

Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

# $\beta$ -expansions of rational numbers with Pisot Chabauty basis in $\mathbb{Q}_p$

## A. Ben Amora, R. Ghorbela,\*

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences of Sfax, University of Sfax, Soukra road km 3.5, B.P. 1171, 3000, Sfax, Tunisia

**Abstract.** The aim of this paper is to study some arithmetic properties about the periodicity of the  $\beta$ -expansion of p-adic numbers. We prove that for every Pisot Chabauty unit number such that the finiteness property (F) is satisfied, there exists a constant  $\gamma'(\beta)$  for which every rational in  $[0, \gamma'(\beta)[$  have a purely periodic  $\beta$ -expansion, where

$$\gamma'(\beta) = \sup\{c \in [0,1) : \forall x \in (\mathbb{Q} \cap \mathbb{Z}_p) \cap [0,c), d_{\beta}(x) \text{ is purely periodic}\}.$$

#### 1. Introduction

The  $\beta$ -expansions of real numbers were first introduced by A. Rényi [8]. Since then, their arithmetic, diophantine and ergodic properties have been extensively studied by several researchers. Let  $\beta > 1$  be a real number. The  $\beta$ -expansion of a real number  $x \in [0,1]$  is defined as the sequence  $(x_i)_{i\geq 1}$  with values in  $\{0,1,\ldots,[\beta]\}$  produced by the  $\beta$ -transformation  $T_\beta:x\longrightarrow\beta x$  (mod 1) as follows:

$$\forall i \geq 1, \ x_i = [\beta T_{\beta}^{i-1}(x)], \ and \ thus \ x = \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{x_i}{\beta^i}.$$

An expansion is finite if  $(x_i)_{i\geq 1}$  is eventually 0. A  $\beta$ -expansion is periodic if  $p\geq 1$  and  $m\geq 1$  exist in a way  $x_k=x_{k+p}$ , holds for all  $k\geq m$ . When  $x_k=x_{k+p}$  holds for all  $k\geq 1$ , then it is purely periodic. The sets of real numbers with periodic  $\beta$ -expansions, purely periodic  $\beta$ -expansions and finite  $\beta$ -expansions are respectively denoted by  $Per(\beta)$ ,  $Pur(\beta)$  and  $Fin(\beta)$ .

Let  $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$  be the smallest field containing  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\beta$ . An easy argument shows that  $Per(\beta) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\beta) \cap [0,1)$  for every real number  $\beta > 1$ .In the statement [9], K. Schmidt showed that if  $\beta$  is a Pisot number (an algebraic integer whose conjugates have modulus <1), then  $Per(\beta) = \mathbb{Q}(\beta) \cap [0,1)$ .

S. Ito and H. Rao discussed the purely periodic  $\beta$ -expansions in the statement [5] and they characterized all reals in [0,1) which have purely periodic  $\beta$ -expansions with Pisot unit base. In the statement [3], V. Berthé and A. Siegel completed the characterization in the Pisot non unit base.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11R06; Secondary 37B50.

*Keywords*. p-adic numbers, β-expansion, PC number.

Received: 20 December 2024; Revised: 24 May 2025; Accepted: 24 May 2025

Communicated by Paola Bonacini

Email addresses: anwarbenamor98@gmail.com (A. Ben Amor), rimaghorbel@yahoo.fr (R. Ghorbel)

 $ORCID\ iDs:\ https://orcid.org/0009-0003-1932-8452\ (A.\ Ben\ Amor),\ https://orcid.org/0009-0007-8479-1215\ (R.\ Ghorbel)$ 

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: R. Ghorbel

Set  $\gamma(\beta) = \sup\{c \in [0,1) : \forall x \in \mathbb{Q} \cap D(0,c), d_{\beta}(x) \text{ is purely periodic}\}.$ 

S. Akiyama proved in the statement [2] that if  $\beta$  verifies the finiteness property  $(Fin(\beta) = \mathbb{Z}[\beta^{-1}] \cap \mathbb{R}_+)$ , then  $\gamma(\beta) > 0$ . In the quadratic case, K. Schmidt [9] has proved that if  $\beta$  satisfied  $\beta^2 = n\beta + 1$  for some integer  $n \ge 1$ , then  $\gamma(\beta) = 1$ . Until now, it is the unique known family of reals for which  $\gamma(\beta) = 1$ . In [1] the authors has proved that if  $\beta$  is not Pisot unit, then  $\gamma(\beta) = 0$ , they also showed that if  $\beta$  is a cubic Pisot unit satisfying the finiteness property such that the number field  $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$  is not totally real, then  $0 < \gamma(\beta) < 1$ .

