



## Spectral mapping theorem and the Taylor spectrum

Muneo Chō<sup>a</sup>, Biljana Načevska Nastovska<sup>b,\*</sup>, Kôtarô Tanahashi<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>15-3-1113, Tsutsui-machi Yahatanishi-ku, Kita-kyushu 806-0032, Japan

<sup>b</sup>Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Information Technologies, "Ss Cyril and Methodius" University in Skopje, Macedonia

<sup>c</sup>Department of Mathematics, Tohoku Medical and Pharmaceutical University, Sendai 981-8558, Japan

**Abstract.** In [6] Chō and Tanahashi showed new spectral mapping theorem of the Taylor spectrum for doubly commuting pairs of  $p$ -hyponormal operators and log-hyponormal operators. In this paper, we will show that same spectral mapping theorem holds for commuting  $n$ -tuples.

### 1. Introduction and preparation

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a complex Hilbert space and  $B(\mathcal{H})$  be the set of all bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ . For  $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ , let  $\sigma(T)$ ,  $\sigma_p(T)$  and  $\sigma_a(T)$  denote the spectrum, the point spectrum and the approximate point spectrum of  $T$ , respectively. Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  belong to the residual spectrum  $\sigma_r(T)$  of  $T$  if there exists  $c > 0$  such that  $\|(T - \lambda)x\| \geq c\|x\|$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $(T - \lambda)\mathcal{H} \neq \mathcal{H}$ . It is easy to see that if  $\lambda \in \sigma_r(T)$ , then  $0 \in \sigma_p((T - \lambda)^*)$ . It is well known that  $\sigma(T) = \sigma_a(T) \cup \sigma_r(T)$ . For an Hermitian operator  $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$ , we denote  $A \geq 0$  if  $(Ax, x) \geq 0$  for every  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $A \geq B$  if  $A - B \geq 0$ . When  $(Ax, x) > 0$  for every non-zero  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ , then we denote  $T > 0$ . For a given  $p > 0$ ,  $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$  is said to be  $p$ -hyponormal if  $(T^*T)^p \geq (TT^*)^p$ . When  $p = 1/2$ ,  $T$  is said to be semi-hyponormal. It means that  $T$  is semi-hyponormal if and only if  $|T| \geq |T^*|$ .  $T$  is said to be log-hyponormal if  $T$  is invertible and  $\log|T| \geq \log|T^*|$ . It is well known that if  $T$  is invertible  $p$ -hyponormal for some  $p > 0$ , then  $T$  is log-hyponormal. If  $\mathcal{M}$  is a reducing subspace for a  $p$ -hyponormal or log-hyponormal operator  $T$ , then so is  $T|_{\mathcal{M}}$ , respectively.

For a commuting  $n$ -tuple  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n$ , we explain the Taylor spectrum  $\sigma(\mathbf{T})$  of  $\mathbf{T}$  shortly. Let  $E^n$  be the exterior algebra on  $n$  generators, that is,  $E^n$  is the complex algebra with identity  $e$  generated by indeterminates  $e_1, \dots, e_n$ . Let  $E_k^n(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{H} \otimes E_k^n$ . Define  $D_k^n : E_k^n(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow E_{k-1}^n(\mathcal{H})$  by

$$D_k^n(x \otimes e_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{j_k}) := \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i-1} T_{j_i} x \otimes e_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \check{e}_{j_i} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{j_k},$$

where  $\check{e}_{j_i}$  means deletion. We denote  $D_k^n$  by  $D_k$  simply. We think Koszul complex  $E(\mathbf{T})$  of  $\mathbf{T}$  as follows:

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 47B20, Secondary 47A10.

*Keywords*. Hilbert space, Taylor spectrum, spectral mapping theorem,  $p$ -hyponormal, log-hyponormal.

