

Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

Further characterizations and representations of the Bott-Duffin core inverse

Lu Zheng^a, Jiabao Wu^{b,*}, Kezheng Zuo^a, Tan Mei^c

^aTeaching Section of Mathematics, Hubei Engineering Institute, Huangshi, China
^bSchool of Mathematics, Southeast University, Nanjing, China
^cDepartment of Mathematics, School of Mathematics and Statistics, Hubei Normal University, Huangshi, China

Abstract. The purpose of this paper is to discuss some new characterizations and representations of the Bott-Duffin core inverse. We characterize the Bott-Duffin core inverse by using the orthogonal projectors, the matrix equation, the Bott-Duffin group inverse and the solution of the block-rank equation. Some representations of the Bott-Duffin core inverse are presented by using the full-rank decomposition. Finally, we provide the least-squares solution of the constrained system of linear equations.

1. Introduction

Bott and Duffin, in their famous paper [1], introduced the "constrained inverse" of a square matrix as an important tool in the electrical network theory. This inverse is called, in their honor the Bott-Duffin inverse [2]. In [31], we extend it to the Bott-Duffin core inverse and give some properties and characterizations of the Bott-Duffin core inverse. Recently, numerous scholars have generalized the Bott-Duffin inverse and investigated its properties(see [5, 6, 9, 11, 26, 28]). In this paper, $\mathbb{C}^{m\times n}$ is the set of $m\times n$ complex matrices and \mathbb{C}^n is the vector space of n-tuples of complex number over \mathbb{C} . If L is a subspace of \mathbb{C}^n , we use the notation $L \leq \mathbb{C}^n$. Let \mathbb{C}^{CM}_n be the set of $n\times n$ matrices of index one, that is,

$$\mathbb{C}_n^{CM} = \left\{ A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \middle| \operatorname{rank}(A^2) = \operatorname{rank}(A) \right\}.$$

For convenience, we provide the definition of the Bott-Duffin core inverse.

Definition 1.1. [31] Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, $L \leq \mathbb{C}^n$. If $AP_L + P_{L^{\perp}} \in \mathbb{C}_n^{CM}$, then

$$A_{(L)}^{(\oplus)} = P_L (AP_L + P_{L^{\perp}})^{(\oplus)},$$

is called the Bott-Duffin core inverse of A with respect to L.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 15A09.

Keywords. Bott-Duffin core inverse, rank equation, Cramer's rule.

Received: 19 July 2025; Accepted: 18 August 2025

Communicated by Dragana Cvetković-Ilić

Research supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.11961076).

* Corresponding author: Jiabao Wu

Email addresses: zheng_lu@163.com (Lu Zheng), 1870285913@qq.com (Jiabao Wu), xiangzuo28@163.com (Kezheng Zuo), meitan_0013@163.com (Tan Mei)

 $ORCID\ iDs: \ https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4996-0091\ (Jiabao\ Wu), \ https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5596-9482\ (Kezheng\ Zuo), \ https://orcid.org/0009-0002-5085-9326\ (Tan\ Mei)$

In this paper, we will continue to discuss some new characterizations and representations of it. Our main contributions can be summarized as below:

- (1) We present some new characterizations of the Bott-Duffin core inverse and consider the relationships between it and other generalized inverses.
- (2) We characterize the Bott-Duffin core inverse by using the solution of the block-rank equation: $\operatorname{rank}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}A & B\\ C & X\end{array}\right]\right) = \operatorname{rank}(A). \text{ Further, for the solution } X = A_{(L)}^{(\textcircled{\#})} \text{ of the block-rank equation:}$ $\operatorname{rank}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}P_LA^*P_L & B\\ C & X\end{array}\right]\right) = \operatorname{rank}(P_LA^*P_L), \text{ all matrices } B \text{ and } C \text{ are described.}$
- (3) The full-rank and integral representations of the Bott-Duffin core inverse are given. Moreover, using [19], a novel representation of it is also presented.
- (4) We give a Cramer's rule of the least-squares solutions of the constraint system of linear equations and a condensed determinantal expression of the Bott-Duffin core inverse.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some necessary notations, definitions and lemmas. In Section 3, we present some new characterizations and properties of the Bott-Duffin core inverse. In Section 4, some new representations of the Bott-Duffin core inverse are provided. A Cramer's rule for the unique solution of a constrained matrix equation is given in Section 5.

2. Preliminaries

The symbols $\mathcal{R}(A)$, $\mathcal{N}(A)$, A^* , A^T and rank(A) represent the range space, null space, conjugate transpose, transpose and rank of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, respectively. We denote the identity matrix in $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ by I_n . The symbol O means the null matrix of appropriate size. L^\perp means the orthogonal complement subspace of $L \leq \mathbb{C}^n$. The dimension of L is denoted by dim(L). $P_{L,M}$ stands for the oblique projector onto L along M, where $L, M \leq \mathbb{C}^n$ and $L \oplus M = \mathbb{C}^n$. P_L , the orthogonal projector onto L, is equivalent to P_{L,L^\perp} .

Additionally, the Moore–Penrose inverse $A^{\dagger} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ is the unique matrix verifying the following matrix equations (see [2, 8, 18, 24])

$$AA^{\dagger}A = A$$
, $A^{\dagger}AA^{\dagger} = A^{\dagger}$, $(AA^{\dagger})^* = AA^{\dagger}$, $(A^{\dagger}A)^* = A^{\dagger}A$.

If $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, a matrix $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ satisfies the following three equations:

$$XAX = X$$
, $\mathcal{R}(X) = T$ and $\mathcal{N}(X) = S$,

where T is a subspace of \mathbb{C}^n and S is a subspace of \mathbb{C}^m , then X is unique and is denoted by $A_{T,S}^{(2)}$ (see [2, 24]). The group inverse of $A \in \mathbb{C}_n^{CM}$ is the unique matrix $A^\# \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ verifying the following matrix equations (see [2, 8, 15, 24])

$$AA^{\#}A = A$$
, $A^{\#}AA^{\#} = A^{\#}$, $AA^{\#} = A^{\#}A$.