The  $\beta$ -expansion in the field of p-adic number was introduced by K.Scheicher, V. F. Sirvent and P. Surer [7] . They have proved that if  $\beta$  is a PC number, then  $Per(\beta) = \mathbb{Q}(\beta) \cap \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

The study of  $\gamma(\beta)$  is an important problem that is still largely open. We can define analogous notion in the case the field of the p-adic numbers that means in the similar case with the real number we can define the constant  $\gamma'(\beta)$ . The main objective of this paper is to determine this problem in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  where  $\beta$  is a PC or SC numbers. Particularly, in this paper, we prove that  $\gamma'(\beta) > 0$ , if  $\beta$  is a PC unit number in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  satisfying the finiteness property (F).

The purely periodicity of the  $\beta$ -expansion is a very important problem but still largely open until now. Let's remember also that in the case of the field of formal series, on the one hand, in [6], M.Jelleli, M. Mkaouar and K. Scheicher have studied the characterization of purely periodic  $\beta$ -expansions in the Pisot unit base. On the other hand, in [8], the authors characterize formal power series that have purely periodic  $\beta$ -expansions in Pisot or Salem unit basis and they prove that every rational series in the unit disk has a purely periodic  $\beta$ -expansion when  $\beta$  is a quadratic Pisot unit basis or Salem cubic unit basis.

This paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we introduce some basic definitions of p-adic numbers in the field  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . In section 3, we define the  $\beta$ -expansion algorithm for p-adic numbers and we recall some recent results. In section 4, we prove that there exists a constant  $\gamma'(\beta)$  for which every rational in the disk  $D(0, \gamma'(\beta))$  have a purely periodic  $\beta$ -expansion if  $\beta$  is a PC unit number satisfying the finiteness property (F). Furthermore, we show that the unit condition is necessary to have  $\gamma'(\beta) > 0$ .

## 2. p-adic numbers

In order to introduce  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  in an harmonious way, we begin by presenting the following set: Let p be a prime and  $\mathbb{A}_p = \{mp^n, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$ . Particularly, we denote by  $\mathbb{A}'_p = \mathbb{A}_p \cap [0, 1)$ .

Recall that  $\mathbb{A}_p \subset \mathbb{Q}$  is a principal ring, the unit group of  $\mathbb{A}_p$  is  $\{\pm p^k, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  and the field of fraction is  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Now, let us define the p-adic valuation:

which verifies the following properties:

- $v_v(0) = \infty$ ,
- $\bullet \ v_p(xy) = v_p(x) + v_p(y),$
- $v_p(x+y) \ge \min\{v_p(x), v_p(y)\}\$ with  $v_p(x+y) = \min\{v_p(x), v_p(y)\},\$ if  $v_p(x) \ne v_p(y).$

Therefore  $v_p(.)$  is an exponential valuation on  $\mathbb{A}_p$ . The p – adic norm  $|.|_p$  is defined by

$$|x|_p = \begin{cases} p^{-v_p(x)} & \text{if } x \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then  $|.|_p$  is a non archimedean absolute value on  $\mathbb{A}_p$ . It fulfills the strict triangular inequality

$$|x + y|_{p} \le max\{|x|_{p}, |\{y|_{p}\} \text{ with }$$

$$|x + y|_p = max\{|x|_p, |\{y|_p\} \ if \ |x|_p \neq |y|_p.$$

Let |.| be the archimedean absolute value. Then  $|x|_p$  and |x| satisfy the following product formula

$$\prod_{p\in\mathbb{P}\cup\{\infty\}}|x|_p=1 \ for \ all \ x\in\mathbb{Q}\setminus\{0\}$$

where  $\mathbb{P}$  denote the set of primes. The completion of  $\mathbb{A}_p$  with respects to  $|.|_p$  is the field  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  of p – adic numbers. Thus

$$\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{A}_p \subset \mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{Q}_p$$
.

We mention that each element  $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p$   $(x \neq 0)$  admits a unique expansion of the form

$$x = \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} x_n p^n$$
, such that  $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $x_{n_0} \neq 0$  and  $x_n \in \{0, ..., p-1\}$ .

From expansions of the form, we will use the notation

$$x = \dots p_2 p_1 p_0 \bullet p_{-1} \dots p_{n_0}.$$

**Definition 2.1.** Each  $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p$  of the form mentioned above has a unique Artin decomposition

$$x = [x]_p + \{x\}_p$$

such that

$$[x]_p = \sum_{n \ge 0} x_n p^n$$
 and  $\{x\}_p = \sum_{n \le 0} x_n p^n$ .

