\frac{\rho_{u}}{2} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\int_{0}^{t} h(t-r) u_{t}^{2}(x, r) d r\right) d x \\
& \leq \lambda_{1} \int_{0}^{1}\left[z_{t}^{2}+z_{x}^{2}+u_{t}^{2}+u_{x}^{2}+\left(\int_{0}^{t} h(t-r) u_{t}^{2}(x, r) d r\right)\right] d x, \lambda_{1}>0 \tag{61}
\end{align*}
$$

The combination of (60) and (61) results in

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\prime}(t) \leq-C_{5}\left[E(t)+I_{4}(t)\right], C_{5}>0 \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (62) and the right side of (56), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t) \leq C_{6} e^{-\frac{C_{5}}{C_{2}} t}, t>0, \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{6}$ is a positive constant. Which yields the desired result (58) by using the other side of the equivalence relation again.
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