For a given matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}_n^{CM}$, the core inverse of A is defined to be the unique matrix $A^{\bigoplus} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ satisfying (see [3])

$$AA^{\textcircled{\#}} = AA^{\dagger}$$
, $\mathcal{R}(A^{\textcircled{\#}}) \subset \mathcal{R}(A)$.

Moreover, Wang and Liu [22] prove that the core inverse of $A \in \mathbb{C}_n^{CM}$ is the unique matrix satisfying

$$AA^{\oplus}A = A, \ A(A^{\oplus})^2 = A^{\oplus}, \ (AA^{\oplus})^* = AA^{\oplus}.$$
 (1)

Additional symbols used in this paper are \mathbb{C}_n^P , \mathbb{C}_n^{OP} , \mathbb{C}_n^{TM} and \mathbb{C}_n^{EP} , and denote the sets of projectors (idempotent matrices), orthogonal projectors (Hermitian idempotent matrices), tripotent and EP matrices, respectively, i.e.

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathbb{C}_n^P &=& \{A | A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}, A^2 = A\}, \\ \mathbb{C}_n^{OP} &=& \{A | A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}, A^2 = A = A^*\}, \\ \mathbb{C}_n^{TM} &=& \{A | A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}, A^3 = A\}, \\ \mathbb{C}_n^{EP} &=& \{A | A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}, AA^\dagger = A^\dagger A\} = \{A | A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}, \mathcal{R}(A) = \mathcal{R}(A^*)\}. \end{array}$$

Lemma 2.1. [31] Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, $L \leqslant \mathbb{C}^n$, $T = \mathcal{R}(P_L A P_L)$ and $S = \mathcal{N}(P_L A P_L)$. If $(A P_L + P_{L^{\perp}}) \in \mathbb{C}_n^{CM}$, then the following statements hold:

(i)
$$P_L A A_{(L)}^{(\textcircled{\#})} = P_T \text{ and } A_{(L)}^{(\textcircled{\#})} A P_L = P_{T,S};$$

$$(ii) \ \ A_{(L)}^{(\tiny \textcircled{\#})} = A_{T,T^{\perp}}^{(2)} = (AP_L)_{T,T^{\perp}}^{(2)} = (P_LA)_{T,T^{\perp}}^{(2)} = (P_LAP_L)_{T,T^{\perp}}^{(1,2)};$$

(iii)
$$A_{(L)}^{(\#)} = (P_L A P_L)^{\#};$$

(iv)
$$AA_{(L)}^{(\textcircled{\#})} = P_{AT,T^{\perp}}$$
 and $A_{(L)}^{(\textcircled{\#})}A = P_{T,(A^*T)^{\perp}}$.

Lemma 2.2. [20] Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$, $C \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$. Then there exists a unique solution $X \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ to the equation:

$$\operatorname{rank}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc} A & B \\ C & X \end{array}\right]\right) = \operatorname{rank}(A)$$

if and only if $\mathcal{N}(C) \supset \mathcal{N}(A)$ and $\mathcal{R}(B) \subset \mathcal{R}(A)$, in which case $X = CA^{\dagger}B$.

Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and $L \leqslant \mathbb{C}^n$. In order to discuss some properties of the Bott-Duffin core inverse, we will consider an appropriate matrix decomposition of A with respect to L. Since P_L is an orthogonal projector, then there exists a unitary matrix $U \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ such that

$$P_L = U \begin{bmatrix} I_l & O \\ O & O \end{bmatrix} U^*, \tag{2}$$

where $l = \dim(L)$, the matrix A can be written as

$$A = U \begin{bmatrix} A_L & B_L \\ C_L & D_L \end{bmatrix} U^*, \tag{3}$$

where $A_L \in \mathbb{C}^{l \times l}$, $B_L \in \mathbb{C}^{l \times (n-l)}$, $C_L \in \mathbb{C}^{(n-l) \times l}$, $D_L \in \mathbb{C}^{(n-l) \times (n-l)}$.

Lemma 2.3. [31] Let P_L and A be given by (2) and (3), respectively. $A_{(L)}^{(\textcircled{\#})}$ exists if and only if $A_L \in \mathbb{C}_l^{CM}$. In this case,

$$A_{(L)}^{(\stackrel{\oplus}{})} = U \begin{bmatrix} A_L^{\stackrel{\oplus}{}} & O \\ O & O \end{bmatrix} U^*. \tag{4}$$

3. Some new characterizations and properties of the Bott-Duffin core inverse

Motivated by (1), we provide some new characterizations of the Bott-Duffin core inverse of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ (in the case when it exists).

Theorem 3.1. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, $L \leq \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\dim(L) = l$ be such that $A_{(L)}^{(\textcircled{\#})}$ exists and let $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. The following statements are equivalent:

- (a) $X = A_{(L)}^{(\#)}$;
- (b) $P_L A X A P_L = P_L A P_L$, $P_L A X^2 = X$ and $(P_L A X)^* = P_L A X$;
- (c) $XP_LAP_LX = X$, $X(AP_L)^2 = P_LAP_L$ and $(P_LAX)^* = P_LAX$;
- (d) $X(AP_L)^2 = P_LAP_L$, $P_LAX^2 = X$ and $(P_LAX)^* = P_LAX$.

Proof. Let P_L , A and $A_{(L)}^{(\oplus)}$ be given by (2), (3) and (4), respectively. By simple calculation, (b) – (d) can be derived from (a).