The number  $[x]_p \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  is called p-adic integer part and  $\{x\}_p \in \mathbb{A}_p \cap [0,1)$  is called p-adic fractional part of x.

Furthermore, we can also define the extension  $v_p$  in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ :

$$v_v(x) = n_0 \text{ if } x \neq 0 \text{ and } v_v(x) = \infty \text{ otherwise.}$$

In addition  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  is equivalent to the fraction field of the p-adic integers  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  where

$$\mathbb{Z}_p = \{ x \in \mathbb{Q}_p \; ; \; |x|_p \le 1 \}.$$

Consequently

$$\mathbb{Z}=\mathbb{A}_p\cap\mathbb{Z}_p=\{x\in\mathbb{A}_p\;;\;|x|_p\leq 1\}.$$

Our purpose now is to define Pisot-Chabauty numbers. For this, we shall need some definitions:

**Definition 2.2.** An element  $\alpha$  is called algebraic over  $\mathbb{A}_p$ , if there is a polynomial

$$f(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_n x^n \in \mathbb{A}_n[x]$$
 with  $f(\alpha) = 0$ .

If f is irreducible over  $\mathbb{A}_p$ , then f is called a minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$ . If  $a_n = p^k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $\alpha$  is called an algebraic integer. Since  $p^k$  is a unit of  $\mathbb{A}_p$ , we can assume without loss of generality, that  $a_n = 1$ . If  $a_0 = p^{k'}$  for some  $k' \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $\alpha$  is called an algebraic unit.

**Proposition 2.3.** Let K be complete field with respect to (a non archimedean absolute value |.|) and L/K ( $K \subset L$ ) be an algebraic extension of degree m. Then |.| has a unique extension to L defined by :  $|\alpha| = \sqrt[m]{|N_{L/K}(\alpha)|}$  and L is complete with respect to this extension.

We apply this proposition to algebraic extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Since  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  is complete,  $|.|_p$  and  $v_p(.)$  can be extended uniquely to each algebraic field L of  $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$ . Thus, every algebraic element over  $\mathbb{A}_p$  can be valuated.

**Remark 2.4.** In what follows, for algebraic elements  $\beta$  over  $\mathbb{A}_p$ , we will denote by  $\beta^{(1)}, \ldots, \beta^{(n)}$  the non-archimedean conjugates of  $\beta$  and by  $\beta^{(n+1)}, \ldots, \beta^{(2n)}$  the archimedean conjugates of  $\beta$ .

Finally, we reach to give the definition of Pisot-Chabauty numbers.

**Definition 2.5.** A Pisot-Chabauty number ( for short PC number) is a p-adic number  $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ , such that

- $\beta^{(1)} = \beta$  is an algebraic integer over  $\mathbb{A}_p$ .
- $|\beta^{(1)}|_p > 1$  for one non-archimedean conjugate of  $\beta$ .
- $|\beta^{(i)}|_p \le 1$  for all non-archimedean conjugates  $\beta^{(i)}$ ,  $i \in \{2, ..., n\}$  of  $\beta$ .
- $|\beta^{(i)}| < 1$  for all archimedean conjugates  $\beta^{(i)}$ ,  $i \in \{n+1,\ldots,2n\}$  of  $\beta$ .

# 3. $\beta$ -expansion in the field $\mathbb{Q}_p$

Similary to the classical  $\beta$ -expansion for the real numbers, we introduce the  $\beta$ -expansion for p-adic numbers. For this, Let  $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}_p$  where  $|\beta|_p > 1$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  and denote by  $N_p = [0,1) \cap \{x \in \mathbb{A}_p : |x|_p \le |\beta|_p\}$ . A representation in base  $\beta$  ( or  $\beta$ -representation) of x is a sequence  $(d_i)_{i \ge 1}$ ,  $d_i \in \mathbb{A}_p$ , such that

$$x = \sum_{i \ge 1} \frac{d_i}{\beta^i}.$$

A particular  $\beta$ -representation of x is called the  $\beta$ -expansion of x and noted

 $d_{\beta}(x) = (d_i)_{i \geq 1}$  with values in  $N_p$  produced by the  $\beta$ -transformation  $T : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ , which is given by the mapping  $z \mapsto [\beta z]_p$ .

For  $k \ge 0$ , define

$$T^{0}(x) = x$$
 and  $T^{k}(x) = T(T^{k-1}(x))$ .

Then  $d_k = \{\beta T^{k-1}(x)\}_p$  for all  $k \ge 1$ .