Received: 03 June 2024; Accepted: 12 March 2025

Communicated by Dragan S. Djordjević

\* Corresponding author: Biljana Načevska Nastovska

*Email addresses*: [muneocho0105@gmail.com](mailto:muneocho0105@gmail.com) (Muneo Chō), [bibanmath@gmail.com](mailto:bibanmath@gmail.com) (Biljana Načevska Nastovska), [tanahasi@tohoku-mpu.ac.jp](mailto:tanahasi@tohoku-mpu.ac.jp) (Kôtarô Tanahashi)

ORCID iDs: <https://orcid.org/0009-0007-0574-5780> (Muneo Chō), <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0888-8799> (Biljana Načevska Nastovska), <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7165-3178> (Kôtarô Tanahashi)

$$E(\mathbf{T}) : 0 \longrightarrow E_n^n(\mathcal{H}) \xrightarrow{D_n} E_{n-1}^n(\mathcal{H}) \xrightarrow{D_{n-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{D_2} E_1^n(\mathcal{H}) \xrightarrow{D_1} E_0^n(\mathcal{H}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since  $E_k^n(\mathcal{H}) \cong \overbrace{\mathcal{H} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{H}}^{\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{(n-k)!k!}} \ (k = 1, \dots, n)$ , we set  $E_k^n(\mathcal{H}) = \overbrace{\mathcal{H} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{H}}^{\binom{n}{k}} \ (k = 1, \dots, n)$ .

**Definition 1.1.** A commuting  $n$ -tuple  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n$  is said to be singular if and only if the Koszul complex  $E(\mathbf{T})$  of  $\mathbf{T}$  is not exact.

**Definition 1.2.** For a commuting  $n$ -tuple  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n$ ,  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$  belongs to the Taylor spectrum  $\sigma_T(\mathbf{T})$  of  $\mathbf{T}$  if  $\mathbf{T} - z = (T_1 - z_1, \dots, T_n - z_n)$  is singular.

About the definition of the Taylor spectrum, see details J. L. Taylor [9] and [10]. In [7], Curto proved the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.3.** (Proposition 3.4, Curto [7]) For a commuting  $n$ -tuple  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n$ ,  $0 = (0, \dots, 0) \notin \sigma_T(\mathbf{T})$  if and only if  $D_k^* D_k + D_{k+1} D_{k+1}^*$  is invertible for all  $k$ .

For a commuting pair  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n$ , it is well known that, for polynomials  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  of  $n$ -variables, if  $f(z_1, \dots, z_n) = (f_1(z_1, \dots, z_n), \dots, f_m(z_1, \dots, z_n))$ , then it holds

$$\sigma_T(f(T_1, \dots, T_n)) = f(\sigma_T(T_1, \dots, T_n)),$$

where  $\sigma_T(T_1, \dots, T_n)$  is the Taylor spectrum of  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ . See Theorem 4.7 in [10].

In the paper [6], Chō and Tanahashi showed another spectral mapping theorem under the following assumption.

Let  $T = U|T| \in B(\mathcal{H})$  be the polar decomposition of  $T$  with unitary  $U$  and  $f$  be a continuous function on the non-negative real line which contains  $\sigma(|T|)$ . Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be Berberian extension of  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\circ : B(\mathcal{H}) \ni T \rightarrow T^\circ \in B(\mathcal{K})$  be a faithful  $*$ -representation. We set the following conditions (1) and (2):

$$\text{For a sequence } \{x_n\} \text{ of unit vectors, if } (T - z)x_n \rightarrow 0, \text{ then } (T - z)^* x_n \rightarrow 0. \quad (1)$$

$$\text{If a closed subspace } \mathcal{M} \text{ of } \mathcal{K} \text{ reduces } T^\circ \text{ and } re^{i\theta} \in \sigma(T^\circ|_{\mathcal{M}}), \quad (2)$$

$$\text{then } \mathcal{M} \text{ reduces } U^\circ, |T|^\circ \text{ and } e^{-i\theta} f(r) \in \sigma_p((U^\circ|_{\mathcal{M}} f(|T|^\circ)|_{\mathcal{M}})^*).$$

**Theorem 1.4.** Let  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, T_2)$  be a doubly commuting pair of operators and  $T_j = U_j|T_j|$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) be the polar decomposition. Let  $f(t)$  be a continuous function on a open interval in the non-negative real line which contains  $\sigma(|T_1|) \cup \sigma(|T_2|)$ . Let  $S_j = U_j f(|T_j|)$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) and  $\mathbf{S} = (S_1, S_2)$ . Let  $T_1, T_2$  and  $f$  satisfy (1) and (2). If  $(r_1 e^{i\theta_1}, r_2 e^{i\theta_2}) \in \sigma_T(\mathbf{T})$ , then  $(e^{i\theta_1} f(r_1), e^{i\theta_2} f(r_2)) \in \sigma_T(\mathbf{S})$ .