 $(b) \Rightarrow (a)$. Suppose that *X* is given by the following equation:

$$X = U \begin{bmatrix} X_1 & X_2 \\ X_3 & X_4 \end{bmatrix} U^*, \tag{5}$$

where $X_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{l \times l}$, $X_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{l \times (n-l)}$, $X_3 \in \mathbb{C}^{(n-l) \times l}$, $X_4 \in \mathbb{C}^{(n-l) \times (n-l)}$ and U is given in (2). Let P_L and A be given by (2) and (3), respectively. From $P_L A X^2 = X$, we have $A_L X_1^2 = X_1$, $A_L X_1 X_2 = X_2$, $X_3 = O$ and $X_4 = O$. Since $(P_L A X)^* = P_L A X$, it follows that $(A_L X_1)^* = A_L X_1$ and $A X_2 = O$. In terms of $P_L A X A P_L = P_L A P_L$, we get $A_L X_1 A_L = A_L$. By (1), we can verify $X_1 = A_L^{\bigoplus}$. From $A_L X_1 X_2 = X_2$ and $A_L X_2 = O$, we have $\mathcal{R}(X_2) \subset \mathcal{R}(A_L)$ and $\mathcal{R}(X_2) \subset \mathcal{N}(A_L)$. It follows from $A_L \in \mathbb{C}_n^{CM}$ that $\mathcal{R}(A_L) \cap \mathcal{N}(A_L) = \{0\}$, which means $X_2 = O$. Thus, using (4), $X = A_L^{\bigoplus}$.

The rest of the proof follows similarly. \Box

Theorem 3.2. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and $L \leqslant \mathbb{C}^n$ be such that $A_{(L)}^{(\textcircled{\#})}$ exists and let $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. The following statements are equivalent:

- (a) $X = A_{(L)}^{(\#)};$
- (b) $X \in \mathbb{C}_n^{EP}$, $P_L A X^2 = X$ and $P_L A X A P_L = P_L A P_L$;
- (c) $X \in \mathbb{C}_n^{EP}$, $P_L A X^2 = X$ and $X(AP_L)^2 = P_L A P_L$;
- (d) $X \in \mathbb{C}_n^{EP}$, $P_L A X^2 = X$ and $X (A P_L)^{m+2} = (P_L A P_L)^m$, where $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$;
- (e) $X \in \mathbb{C}_n^{EP}$, $P_L A X^2 = X$ and $\operatorname{rank}(P_L A P_L) = \operatorname{rank}(X)$.

Proof. Let P_L , A and $A_{(L)}^{(\textcircled{\#})}$ be given by (2), (3) and (4), respectively. Since $A_{(L)}^{(\textcircled{\#})} \in \mathbb{C}_n^{EP}$ and by simple calculation, (b) - (e) can be derived from (a).

(b) \Rightarrow (a). Let X be given by (5). From $X \in \mathbb{C}_n^{EP}$ and $P_L A X^2 = X$, we have $X_2 = O$, $X_3 = O$, $X_4 = O$ and $A_L X_1^2 = X_1$. Since $P_L A X A P_L = P_L A P_L$, it follows that $A_L X_1 A_L = A_L$. By [12], the matrix A_L can be written as

$$A_L = V \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma K & \Sigma L \\ O & O \end{bmatrix} V^*, \tag{6}$$

where $V \in \mathbb{C}^{l \times l}$ is unitary, $r = \operatorname{rank}(A_L)$, $\Sigma = \operatorname{diag}(\sigma_1 I_{r_1}, \dots, \sigma_t I_{r_t})$ is the diagonal matrix of singular values of A_L , $\sigma_1 > \sigma_2 > \dots > \sigma_t > 0$, $r_1 + r_2 + \dots + r_t = r$, and $K \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times r}$, $L \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times (n-r)}$ satisfy $KK^* + LL^* = I_r$. Suppose that X_1 is given by the following equation:

$$X_1 = V \left[\begin{array}{cc} X_1' & X_2' \\ X_3' & X_4' \end{array} \right] V^*.$$

where $X_1' \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times r}$, $X_2' \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times (l-r)}$, $X_3' \in \mathbb{C}^{(l-r) \times r}$ and $X_4' \in \mathbb{C}^{(l-r) \times (l-r)}$. From $X_1 \in \mathbb{C}_l^{EP}$ and $A_L X_1^2 = X_1$, we have $X_2' = O$, $X_3' = O$ and $X_4' = O$. It follows from $A_L \in \mathbb{C}_l^{CM}$ and $A_L X_1 A_L = A_L$ that we can obtain $X_1' = (\Sigma K)^{-1}$. In [3], it follows from (6) that

$$A_L^{\oplus} = V \begin{bmatrix} (\Sigma K)^{-1} & O \\ O & O \end{bmatrix} V^*. \tag{7}$$

Hence $X_1 = A_L^{\textcircled{\#}}$ that means $X = A_{(L)}^{\textcircled{\#}}$.

The rest of the proof follows similarly. \Box

In the following theorem, we present some characterizations of the Bott-Duffin core inverse in terms of just two matrix equations.

Theorem 3.3. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, $L \leq \mathbb{C}^n$, $T = \mathcal{R}(P_L A P_L)$ and $S = \mathcal{N}(P_L A P_L)$ be such that $A_{(L)}^{(\textcircled{\#})}$ exists and let $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. The following statements are equivalent:

- (a) $X = A_{(L)}^{(\oplus)}$;
- (b) $P_LAX = P_T$ and $P_TX = X$;
- (c) $XAP_L = P_{T,S}$ and $XP_T = X$;
- (d) $XAP_L = P_{T,S}$ and $P_TXP_T = X$;
- (e) $P_LAX = P_T$ and $P_TXP_T = X$.

Proof. Item (*a*) implies any of the assertions (*b*) – (*e*) which follows directly by Lemma 2.1 (*i*), (*ii*), (*iv*) and $P_LP_T = P_TP_L = P_T$. For the converse implications, we will only give the proof that (*b*) implies (*a*).

 $(b)\Rightarrow (a)$. From $P_LAX=P_T$ and $P_TX=X$, we have $\mathcal{N}(X)\subset T^\perp$ and $\mathcal{R}(X)\subset T$, which imply $\mathcal{R}(X)=T$ and $\mathcal{N}(X)=T^\perp\supset L^\perp$. It is clear that $XAX=(XP_L)AX=XP_T=X$. Thus, from Lemma 2.1 (ii), we get $X=A_{(L)}^{(\#)}$. \square

In [28], Zhang et al. introduce a new generalized inverse: Bott-Duffin group inverse, $A_{(L)}^{(\sharp)} = P_L(AP_L + P_{T^{\perp}})^{\sharp}$. In the following theorem, we use the Bott-Duffin group inverse to characterize the Bott-Duffin core inverse.