An equivalent definition of the  $\beta$ -expansion can be obtained by a greedy algorithm. This algorithm works as follows:

$$r_0 = x$$
;  $d_k = \{\beta r_{k-1}\}_p$  and  $r_k = \lfloor \beta r_{k-1} \rfloor_p$  for all  $k \ge 1$ .

The  $\beta$ -expansion of x will be noted as  $d_{\beta}(x) = (d_k)_{k \ge 1}$ .

Notice that,  $d_{\beta}(x)$  is finite if and only if there is a  $k \ge 0$  with  $T^k(x) = 0$ ,  $d_{\beta}(x)$  is ultimately periodic if and only if there is some smallest  $n \ge 0$  (the pre-period length) and  $s \ge 1$  (the period length) when  $T^{n+s}(x) = T^n(x)$ , namely the period length. In a special case, where n = 0,  $d_{\beta}(x)$  is purely periodic.

Afterwards, we will use the following notations:

$$Fin(\beta) = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_p : d_{\beta}(x) \text{ is finite}\}\ \text{ and } Per(\beta) = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_p : d_{\beta}(x) \text{ is eventually periodic}\}.$$

Now, let  $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p$  be an element, with  $|x|_p > 1$ . Then there is a unique  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  having  $|\beta|_p^k \le |x|_p < |\beta|_p^{k+1}$ . We can represent x by shifting  $d_\beta(\beta^{-(k+1)}x)$  by k digits to the left. Therefore, if  $d_\beta(x) = 0 \cdot d_1d_2d_3\ldots$ , then  $d_\beta(\beta x) = d_1 \cdot d_2d_3\ldots$ 

If we have  $d_{\beta}(x) = d_{l}d_{l-1} \dots d_{0} \cdot d_{-1} \dots d_{-m}$ , then we put  $\operatorname{ord}_{\beta}(x) = -m$ .

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ .  $\beta$  verifies the finiteness property (F) if  $Fin(\beta) = \mathbb{A}_p[\beta^{-1}]$ .

Through the use of the previous set  $Per(\beta)$  and the PC numbers, K. Scheicher, V. F. Sirvent and P. Surer [7] established the following theorem in the case of p-adic numbers.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $\beta$  be a PC number. Then  $Per(\beta) = \mathbb{Q}(\beta) \cap \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

Moreover, in the same paper [7], geometric condition of the finiteness property (*F*) has been given by K. Scheicher, V. F. Sirvent and P. Surer.

# 4. Purely periodic $\beta$ – expansion

We define for each  $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}_p$  with  $|\beta|_p > 1$  the quantity

$$\gamma'(\beta) = \sup\{c \in [0,1) : \forall x \in (\mathbb{Q} \cap \mathbb{Z}_p) \cap [0,c), d_{\beta}(x) \text{ is purely periodic}\},$$

In order to prove our main theorem, we need to introduce some basic notions: Let  $\beta$  be a PC unit number with minimal polynomial  $P(\beta) = \beta^d + a_{d-1}\beta^{d-1} + \cdots + a_0$  where  $a_i \in \mathbb{A}_p$  for  $i \in \{0, \ldots, d-1\}$ . Let  $\beta^{(2)}, \ldots, \beta^{(d)}$  be the non-archimedean conjuguates of  $\beta$ .

We denote by  $\overline{\beta}$ , the vector of non-archimedean conjuguates of  $\beta$  given by  $\overline{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta^{(2)} \\ \vdots \\ \beta^{(d)} \end{pmatrix}$ .

Put

$$Q'(\beta) = \{ \sum_{i=0}^{n_i} a_i \beta^i, a_i \in \mathbb{Q} \cap \mathbb{Z}_p, |a_i| < 1 \}.$$

For  $x \in Q'(\beta)$ , the j-th non-archimedean conjuguate of x is given by  $x^{(j)} = \sum_{i=0}^{n_i} a_i (\beta^{(j)})^i$ ,  $\forall j \in \{2, ..., d\}$ .

We define  $\overline{x}$ , the vector of non-archimedean conjuguates of x by  $\overline{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x^{(2)} \\ \vdots \\ x^{(d)} \end{pmatrix}$  and  $||\overline{x}||_p = \sup_{2 \le k \le d} |x^{(k)}|_p$ .

We begin with these results which are essential for the development of the proof of our main Theorem.