See the details of Berberian extension [1]. That proof depends on the following Vasilescu's result.

Let  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, T_2)$  be a commuting pair of operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$  and let

$$\alpha(\mathbf{T} - \mathbf{z}) := \begin{pmatrix} T_1 - z_1 & T_2 - z_2 \\ -(T_2 - z_2)^* & (T_1 - z_1)^* \end{pmatrix} \text{ on } \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}.$$

Then Vasilescu proved the following result.

**Proposition 1.5.** (Theorem 1.1, Vasilescu [11]) Let  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, T_2) \in B(\mathcal{H})^2$  be a commuting pair. Then

$$\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2) \in \sigma_T(\mathbf{T}) \text{ if and only if } \alpha(\mathbf{T} - \mathbf{z}) \text{ is not invertible.}$$

Therefore, we have  $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2) \in \sigma_T(\mathbf{T})$  if and only if  $0 \in \sigma(\alpha(\mathbf{T} - \mathbf{z}))$ .

For an  $n$ -tuple  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ , the joint point spectrum  $\sigma_{jp}(\mathbf{T})$  is the set of all numbers  $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$  such that there exists a non-zero vector  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  which satisfies  $T_j x = z_j x$  ( $\forall j = 1, \dots, n$ ) and the joint approximate point spectrum  $\sigma_{ja}(\mathbf{T})$  is the set of all numbers  $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$  such that there exists a sequence  $\{x_k\}$  of unit vectors of  $\mathcal{H}$  which satisfies

$$(T_j - z_j)x_k \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \text{ } (\forall j = 1, \dots, n).$$

Following proposition is due to Berberian [1] for a single operator case. It is easy to see a proof for  $n$ -tuples. See Berberian [1] and Chō [2].

**Proposition 1.6.** Let  $B(\mathcal{H})$  be the set of all bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then there exist an extension space  $\mathcal{K}$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  and a faithful  $*$ -representation of  $B(\mathcal{H})$  into  $B(\mathcal{K}) : T \rightarrow T^\circ$  such that

$$\sigma_{ja}(\mathbf{T}) = \sigma_{ja}(\mathbf{T}^\circ) = \sigma_{jp}(\mathbf{T}^\circ),$$

where  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n$  and  $\mathbf{T}^\circ = (T_1^\circ, \dots, T_n^\circ)$ .

Following results are well known.

**Proposition 1.7.** Let  $T = U|T|$  be the polar decomposition of  $T$  and  $f$  be a continuous function on the non-negative real line which contains  $\sigma(|T|)$ . For a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of unit vectors, if  $(T - re^{i\theta})x_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $(T - re^{i\theta})^*x_n \rightarrow 0$ , then  $(U - e^{i\theta})x_n \rightarrow 0$ ,  $(|T| - r)x_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $(f(|T|) - f(r))x_n \rightarrow 0$ .

See Lemma 1.2.4 in [13].

**Proposition 1.8.** Let  $T$  be semi-hyponormal. Then  $\sigma(T) = \{\bar{z} : z \in \sigma_a(T^*)\}$ .

See Theorem 1.2.6 in [13].

**Remark.** If  $T$  is  $p$ -hyponormal and  $f(t) = t^{2p}$ , then (2) holds by Theorem 4 of [3]. If  $T$  is log-hyponormal and  $f(t) = \log t$ , then (2) holds by Lemma 3 of [8]. About (3), since the mapping  $\circ$  of Berberian method is a faithful  $*$ -representation, so is  $T^\circ$  if  $T$  is  $p$ -hyponormal or log-hyponormal, respectively. Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a reducing subspace for  $T$ . It is clear that if  $T$  is  $p$ -hyponormal or log-hyponormal, then so is  $T|_{\mathcal{M}}$ , respectively.

