



On rank-one perturbations in semi-Hilbertian spaces

Wided Benmassaoud^{a,b}, Rchid Rabaoui^{a,c,*}

^aUniversity of Gabes, Faculty of Sciences, Gabes 6072, Tunisia

^bLaboratory of Mathematics and Applications LR17ES11, Gabes University, Tunisia

^cLaboratory of Mathematical Analysis and Applications (LMAA-LR11-ES11), 2092, El Manar I, Tunis, Tunisia

Abstract. Let A be a positive bounded linear operator on a Hilbert space $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ and $\langle u, v \rangle_A := \langle Au, v \rangle$, $u, v \in \mathcal{H}$ the induced semi-inner product. In this paper, we study rank one perturbations of bounded linear operators in the semi-Hilbertian space $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_A)$, $R = T + u \otimes (Av)$, for $u, v \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \mathcal{N}(A)$. In addition to proving some properties of these operators related to (A, m) -isometries and (A, n) -symmetries, we characterize some conditions for R to be A -hyponormal or A -normal.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

In this section, we introduce some basic notations, definitions and known results that are needed to prove our main results.

Throughout this paper we write $\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{R}$ for the sets of positive integers and real numbers, respectively. We shall denote the set of all complex numbers and the complex conjugate of a complex number λ by $\mathbb{C}$ and $\bar{\lambda}$, respectively. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be an infinite dimensional separable complex Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, and let A be a nonzero positive operator on $\mathcal{H}$. By $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ we denote the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on $\mathcal{H}$. For every $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ we denote by $\mathcal{R}(T)$ and $\mathcal{N}(T)$ the range and null space of T , respectively. We write $\sigma(T)$, $\sigma_p(T)$ and $\sigma_{ap}(T)$, respectively, for the spectrum, the point spectrum and the approximate point spectrum of the operator T . Recall that a closed subspace $\mathcal{M}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ is said to be an invariant subspace under T if $T\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$, that is $Tx \in \mathcal{M}$ for $x \in \mathcal{M}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{M}$ is called a reducing subspace for T if both $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}^\perp$ are invariant under T . The closure of $\mathcal{R}(T)$ will be denoted by $\overline{\mathcal{R}(T)}$. The cone of positive (semi-definite) operators is given by

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^+ := \{A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : \langle Au, u \rangle \geq 0, \forall u \in \mathcal{H}\}.$$

Definition 1.1. ([8]) Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^+$ and $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. We say that T is A -positive if $AT \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^+$, which is equivalent to the condition

$$\langle Tu, u \rangle_A \geq 0, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{H}.$$

We note $T \geq_A 0$.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47A05; Secondary 47A57, 46C05.

Keywords. Rank one perturbation, Positive operator, Semi-inner product, (A, n) -symmetry, (A, m) -isometry, A -hyponormal operator, A -normal operator.

Received: 21 February 2025; Revised: 16 July 2025; Accepted: 01 September 2025

Communicated by Dragan S. Djordjević

* Corresponding author: Rchid Rabaoui

Email addresses: benmassaoud.wided1985@gmail.com (Wided Benmassaoud), rchid.rabaoui@fsg.rnu.tn (Rchid Rabaoui)

ORCID iD: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1809-4864> (Rchid Rabaoui)

The following theorem is due to Douglas (see [17] or [21] for its proof).

Theorem 1.2. (Douglas) Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. The following conditions are equivalent.

1. $R(B) \subseteq R(A)$.
2. There exists a positive number λ such that $BB^* \leq \lambda AA^*$.
3. There exists $C \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $AC = B$.

Any $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^+$ defines a positive semi-definite sesquilinear form :

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_A : \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \langle u, v \rangle_A := \langle Au, v \rangle.$$

By $\|\cdot\|_A$ we denote the semi-norm induced by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_A$, i.e. $\|u\|_A = \langle u, u \rangle_A^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Observe that $\|u\|_A = 0$ if and only if $u \in \mathcal{N}(A)$. Then $\|\cdot\|_A$ is a norm if and only if A is injective. For a positive operator A , we say that $u, v \in \mathcal{H}$ are A -orthogonal, and we note $u \perp_A v$, if $\langle u, v \rangle_A = 0$. A vector $u \in \mathcal{H}$ is said to be A -unitary if $\|u\|_A = 1$. Given a subspace M of $\mathcal{H}$, its A -orthogonal subspace is the subspace

$$M^{\perp_A} := \{u \in \mathcal{H} : \langle u, v \rangle_A = 0, \forall v \in M\}.$$

Definition 1.3. ([38]) Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, an operator $W \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is called an A -adjoint of T if

$$\langle Tu, v \rangle_A = \langle u, Wv \rangle_A, \quad \forall u, v \in \mathcal{H},$$

i.e.,

$$AW = T^*A.$$

If T is an A -adjoint of itself, then T is called A -selfadjoint, that is $AT = T^*A$.

By Douglas theorem, T admits an A -adjoint if and only if $R(T^*A) \subseteq R(A)$. From now on, we denote by $\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ the set of all $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ which admit an A -adjoint, namely

$$\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H}) := \{T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : R(T^*A) \subseteq R(A)\}.$$

If $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$, then there exists a distinguished A -adjoint operator of T , namely, the reduced solution of the equation $AX = T^*A$, i.e., $A^\dagger T^*A$ in which $A^\dagger$ is the Moore-Penrose inverse of A . This operator is denoted by $T^{\sharp_A}$. Therefore, $T^{\sharp_A} = A^\dagger T^*A$ and

$$AT^{\sharp_A} = T^*A, \quad R(T^{\sharp_A}) \subset \overline{R(A)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{N}(T^{\sharp_A}) = \mathcal{N}(T^*A).$$

Note that if $A = I$, then $T^{\sharp_A} = T^*$. It is well known ([25, Proposition 1.1]) that if $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ then $T^{\sharp_A} \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ and $(T^{\sharp_A})^{\sharp_A} = PTP$, where P is the orthogonal projection onto $\overline{R(A)}$. Recall that, for $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$, the operators $TT^{\sharp_A}$ and $T^{\sharp_A}T$ both are A -positive operators, that is $\langle TT^{\sharp_A}u, u \rangle_A \geq 0$ and $\langle T^{\sharp_A}Tu, u \rangle_A \geq 0$ for all $u \in \mathcal{H}$. For more details see [5] and [6].

For $X, Y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, we denote by $[X, Y] := XY - YX$ the commutator of X and Y . For a bounded operator T on $\mathcal{H}$, we denote its commutant by $\{T\}'$, that is,

$$\{T\}' := \{Q \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : [T, Q] = 0\}.$$

Definition 1.4. An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be:

- A -normal if $[T^{\sharp_A}, T] = 0$ ([43]).
- A -hyponormal if $[T^{\sharp_A}, T]$ is A -positive, i.e., $[T^{\sharp_A}, T] \geq_A 0$ ([37]).

It is known that the class of A -hyponormal operators is a larger class containing A -normal operators.

Remark 1.5. ([25]) Let $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$. The following statements hold true:

1. $\mathcal{N}(A)$ is an invariant subspace for T .
2. $\mathcal{N}(A)$ is a reducing subspace for T if and only if $\mathcal{N}(A)$ is invariant for T^* .
3. If $\mathcal{N}(A)$ is a reducing subspace for T , then we have

$$TP = PT \quad \text{and} \quad AP = PA = A.$$

The theory of operators on semi-Hilbertian spaces is rich and promising as a branch of functional analysis. The interest on semi-Hilbertian analysis stems from the fact that this theory provides a more general point of view regarding the definition of operators representing physical observables in the context of quantum mechanics [12]. For some references, the reader can see [4–6, 10, 11, 19, 20, 34, 38, 40–43, 45]. In view of this, it is natural to consider the rank one perturbations of bounded linear operators in such a spaces.

If u and v are nonzero vectors in $\mathcal{H}$, we write $u \otimes v$ for the rank one operator defined by

$$(u \otimes v)x := \langle x, v \rangle u, \quad x \in \mathcal{H},$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the inner product of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Note that all rank-one operators have such representation. For $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, we say that an operator $R \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a rank one perturbation of T if there exist nonzero vectors u and v in $\mathcal{H}$ such that $R = T + u \otimes v$. The rank-one perturbations of bounded operators can be applied to several related areas in mathematical physics ([15, 27, 39]). For more details on this topic, we refer the readers for example to [18, 22–24, 26, 32].

Following by the rank one operators in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, for $u, v \in \mathcal{H}$ and for $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^+$, A. Zamani ([46]) introduced the “ A -rank one operator” ($u \otimes_A v$) as follows:

Definition 1.6. For u nonzero vector in $\mathcal{H}$ and $v \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \mathcal{N}(A)$, let $u \otimes_A v$ be the “ A -rank one operator”, namely the map

$$\begin{aligned} (u \otimes_A v) : \mathcal{H} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{H} \\ x &\longmapsto (u \otimes_A v)(x) := (u \otimes (Av))(x) = \langle x, v \rangle_A u. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1.7. Referring to [44, Proposition 1.2.1], it is easy to verify that for $u, v, w, x \in \mathcal{H}$ and $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, we have:

1. $\mathcal{N}(u \otimes_A v) = (\mathbb{C}Av)^\perp$.
2. $\mathcal{R}(u \otimes_A v) = \mathbb{C}u$.
3. $(u \otimes_A v)^* = (Av) \otimes_I u$.
4. $(u \otimes_A v)(w \otimes_A x) = \langle w, v \rangle_A (u \otimes_A x)$.
5. $u \otimes_A v = w \otimes_A z$ if and only if there exist $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $u = \lambda w$, $Av = \mu Az$ and $\lambda \bar{\mu} = 1$.
6. $((Av) \otimes_I u)A = (Av) \otimes_I (Au)$.
7. $A(u \otimes_A v) = (Au) \otimes_I (Av)$.
8. $T(u \otimes_A v) = (Tu) \otimes_A v$ and $(u \otimes_A v)T = u \otimes (T^*Av)$.
9. $T(u \otimes_A v) = (u \otimes_A v)T$ if and only if there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $Tu = \alpha u$, $Av = \beta T^*Av$ and $\alpha \bar{\beta} = 1$.
10. $(u \otimes_A v)^p = \langle u, v \rangle_A^{p-1} (u \otimes_A v)$, for $p \geq 1$.