## Lemma 4.1. [7]

*Let*  $A \subset \mathbb{A}_p$ . *If* A *is bounded with respect to*  $|.|_p$  *and*  $|.|_r$  *i.e.* 

$$\max_{a \in A} |a|_p < \infty \ and \ \max_{a \in A} |a| < \infty,$$

then A is finite.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let  $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}_p$  be a PC unit number. Put

$$X(k) = \{x \in Fin(\beta) \cap Q'(\beta); ord_{\beta}(x) = -k\}.$$

Then

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \min_{x\in X(k)} ||\overline{x}||_p = \infty.$$

#### Proof:

Assume that there exists a constant B and an infinite sequence  $x_i$  (i = 1, 2, ...) then that both

$$|x_i^{(j)}|_p \le B$$
 for  $j = 2, 3, \dots, |x_i|_p \le 1$  and  $\lim_{i \to \infty} \operatorname{ord}_{\beta}(x_i) = -\infty$ 

holds

We have  $x_i = \sum_{i=0}^{n_i} a_i \beta^i$ ,  $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x_i^{(j)} = \sum_{i=0}^{n_i} a_i (\beta^{(j)})^i$  for all  $\beta^{(j)}$  conjugates of  $\beta$ .

Let now  $\beta^{(2)},\ldots,\beta^{(d)}$  be the non-archimedean conjuguates of  $\beta$ . As  $\beta$  is unit, then  $x_i\in \mathbb{A}_p[\beta]$ . Hence  $x_i=A_0^i+A_1^i\beta+\ldots+A_{d-1}^i\beta^{d-1}$  and  $x_i^{(j)}=A_0^i+A_1^i\beta^{(j)}+\ldots+A_{d-1}^i(\beta^{(j)})^{d-1}, \forall j\in\{2,\ldots,d\}$  where  $\beta^{(2)},\ldots,\beta^{(d)}$  are the non-archimedean conjuguates of  $\beta$ .

Thus 
$$\begin{pmatrix} x_i \\ x_i^{(2)} \\ \vdots \\ x_i^{(d)} \end{pmatrix} = M \begin{pmatrix} A_0^i \\ A_1^i \\ \vdots \\ A_{d-1}^i \end{pmatrix}$$
, where  $M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta & \cdots & \beta^{d-1} \\ 1 & \beta^{(2)} & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & (\beta^{(d-1)})^{d-1} \\ 1 & \beta^{(d)} & \dots & \dots & (\beta^{(d)})^{d-1} \end{pmatrix}$ .

We have det  $M = \prod_{i < j} (\beta^{(i)} - \beta^{(j)}) \neq 0$  which implies that M is invertible, therefore it transforms a bounded

vector in a bounded vector. since  $|x_i^{(j)}|_p \le B$  for j = 2, 3, ... and  $|x_i|_p \le 1$ , we have  $\begin{pmatrix} x_i \\ x_i^{(2)} \\ \vdots \\ x_i^{(d)} \end{pmatrix}$  is bounded, so  $\begin{pmatrix} A_0^i \\ A_1^i \end{pmatrix}$ 

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_0^i \\ A_1^i \\ \vdots \\ A_{d-1}^i \end{pmatrix} \text{ is also bounded in } \mathbb{Q}_p. \text{ Furthermore, } \beta \text{ has d archimedean conjugates } \beta^{(j)}, \text{ such that } |\beta^{(j)}| < 1 \text{ where } k$$

We have, on one hand,

$$x_i^{(j)} = A_0^i + A_1^i \beta^{(j)} + \dots + A_{d-1}^i (\beta^{(j)})^{d-1}.$$

Moreover, on the other hand, we have  $\forall j \in \{d+1,\ldots,2d\}$ ,

$$|x_i^{(j)}| = |\sum_{i=0}^{n_i} a_i (\beta^{(j)})^i| \le \sum_{i=0}^{n_i} |a_i| |\beta^{(j)}|^i \le \frac{1}{1 - |\beta^{(j)}|}.$$

So 
$$\begin{pmatrix} x_i^{(d+1)} \\ x_i^{(d+2)} \\ \vdots \\ x_i^{(2d)} \end{pmatrix}$$
 is bounded. Recall that  $M$  is invertible, then  $\begin{pmatrix} A_0^i \\ A_1^i \\ \vdots \\ A_{d-1}^i \end{pmatrix}$  is also bounded in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Finally, by Lemma

4.1, we conclude that 
$$\begin{pmatrix} A_0^i \\ A_1^i \\ \vdots \\ A_{d-1}^i \end{pmatrix}$$
 takes a finite values. Consequently, these  $x_i$  are finite. This is absurd, which

proves the lemma

**Proposition 4.3.** Let  $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}(\beta)$  be a PC unit number. Then there exists r > 0 such that for every  $h \in Fin(\beta) \cap Q'(\beta)$  satisfying  $ord_{\beta}(h) \leq -1$ , we have  $||\bar{h}||_{p} > r$ .