Theorem 3.4. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, $L \leqslant \mathbb{C}^n$ and $T = \mathcal{R}(P_L A P_L)$, be such that $A_{(L)}^{(\textcircled{\#})}$ exists and let $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. The following statements are equivalent:

(a)
$$X = A_{(L)}^{(\oplus)};$$

(b)
$$P_L A X A_{(L)}^{(\#)} = A_{(L)}^{(\#)}$$
, $(P_L A X)^* = P_L A X$ and $\mathcal{R}(X) \subset T$;

(c)
$$XP_LAA_{(L)}^{(\#)} = A_{(L)}^{(\#)}$$
, $(P_LAX)^* = P_LAX$ and $\mathcal{R}(X) \subset T$;

(d)
$$A_{(I)}^{(\#)} X P_L A = A_{(I)}^{(\#)}, (P_L A X)^* = P_L A X \text{ and } \mathcal{R}(X) \subset T;$$

(e)
$$XA_{(L)}^{(\#)}P_LA = A_{(L)}^{(\#)}$$
, $(P_LAX)^* = P_LAX$ and $\mathcal{R}(X) \subset T$;

(f)
$$A_{(I)}^{(\#)} P_L A X = X$$
, $(P_L A X)^* = P_L A X$ and $\mathcal{R}(X) \subset T$;

(g)
$$P_L A A_{(L)}^{(\#)} X = X$$
, $(P_L A X)^* = P_L A X$ and $\mathcal{R}(X) \subset T$.

Proof. Item (a) implies any of the assertions (b) - (g) which follows directly by (2), (3) and (4). For the converse implications, we will only give the proof that (b) implies (a).

 $(b) \Rightarrow (a)$. Let P_L , A and X be given by (2), (3) and (5), respectively. From $\mathcal{R}(X) \subset \mathcal{R}(P_LAP_L)$, we have

$$X = U \left[\begin{array}{cc} X_1 & X_2 \\ O & O \end{array} \right] U^*.$$

Since $P_L A X A_{(L)}^{(\#)} = A_{(L)}^{(\#)}$, it follow that $A_L X_1 A_L^{\#} = A_L^{\#}$. From $(P_L A X)^* = P_L A X$, we can obtain $(A_L X_1)^* = A_L X_1$ and $A_L X_2 = O$. Since $\mathcal{R}(X) \subset T$, it follows that $\mathcal{R}(X_1) + \mathcal{R}(X_2) = \mathcal{R}(A_L)$, which implies that $\mathcal{R}(X_1) \subset \mathcal{R}(A_L)$ and $\mathcal{R}(X_2) \subset \mathcal{R}(A_L)$. By $A_L X_2 = O$, we have $\mathcal{R}(X_2) \subset \mathcal{N}(A_L)$. In terms of $A_L \in \mathbb{C}_n^{CM}$, we have $\mathcal{R}(A_L) \cap \mathcal{N}(A_L) = \{0\}$, which means $X_2 = O$. Since [13, Theorem 5(ii)], it follows from $A_L X_1 A_L^{\#} = A_L^{\#}$, $(A_L X_1)^* = A_L X_1$ and $\mathcal{R}(X_1) \subset \mathcal{R}(A_L)$ that $X_1 = A_L^{(\#)}$. Thus $X = A_{(L)}^{(\#)}$. \square

In [22], we know that $X = A^{\oplus}$ is equivalent to $AX^2 = X$, AXA = A and $AX = (AX)^*$. In [14], we have $X = A^{\oplus}$ is equivalent to $XA^2 = A$, XAX = X and $AX = (AX)^*$. We try to use the characterizations of A^{\oplus} to study the characterization of $A_{(L)}^{(\oplus)}$. In the following theorem, we investigate the characterization of Bott-Duffin core inverse of A on the basis of $X(P_LA)^2$ or P_LAX^2 .

Theorem 3.5. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, $L \leq \mathbb{C}^n$ and $T = \mathcal{R}(P_L A P_L)$, be such that $A_{(L)}^{(\textcircled{\#})}$ exists and let $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. The following statements are equivalent:

- (a) $X = A_{(L)}^{(\#)}$;
- (b) $X(P_I A)^2 = P_I A$ and $P_I X P_T = X$;
- (c) $X(P_LA)^2 = P_LA$, $P_LAX = (P_LAX)^*$ and $\mathcal{R}(X) \subset \mathcal{R}(A)$;
- (d) $P_L A X^2 = X$, $X P_T = X$ and $rank(X) = rank(P_L A P_L)$;
- (e) $P_L A X^2 = X$, $P_L A X = (P_L A X)^*$ and $rank(X) = rank(P_L A P_L)$.

Proof. Let P_L , A and $A_{(L)}^{(\#)}$ be given by (2), (3) and (4), respectively. Clearly, items (b) – (d) can be derived from (a).

 $(b) \Rightarrow (a)$. From (2), (3), (4) and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$P_T = U \begin{bmatrix} A_L A_L^{\oplus} & O \\ O & O \end{bmatrix} U^*.$$

Let X be given by (5). Since $P_LXP_T=X$, it follows that $X_1=X_1P_{A_L}$, $X_2=O$, $X_3=O$ and $X_4=O$. In terms of $X(P_LA)^2=P_LA$, we can obtain $X_1A_L^2=A_L$. Since [13, Theorem 2 (iv)], it follows that $X_1=A_L^{\oplus}$. Thus, $X=A_{(L)}^{(\oplus)}$.