(i) Let  $T$  be  $p$ -hyponormal and  $T = U|T|$  be the polar decomposition of  $T$  and  $f(t) = t^{2p}$ . Then  $S = U|T|^{2p}$  is semi-hyponormal and  $\sigma(U|T|^{2p}) = \{r^{2p}e^{i\theta} : re^{i\theta} \in \sigma(T)\}$  by Theorem 3 of [4]. Hence (3) holds by Proposition 1.8.

(ii) Let  $T = U|T|$  be log-hyponormal and  $f(t) = \log t$ . Then  $S = U \log |T|$  is semi-hyponormal and  $\sigma(U \log |T|) = \{e^{i\theta} \log r : re^{i\theta} \in \sigma(T)\}$  by Lemma 8 of [8]. Hence (3) holds by Proposition 1.8.

Therefore, if  $T$  is  $p$ -hyponormal or log-hyponormal and  $f(t) = t^{2p}$  or  $f(t) = \log t$ , respectively, then  $T$  satisfies (2) and (3) for this  $f$ .

In this paper, we would like to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.9.** Let  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$  be a doubly commuting  $n$ -tuple of operators and  $T_j = U_j|T_j|$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ) be the polar decompositions. Let  $f(t)$  be a continuous function on a open interval in the non-negative real line which contains  $\sigma(|T_1|) \cup \dots \cup \sigma(|T_n|)$ . Let  $S_j = U_j f(|T_j|)$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ) and  $\mathbf{S} = (S_1, \dots, S_n)$ . Let  $T_1, \dots, T_n$  and  $f$  satisfy (1) and (2). If  $(r_1 e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, r_n e^{i\theta_n}) \in \sigma_T(\mathbf{T})$ , then  $(e^{i\theta_1} f(r_1), \dots, e^{i\theta_n} f(r_n)) \in \sigma_T(\mathbf{S})$ .

## 2. Proof of the theorem

First we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$  be a doubly commuting  $n$ -tuple of operators and  $T_j$  has property (1) for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Let  $\{D_k\}$  be the chain complex of  $n$ -tuple  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ . If there exists some  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$  and unit vectors  $x_m = \oplus_{j=1}^r x_m^j \in E_k^n(\mathcal{H})$  where  $r = \binom{n}{k}$ , such that  $(D_k^* D_k + D_{k+1} D_{k+1}^*) x_m \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , then there exists  $s \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$  such that  $\{x_m^s\}$  is a bounded below sequence of non-zero vectors of  $\mathcal{H}$  satisfying  $T_j^* x_m^s \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Thus, by taking unit vector  $y_m = \frac{x_m^s}{\|x_m^s\|} \in \mathcal{H}$ , we have  $T_j^* y_m \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ .

*Proof.* We show it by the mathematical induction.

(1) Let  $n = 2$ . Then the chain complex of doubly commuting pair  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, T_2)$  is

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{D_2} \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{D_1} \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow 0.$$

By the definition of the Koszul complex we have

$$D_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -T_2 \\ T_1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } D_1 = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $T_1, T_2$  are doubly commuting, we have

$$D_1^* D_1 + D_2 D_2^* = \begin{pmatrix} T_1^* T_1 + T_2 T_2^* & 0 \\ 0 & T_1 T_1^* + T_2^* T_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $x_m = x_m^1 \oplus x_m^2 \in E_1^2(\mathcal{H}) \cong \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$  be unit vectors and

$$\begin{aligned} (D_1^* D_1 + D_2 D_2^*) x_m &= \begin{pmatrix} T_1^* T_1 + T_2 T_2^* & 0 \\ 0 & T_1 T_1^* + T_2^* T_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_m^1 \\ x_m^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} (T_1^* T_1 + T_2 T_2^*) x_m^1 \\ (T_1 T_1^* + T_2^* T_2) x_m^2 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\|x_m^1\|^2 + \|x_m^2\|^2 = 1$  for all  $m$ , we may assume (i)  $x_m^1 \rightarrow 0$  or (ii)  $x_m^2 \rightarrow 0$ .