#### Proof.

According to Lemma 4.2, there exists s > 0 such that for every  $x \in Fin(\beta) \cap Q'(\beta)$  satisfying  $|x|_p \le 1$  and

 $ord_{\beta}(x) \leq -s$ , we have  $||\overline{x}||_{p} > |\beta|_{p}$ .

Put  $r = \inf_{j \in \{2, \dots, d\}} |(\beta^{(j)})^{s-1}|_p |\beta|_p$ , where  $\beta^{(2)}, \dots, \beta^{(d)}$  are the non-archimedean conjugates of  $\beta$ . Now, let  $h \in Fin(\beta) \cap Q'(\beta)$  be with  $ord_{\beta}(h) \le -1$ . Then  $h = \beta^{s-1}g$  where  $ord_{\beta}(g) \le -s$ . Moreover h can be written such that  $h = \beta^{s-1}(g_1 + g_2)$  where  $ord_{\beta}(g_1) \ge 0$ ,  $ord_{\beta}(g_2) = ord_{\beta}(g) \le -s$  and  $|g_2|_p \le 1$ . Since  $h = \beta^{s-1}(g_1 + g_2)$ , we have

$$\overline{h} = \begin{pmatrix} (\beta^{(2)})^{s-1}(g_1^{(2)} + g_2^{(2)}) \\ \vdots \\ (\beta^{(d)})^{s-1}(g_1^{(d)} + g_2^{(d)}) \end{pmatrix}$$

As  $\beta$  is a PC unit number and  $g_1 = c_0 + c_1\beta + \cdots + c_{d-1}\beta^{d-1}$  with  $|c_i|_p < |\beta|_p$ , we have,

$$\begin{split} |g_1^{(2)}|_p &= |c_0 + c_1\beta^{(2)} + \dots + c_{d-1}(\beta^{(2)})^{d-1}|_p \le |\beta|_p \\ |g_1^{(3)}|_p &= |c_0 + c_1\beta^{(3)} + \dots + c_{d-1}(\beta^{(3)})^{d-1}|_p \le |\beta|_p \\ &\vdots \\ |g_1^{(d)}|_p &= |c_0 + c_1\beta^{(d)} + \dots + c_{d-1}(\beta^{(d)})^{d-1}|_p \le |\beta|_p. \end{split}$$

Since  $ord_{\beta}(g_2) \leq -s$  and  $|g_2|_p \leq 1$ , we have  $||\overline{g_2}||_p > |\beta|_p$ . Which involves that there exists  $j_0 \in \{2, \dots, d\}$  with  $|g_2^{j_0}|_p > |\beta|_p$ . So  $|g_1^{(j_0)} + g_2^{(j_0)}|_p > |\beta|_p$ , which implies that  $|(\beta^{(j_0)})^{s-1}|_p |g_1^{(j_0)} + g_2^{(j_0)}|_p > \inf_{j \in [2, \dots, d]} |(\beta^{(j)})^{s-1}|_p |\beta|_p = r$ . Finally we infer that  $||\overline{h}||_p > r$ .

Before giving our main theorem, we need moreover the following lemmas. We begin by this lemma in which we characterize the  $\beta$ -expansion of p-adic numbers.

**Lemma 4.4.** Let  $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}_p$  where  $|\beta|_p > 1$  and  $(a_i)_{i \geq 1}$  is a  $\beta$ -representation of x. Then  $d_{\beta}(x) = (a_i)_{i \geq 1}$  if and only if  $a_i \in \mathbb{N}_p$ , for all  $i \geq 1$ .

# **Proof**:

The necessary condition is trivial. For the sufficient condition, by assumption we have  $(a_i)_{i\geq 1}$  is a  $\beta$ -representation of x and  $|a_i|_p \leq |\beta|_p$  for all  $i\geq 1$ , so

$$x = \sum_{i>1} \frac{a_i}{\beta^i}.$$

If we multiply by  $\beta$ , we get

$$\beta x = a_1 + \sum_{i \ge 2} \frac{a_i}{\beta^{i-1}}.$$

As  $|\sum_{i>2} \frac{a_i}{\beta^{i-1}}|_p < 1$  and  $a_1 \in \mathbb{A}'_p$ , we obtain that  $a_1 = \{\beta x\}_p$ . Put now  $r_0 = x$ . We have

$$\beta x - a_1 = \sum_{i>2} \frac{a_i}{\beta^{i-1}}$$

and if we multiply again by  $\beta$ , we get

$$\beta(\beta x - a_1) = a_2 + \sum_{i \ge 3} \frac{a_i}{\beta^{i-2}}.$$

Since  $|\sum_{i>3} \frac{a_i}{\beta^{i-2}}|_p < 1$  and  $a_2 \in \mathbb{A}'_p$ , we get  $a_2 = \{\beta r_1\}_p$  where  $r_1 = \beta x - a_1$ .