The rest of the proof follows similarly. \Box

To discuss the relationships between the Bott-Duffin core inverse and other generalized inverses, we first review the concepts and properties of several generalized inverses (see [10, 21, 28]). The unique matrix $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ satisfying

$$XAX = X$$
, $AX = XA$ and $A^{k+1}X = A^k$,

where $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with k = Ind(A), is called the Drazin inverse of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and is denoted by A^D . The unique matrix $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ satisfying

$$XAX = X$$
 and $\mathcal{R}(X) = \mathcal{R}(X^*) = \mathcal{R}(A^k)$

where $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with k = Ind(A), is called the core-EP inverse of A and is denoted by A^{\oplus} . Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with $k = \operatorname{Ind}(A)$, the unique matrix $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ satisfying

$$XAX = X$$
, $XA = A^{\dagger}A$ and $A^{k}X = A^{k}A^{\dagger}$

is called the DMP inverse of A and is denoted by $A^{D,\dagger}$.

Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with k = Ind(A), the unique matrix $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ satisfying

$$AX^2 = X$$
 and $AX = A^{\oplus}A$

is called the weak group inverse of A and is denoted by $A^{\textcircled{M}}$.

If $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and $L \leq \mathbb{C}^n$, then $P_L(AP_L + P_L^{\perp})^{\#}$ is called the Bott-Duffin group inverse (BDG-inverse) and is defined by $A_{(I)}^{(\#)}$, i.e.

$$A_{(L)}^{(\#)} = P_L (AP_L + P_L^{\perp})^{\#}.$$

Lemma 3.6. [10, 21, 27, 28] Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with Ind(A) = k. Then

(a)
$$A^{\dagger} = A^{(2)}_{\mathcal{R}(A^*), \mathcal{N}(A^*)}$$
;

(b)
$$A^D = A_{\mathcal{R}(A^k), \mathcal{N}(A^k)}^{(2)}$$
;

(c)
$$A^{\oplus} = A^{(2)}_{\mathcal{R}(A^k), \mathcal{N}((A^k)^*)}$$

(d)
$$A^{D,\dagger} = A^{(2)}_{\mathcal{R}(A^k),\mathcal{N}(A^kA^{\dagger})};$$

(e)
$$A^{\textcircled{M}} = A^{(2)}_{\mathcal{R}(A^k), \mathcal{N}(A^*A^k)^{\perp}};$$

(f)
$$A_{(L)}^{(\#)} = A_{\mathcal{R}(P_L A P_L), \mathcal{N}(P_L A P_L)}^{(2)}$$
.

Theorem 3.7. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, $\operatorname{ind}(A) = k$, $L \leqslant \mathbb{C}^n$ and $T = \mathcal{R}(P_L A P_L)$ be such that $A_{(L)}^{(\textcircled{\#})}$ exists. The following statements hold:

(a)
$$A_{(L)}^{(\#)} = A^{\dagger} \Leftrightarrow T = \mathcal{R}(A) \text{ and } A \in \mathbb{C}_n^{EP}$$
;

(b)
$$A_{(L)}^{(\stackrel{\oplus}{D})} = A^D \Leftrightarrow T = \mathcal{R}(A^k) \ and \ A^k \in \mathbb{C}_n^{EP};$$

(c)
$$A_{(L)}^{(\stackrel{\oplus}{\oplus})} = A^{\stackrel{\oplus}{\oplus}} \Leftrightarrow T = \mathcal{R}(A^k);$$

(d)
$$A_{(L)}^{(\#)} = A^{D,\dagger} \Leftrightarrow T = \mathcal{R}(A^k)$$
 and $A^k A^{\dagger} \in \mathbb{C}_n^{EP}$;

(e)
$$A_{(L)}^{(\textcircled{\#})} = A^{\textcircled{M}} \Leftrightarrow T = \mathcal{R}(A^k) \text{ and } A^k(A^k)^*A \in \mathbb{C}_n^{EP}$$
;

$$(f) \ A_{(L)}^{(\stackrel{\oplus}{D})} = A_{(L)}^{(\#)} \Leftrightarrow P_L A P_L \in \mathbb{C}_n^{EP}.$$

Proof. (a). Since $A^{\dagger} = A^{(2)}_{\mathcal{R}(A^*), \mathcal{N}(A^*)}$ and $A^{(\textcircled{\#})}_{(L)} = A^{(2)}_{T, T^{\perp}}$, we have that $A^{(\textcircled{\#})}_{(L)} = A^{\dagger}$ if and only if $T = \mathcal{R}(A^*)$ and $T^{\perp} = \mathcal{N}(A^*) = \mathcal{R}(A)^{\perp}$ that are equivalent to $T = \mathcal{R}(A)$ and $A \in \mathbb{C}^{EP}_n$.

(b)-(c). Since
$$A^D=A_{\mathcal{R}(A^k),\mathcal{N}(A^k)}^{(\hat{2})}$$
 and $A^{\bigoplus}=A_{\mathcal{R}(A^k),\mathcal{N}((A^k)^*)'}^{(2)}$ the proof can be obtained directly by $A_{(L)}^{(\bigoplus)}=A_{T,T^{\perp}}^{(2)}$

$$(b)-(c). \text{ Since } A^D=A^{(2)}_{\mathcal{R}(A^k),\mathcal{N}(A^k)} \text{ and } A^{\scriptsize\textcircled{\tiny\dag}}=A^{(2)}_{\mathcal{R}(A^k),\mathcal{N}((A^k)^*)}, \text{ the proof can be obtained directly by } A^{(\scriptsize\textcircled{\tiny\dag})}_{(L)}=A^{(2)}_{T,T^\perp}.$$

$$(d). \text{ Since } A^{D,\dagger}=A^{(2)}_{\mathcal{R}(A^k),\mathcal{N}(A^kA^\dagger)}, \text{ it follows that } A^{(\scriptsize\textcircled{\tiny\dag})}_{(L)}=A^{D,\dagger} \text{ if and only if } T=\mathcal{R}(A^k) \text{ and } T^\perp=\mathcal{N}(A^kA^\dagger)=\mathcal{R}((A^kA^\dagger)^*)^\perp.$$
However $\mathcal{R}(A^kA^\dagger)=\mathcal{R}(A^k)$, so $A^{(\scriptsize\textcircled{\tiny\dag})}_{(L)}=A^{D,\dagger}$ if and only if $T=\mathcal{R}(A^k)$ and $A^kA^\dagger\in\mathbb{C}^{EP}_n$.