We assume (i). By taking subsequence, we may assume that there exists  $0 < c < \|x_m^1\| \leq 1$  for all  $m$ , i.e., bounded below. Then  $(T_1^* T_1 + T_2 T_2^*) x_m^1 \rightarrow 0$  implies  $T_1 x_m^1, T_2^* x_m^1 \rightarrow 0$  and  $T_1^* x_m^1 \rightarrow 0$  by (1). Case (ii) is similar. Hence the statement holds for  $n = 2$ .

(2) We assume that the statement holds for  $(n-1)$ -tuples of doubly commuting operators. Assume  $(D_k^* D_k + D_{k+1} D_{k+1}^*) x_m \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  for unit vectors  $x_m \in E_k^n(\mathcal{H})$ .

Let  $\{F_k\}$  be the chain complex of  $(n-1)$ -tuple  $\mathbf{T}' = (T_1, \dots, T_{n-1})$  and  $x_m = y_m \oplus z_m \in E_k^{n-1}(\mathcal{H}) \oplus E_{k-1}^{n-1}(\mathcal{H}) = E_k^n(\mathcal{H})$ . By Curto's characterization (see p.132, Curto [7]) it holds  $D_k = \begin{pmatrix} F_k & (-1)^{k+1} \text{diag}(T_n) \\ 0 & F_{k-1} \end{pmatrix}$ . Hence

$$(D_k^* D_k + D_{k+1} D_{k+1}^*) x_m = \begin{pmatrix} (F_k^* F_k + F_{k+1} F_{k+1}^* + \text{diag}(T_n T_n^*)) y_m \\ (F_{k-1}^* F_{k-1} + F_k F_k^* + \text{diag}(T_n^* T_n)) z_m \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $\|y_m\|^2 + \|z_m\|^2 = 1$  for all  $m$ , we may assume (i)  $y_m \rightarrow 0$  or (ii)  $z_m \rightarrow 0$ .

We assume (i).

Then  $(F_k^* F_k + F_{k+1} F_{k+1}^* + \text{diag}(T_n T_n^*)) y_m \rightarrow 0$  implies  $(F_k^* F_k + F_{k+1} F_{k+1}^*) y_m \rightarrow 0$  and  $(\text{diag}(T_n T_n^*)) y_m \rightarrow 0$ . By taking subsequence, we may assume that there exists  $0 < c < \|y_m\| \leq 1$  for all  $m$ .

Let  $v_m = \frac{y_m}{\|y_m\|}$ . Then  $v_m$  are unit vectors and  $(F_k^* F_k + F_{k+1} F_{k+1}^*) v_m \rightarrow 0$  and  $(\text{diag}(T_n T_n^*)) v_m \rightarrow 0$ . Let  $v_m = \bigoplus_{s=1}^{\binom{n-1}{k}} v_m^s \in E_k^{n-1}(\mathcal{H})$ . Then there exist  $s \in \{1, 2, \dots, \binom{n-1}{k}\}$  such that  $v_m^s \in \mathcal{H}$  is a bounded below sequence of non-zero vectors and  $T_j^* v_m^s \rightarrow 0$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$  and  $T_n^* v_m^s \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .

Case (ii) is similar. Hence the statement holds for  $n$ . It completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$  be a doubly commuting  $n$ -tuple of operators which satisfy that every  $T_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ) has property (1). If  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \sigma_T(\mathbf{T})$ , then there exists unit vectors  $y_m \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $(T_j - z_j)^* y_m \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , that is,  $\bar{z} = (\bar{z}_1, \dots, \bar{z}_n) \in \sigma_{ja}(\mathbf{T}^*)$ , where  $\mathbf{T}^* = (T_1^*, \dots, T_n^*)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \sigma_T(\mathbf{T})$ , by the spectral mapping theorem of the Taylor spectrum, it holds