Therefore, it's clear that the sequence  $(a_k)_{k>1}$  verifies the recurrent condition

$$r_0 = x$$
;  $a_k = \{\beta r_{k-1}\}_p$  and  $r_k = \lfloor \beta r_{k-1} \rfloor_p$ ,

which implies that  $d_{\beta}(x) = (a_i)_{i \geq 1}$ .

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let*  $a_i \neq a_j \in \mathbb{N}_p$ . *Then*  $|a_i - a_j|_p > 1$ .

#### Proof.

Let  $a_i = \frac{b_i}{p^{v_p(\beta)}}$  such that  $b_i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $b_i < p^{v_p(\beta)}$ . Let now  $a_j = \frac{b_j}{p^{v_p(\beta)}}$  with  $b_j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $b_j < p^{v_p(\beta)}$ . We have

$$|a_i - a_j|_p = \frac{|b_i - b_j|_p}{|p^{v_p(\beta)}|_p}.$$

We have  $|b_i - b_j|_{\infty} < p^{v_p(\beta)}$  and this yield that  $|b_i - b_j|_p > p^{-v_p(\beta)}$ . Then, we obtain the result.

**Lemma 4.6.** Let  $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}_p$  where  $|\beta|_p > 1$ ,  $c_i \in \mathbb{Q}_p$  and  $M = \sum_{i=1}^l \frac{c_i}{\beta^i}$  with  $1 < |c_i|_p \le |\beta|_p$ . If there exists j such that  $c_i \ne 0$ , then  $M \ne 0$ .

## **Proof**:

Let  $c_i \in \mathbb{Q}_p$  and suppose that  $M = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \frac{c_i}{\beta^i}$  with  $1 < |c_i|_p \le |\beta|_p$ . Let  $i_0$  be the smallest integer  $j \in \{1, \dots, l\}$  such that  $c_j \ne 0$ . We have  $M = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \frac{c_i}{\beta^i}$ , then  $|M|_p = |\frac{c_{i_0}}{\beta^{i_0}}|_p \ne 0$  since  $|\frac{c_{i_0}}{\beta^{i_0}}|_p > |\frac{c_k}{\beta^k}|_p$  for all  $i_0 < k \le l$ . Therefore  $M \ne 0$ .

It is natural now to present our main theorem.

**Theorem 4.7.** Let  $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}_p$  be a PC unit number such that the finiteness property (F) is satisfied, then  $\gamma'(\beta) > 0$ .

# **Proof**:

We will show that there exists a positive constant c such that every rational x with  $|x|_p < c$  has a purely periodic  $\beta$ -expansion. Let  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $|x|_p \le 1$ , |x| < 1 and assume that x does not have a purely periodic  $\beta$ -expansion. Since  $\beta$  is a PC unit number, we know that  $d_{\beta}(x)$  is eventually periodic and let s be the length of the period and n the length of the pre-period. So  $d_{\beta}(x) = 0 \cdot a_1...a_s\overline{a_{s+1}...a_{n+s}}$  and  $a_s \ne a_{n+s}$ . Hence  $x(\beta^s - 1) \in Q'\beta$  and

$$x(\beta^s-1) = a_1\beta^{s-1} + \dots + a_s + \frac{a_{s+1}-a_1}{\beta} + \frac{a_{s+2}-a_2}{\beta^2} + \dots + \frac{a_{n+s}-a_n}{\beta^n}.$$

If we note  $H = \frac{a_{s+1}-a_1}{\beta} + \frac{a_{s+2}-a_2}{\beta^2} + \cdots + \frac{a_{n+s}-a_n}{\beta^n}$ , we have  $H \in \mathbb{A}_p[\beta^{-1}]$ . So by the property (F), we have  $d_\beta(H)$  is finite i.e  $H = \frac{b_1}{\beta} + \frac{b_2}{\beta^2} + \cdots + \frac{b_l}{\beta^l}$ . Which implies from Lemma 4.4,  $d_\beta(x(\beta^s - 1)) = a_1...a_s.b_1...b_l$ .