- (e). Note the fact that $A^{\textcircled{M}} = A^{(2)}_{\mathcal{R}(A^k),\mathcal{R}(A^*A^k)^{\perp}}$, by $\mathcal{R}(A^k) = \mathcal{R}(A^k(A^k)^*A)$ and $\mathcal{R}(A^*A^k)^{\perp} = \mathcal{N}((A^k)^*A) = \mathcal{N}(A^k(A^k)^*A)$, we have $A^{(\textcircled{\#})}_{(L)} = A^{\textcircled{M}}_{(L)}$ if and only if $T = \mathcal{R}(A^k)$ and $A^k(A^k)^*A \in \mathbb{C}_n^{EP}$.

 (f). Since $A^{(\textcircled{\#})}_{(L)} = A^{(2)}_{(L)}$, it follows that $A^{(\textcircled{\#})}_{(L)} = A^{(\textcircled{\#})}_{(L)}$ if and only if $T^{\perp} = \mathcal{N}(P_LAP_L)$ which means $P_LAP_L \in \mathbb{C}_n^{EP}$.

Theorem 3.8. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, $L \leq \mathbb{C}^n$, $T = \mathcal{R}(P_L A P_L)$ and $S = \mathcal{N}(P_L A P_L)$ be such that $A_{(L)}^{(\textcircled{\#})}$ exists and $\dim(T) = t$. Then there is a unique matrix $P \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ such that

$$P^2 = P, PAP_T = O, AP_TP = O \text{ and } rank(P) = n - t,$$
(8)

a unique matrix $Q \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ such that

$$Q^2 = Q$$
, $QP_{TS}A = Q$, $P_TAQ = Q$ and rank $Q = n - t$, (9)

and a unique matrix $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ such that

$$\operatorname{rank}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc} A & I_n - Q \\ I_n - P & X \end{array}\right]\right) = \operatorname{rank}(A). \tag{10}$$

In the case the matrix X is the Bott-Duffin core inverse of A, and

$$P = P_{T^{\perp},AT}, \ Q = P_{(A^*T)^{\perp},T}.$$

Proof. Since Lemma 2.1 (*iv*), it follows from $\mathcal{N}(P_T) \subset \mathcal{N}(AP_T) \subset \mathcal{N}(A_{(I)}^{(\oplus)}AP_T) = \mathcal{N}(P_T)$ that $\mathcal{N}(P_T) = \mathcal{N}(AP_T)$ and $rank(AP_T) = t$. Notice the fact that

the condition (8) holds
$$\Leftrightarrow$$
 $(I_n - P)^2 = (I_n - P), (I_n - P)AP_T = AP_T$
 $AP_T(I_n - P) = AP_T, \operatorname{rank}(I_n - P) = t$
 \Leftrightarrow $(I_n - P)^2 = (I_n - P), \mathcal{R}(I_n - P) = \mathcal{R}(AP_T)$
 $= AT, \mathcal{N}(I_n - P) = \mathcal{N}(AP_T) = T^{\perp}$
 \Leftrightarrow $I_n - P = P_{AT,T^{\perp}}$
 \Leftrightarrow $P = P_{T^{\perp},AT}$.

Similarly, the condition (9) has the unique solution $Q = P_{(A^*T)^{\perp},T}$. From Lemma 2.1 (*ii*) and (*iv*), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{rank} \left(\left[\begin{array}{ccc} A & I_n - P \\ I_n - Q & X \end{array} \right] \right) &= & \operatorname{rank} \left(\left[\begin{array}{ccc} A & AA_{(L)}^{(\#)} \\ A_{(L)}^{(\#)}A & X \end{array} \right] \right) \\ &= & \operatorname{rank} \left(\left[\begin{array}{ccc} I_n & O \\ -A_{(L)}^{(\#)} & I_n \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{ccc} A & AA_{(L)}^{(\#)} \\ A_{(L)}^{(\#)}A & X \end{array} \right] \right) \\ &= & \operatorname{rank} \left(\left[\begin{array}{ccc} A & AA_{(L)}^{(\#)} \\ O & X - A_{(L)}^{(\#)} \end{array} \right] \right) \\ &= & \operatorname{rank}(A) + \operatorname{rank}(X - A_{(L)}^{(\#)}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from (10), $X = A_{(L)}^{(\oplus)}$. \square

In the following theorem, we characterize all matrices B and C such that $X = A_{(L)}^{(\textcircled{\#})}$ is the solution of $\operatorname{rank} \left(\left[\begin{array}{cc} P_L A^* P_L & B \\ C & X \end{array} \right] \right) = \operatorname{rank} (P_L A^* P_L).$

Theorem 3.9. Let $L \leq \mathbb{C}^n$, $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, $P_L A P_L \in \mathbb{C}_n^{CM}$, $\operatorname{rank}(P_L A^* P_L) = r$ and $\dim(L) = l$. Let P_L and A be given by (2) and (3). By [12], the matrix A_L can be written as (6). Then $X = A_{(L)}^{(\textcircled{\#})}$ is the solution of equation

$$\operatorname{rank}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc} P_L A^* P_L & B \\ C & X \end{array}\right]\right) = \operatorname{rank}(P_L A^* P_L) \tag{11}$$

if and only if

$$B = P \begin{bmatrix} (\Sigma K)^* D^{-1} (\Sigma K)^{-1} & O \\ (\Sigma L)^* D^{-1} (\Sigma K)^{-1} & O \\ O & O \end{bmatrix} P^* \text{ and } C = P \begin{bmatrix} D & O \\ O & O \end{bmatrix} P^*,$$

$$(12)$$

where $D = M_1'(\Sigma K)^* + M_2'(\Sigma L)^*$ is nonsingular, $M_1' \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times r}$, $M_2' \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times (l-r)}$ and $P = U \begin{bmatrix} V & O \\ O & V' \end{bmatrix}$ is an unitary matrix, for some unitary matrix $V' \in \mathbb{C}^{(n-l) \times (n-l)}$.