Recall that the space of trace class operators, denoted by τc , is predual to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with the dual action $\langle T, f \rangle := \text{tr}(Tf)$ for $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $f \in \tau c$ ([9, 31]). For a A -rank one operator ($u \otimes_A v$), we have

$$\text{tr}(T(u \otimes_A v)) = \langle T, u \otimes (Av) \rangle = \langle ATu, v \rangle = \langle AT, u \otimes v \rangle = \text{tr}(AT(u \otimes v)).$$

Let $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a closed subspace. Define by $\mathcal{D}_{\perp_A}$ the A -preannihilator of $\mathcal{D}$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{D}_{\perp_A} := \{t \in \tau c : \text{tr}(ADt) = 0, \text{ for all } D \in \mathcal{D}\}.$$

The subspace $\mathcal{D}$ is said to be A -transitive if the A -preannihilator of $\mathcal{D}$ does not contain any rank-one operators, equivalently, if the preannihilator of $\mathcal{D}$ does not contain any A -rank-one operators.

For $R, S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, consider the map $\Theta_{\bullet}(R, S) : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $\Theta_X(R, S) := RXS - X$. An induction argument shows that

$$\Theta_X^{(m)}(R, S) = \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} R^k X S^k, \quad m \geq 1. \quad (1)$$

For $m \geq 1$, an operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be an (A, m) -isometry if $\Theta_A^{(m)}(T) := \Theta_A^{(m)}(T^*, T) = 0$, that is T is left (A, m) -invertible with T^* as a left inverse ([42]). Let $\mathcal{S}_{\bullet}(R, S) : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be the generalized derivation operator defined by $\mathcal{S}_X(R, S) := RX - XS$. For $n \geq 1$, we have

$$\mathcal{S}_X^{(n)}(R, S) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} R^k X S^{n-k}. \quad (2)$$

For $n \geq 1$, an operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be (A, n) -symmetric (resp. skew- (A, n) -symmetric) if $\mathcal{S}_A^{(n)}(T) := \mathcal{S}_A^{(n)}(T^*, T) = 0$ (resp. if (iT) is (A, n) -symmetric, that is T satisfies

$$\xi_A^{(n)}(T) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} T^{*k} A T^{n-k} = 0). \quad (3)$$

Some notable similarities between (A, m) -isometric and A -isometric operators, as well as between (A, n) -symmetries and A -symmetries, are their properties (see [29, Theorem 5.1] and [38, Proposition 4.1]) given by : if $0 \notin \sigma_{ap}(A)$; then

$$(T \text{ is } (A, m)\text{-isometric, } m \geq 1) \implies (\sigma(T) = \overline{\mathbb{D}} \text{ or } \sigma(T) \subseteq \partial \mathbb{D}) \quad (4)$$

and

$$(T \text{ is } (A, n)\text{-symmetric, } n \geq 1) \implies (\sigma(T) \subseteq \mathbb{R}) \quad (5)$$

where $\overline{\mathbb{D}} := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| \leq 1\}$ and $\partial \mathbb{D} := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| = 1\}$. For proofs and more facts about (A, m) -isometries, (A, n) -symmetries and skew- (A, n) -symmetries, we refer the reader to [14, 29, 38, 40–42] and the references therein. Some other related topics can be found in [1–3, 33].

The contents of the paper are the following. In section 2, we focus on (A, m) -isometric rank-one perturbations. Especially, in Theorem 2.1, we extend the Nakamura characterization established in [35, 36] to the semi-Hilbertian case. The study of rank one perturbations of the form $R = I_{\mathcal{H}} + u \otimes (Av)$, for $u, v \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \mathcal{N}(A)$ is examined in Theorems 2.3. Section 3 is devoted to giving some results characterizing (A, n) -symmetric rank one perturbations. In section 4, we restrict our selves to proving the two main results, Theorem 4.2 and 4.8, that characterize some conditions for $R = T + u \otimes_A v$ to be A -hyponormal or A -normal.

2. (A, m) -isometric rank-one perturbations

Since $\mathcal{N}(u \otimes v) = (Cv)^{\perp}$ (for u, v non zero) and since every m -isometry is necessarily injective, generally a rank-one operator can not be m -isometric. For $A \geq 0$, if T is (A, m) -isometric then $\mathcal{N}(T) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(A)$. Let $(e_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}$, $T := e_k \otimes_A e_k$ for a fixed $k \geq 1$ and A the positive operator defined by

$$Ae_j := \frac{1}{j} e_j, \quad j \geq 1.$$

It follows that

$$\mathcal{N}(T) = (\mathbb{C}Ae_k)^\perp = \left\{ \sum_{j \geq 1, j \neq k} \alpha_j e_j, \alpha_j \in \mathbb{C} \right\}.$$

Moreover, for $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{C}^*$, we have

$$A \left(\sum_{j \geq 1, j \neq k} \alpha_j e_j \right) = \sum_{j \geq 1, j \neq k} \frac{1}{j} \alpha_j e_j \neq 0.$$

So, $x = \sum_{j \geq 1, j \neq k} \alpha_j e_j \in \mathcal{N}(T)$ and $x \notin \mathcal{N}(A)$, that is $\mathcal{N}(T) \not\subseteq \mathcal{N}(A)$. Hence, the A -rank-one operator T can not be (A, m) -isometric.

In this section, we aim to give a characterization of (A, m) -isometric rank one perturbations.

Given an isometry $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and vectors $u, v \in \mathcal{H}$, the perturbation $R = T + u \otimes v$ is an isometry if and only if there exist a vector $h \in \mathcal{H}$ ($\|h\| = 1$) and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ ($|\alpha| = 1$) such that $u = (\alpha - 1)h$ and $v = T^*h$. Equivalently, we have the following result which is due to Nakamura [35, 36]: a rank-one perturbation F of the isometry T is an isometry if and only if there exists $h \in \mathcal{H}$ ($\|h\| = 1$) and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ ($|\alpha| = 1$) such that

$$R = T + (\alpha - 1)h \otimes T^*h. \quad (6)$$

In the following theorem we aim to extend the Nakamura characterization given by (6) to the semi-Hilbertian case. In other words, we give some conditions under which the operator $T + F$ is A -isometric, when F is a A -rank-one operator.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be an A -isometry and F be a A -rank one operator. Then, the following properties are equivalent:*

1. $R = T + F$ is A -isometric.
2. There exists a vector $h \in \mathcal{H}$ ($\|h\|_A = 1$), $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha| = 1$, and

$$F = (\alpha - 1)h \otimes T^*Ah.$$

Proof. $(\Rightarrow)$ Assume that $R = T + u \otimes_A v$ ($u, v \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \mathcal{N}(A)$) is A -isometric, then we have

$$R^*AR = A \iff 0 = (T + u \otimes_A v)^*A(T + u \otimes_A v) - A.$$

Let $a = \frac{u}{\|u\|_A}$, $b = \frac{v}{\|v\|_A}$ and $\gamma = \|u\|_A \|v\|_A$. It follows that $\|a\|_A = \|b\|_A = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} F = u \otimes_A v &= (\|u\|_A a) \otimes_A (\|v\|_A b) \\ &= \|u\|_A \|v\|_A (a \otimes_A b) \\ &= \gamma (a \otimes_A b). \end{aligned}$$

Since $F^* = \bar{\gamma}(Ab) \otimes a$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (T + F)^*A(T + F) - A \\ &= T^*AT + T^*AF + F^*AT + F^*AF - A \\ &= T^*AF + F^*AT + F^*AF \\ &= \gamma(T^*Aa) \otimes (Ab) + \bar{\gamma}(Ab) \otimes (T^*Aa) + |\gamma|^2((Ab) \otimes a)((Aa) \otimes (Ab)) \\ &= \gamma(T^*Aa) \otimes (Ab) + \bar{\gamma}(Ab) \otimes (T^*Aa) + |\gamma|^2\|a\|^2(Ab) \otimes (Ab). \end{aligned}$$

By adding the term $((T^*Aa) \otimes (T^*Aa))$ to the tow sides of the above equality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (T^*Aa) \otimes (T^*Aa) &= \gamma(T^*Aa) \otimes (Ab) + \bar{\gamma}(Ab) \otimes (T^*Aa) + |\gamma|^2 \|a\|^2 (Ab) \otimes (Ab) + (T^*Aa) \otimes (T^*Aa) \\ \iff \underbrace{(T^*Aa) \otimes (T^*Aa)}_{(=X)} &= \underbrace{(T^*Aa + \bar{\gamma}(Ab))}_{(=Y)} \otimes \underbrace{(T^*Aa + \bar{\gamma}(Ab))}_{(=Y)}. \end{aligned}$$

Equivalently, we have

$$(X \otimes X = Y \otimes Y) \iff (\exists \alpha \in \mathbb{C} / |\alpha| = 1 \text{ and } X = \alpha Y).$$

It follows from that

$$\exists \alpha \in \mathbb{C} / |\alpha| = 1, \quad T^*Aa + \bar{\gamma}(Ab) = \alpha T^*Aa$$

and, so, $Ab = \frac{\alpha-1}{\bar{\gamma}} T^*Aa$. Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F = \gamma(a \otimes_A b) &= \gamma(a \otimes (Ab)) \\ &= \gamma a \otimes \left(\frac{\alpha-1}{\bar{\gamma}} T^*Aa \right) \\ &= (\bar{\alpha} - 1)a \otimes T^*Aa. \end{aligned}$$

($\Leftarrow$) Assume, now, that T is A -isometric and there exists an A -unitary vector $h \in \mathcal{H}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha| = 1$, and $F = (\alpha - 1)h \otimes T^*Ah$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (T + F)^*A(T + F) - A &= T^*AF + F^*AT + F^*AF \\ &= T^*A((\alpha - 1)h \otimes T^*Ah) + (T^*Ah \otimes (\alpha - 1)h)AT + (T^*Ah \otimes (\alpha - 1)h)A((\alpha - 1)h \otimes T^*Ah) \\ &= (|\alpha - 1|^2 + \alpha - 1 + \bar{\alpha} - 1)\|h\|_A^2 (T^*Ah) \otimes (T^*Ah) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $T + F$ is A -isometric. □

Referring to [26, Lemma 2.7], if T is invertible then, for an A -unitary vector $h \in \mathcal{H}$, the operator $T + ((\alpha - 1)h \otimes T^*Ah)$ is invertible if and only if $\langle T^{-1}((\alpha - 1)h), T^*Ah \rangle \neq -1$. Moreover, for $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha| = 1$, we have

$$\langle T^{-1}((\alpha - 1)h), T^*Ah \rangle = \langle (\alpha - 1)h, Ah \rangle = (\alpha - 1)\|h\|_A^2 = (\alpha - 1) \neq -1.$$

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.1, we recover the characterization of $(A, 2)$ -isometric rank-one perturbations.