$$0 = (0, \dots, 0) \in \sigma_T(\mathbf{T} - z),$$

where  $\mathbf{T} - z = (T_1 - z_1, \dots, T_n - z_n)$ . Since  $\mathbf{T} - z$  is a doubly commuting  $n$ -tuple of operators which satisfy that every  $T_j - z_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ) has property (1) and the Koszul complex  $E(\mathbf{T} - z)$  of  $n$ -tuple  $\mathbf{T} - z = (T_1 - z_1, \dots, T_n - z_n)$  is not exact. Hence there exists  $k$  such that  $(D_k^* D_k + D_{k+1} D_{k+1}^*)$  is not invertible. Since the operator  $D_k^* D_k + D_{k+1} D_{k+1}^*$  is positive on the space  $E_k^n(\mathcal{H})$ , there exists a sequence  $\{x_m\}$  of unit vectors of  $E_k^n(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $(D_k^* D_k + D_{k+1} D_{k+1}^*) x_m \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence, by Lemma 2.1 there exists a sequence  $\{y_m\}$  of unit vectors of  $\mathcal{H}$  such that

$$(T_j - z_j)^* y_m \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty \text{ for all } j = 1, \dots, n.$$

It's completes the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.9.*

(1) If  $n = 2$ , theorem holds by Theorem 2.3 of [6].

(2) We assume that the statment holds for  $(n-1)$ -tuple. Since  $(r_1 e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, r_n e^{i\theta_n}) \in \sigma_T(\mathbf{T})$ , by Theorem 2.2 there exists a sequence  $\{x_m\}$  of unit vectors of  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $(T_j - r_j e^{i\theta_j})^* x_m \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Consider the Berberian extension  $\mathcal{K}$  of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then there exists  $0 \neq x^\circ \in \mathcal{K}$  such that

$$(T_j^\circ - r_j e^{i\theta_j})^* x^\circ = 0 \text{ for all } j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Let  $\mathcal{M} = \ker(T_n^\circ - r_n e^{i\theta_n})^*$ . Then  $\mathcal{M}(\neq \{0\})$  is a reducing subspace for  $T_1^\circ, \dots, T_{n-1}^\circ$  and  $(r_1 e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, r_{n-1} e^{i\theta_{n-1}}) \in \sigma_T(\mathbf{T}'_{|\mathcal{M}})$ , where  $\mathbf{T}'_{|\mathcal{M}} = (T_1^\circ|_{\mathcal{M}}, \dots, T_{n-1}^\circ|_{\mathcal{M}})$ . By the induction there exists a non-zero vector  $y^\circ \in \mathcal{M}$  such that

$$(S_j^\circ - e^{i\theta_j} f(r_j))^* y^\circ = 0 \text{ for all } j = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

Let  $\mathcal{N} = \bigcap_{j=1}^{n-1} \ker(S_j^\circ - e^{i\theta_j} f(r_j))^*$ . Then  $\mathcal{N}$  is a reducing subspace for  $T_n^\circ$ . Let  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{N} \neq \{0\}$ . Hence  $r_n e^{i\theta_n} \in \sigma(T_n^\circ|_{\mathcal{R}})$ . By property (2) there exists a non-zero vector  $z^\circ \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $(S_n^\circ|_{\mathcal{R}} - e^{i\theta_n} f(r_n))^* z^\circ = 0$ . Since this  $z^\circ$  satisfies  $(S_j^\circ|_{\mathcal{R}} - e^{i\theta_j} f(r_j))^* z^\circ = 0$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, n-1$ , we have  $(e^{i\theta_1} f(r_1), \dots, e^{i\theta_n} f(r_n)) \in \sigma_T(\mathbf{S})$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.3.** Let  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$  be a doubly commuting  $n$ -tuple of  $p$ -hyponormal operators ( $0 < p < 1$ ). Let  $U_j$  be unitary for the polar decomposition of  $T_j = U_j |T_j|$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ) and  $\mathbf{S} = (U_1 |T_1|^{2p}, \dots, U_n |T_n|^{2p})$ . Then

$$\sigma_T(\mathbf{S}) = \{(r_1^{2p} e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, r_n^{2p} e^{i\theta_n}) : (r_1 e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, r_n e^{i\theta_n}) \in \sigma_T(\mathbf{T})\}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $f(t) = t^{2p}$  on the non-negative real line. Since  $\mathbf{T}$  is a doubly commuting  $n$ -tuple of  $p$ -hyponormal operators and  $f(t) = t^{2p}$ ,  $T_1, \dots, T_n$  and  $f$  satisfy (2) and (3). Hence, by Theorem 1.9 we have

$$\sigma_T(\mathbf{S}) \supset \{(r_1^{2p} e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, r_n^{2p} e^{i\theta_n}) : (r_1 e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, r_n e^{i\theta_n}) \in \sigma_T(\mathbf{T})\}.$$