Proof. Consider first that $X = A_{(L)}^{(\#)}$ is a solution of (11). From Lemma 2.2, there exist two matrices M and Q such that $B = P_L A^* P_L Q$ and $C = M P_L A^* P_L$. Then using the unitary matrix U given in (2), the product $U^* Q U$ can be divided as follows

$$U^*QU = \begin{bmatrix} Q_1 & Q_2 \\ Q_3 & Q_4 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $Q_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{l \times l}$ and

$$B = P_L A^* P_L Q = U \begin{bmatrix} A_L^* & O \\ O & O \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Q_1 & Q_2 \\ Q_3 & Q_4 \end{bmatrix} U^* = U \begin{bmatrix} A_L^* Q_1 & A_L^* Q_2 \\ O & O \end{bmatrix} U^*.$$
 (13)

In the same way, supposing

$$U^*MU = \left[\begin{array}{cc} M_1 & M_2 \\ M_3 & M_4 \end{array} \right],$$

where $M_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{l \times l}$ and we have

$$C = MP_L A^* P_L = U \begin{bmatrix} M_1 & M_2 \\ M_3 & M_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_L^* & O \\ O & O \end{bmatrix} U^* = U \begin{bmatrix} M_1 A_L^* & O \\ M_3 A_L^* & O \end{bmatrix} U^*.$$
 (14)

Using Lemma 2.2, (4), (6), (7), (13) and (14), we have

$$A_{(L)}^{(\textcircled{\#})} = C(P_{L}A^{*}P_{L})^{\dagger}B$$

$$\Leftrightarrow U \begin{bmatrix} A_{L}^{\textcircled{\#}} & O \\ O & O \end{bmatrix} U^{*} = U \begin{bmatrix} M_{1}A_{L}^{*} & O \\ M_{3}A_{L}^{*} & O \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} (A_{L}^{*})^{\dagger} & O \\ O & O \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{L}^{*}Q_{1} & A_{L}^{*}Q_{2} \\ O & O \end{bmatrix} U^{*}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow U \begin{bmatrix} A_{L}^{\textcircled{\#}} & O \\ O & O \end{bmatrix} U^{*} = U \begin{bmatrix} M_{1}A_{L}^{*}Q_{1} & M_{1}A_{L}^{*}Q_{2} \\ M_{3}A_{L}^{*}Q_{1} & M_{3}A_{L}^{*}Q_{2} \end{bmatrix} U^{*},$$

that is, $M_1A_L^*Q_1 = A_L^{\oplus}$, $M_1A_L^*Q_2 = O$, $M_3A_L^*Q_1 = O$ and $M_3A_L^*Q_2 = O$. Using the unitary matrix V given in (6), the product V^*M_1V , V^*M_3V , V^*Q_1V and V^*Q_2V can be divided as follows

$$\begin{split} V^*M_1V &= \begin{bmatrix} D & M_2' \\ M_3' & M_4' \end{bmatrix}, \ V^*M_3V = \begin{bmatrix} M_1'' & M_2'' \\ M_3'' & M_4'' \end{bmatrix}, \\ V^*Q_1V &= \begin{bmatrix} Q_1' & Q_2' \\ Q_3' & Q_4' \end{bmatrix}, \ V^*Q_2V = \begin{bmatrix} Q_1'' & Q_2'' \\ Q_3'' & Q_4'' \end{bmatrix}, \end{split}$$

where $D \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times r}$, $M_3' \in \mathbb{C}^{(l-r) \times r}$, $Q_1' \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times r}$ and $Q_2' \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times (l-r)}$. Therefore,

$$A_{L}^{\#} = M_{1}A_{L}^{*}Q_{1}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow V \begin{bmatrix} (\Sigma K)^{-1} & O \\ O & O \end{bmatrix} V^{*} = V \begin{bmatrix} (M_{1}'(\Sigma K)^{*} + M_{2}'(\Sigma L)^{*}) Q_{1}' & (M_{1}'(\Sigma K)^{*} + M_{2}'(\Sigma L)^{*}) Q_{2}' \\ (M_{3}'(\Sigma K)^{*} + M_{4}'(\Sigma L)^{*}) Q_{1}' & (M_{3}'(\Sigma K)^{*} + M_{4}'(\Sigma L)^{*}) Q_{2}' \end{bmatrix} V^{*},$$

that is,

$$(M_1'(\Sigma K)^* + M_2'(\Sigma L)^*) Q_1' = (\Sigma K)^{-1}$$

$$(M_3'(\Sigma K)^* + M_4'(\Sigma L)^*) Q_1' = O$$

$$(M_1'(\Sigma K)^* + M_2'(\Sigma L)^*) Q_2' = O$$

$$(M_3'(\Sigma K)^* + M_4'(\Sigma L)^*) Q_2' = O.$$

It is clear that $D = M_1'(\Sigma K)^* + M_2'(\Sigma L)^*$ and Q_1' are invertible and $Q_2' = O$, $M_3'(\Sigma K)^* + M_4'(\Sigma L)^* = O$. Similarly, from $M_1A_L^*Q_2 = O$, $M_3A_L^*Q_1 = O$ and $M_3A_L^*Q_2 = O$, we have $M_1''(\Sigma K)^* + M_2''(\Sigma L)^* = O$, $M_3''(\Sigma K)^* + M_4''(\Sigma L)^* = O$, $Q_1'' = O$ and $Q_2'' = O$. From (13) and (14),

$$B = U \begin{bmatrix} V \begin{bmatrix} (\Sigma K)^* D^{-1} (\Sigma K)^{-1} & O \\ (\Sigma L)^* D^{-1} (\Sigma K)^{-1} & O \end{bmatrix} V^* & O \\ O & O \end{bmatrix} U^*$$

$$C = U \begin{bmatrix} V \begin{bmatrix} D & O \\ O & O \end{bmatrix} V^* & O \\ O & O \end{bmatrix} U^*.$$

Let $P = U \begin{bmatrix} V & O \\ O & V' \end{bmatrix}$, where $V' \in \mathbb{C}^{(n-l)\times(n-l)}$ is an unitary matrix. It is clear that P is unitary. Hence, (12) holds. Conversely, if B and C can be represented in the form (12), we can check $\mathcal{N}(C) \supset \mathcal{N}(P_L A^* P_L)$ and $\mathcal{R}(B) \subset \mathcal{R}(P_L A^* P_L)$. Thus, the theorem holds by using Lemma 2.2. \square

4. Some new representations of the Bott-Duffin core inverse

In [22, Theorem 3.5], Wang gives a representation of the core inverse. Motivated by it, we give a representation of the Bott-Duffin core inverse.