Corollary 2.2. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be an invertible A -isometric operator and F be a A -rank one operator. Then, the following properties are equivalent:*

1. $R = T + F$ is $(A, 2)$ -isometric.
2. There exists an A -unitary vector $h \in \mathcal{H}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha| = 1$, and

$$F = (\alpha - 1)h \otimes T^*Ah.$$

In the case $m \geq 3$ and $T = I_{\mathcal{H}}$, the following characterization holds true.

Theorem 2.3. Let $u, v \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \mathcal{N}(A)$ and let $m \geq 3$. Then $I + u \otimes_A v$ is (A, m) -isometric if and only if either $u \perp_A v$ or $Av = \lambda Au$ where $\lambda = \|u\|_A^{-2}(\mu - 1)$ for some $\mu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$ with $|\mu| = 1$.

Proof. ($\Rightarrow$) Assume that $I + u \otimes_A v$ is (A, m) -isometric and $\langle u, v \rangle_A \neq 0$. We aim to show that u and v are linearly dependant. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= \Theta_A^{(m)}(I + u \otimes_A v) \\
 &= (-1)^m A + \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} (I + (Av) \otimes_I u)^k A (I + u \otimes_A v)^k \\
 &= (-1)^m A + \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} ((Av) \otimes_I u)^j \right\} A \left\{ \sum_{l=0}^k \binom{k}{l} (u \otimes_A v)^l \right\} \\
 &= (-1)^m A + \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \left\{ I + \sum_{j=1}^k \binom{k}{j} \langle v, u \rangle_A^{j-1} ((Av) \otimes_I u) \right\} A \left\{ I + \sum_{l=1}^k \binom{k}{l} \langle u, v \rangle_A^{l-1} (u \otimes_A v) \right\} \\
 &= (-1)^m A + \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \left\{ I + \sum_{j=1}^k \binom{k}{j} \langle v, u \rangle_A^{j-1} ((Av) \otimes_I u) \right\} \left\{ A + \sum_{l=1}^k \binom{k}{l} \langle u, v \rangle_A^{l-1} (Au) \otimes_A v \right\} \\
 &= (-1)^m A + \underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} A + \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \binom{k}{j} \langle v, u \rangle_A^{j-1} ((Av) \otimes_I (Au))}_{\alpha} \\
 &\quad + \underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \sum_{l=1}^k \binom{k}{l} \langle u, v \rangle_A^{l-1} ((Au) \otimes_A v)}_{\beta} \\
 &\quad + \underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{l=1}^k \binom{k}{j} \binom{k}{l} \langle v, u \rangle_A^{j-1} \langle u, v \rangle_A^{l-1} \|u\|_A^2 ((Av) \otimes_A v)}_{\gamma},
 \end{aligned}$$

and so,

$$0 = \alpha((Av) \otimes_I (Au)) + \beta((Au) \otimes_A v) + \gamma((Av) \otimes_A v). \quad (7)$$

Since $\langle u, v \rangle_A \neq 0$, we have

$$0 \neq \frac{\langle v, u \rangle_A^m}{\langle v, u \rangle_A} = \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \frac{(\langle v, u \rangle_A + 1)^k - 1}{\langle v, u \rangle_A} = \alpha = \bar{\beta}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Span}\{Au\} &= \mathcal{R}(-\beta(Au) \otimes_A v) \\
 &= \mathcal{R}(\alpha((Av) \otimes_I (Au)) + \gamma((Av) \otimes_A v)) \\
 &= \text{Span}\{Av\},
 \end{aligned}$$

that is Au and Av are linearly dependent. So, there exists $\lambda = \frac{1}{\|u\|_A^2} \langle v, u \rangle_A \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $Av = \lambda Au$. By using Equation (7), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \binom{k}{j} \lambda^j (\|u\|_A^2)^{j-1} ((Au) \otimes_I (Au)) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \sum_{l=1}^k \binom{k}{l} \bar{\lambda}^l (\|u\|_A^2)^{l-1} ((Au) \otimes_I (Au)) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \binom{k}{j} \lambda^{j-1} (\|u\|_A^2)^{j-1} \right) \left(\sum_{l=1}^k \binom{k}{l} \bar{\lambda}^{l-1} (\|u\|_A^2)^{l-1} \right) \|u\|_A^2 \lambda \bar{\lambda} ((Au) \otimes_I Au) \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \binom{k}{j} \frac{1}{\|u\|_A^2} \left((1 + \lambda \|u\|_A^2)^k - 1 \right) ((Au) \otimes_I (Au)) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \sum_{l=1}^k \binom{k}{l} \frac{1}{\|u\|_A^2} \left((1 + \bar{\lambda} \|u\|_A^2)^k - 1 \right) ((Au) \otimes_I (Au)) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \frac{1}{\|u\|_A^2} \left((1 + \lambda \|u\|_A^2)^k - 1 \right) \left((1 + \bar{\lambda} \|u\|_A^2)^k - 1 \right) ((Au) \otimes_I Au) \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \left\{ \frac{1}{\|u\|_A^2} \left((1 + \lambda \|u\|_A^2)(1 + \bar{\lambda} \|u\|_A^2) \right)^k - \frac{1}{\|u\|_A^2} \right\} ((Au) \otimes_I Au) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\|u\|_A^2} \left((1 + \lambda \|u\|_A^2)(1 + \bar{\lambda} \|u\|_A^2) - 1 \right)^m ((Au) \otimes_I Au) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\|u\|_A^2} \left((1 + \langle v, u \rangle_A)(1 + \langle u, v \rangle_A) - 1 \right)^m ((Au) \otimes_I Au) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\|u\|_A^2} \left(|1 + \langle u, v \rangle_A|^2 - 1 \right)^m ((Au) \otimes_I Au),
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $|1 + \langle u, v \rangle_A| = 1$. Hence, $\langle u, v \rangle_A = \mu - 1$ for some $\mu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$, $|\mu| = 1$.

($\Leftarrow$) If $u \perp_A v$, then the operator $(u \otimes_A v)$ is 2-nilpotent. Referring to [13, Theorem 2.1], $(I + u \otimes_A v)$ is $(A, 3)$ -isometric, and hence it is (A, m) -isometric for $m \geq 3$. On the other hand, if $Av = \lambda Au$ where $\lambda = \|u\|_A^{-2}(-1 + \mu)$ for some $\mu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$ with $|\mu| = 1$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(I + u \otimes_A v)^* A (I + u \otimes_A v) \\
 &= (I + (Av) \otimes u) (A + ((Au) \otimes (Av))) \\
 &= A + (Av) \otimes (Au) + (Au) \otimes (Av) + \|u\|_A^2 ((Av) \otimes (Av)) \\
 &= A + \|u\|_A^{-2} (\bar{\mu} - 1) ((Au) \otimes (Au)) + \|u\|_A^{-2} (\mu - 1) ((Au) \otimes (Au)) \\
 &\quad + \|u\|_A^2 \|u\|_A^{-2} (\mu - 1) \|u\|_A^{-2} (\bar{\mu} - 1) ((Au) \otimes (Au)) \\
 &= A + (\bar{\mu} + \mu - 2 + (\bar{\mu} - 1)(\mu - 1)) \|u\|_A^{-2} ((Au) \otimes (Au)) \\
 &= A.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $(I + u \otimes_A v)$ is A -isometric and so is (A, m) -isometric. □

3. (A, n) -symmetric rank-one perturbations

The main purpose of this section is to develop some characterizations for the class of rank-one operators in semi-Hilbertian spaces, in view of (A, n) -symmetries.

It is well-known that a rank-one operator $u \otimes v$ is symmetric if and only if $u = \lambda v$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. The same property holds true for 2-symmetric rank-one operators as well, since the following equivalence holds:

$$(T \text{ is 2-symmetric}) \iff (T \text{ is 1-symmetric});$$

as shown in [33, Theorem 3.1]. In the following theorem we show that we have the same for A -symmetric operators, as well as $(A, 2)$ -symmetric operators since we have shown (see [29, Theorem 2.5]) that

$$(T \text{ is } (A, 2)\text{-symmetric}) \iff (T \text{ is } A\text{-symmetric}).$$

Theorem 3.1. *Let $u, v \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \mathcal{N}(A)$. Then, the following assertions hold:*

1. $u \otimes_A v$ is A -symmetric if and only if $(Au) = \lambda(Av)$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.
2. The subspace of A -symmetric operators is A -transitive.