Conversely, put  $g(t) = t^{\frac{1}{2p}}$  on the non-negative real line. Since  $\mathbf{S}$  is a doubly commuting pair of semi-hyponormal operators,  $S_1, S_2$  and  $g$  satisfy (2) and (3). Then we have the converse inclusion by Theorem 1.9 and similar argument.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.4.** Let  $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$  be a doubly commuting  $n$ -tuple of log-hyponormal operators with  $\log |T_j| > 0$ . Let  $U_j$  be unitary for the polar decomposition of  $T_j = U_j |T_j|$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ) and  $\mathbf{S} = (U_1 \log |T_1|, \dots, U_n \log |T_n|)$ . Then

$$\sigma_T(\mathbf{S}) = \{e^{i\theta_1} \log r_1, \dots, e^{i\theta_n} \log r_n\} : (r_1 e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, r_n e^{i\theta_n}) \in \sigma_T(\mathbf{T})\}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $f(t) = \log t$  on  $(0, \infty)$ . Since  $\mathbf{T}$  is a doubly commuting  $n$ -tuple of log-hyponormal operators and  $f(t) = \log t$ ,  $T_1, \dots, T_n$  and  $f$  satisfy (2) and (3). So by Theorem 1.9 we have

$$\sigma_T(\mathbf{S}) \supset \{e^{i\theta_1} \log r_1, \dots, e^{i\theta_n} \log r_n\} : (r_1 e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, r_n e^{i\theta_n}) \in \sigma_T(\mathbf{T})\}.$$

Conversely, let  $g(t) = e^t$  on the non-negative real line. Since  $\mathbf{S}$  is a doubly commuting  $n$ -tuple of semi-hyponormal operators,  $S_1, \dots, S_n$  and  $g$  satisfy (2) and (3). Hence, we have the converse inclusion by similar argument.  $\square$

**Acknowledgement.** This work was supported by the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, an International Joint Usage/Research Center located in Kyoto University.

## References

- [1] S.K. Berberian, *Approximate proper vectors*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **13** (1962), 111-114.
- [2] M. Chō, *Relation between the Taylor spectrum and the Xia spectrum*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **128** (1999), 2357-2363.
- [3] M. Chō and T. Huruya,  *$p$ -Hyponormal operators for  $0 < p < \frac{1}{2}$* , Comment. Math. **33** (1993), 23-29.
- [4] M. Chō and M. Itoh, *Putnam's inequality for  $p$ -hyponormal operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **123** (1995), 2435-2440.
- [5] M. Chō, H. Motoyoshi and B. Načevska Nastovska, *On the joint spectra of commuting tuples of operators and a conjugation*, Functional Analysis, Approximation and Computation, 9:2 (2017), 21-26.
- [6] M. Chō and K. Tanahashi, *New spectral mapping theorem of the Taylor spectrum*, Sci. Math. Japon, **84** No.2 (2021), 145-154.
- [7] R. Curto, *Fredholm and invertible  $n$ -tuples of operators. The deformation problem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **266** (1981), 129-159.
- [8] K. Tanahashi, *Putnam's inequality for log-hyponormal operators*, Integr. Equat. Oper. Th. **48**(2004), 103-114.
- [9] J.L.Taylor, *A joint spectrum for several commuting operators*, J. Funct. Anal. **6** (1970), 172-191.
- [10] J.L.Taylor, *The analytic functional calculus for several commuting operators*, Acta Math. **125** (1970), 1-38.
- [11] F.-H. Vasilescu, *On pairs of commuting operators*, Studia Math. **62** (1978), 203-207.
- [12] D. Xia, *On the semi-hyponormal  $n$ -tuple of operators*, Integr. Equat. Oper. Th. **6**(1983), 879-898.
- [13] D. Xia, *Spectral Theory of Hyponormal operators* Birkhäuser 1983.