Moreover, then it's clear that  $|H|_p < 1$ . Since  $a_{n+s} - a_n \neq 0$  and through Lemma 4.6 and Lemma 4.5, we obtain  $H \neq 0$ . Thus  $ord_{\beta}(x(\beta^s - 1)) < 0$ . Moreover, we have  $x(\beta^s - 1) \in Fin(\beta) \cap Q'(\beta)$ , thereby by Proposition 4.3, there exists c > 0 such that  $||x\beta^s - x||_p > c$ , where

$$\overline{x\beta^s - x} = \begin{pmatrix} x^{(2)}(\beta^{(2)})^s - x^{(2)} \\ \vdots \\ x^{(d)}(\beta^{(d)})^s - x^{(d)}. \end{pmatrix}$$

However  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ , then for all  $j \in \{2, ..., d\}$ ;  $|x(\beta^{(j)})^s - x|_p = |x|_p$  and for this, we conclude that  $|x|_p > c$  and finally the proof of our theorem is reached.

**Remark 4.8.** The "unit" condition is necessary in Theorem 4.7. Indeed: Let  $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}_p$  a not unit PC number and let  $P(x) = b_n x^n + b_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \cdots + b_0 \in \mathbb{A}_p[x]$  be the minimal polynomial of  $\beta$ . Since the modulus of all archimedean conjugates of  $\beta$  is < 1, then  $|b_0| < 1$ , so  $|b_0|_p > 1$ . For  $n \ge 1$ , set  $x_n = \frac{1}{b_0^n}$ . We will prove that  $x_n$  does not have purely periodic  $\beta$ -expansion. For this, we suppose that  $d_{\beta}(x_n) = 0 \cdot \overline{a_1 \dots a_k}$ , we get

$$x_{n} = \frac{a_{1}}{\beta} + \dots + \frac{a_{k}}{\beta^{k}} + \frac{x_{n}}{\beta^{k}},$$

$$= (\frac{a_{1}}{\beta} + \dots + \frac{a_{k}}{\beta^{k}})(1 + \frac{1}{\beta^{k}} + \frac{1}{\beta^{2k}} + \dots),$$

$$= (\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i}\beta^{-i})(\sum_{i\geq 0} \frac{1}{\beta^{ik}}),$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i}\beta^{-i}$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i}\beta^{-i}}{1 - \beta^{-k}},$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a_{k-i}\beta^{i}}{\beta^{k} - 1}.$$

This gives 
$$x_n(1-\beta^k) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (-a_{k-i})\beta^i = \frac{1-\beta^k}{b_0^n} \in \mathbb{A}_p[\beta],$$
  
so  $\frac{1-\beta^k}{b_0^n} = c_{n-1}\beta^{n-1} + c_{n-2}\beta^{n-2} + \dots + c_0$  with  $c_{n-1}, \dots, c_0 \in \mathbb{A}_p$ . Consequently,  

$$1-\beta^k = b_0^n(c_{n-1}\beta^{n-1} + c_{n-2}\beta^{n-2} + \dots + c_0)$$

$$= (-b_n\beta^n - b_{n-1}\beta^{n-1} - \dots - b_1\beta)^n(c_{n-1}\beta^{n-1} + c_{n-2}\beta^{n-2} + \dots + c_0).$$

As a result  $1 = \beta(z_t \beta^t + \dots + z_0)$  and this contradicts the hypothesis that  $\beta$  is not unit.

#### References

- [1] B. Adamczewski, C. Frougny, A. Siegel and W. Steiner, *Rational numbers with purely periodic β-expansion*, Proc. London Math. Soc, **101** (2010), 1–31.
- [2] S. Akiyama, Pisot number and greedy algorithm, Number theory, Diophantine, Computational and Algebraic Aspects, de Gruyter (1998), 9–21.
- [3] V. Berthé, A. Siegel, Purely periodic β-expansions in the Pisot non unit case, J. Number Theory, 127 (2007), 153–172.
- [4] R. Ghorbel, M. Hbaib and S. Zouari, Purely Periodic Beta-expansions over Laurent Series, Int. J. Alg. Comp, 22 (2012).
- [5] S. Ito, H. Rao, Purely periodic β-expansions with Pisot unit base, Proceedings of the AMS, 133 (2005), 953–964.
- [6] M. Jelleli, M. Mkaouar, K. Scheicher, Purely periodic β–expansions with Pisot unit base over Laurent series, Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences, 3 (2008), 357–369.
- [7] K. Scheicher, V. F. Sirvent and P. Surer, Beta-expansions of p-adic numbers, Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys, 36, (2016), 924-943.
- [8] A. Rényi, Representations for real numbers and their ergodic properties, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung, 8 (1975), 477–493.
- [9] K. Schmidt, On periodic expansions of Pisot numbers and Salem numbers, Bull. London Math. Soc, 12 (1980), 269–278.