Proof. 1. By the statements of Remark 1.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (u \otimes_A v \text{ is } A\text{-symmetric}) &\iff ((Au) \otimes_I (Av) = (Av) \otimes_I (Au)) \\ &\iff (Au = \lambda(Av) \text{ for some } \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}). \end{aligned}$$

2. Let denote by Γ_A the subspace of A -symmetric operators. Let $(e_n)_n$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}$ such that $e_n \notin \mathcal{N}(A)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $(e_n \otimes_A e_n) \in \Gamma_A$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $(x \otimes y) \in \Gamma_A^{\perp_A}$. It holds that

$$0 = \langle A(e_n \otimes_A e_n), x \otimes y \rangle = \langle A(e_n \otimes_A e_n)x, y \rangle = \langle x, e_n \rangle_A \langle e_n, y \rangle_A.$$

Moreover, since $e_n \notin \mathcal{N}(A)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $x \perp_A e_n$ or $y \perp_A e_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that $x \neq 0$ and $y \neq 0$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be the smallest number such that $\langle x, e_k \rangle_A \neq 0$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}$ be the smallest number such that $\langle y, e_l \rangle_A \neq 0$. It is easily seen that $k \neq l$ and $\langle x, e_l \rangle_A = \langle y, e_k \rangle_A = 0$. For $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\beta \neq 0$, let consider $u = \alpha e_l + \bar{\beta} e_k$. Then $(\alpha e_l + \bar{\beta} e_k) \otimes_A (\alpha e_l + \bar{\beta} e_k) \in \Gamma_A$ for any $\alpha, \beta \neq 0$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle (\alpha e_l + \bar{\beta} e_k) \otimes_A (\alpha e_l + \bar{\beta} e_k), x \otimes y \rangle \\ &= \langle x, \alpha e_l + \bar{\beta} e_k \rangle_A \langle \alpha e_l + \bar{\beta} e_k, y \rangle_A \\ &= \bar{\beta} \langle x, e_k \rangle_A \alpha \langle e_l, y \rangle_A \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible since $\alpha, \beta \neq 0$ and $\langle x, e_k \rangle_A \neq 0, \langle y, e_l \rangle_A \neq 0$. Hence, $x = 0$ or $y = 0$. □

In the case of (A, n) -symmetric rank-one operators, with $n \geq 3$, the following characterization holds true.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $u, v \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \mathcal{N}(A)$ and $n \geq 3$. Then, $(u \otimes_A v)$ is (A, n) -symmetric if and only if either $u \perp_A v$ or $Au = \lambda(Av)$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.*

Proof. $(\Rightarrow)$ Assume that $(u \otimes_A v)$ is (A, n) -symmetric. By using Remark 1.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \mathcal{S}_A^{(m)}(u \otimes_A v) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} ((Av) \otimes_I u)^k A(u \otimes_A v)^{m-k} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (-1)^m A(u \otimes_A v)^m + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} ((Av) \otimes_I u)^k A(u \otimes_A v)^{m-k} + ((Av) \otimes_I u)^m A \\
&= (-1)^m \langle u, v \rangle_A^{m-1} ((Au) \otimes_I (Av)) + \langle v, u \rangle_A^{m-1} ((Av) \otimes_I (Au)) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \langle u, v \rangle_A^{m-k-1} \langle v, u \rangle_A^{k-1} \|u\|_A^2 ((Av) \otimes_I (Av)) \\
&= \alpha((Au) \otimes_I (Av)) + \beta((Av) \otimes_I (Au)) + \gamma((Av) \otimes_I (Av)) \\
&= (\alpha(Au) + \gamma((Av) \otimes_I (Av))) \otimes_I (Av) + \beta((Av) \otimes_I (Au))
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

for some $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$. If $\langle u, v \rangle_A \neq 0$ and $u, v \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \mathcal{N}(A)$, then $\beta((Av) \otimes_I (Au)) \neq 0$ and $(\alpha(Au) + \gamma((Av) \otimes_I (Av))) \otimes_I (Av) \neq 0$. It follows that

$$\beta((Av) \otimes_I (Au)) = -(\alpha(Au) + \gamma((Av) \otimes_I (Av))) \otimes_I (Av).$$

A simple computation shows that $\mathcal{N}(\beta((Av) \otimes_I (Au)))^\perp = \text{Span}\{Au\}$ and $\mathcal{N}((\alpha(Au) + \gamma((Av) \otimes_I (Av))) \otimes_I (Av))^\perp = \text{Span}\{Av\}$. Hence, $Au = \lambda(Av)$ for some non-zero $\lambda = \frac{1}{\|v\|_A^2} \langle u, v \rangle_A$. By using Equation (8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= (-1)^m \lambda^m \|v\|_A^{2(m-1)} ((Av) \otimes_I (Av)) + \bar{\lambda}^m \|v\|_A^{2(m-1)} ((Av) \otimes_I (Av)) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \lambda^{m-k-1} \|v\|_A^{2(m-k-1)} \bar{\lambda}^{k-1} \|v\|_A^{2(k-1)} \|u\|_A^2 ((Av) \otimes_I (Av)) \\
&= (\bar{\lambda} - \lambda)^m \|v\|_A^{2(m-1)} ((Av) \otimes_I (Av)) \\
&= (-i\mathcal{I}m(\lambda))^m \|v\|_A^{2(m-1)} ((Av) \otimes_I (Av))
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\mathcal{I}m(\lambda) = 0$, so $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

($\Leftarrow$) Referring to Theorem 3.1, if $Au = \lambda(Av)$ for some non-zero real λ , then the operator $(u \otimes_A v)$ is A -symmetric and hence is (A, n) -symmetric for any $n \geq 1$. On the other hand, if $\langle u, v \rangle_A = 0$, then the operator $(u \otimes_A v)$ is 2-nilpotent. Since every k -nilpotent operator is $(A, 2k - 1)$ -symmetric, we have $(u \otimes_A v)$ is $(A, 3)$ -symmetric, and hence it is (A, n) -symmetric for any $n \geq 3$. $\square$

Example 3.3. Let $(e_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}$. Define the operators A, R and S as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
Ae_n &= \frac{1}{n} e_n \quad (n \geq 1), \\
R &= e_i \otimes_A e_j \quad (i \neq j), \\
S &= e_k \otimes_A e_k \quad (k \geq 1).
\end{aligned}$$

We can easily verify that A is a positive operator and $(e_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is A -orthogonal. Applying Theorem 3.2 (resp. Theorem 3.1) we can deduce that R (resp. S) is (A, n) -symmetric for $n \geq 3$ (resp. A -symmetric).

Recall that a bounded linear operator T is called $(A, 2)$ -symmetric if it satisfies

$$\langle T^2 h, h \rangle_A - 2\|Th\|_A^2 + \langle h, T^2 h \rangle_A = 0, \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{H}. \tag{9}$$

Proposition 3.4. Let $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be A -symmetric. Then the following statements hold.

1. The operator $R = T + u \otimes_A v$ is A -symmetric (resp. $(A, 2)$ -symmetric) if and only if $Au = \lambda(Av)$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.
2. Suppose that $\|v\|_A = 1$ and $Av \in \mathcal{N}(T^* - \lambda)$. Then, $S = T + i\delta(v \otimes_A v)$ is $(A, 2)$ -symmetric if and only if $\mathcal{I}m(\lambda) = \delta$.

3. For $\lambda \in \sigma_p(T)$, there exists $u \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $Tu = \lambda u$ and $u \otimes_A u \in \{T\}'$.

Proof. 1. If $R = T + u \otimes_A v$, then $R^* = T^* + (Av) \otimes_I u$ and $R^*A = T^*A + (Av) \otimes_I (Au)$. Since T is A -symmetric (i.e. $AT = T^*A$), it follows that $AR = T^*A + (Au) \otimes_I (Av)$. Hence, R is A -symmetric if and only if $(Au) \otimes_I (Av) = (Av) \otimes_I (Au)$, which in turns is equivalent to the fact that $Au = \lambda(Av)$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.

2. Since T is A -symmetric and $S = T + i\delta(v \otimes_A v)$, $AS = AT + i\delta(Av) \otimes (Av)$ and $S^*Ah = ASh - 2i\delta\langle h, v \rangle_A (Av)$ for every $h \in \mathcal{H}$. It follows from that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S^2h, h \rangle_A &= \langle Sh, S^*Ah \rangle \\ &= \langle Sh, ASh - 2i\delta\langle h, v \rangle_A (Av) \rangle \\ &= \|Sh\|_A^2 + 2i\delta\langle v, h \rangle_A \langle h, S^*Av \rangle \\ &= \|Sh\|_A^2 + 2i\delta\langle v, h \rangle_A \langle h, (\lambda - i\delta\|v\|_A^2)Av \rangle \\ &= \|Sh\|_A^2 + 2i\delta(\bar{\lambda} + i\delta)|\langle v, h \rangle_A|^2. \end{aligned}$$

By using similar arguments as above, we obtain

$$\langle h, S^2h \rangle_A = \|Sh\|_A^2 - 2i\delta(\lambda - i\delta)|\langle v, h \rangle_A|^2.$$

Substituting in (9) we obtain that

$$\langle S^2h, h \rangle_A - 2\|Sh\|_A^2 + \langle h, S^2h \rangle_A = 4i\delta(\delta - \Im m(\lambda))|\langle v, h \rangle_A|^2.$$

Hence, S is $(A, 2)$ -symmetric if and only if $\Im m(\lambda) = \delta$.

3. Since $\lambda \in \sigma_p(T)$, there exists $u \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $Tu = \lambda u$. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} T(u \otimes_A u) &= (\lambda u) \otimes (Au) = u \otimes A(\lambda u) \\ &= u \otimes (T^*Au) \\ &= (u \otimes_A u)T. \end{aligned}$$

□

The next theorem shows a characterization of (A, n) -symmetric ran-one perturbation of the identity operator for some $n \geq 3$.

Theorem 3.5. Let $u, v \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \mathcal{N}(A)$ and $n \geq 3$. Then, $I + (u \otimes_A v)$ is (A, n) -symmetric if and only if either $u \perp_A v$ or $Au = \lambda(Av)$ for some $\lambda \in F_n$, where

$$F_n := \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^* : 1 - \left(1 + \bar{\lambda}\|u\|_A^2\right)^n + \left(-2i\Im m\lambda\|u\|_A^2\right)^n = 0 \right\}.$$

Proof. $(\Rightarrow)$ Assume that $I + u \otimes_A v$ is (A, n) -symmetric and $\langle u, v \rangle_A \neq 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \mathcal{S}_A^{(n)}(I + u \otimes_A v) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} (I + (Av) \otimes_I u)^k A(I + u \otimes_A v)^{n-k} + (-1)^n A + (-1)^n \sum_{l=1}^n \binom{n}{l} A(u \otimes_A v)^l \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} \left\{ I + \sum_{j=1}^k \binom{k}{j} ((Av) \otimes_I u)^j \right\} A \left\{ I + \sum_{l=1}^{n-k} \binom{n-k}{l} (u \otimes_A v)^l \right\} \\ &\quad + (-1)^n A + \sum_{l=1}^n (-1)^n \binom{n}{l} \langle u, v \rangle_A^{l-1} (Au) \otimes_A v \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} \left\{ I + \sum_{j=1}^k \binom{k}{j} \langle v, u \rangle_A^{j-1} ((Av) \otimes u) \right\} A \left\{ I + \sum_{l=1}^{n-k} \binom{n-k}{l} \langle u, v \rangle_A^{l-1} (u \otimes Av) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +(-1)^n A + \sum_{l=1}^n (-1)^n \binom{n}{l} \langle u, v \rangle_A^{l-1} (Au) \otimes_A v \\
= & \underbrace{(-1)^n A + \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} A}_{(=0)} + \underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} \sum_{l=1}^{n-k} \binom{n-k}{l} \langle u, v \rangle_A^{l-1} (Au \otimes Av)}_{\alpha} \\
& + \underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \binom{k}{j} \langle v, u \rangle_A^{j-1} ((Av) \otimes (Au))}_{\beta} \\
& + \underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{l=1}^{n-k} \binom{k}{j} \binom{n-k}{l} \langle v, u \rangle_A^{j-1} \langle u, v \rangle_A^{l-1} \|u\|_A^2 (Av \otimes Av)}_{\gamma} \\
= & \alpha(Au \otimes Av) + \beta(Av \otimes Au) + \gamma(Av \otimes Av) \tag{10}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha &= \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{\langle u, v \rangle_A} \left\{ \left(\langle u, v \rangle_A + 1 \right)^n - \langle u, v \rangle_A^n - 1 \right\}, \\
\beta &= \langle v, u \rangle_A^{n-1} \quad (\neq 0), \\
\gamma &= \frac{\|u\|_A^2}{|\langle u, v \rangle_A|^2} \left\{ \left(2i \Im m(\langle v, u \rangle_A) \right)^n - \langle v, u \rangle_A^n - (-1)^n \langle u, v \rangle_A^n \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$0 = (\alpha(Au) + \gamma(Av)) \otimes (Av) + \beta(Av \otimes Au).$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Span}\{Au\} &= \mathcal{R}(-\beta(Au) \otimes_A v) \\
&= \mathcal{R}(\alpha((Av) \otimes_I (Au)) + \gamma((Av) \otimes_A v)) \\
&= \text{Span}\{Av\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $Av = \lambda(Au)$ for some non-zero $\lambda = \frac{1}{\|u\|_A^2} \langle v, u \rangle_A$. Replacing in Equation (10), we obtain

$$0 = \frac{(-1)^n}{\|u\|_A^2} \left\{ 1 - \left(1 + \bar{\lambda} \|u\|_A^2 \right)^n + \left(-2i \Im m \lambda \|u\|_A^2 \right)^n \right\} (Au \otimes Au) \tag{11}$$

and, so, $\lambda \in F_n$.

($\Leftarrow$) If $u \perp_A v$, then the operator $(u \otimes_A v)$ is 2-nilpotent. According to [29, Theorem 4.2.]), $(I + u \otimes_A v)$ is $(A, 3)$ -symmetric, and hence it is (A, n) -symmetric for $n \geq 3$. On the other hand, if $Au = \lambda(Av)$ for some $\lambda \in F_n$, then Equation (11) allows to conclude.

□

Remark that, for $u, v \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \mathcal{N}(A)$, we have

$$\sigma(I + u \otimes_A v) = \sigma(I + u \otimes (Av)) = \{1, 1 + \langle u, v \rangle_A\},$$

which is finite. For $A = I$ and Referring to (5), this shows that $\langle u, v \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$. The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.6.

Corollary 3.6. *Let $u, v \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \{0\}$ and $n \geq 3$. Then, $I + (u \otimes v)$ is n -symmetric if and only if either $u \perp v$ or $u = \lambda v$ for some $\lambda \in F_n$, where*

$$F_n := \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^* : (1 + \lambda \|u\|_A^2)^n = 1 \right\}.$$

Example 3.7. *Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^2$ equipped with the norm $\|(x, y)\|^2 = |x|^2 + |y|^2$, $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $u = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then, $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^+$ and $u, v \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \mathcal{N}(A)$ satisfying $\langle u, v \rangle_A = -2$. According to Theorem 3.6, the operators $(I + u \otimes_A v)$ is $(A, 6)$ -symmetric (and so $(A, 5)$ -symmetric).*

4. A -hyponormality and A -normality of rank-one perturbations

We aim in this section to characterize the A -hyponormality (resp. the A -normality) of rank one perturbations of A -hyponormal (resp. A -normal) bounded linear operators.

Let begin our study with the following result.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $u, v \in \mathcal{H}$. Then the following statements hold.*

1. $u \otimes_A v \in \mathcal{B}_{A^{\frac{1}{2}}}(\mathcal{H})$ and $(u \otimes_A v)^{\sharp_A} = v \otimes_A u$.
2. $u \otimes_A v$ is A -normal if and only if u and v are linearly dependant.

Proof. 1. Let $x \in \mathcal{H}$. Since $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^+$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(u \otimes_A v)(x)\|_A &= |\langle x, v \rangle_A| \|u\|_A \\ &= |\langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}x, A^{\frac{1}{2}}v \rangle| \|u\|_A \\ &\leq \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}x\| \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}v\| \|u\|_A \leq \lambda \|u\|_A. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (u \otimes_A v)^{\sharp_A}(x), x \rangle_A &= \langle A(u \otimes_A v)^{\sharp_A}(x), x \rangle \\ &= \langle (u \otimes_A v)^* A(x), x \rangle \\ &= \langle ((Av) \otimes_I u) A(x), x \rangle \\ &= \langle \langle x, u \rangle_A v, x \rangle_A = \langle (v \otimes_A u)x, x \rangle_A. \end{aligned}$$

2. Let $T = (u \otimes_A v)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} T \text{ is } A\text{-normal} &\iff (u \otimes_A v)(u \otimes_A v)^{\sharp_A} = (u \otimes_A v)^{\sharp_A}(u \otimes_A v) \\ &\iff (u \otimes_A v)(v \otimes_A u) = (v \otimes_A u)(u \otimes_A v) \\ &\iff (\|v\|_A^2 u) \otimes_A u = (\|u\|_A^2 v) \otimes_A v \\ &\iff \exists \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}^*, \|v\|_A^2 u = \lambda \|u\|_A^2 v, Au = \mu Av; \lambda \bar{\mu} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

□

The following theorem gives a characterization of rank-one perturbation of an A -hyponormal operator.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ be A -hyponormal, $u \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{H}}\}$, $v \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \mathcal{N}(A)$ and set $R = T + u \otimes_A v$. Then the following statements hold.*

1. If u and v are linearly dependent, then R is A -hyponormal if and only if, for $\Theta_A(T) := (\lambda T^*A - \bar{\lambda}(T^*A)^*)$ and $\lambda = \frac{\langle u, v \rangle_A}{\|v\|_A^2}$, the following inequality holds true

$$\Re(\langle x, v \rangle_A \langle \Theta_A(T)v, x \rangle) \geq -\frac{1}{2}(\|Tx\|_A^2 - \|T^{\sharp_A}x\|_A^2), \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{H}. \quad (12)$$

2. If u and v are linearly independent, then R is A -hyponormal if and only if, for $x \in \text{Span}\{u, v\}$ and $\Psi_A(T) := \langle x, T^*Au \rangle_A - \langle x, u \rangle_A AT$, we have

$$\Re(\langle \Psi_A(T)v, x \rangle) + \frac{1}{2}(\|u\|_A^2 |\langle x, v \rangle_A|^2 - \|v\|_A^2 |\langle x, u \rangle_A|^2) \geq -\frac{1}{2}(\|Tx\|_A^2 - \|T^{\sharp_A}x\|_A^2). \quad (13)$$

Proof. 1. We assume that u, v are linearly dependent. Then, there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $u = \lambda v = \frac{1}{\|v\|_A^2} \langle u, v \rangle_A v$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_A^2 (v \otimes_A v) &= |\lambda|^2 \|v\|_A^2 (v \otimes_A v) \\ &= \|v\|_A^2 (u \otimes_A u). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have

$$[R^{\sharp_A}, R] = [T^{\sharp_A}, T] + (T^{\sharp_A}u) \otimes_A v + v \otimes_A (T^{\sharp_A}u) - (Tv) \otimes_A u - u \otimes_A (T^{\sharp_A}v).$$

For all $x \in \mathcal{H}$, the identity (14) gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle [R^{\sharp_A}, R]x, x \rangle_A \\ &= \langle [T^{\sharp_A}, T]x, x \rangle_A + \langle ((\lambda T^{\sharp_A}v - \bar{\lambda}Tv) \otimes_A v)x, x \rangle_A + \langle v \otimes_A (\lambda T^{\sharp_A}v - \bar{\lambda}T^{\sharp_A}v)x, x \rangle_A \\ &= \langle [T^{\sharp_A}, T]x, x \rangle_A + \langle ((\lambda AT^{\sharp_A}v - \bar{\lambda}ATv) \otimes_A Av)x, x \rangle + \langle Av \otimes (\lambda AT^{\sharp_A}v - \bar{\lambda}T^{\sharp_A}v)x, x \rangle \\ &= \langle [T^{\sharp_A}, T]x, x \rangle_A + \underbrace{\langle ((\lambda T^*Av - \bar{\lambda}(T^*A)^*v) \otimes_A Av)x, x \rangle} + \underbrace{\langle Av \otimes (\lambda T^*Av - \bar{\lambda}(T^*A)^*v)x, x \rangle} \\ &= \langle [T^{\sharp_A}, T]x, x \rangle_A + \langle (\Theta_A(T)v \otimes_A Av)x, x \rangle + \langle (Av \otimes \Theta_A(T)v)x, x \rangle \\ &= \langle [T^{\sharp_A}, T]x, x \rangle_A + 2\Re(\langle x, v \rangle_A \langle \Theta_A(T)v, x \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

Hence R is A -hyponormal if and only if

$$\Re(\langle x, v \rangle_A \langle \Theta_A(T)v, x \rangle) \geq -\frac{1}{2}\langle [T^{\sharp_A}, T]x, x \rangle_A = -\frac{1}{2}(\|Tx\|_A^2 - \|T^{\sharp_A}x\|_A^2).$$

2. We assume now that u, v are linearly independent vectors. For $x \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle [R^{\sharp_A}, R]x, x \rangle_A \\ &= \langle [T^{\sharp_A}, T]x, x \rangle_A + \langle ((T^{\sharp_A}u) \otimes_A v)x, x \rangle_A + \langle v \otimes_A (T^{\sharp_A}u)x, x \rangle_A \\ &\quad + \|u\|_A^2 \langle (v \otimes_A v)x, x \rangle_A - \langle ((Tv) \otimes_A u)x, x \rangle_A - \langle (u \otimes_A (T^{\sharp_A}v))x, x \rangle_A - \|v\|_A^2 \langle (u \otimes_A u)x, x \rangle_A \\ &= \langle [T^{\sharp_A}, T]x, x \rangle_A + \langle x, v \rangle_A \langle T^{\sharp_A}u, x \rangle_A + \langle x, T^{\sharp_A}u \rangle_A \langle v, x \rangle_A + \|u\|_A^2 |\langle x, v \rangle_A|^2 \\ &\quad - \langle x, u \rangle_A \langle Tv, x \rangle_A - \langle x, (T^{\sharp_A}v) \rangle_A \langle u, x \rangle_A - \|v\|_A^2 |\langle x, u \rangle_A|^2 \\ &= \langle [T^{\sharp_A}, T]x, x \rangle_A + \langle x, T^{\sharp_A}u \rangle_A \overline{\langle x, u \rangle_A Tv, x \rangle_A} + \|u\|_A^2 |\langle x, v \rangle_A|^2 \\ &\quad - \|v\|_A^2 |\langle x, u \rangle_A|^2 + \langle x, \overline{\langle T^{\sharp_A}u, x \rangle_A} v - \overline{\langle u, x \rangle_A} (T^{\sharp_A}v) \rangle_A \\ &= \langle [T^{\sharp_A}, T]x, x \rangle_A + \langle x, T^{\sharp_A}u \rangle_A \overline{\langle x, u \rangle_A Tv, x \rangle_A} + \|u\|_A^2 |\langle x, v \rangle_A|^2 \\ &\quad - \|v\|_A^2 |\langle x, u \rangle_A|^2 + \langle x, \langle x, T^{\sharp_A}u \rangle_A v - \langle x, u \rangle_A (T^{\sharp_A}v) \rangle_A \\ &= \langle [T^{\sharp_A}, T]x, x \rangle_A + \underbrace{\langle x, T^*Au \rangle_A v - \langle x, u \rangle_A ATv, x \rangle} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\langle x, \langle x, T^*Au \rangle_A v - \langle x, u \rangle_A ATv \rangle} + \|u\|_A^2 |\langle x, v \rangle_A|^2 - \|v\|_A^2 |\langle x, u \rangle_A|^2 \\ &= \langle [T^{\sharp_A}, T]x, x \rangle_A + 2\Re(\langle \Psi_A(T)v, x \rangle) + (\|u\|_A^2 |\langle x, v \rangle_A|^2 - \|v\|_A^2 |\langle x, u \rangle_A|^2). \end{aligned}$$

($\Rightarrow$) If $x \in \text{Span}\{u, v\}$ and

$$\Re(\langle \Psi_A(T)v, x \rangle + \frac{1}{2}(\|u\|_A^2 |\langle x, v \rangle_A|^2 - \|v\|_A^2 |\langle x, u \rangle_A|^2)) \geq -\frac{1}{2} \langle [T^{\sharp_A}, T]x, x \rangle_A$$

then $\langle [R^{\sharp_A}, R]x, x \rangle_A \geq 0$ which in turn implies that $R^{\sharp_A}$ is A -hyponormal. On the other hand, if $x \in (\text{Span}\{u, v\})^{\perp_A}$, then $\langle [R^{\sharp_A}, R]x, x \rangle_A = \langle [T^{\sharp_A}, T]x, x \rangle_A \geq 0$, and thus $R^{\sharp_A}$ is A -hyponormal.

($\Leftarrow$) If $R^{\sharp_A}$ is A -hyponormal, then arguing as above we prove that Inequality (13) holds true.

□

The following example is an application of Theorem 4.2.

Example 4.3. Let $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ be operators acting on two-dimensional Hilbert space $\mathbb{C}^2$. Set $R = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + (u \otimes v)$. Then, $T^{\sharp_A} = 0$ ([25]) and $\Theta_A(T)v = \Psi_A(T)v = 0$. Referring to Theorem 4.2, we deduce that:

1. If u and v are linearly dependent, then R is A -hyponormal if and only if $(u \otimes v)$ is A -hyponormal.
2. If u and v are linearly independent, then R is A -hyponormal if and only if

$$\|u\|_A^2 |\langle x, v \rangle_A|^2 \geq \|v\|_A^2 |\langle x, u \rangle_A|^2, \quad x \in \text{Span}\{u, v\}.$$

Corollary 4.4. Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^+$ satisfying $\langle e_i, e_j \rangle_A = 0$ for all $i \neq j$, $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ be a unilateral shift, $u \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{H}}\}$ and $v \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \mathcal{N}(A)$. Then $R = T + u \otimes v$ is A -hyponormal if and only if either

$$\Re(\langle x, v \rangle_A \langle \Theta_A(T)v, x \rangle) \geq -\frac{1}{2} \Lambda_A, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{H} \quad (14)$$

or

$$\Re(\langle \Psi_A(T)v, x \rangle) + \frac{1}{2}(\|u\|_A^2 |\langle x, v \rangle_A|^2 - \|v\|_A^2 |\langle x, u \rangle_A|^2) \geq -\frac{1}{2} \Lambda_A, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{H} \quad (15)$$

where

$$\Lambda_A = \sum_{n \neq m} x_n \bar{x}_m \langle T^{\sharp_A} e_n, T^{\sharp_A} e_m \rangle_A + \sum_n |x_n|^2 (\|e_{n+1}\|_A^2 - \|T^{\sharp_A} e_n\|_A^2).$$

Proof. Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be the unilateral shift defined by $Te_n = e_{n+1}$, $n \geq 1$. Then, for $x = \sum_{n \geq 1} x_n e_n \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx\|_A^2 &= \underbrace{\sum_{n \neq m} x_n \bar{x}_m \langle e_{n+1}, e_{m+1} \rangle_A}_{(=0)} + \sum_{n \geq 1} |x_n|^2 \|e_{n+1}\|_A^2, \\ \|T^{\sharp_A} x\|_A^2 &= \sum_{n \neq m} x_n \bar{x}_m \langle T^{\sharp_A} e_n, T^{\sharp_A} e_m \rangle_A + \sum_{n \geq 1} |x_n|^2 \|T^{\sharp_A} e_n\|_A^2. \end{aligned}$$

By applying Theorem 4.2 we finish the proof. □

Example 4.5. Let $Ae_n = \frac{1}{n}e_n$, $n \geq 1$ and $Te_n = e_{n+1}$, $n \geq 1$. Then, $\langle e_i, e_j \rangle_A = \frac{1}{i}\langle e_i, e_j \rangle = 0$. Moreover, for $u = \sum_{n \geq 1} \rho_n e_n \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tu\|_A^2 &= \langle Tu, Tu \rangle_A \\ &= \underbrace{\sum_{i \neq j \geq 1} \rho_i \bar{\rho}_j \langle Te_i, Te_j \rangle_A}_{(=0)} + \sum_{i \geq 1} |\rho_i|^2 \langle Te_i, Te_i \rangle_A \\ &= \sum_{i \geq 1} |\rho_i|^2 \frac{1}{i+1} \langle e_{i+1}, e_{i+1} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i \geq 1} |\rho_i|^2 \frac{i}{i+1} \langle \frac{1}{i} e_i, e_i \rangle \leq \|u\|_A^2, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$. By a simple calculation, we obtain

$$A^+(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (x_1, 2x_2, 3x_3, \dots), \text{ i.e. } A^+e_n = ne_n, \quad n \geq 1,$$

and it follows from that

$$T^{\sharp_A}e_n = A^+T^*Ae_n = T^*e_n = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n = 1; \\ e_{n-1}, & \text{if } n \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, we have T is A -hyponormal since $\langle [T^{\sharp_A}, T]u, u \rangle_A = \langle [T^*, T]u, u \rangle_A = 0$, for all $u \in \mathcal{H}$.

Corollary 4.6. Let $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ be a unilateral shift, $u \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{H}}\}$, $v \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \mathcal{N}(A)$ and set $R = T + u \otimes_A v$. Then the following statements hold.

1. Assume that u and v are linearly dependent vectors. If $\Theta_A(T)v = \eta Av$, then

$$\eta = \frac{2i}{\|v\|_A^4} \Im(\langle u, v \rangle_A \langle v, Tv \rangle_A)$$

and R is A -hyponormal.

2. Assume that u and v are linearly independent with $\|u\|_A = \|v\|_A = 1$. If $\Psi_A(T)v = 0$ or $\Psi_A(T)v = x$ for $x = y + z$ where $y = \langle y, u \rangle_A u + \langle y, v \rangle_A v$ with $|\langle y, v \rangle_A| \geq |\langle y, u \rangle_A|$ and $z \in \{u, v\}^{\perp_A}$, then R is A -hyponormal.

Proof. 1. Assume that $\Theta_A(T)v = \eta Av$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \eta \|v\|_A^4 &= \|v\|_A^2 \langle \Theta_A(T)v, v \rangle \\ &= \langle u, v \rangle_A \langle T^*Av, v \rangle - \overline{\langle u, v \rangle_A \langle v, (T^*A)^*v \rangle} \\ &= 2i \Im(\langle u, v \rangle_A \langle v, Tv \rangle_A). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\eta = \frac{2i}{\|v\|_A^4} \Im(\langle u, v \rangle_A \langle v, Tv \rangle_A)$. Moreover, for any $x \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Re(\langle x, v \rangle_A \langle \Theta_A(T)v, x \rangle) &= \Re(\eta \langle x, v \rangle_A \langle v, x \rangle_A) \\ &= \Re(\eta |\langle x, v \rangle_A|^2) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By applying (1)-Theorem 4.2 we obtain the desired claim.

2. Under the assumptions, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\Re(\langle \Psi_A(T)v, x \rangle) + \frac{1}{2}(\|u\|_A^2 |\langle x, v \rangle_A|^2 - \|v\|_A^2 |\langle x, u \rangle_A|^2) \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(|\langle y, v \rangle_A|^2 - |\langle y, u \rangle_A|^2), & \text{if } \Psi_A(T)v = 0; \\ \|x\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(|\langle y, v \rangle_A|^2 - |\langle y, u \rangle_A|^2), & \text{if } \Psi_A(T)v = x. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

By applying (2)-Theorem 4.2 we finish the proof. □

Example 4.7. Let A and T as in Example 4.5 and let $u = e_1 + e_2$, $v = i(e_1 + e_2)$. Then

$$\eta = \frac{2i}{\|v\|_A^4} \Im(\langle u, v \rangle_A \langle v, Tv \rangle_A) = -\frac{4}{5}i$$

and the operator $R = T + ((e_1 + e_2) \otimes_A i(e_1 + e_2))$ is A -hyponormal.

The following theorem gives a necessary and sufficient conditions that a A -rank one perturbation of an A -normal operator to be A -normal.

Theorem 4.8. Let $u, v \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \mathcal{N}(A)$ and $N \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ be A -normal such that $\mathcal{N}(A)$ is a reducing subspace for N . Then $T = N + u \otimes_A v \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ is A -normal if and only if one of the following conditions holds true:

- (i) u, v are linearly dependent and $(v \otimes \Theta_A(N)v)$ is a skew A -symmetric operator.
- (ii) u, v are linearly independent and there exist $\sigma, \eta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\Re(\eta) = -\frac{1}{2}$ and

$$(N - \sigma)^{\sharp_A} u = \|u\|_A^2 \eta P v \text{ and } (N - \sigma)v = \|v\|_A^2 \bar{\eta} u. \quad (16)$$

Proof. Remark that, for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u \otimes_A ((N^{\sharp_A})^{\sharp_A} v)(x) &= \langle x, ((N^{\sharp_A})^{\sharp_A} v) \rangle_A u \\ &= \langle x, Nv \rangle_A u \\ &= u \otimes_A (Nv)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Since N is A -normal, the operator $T = N + u \otimes_A v$ is A -normal if and only if

$$(N^{\sharp_A} u) \otimes_A v + v \otimes_A (N^{\sharp_A} u) + \|u\|_A^2 (v \otimes_A v) = (Nv) \otimes_A u + u \otimes_A Nv + \|v\|_A^2 (u \otimes_A u). \quad (17)$$

Obviously, it follows from (17) that

$$\text{ran}([T^{\sharp_A}, T]) \subset \text{Span}\{u, v, Nv, N^{\sharp_A} u\}.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\langle x, v \rangle_A N^{\sharp_A} u + \langle x, N^{\sharp_A} u + \|u\|_A^2 v \rangle_A v = \langle x, Nv + \|v\|_A^2 u \rangle_A u + \langle x, u \rangle_A (Nv). \quad (18)$$

If u, v are linearly dependent, then there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $u = \lambda v = \frac{1}{\|v\|_A^2} \langle u, v \rangle_A v$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_A^2 (v \otimes_A v) &= |\lambda|^2 \|v\|_A^2 (v \otimes_A v) \\ &= \|v\|_A^2 (u \otimes_A u). \end{aligned}$$

Then, for $x \in \mathcal{H}$, the identity (18) gives

$$\langle [T^{\sharp_A}, T]x, x \rangle_A = \langle (\Theta_A(N)v \otimes Av)x, x \rangle + \langle (Av \otimes \Theta_A(N)v)x, x \rangle. \quad (19)$$

Then T is A -normal if and only if

$$\langle (\Theta_A(N)v \otimes Av)x, x \rangle = -\langle (Av \otimes \Theta_A(N)v)x, x \rangle, \forall x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Equivalently, we have

$$A(v \otimes \Theta_A(N)v) = -(v \otimes \Theta_A(N)v)^* A,$$

and this identity holds if and only if $(v \otimes \Theta_A(N)v)$ is skew A -symmetric.

Now, assume that u, v are linearly independent and (16) holds true. According to Remark 1.5, note first that since $\mathcal{N}(A)$ is a reducing subspace for N , we have $NP = PN$ and $AP = PA = A$. Then for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle [T^{\sharp_A}, T]x, x \rangle_A \\ &= \left\langle \left((\bar{\sigma}Pu + \|u\|_A^2 \eta Pv) \otimes_A v + v \otimes_A (\bar{\sigma}Pu + \|u\|_A^2 \eta Pv) + \|u\|_A^2 v \otimes_A v \right) x, x \right\rangle_A \\ & \quad - \left\langle \left((\sigma v + \|v\|_A^2 \bar{\eta}u) \otimes_A u + u \otimes_A (\sigma v + \|v\|_A^2 \bar{\eta}u) + \|v\|_A^2 u \otimes_A u \right) x, x \right\rangle_A \\ &= \bar{\sigma} \langle x, v \rangle_A \langle u, x \rangle_A + \sigma \langle x, u \rangle_A \langle v, x \rangle_A + (2\Re(\eta) + 1) \|u\|_A^2 \langle x, v \rangle_A \langle v, x \rangle_A \\ & \quad - \sigma \langle x, u \rangle_A \langle v, x \rangle_A - \bar{\sigma} \langle x, v \rangle_A \langle u, x \rangle_A - (2\Re(\eta) + 1) \|v\|_A^2 \langle x, u \rangle_A \langle u, x \rangle_A \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, suppose that T is A -normal. If u and v are dependant, then obviously (19) implies that $(v \otimes \Theta_A(N)v)$ is skew A -symmetric. So, (i) holds true.

We assume now that u and v are linearly independent vectors. The identity (18) gives

$$\langle x, N^{\sharp_A} u \rangle_A v - \langle x, Nv \rangle_A u = 0, \quad x \in \left(\text{Span}\{u, v\} \right)^{\perp_A} = \left(\text{Span}\{Au, Av\} \right)^{\perp}$$

which implies that

$$\langle x, N^{\sharp_A} u \rangle_A = \langle x, Nv \rangle_A = 0, \quad \forall x \in \left(\text{Span}\{u, v\} \right)^{\perp_A}.$$

It follow from that

$$N^{\sharp_A} u = \alpha Pu + \beta Pv, \quad Nv = \gamma u + \sigma v. \quad (20)$$

On the other hand, we have

$$Pv \otimes_A P^* Pu(z) = \langle z, P^* APu \rangle = Pv \otimes_A P^* u(z), \quad \forall z \in \mathcal{H}.$$

By multiplying both sides of (17) by P and P^* and using (20), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha Pu \otimes_A P^* v + \bar{\alpha} Pv \otimes_A P^* u + \left(\beta + \bar{\beta} + \|u\|_A^2 \right) Pv \otimes_A P^* v \\ &= \bar{\sigma} Pu \otimes_A P^* v + \sigma Pv \otimes_A P^* u + \left(\gamma + \bar{\gamma} + \|v\|_A^2 \right) Pu \otimes_A P^* u. \end{aligned}$$

This last equality holds if

$$\alpha = \bar{\sigma}, \quad 2\Re(\beta) + \|u\|_A^2 = 2\Re(\gamma) + \|v\|_A^2 = 0.$$

Combining this with (20), there exists $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(N - \sigma)^{\sharp_A} u = (N^{\sharp_A} - \bar{\sigma}P)u = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\|u\|_A^2 + i\nu_1 \right) Pv \quad (21)$$

and

$$(N - \sigma)v = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\|v\|_A^2 + i\nu_2 \right) u. \quad (22)$$

Since N is A -normal and $NP = PN$ (see Remark 1.5), it follows that

$$(N - \sigma)^{\sharp_A} (N - \sigma)u = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\|u\|_A^2 + i\nu_1 \right) \left(-\frac{1}{2}\|v\|_A^2 + i\nu_2 \right) Pu.$$

Thus, since $(N - \sigma)$ is A -normal, the operator $(N - \sigma)^{\sharp_A} (N - \sigma)$ is A -positive, that is

$$\langle (N - \sigma)^{\sharp_A} (N - \sigma)u, u \rangle_A = \left(\frac{\|u\|_A^2 \|v\|_A^2}{4} - i(\nu_2 \|u\|_A^2 + \nu_1 \|v\|_A^2) - \nu_1 \nu_2 \right) \|u\|_A^2 \geq 0$$

which implies that $v_2 \|u\|_A^2 = -v_1 \|v\|_A^2$. By using (21) and (22) we see that

$$(N - \sigma)^{\sharp_A} u = \|u\|_A^2 \left(-\frac{1}{2} + i \frac{v_1}{\|u\|_A^2} \right) P v$$

and

$$(N - \sigma)v = \|v\|_A^2 \left(-\frac{1}{2} - i \frac{v_1}{\|u\|_A^2} \right) u.$$

Hence, u and v satisfies (16). $\square$

Corollary 4.9. Let $N \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ be A -normal such that $\mathcal{N}(A)$ is a reducing subspace for N and set $T = N + u \otimes_A v \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$. If u and v are dependant, then T is A -normal if and only if $v \in \mathcal{N}(2\Im(\lambda N^* A) + itA)$ for some $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. By using Theorem 4.8, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [T^{\sharp_A}, T] = 0 &\iff A(v \otimes \Theta_A(N)v) = -(v \otimes \Theta_A(N)v)^* A \\ &\iff (Av) \otimes \Theta_A(N)v = -\Theta_A(N)v \otimes (Av) \\ &\iff \Theta_A(N)v = -itAv \quad (\text{for some } t \in \mathbb{R}) \\ &\iff v \in \mathcal{N}(2\Im(\lambda N^* A) + itA) \quad (\text{for some } t \in \mathbb{R}). \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Acknowledgements

The authors express their gratitude to the anonymous referees and editors for their valuable comments and suggestions, which have significantly enhanced the quality of the manuscript.

References

- [1] J. Agler, M. Stankus, *m*-Isometric Transformations of Hilbert Spaces I, *Integral Equations Operator Theory*. **21** (1995), 383–429.
- [2] J. Agler, M. Stankus, *m*-isometric transformations of Hilbert space II, *Integral Equations Operator Theory*. **23** (1995), 1–48.
- [3] J. Agler, M. Stankus, *m*-isometric transformations of Hilbert space III, *Integral Equations Operator Theory*. **24** (1996), 379–421.
- [4] J. P. Antoine, C. Trapani, *Partial inner product spaces, metric operators and generalized hermiticity*, *J. Phys. A Math. Theor.* **46**, 025204 (2013). ((Corrigendum, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **46** (2013), 329501)).
- [5] M. L. Arias, G. Corach, M.C. Gonzalez, *Partial isometries in semi-Hilbertian spaces*, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **428** (2008), 1460–1475.
- [6] M. L. Arias, G. Corach, M.C. Gonzalez, *Metric properties of projections in semi-Hilbertian spaces*, *Integral Equations Operator Theory*. **62** (2008), 11–28.
- [7] M. F. Ahmadi, *Powers of A-m-Isometric Operators and Their Supercyclicity*, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* **39** (2016), 901–911.
- [8] A. Benali, O.A.M Sid Ahmed, (α, β) - A -Normal operators in semi-Hilbertian spaces, *Afr. Mat.* **30** (2019), 903–920.
- [9] C. Benhida, K. Klis-Garlicka, M. Ptak, *Skew-symmetric operators and reflexivity*, *Math. Slovaca*. **68** (2018), 415–420.
- [10] H. Baklouti, K. Feki, S.O.A Mahmoud, *Joint normality of operators in semi-Hilbertian spaces*, *Linear Multilinear Algebra*. **68** (2020), 845–866.
- [11] H. Baklouti, S. Namouri, *Closed operators in semi-Hilbertian spaces*, *Linear Multilinear Algebra*. **1** (2021), <https://doi.org/10.1080/03081087.2021.1932709>
- [12] H. Baklouti, S. Namouri, *Spectral analysis of bounded operators on semi-Hilbertian spaces*, *Banach J. Math. Anal.* **16** (2022), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43037-021-00167-1>
- [13] T. Bermúdez, A. Saddi and H. Zaway, *(A, m)*-isometries on Hilbert spaces, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **540** (2018), 590–111.
- [14] M. Chô, S. A. O. Mahmoud, *(A, m)*-Symmetric commuting tuples of operators on a Hilbert Space, *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **22** (2019), 931–947.
- [15] W. Donoghue, *On the perturbation of spectra*, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **18** (1965), 559–579.
- [16] B.P. Duggal, I. H. Kim, *Left m-invertibility by the adjoint of Drazin inverse and m-selfadjointness of Hilbert spaces*, *Linear Multilinear Algebra*. (2020), DOI:10.1080/03081087.2020.1793880
- [17] R.G. Douglas, *On majorization, factorization and range inclusion of operators in Hilbert spaces*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **17** (1966), 413–416.
- [18] R. Eskandari, F. Mirzapour, *On Finite Rank Perturbation of Diagonalizable Operators*, *Funct. Anal. Approx. Comput.* **6** (2014), 49–53.
- [19] K. Feki, *Some numerical radius inequalities for semi-Hilbert space operators*, *J. Korean Math. Soc.* **58** (2021), 1385–1405.
- [20] K. Feki, *Spectral radius of semi-Hilbertian space operators and its applications*, *Ann. Funct. Anal.* **11** (2020), 929–946.
- [21] P. A. Fillmore, J. P. Williams, *On operator ranges*, *Adv. Math.* **7** (1971), 254–281.

- [22] Q. Fang and J. Xia, *Invariant subspaces for certain finite-rank perturbations of diagonal operator*, J. Funct. Anal. **263** (2012), 1356–1377.
- [23] C. Foias, I.B. Jung, E. Ko, C. Pearcy, *Spectral decomposability of rank-one perturbations of normal operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **375** (2011), 602–609.
- [24] C. Foias, I.B. Jung, E. Ko, C. Pearcy, *On rank-one perturbations of normal operators*, J. Funct. Anal. **253** (2007), 628–646.
- [25] M. Guesba, E. Ould Beiba, Sid Ahmed Ould Ahmed Mahmoud, *Joint A -hyponormality of operators in semi-Hilbert spaces*, Linear Multilinear Algebra. **69** (2021), DOI: 10.1080/03081087.2019.1698509
- [26] E. Ionascu, *Rank-one perturbations of diagonal operators*, Integral Equations Operator Theory. **39** (2001), 421–440.
- [27] S. Jitomirskaya, B. Simon, *Operators with singular continuous spectrum: III. Almost periodic Schrödinger operators*, Comm. Math. Phys. **165** (1994), 201–205.
- [28] S. Ghribi, N. Jeridi, R. Rabaoui, *On (A, m) -isometric commuting tuples of operators on a Hilbert space*, Linear Multilinear Algebra. **70** (2022), DOI: 10.1080/03081087.2020.1786489.
- [29] N. Jeridi, R. Rabaoui, *On (A, m) -Symmetric Operators in a Hilbert Space*, Results Math. **74** (2019), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00025-019-1049-0>
- [30] S. Jung, E. Ko, M. Lee, J. Lee, *On local spectral properties of complex symmetric operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **379** (2011), 325–333.
- [31] K. Klis-Garllicka, M. Ptak, *On Reflexivity Of C -Symmetric Or Skew- C -Symmetric Operators*, Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences Kokyuroku. **2073** (2018), 11–17.
- [32] E. Ko, J. E. Lee, *On Rank One Perturbations of Complex Symmetric Operators*, Filomat. **29** (2015), 1795–1809.
- [33] S. A. McCullough, L. Rodman, *Hereditary classes of operators and matrices*, Amer. Math. Monthly. **104** (1997), 415–430.
- [34] M. S. Moslehian, Q. Xu, A. Zamani, *Seminorm and numerical radius inequalities of operators in semi-Hilbertian spaces*, Linear Algebra Appl. **591** (2020), 299–321.
- [35] Y. Nakamura, *One-dimensional perturbations of the shift*, Integral Equations Operator Theory. **17** (1993), 373–403. 12
- [36] Y. Nakamura, *One-dimensional perturbations of isometries*, Integral Equations Operator Theory. **9** (1986), 286–294.
- [37] O. A. M. Sid Ahmed, A. Benali, *Hyponormal and k -quasi-hyponormal operators on semi-Hilbertian spaces*, Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **13** (2016), 1–22.
- [38] O. A. M. Sid Ahmed, A. Saddi, *A - m -Isometric operators in semi-Hilbertian spaces*, Linear Algebra Appl. **436** (2012), 3930–3942.
- [39] R. Del Rio, N. Makarov, B. Simon, *Operators with singular continuous spectrum: II. Rank one operators*, Comm. Math. Phys. **165** (1994), 59–67.
- [40] R. Rabaoui, A. Saddi, *On the Orbit of an A - m -isometry*, Ann. Math. Sil. **26** (2012), 75–91.
- [41] R. Rabaoui, A. Saddi, *(A, m) -Isometric Unilateral Weighted Shifts in Semi-Hilbertian Spaces*, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. **41** (2018), 371–392.
- [42] R. Rabaoui, *On Skew (A, m) -Symmetric Operators in a Hilbert Space*, Filomat. **36** (2022), 3261–3278.
- [43] A. Saddi, *A -Normal Operators In Semi-Hilbertian Spaces*, Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **9** (2012), 1–12.
- [44] I. Serban, *Perturbations de Contractions*, Mathématiques [math]. Université Claude Bernard-Lyon I; Université de l'Ouest Timisoara Roumanie, 2003. Français. NNT : tel-00134482
- [45] Q. Xu, Z. Ye, A. Zamani, *Some upper bounds for the A -numerical radius of 2×2 block matrices*, Adv. Oper. Theory. **6** (2025), 1–13.
- [46] A. Zamani, *A -Numerical Radius and Product of Semi-Hilbertian Operators*, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. **47** (2021), 371–377.