Theorem 4.1. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, $L \leqslant \mathbb{C}^n$, $b \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $T = \mathcal{R}(P_L A P_L)$ with $\operatorname{rank}(T) = r$ be such that $A_{(L)}^{(\textcircled{\#})}$ exists, and let $F \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times (n-r)}$ with $\operatorname{rank}(F) = n - r$ and $\mathcal{R}(F) = T^{\perp}$. Then,

$$A_{(L)}^{(\oplus)} = (P_L A P_L + F F^*)^{-1} P_T. \tag{15}$$

Proof. Since $\mathcal{R}(F) = T^{\perp}$, $P_L A P_L \in \mathbb{C}_n^{CM}$, $\mathcal{R}(FF^*) = \mathcal{R}(F) = T^{\perp}$ and $\mathcal{R}((P_L A P_L)^{\textcircled{\#}}) = \mathcal{R}(P_L A P_L) = T$, it follows that

$$\begin{split} (FF^*)(FF^*)^\dagger &= P_{T^\perp,T}, \\ P_L A P_L (P_L A P_L)^{\text{\#}} &= P_{\mathcal{R}(P_L A P_L)} = P_{T,T^\perp}, \\ FF^* (P_L A P_L)^{\text{\#}} &= O. \end{split}$$

Thus

$$(P_{L}AP_{L} + FF^{*})((P_{L}AP_{L})^{\oplus} + (FF^{*})^{\dagger} - (P_{L}AP_{L})^{\oplus}P_{L}AP_{L}(FF^{*})^{\dagger})$$

$$= P_{L}AP_{L}(P_{L}AP_{L})^{\oplus} + (FF^{*})(FF^{*})^{\dagger}$$

$$= P_{T,T^{\perp}} + P_{T^{\perp},T}$$

$$= I_{n}.$$

Therefore, $P_LAP_L + FF^*$ is invertible and $(P_LAP_L + FF^*)^{-1} = (P_LAP_L)^{\oplus} + (FF^*)^{\dagger} - (P_LAP_L)^{\oplus} P_LAP_L(FF^*)^{\dagger}$. From $\mathcal{N}((FF^*)^{\dagger}) = \mathcal{N}(F^*) = T$, it is clear that $(FF^*)^{\dagger}P_T = O$. Then, $(P_LAP_L + FF^*)^{-1}P_T = (P_LAP_L)^{\oplus}P_T = A_{(L)}^{(\oplus)}$. \square

In the following theorem, we present the full-rank representation of the Bott-Duffin core inverse.

Theorem 4.2. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and $L \leq \mathbb{C}^n$ be such that $A_{(L)}^{(\textcircled{\#})}$ exists. If $P_L A P_L = FG$ is the full-rank decomposition of $P_L A P_L$, where $\operatorname{rank}(P_L A P_L) = r$, $F \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times r}$ with $\operatorname{rank}(F) = r$ and $G \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times n}$ with $\operatorname{rank}(G) = r$, then

$$A_{(L)}^{(\#)} = F(GF)^{-1}(F^*F)^{-1}F^*.$$

Proof. Due to the full-rank decompositions of the core inverse [22], it follows immediately that $A_{(L)}^{(\textcircled{\#})} = (P_L A P_L)^{\textcircled{\#}} = F(GF)^{-1} (F^*F)^{-1} F^*$. \square

In the following theorems, we will establish two integral representations of the Bott-Duffin core inverse.

Theorem 4.3. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and $L \leqslant \mathbb{C}^n$ be such that $A_{(L)}^{(\textcircled{\#})}$ exists. If $P_L A P_L = FG$ is the full-rank decomposition of $P_L A P_L$, then

$$A_{(L)}^{(\textcircled{\#})} = \int_0^\infty F(P_L A P_L F)^* \exp(-P_L A P_L F (P_L A P_L F)^* t) dt.$$

Proof. From [32, Corollary 3.3] and Lemma 2.1 (*iii*), it can be directly obtained. □

Theorem 4.4. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and $L \leq \mathbb{C}^n$ be such that $A_{(L)}^{(\textcircled{\#})}$ exists. Then

$$A_{(L)}^{(\textcircled{\#})} = \int_0^\infty P_L A P_L \exp(-(P_L A^*)^2 (P_L A)^2 P_L t) (P_L A^*)^2 P_L dt.$$

Proof. By simple calculation, it follows from [32, Corollary 4.3] and Lemma 2.1 (*iii*). □

In the following theorem, we provide a new representation of the Bott-Duffin core inverse in terms of the Bott-Duffin inverse.

Theorem 4.5. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, $L \leqslant \mathbb{C}^n$ and $T = \mathcal{R}(P_L A P_L)$ be such that $A_{(L)}^{(\textcircled{\#})}$ exists. Then

$$A_{(L)}^{(\#)} = (P_L A P_L)_{(T)}^{(-1)} \, .$$

Proof. It is well known that $(P_LAP_L)_{(T)}^{(-1)} = P_T(P_LAP_LP_T + P_{T^{\perp}})^{-1}$. Let P_L and A be given by (2) and (3), respectively. We have

$$P_T = U \begin{bmatrix} A_L A_L^{\oplus} & O \\ O & O \end{bmatrix} U^*. \tag{16}$$

Then,

$$\begin{split} & P_{T}(P_{L}AP_{L}P_{T} + P_{T^{\perp}})^{-1} \\ = & U \begin{bmatrix} A_{L}A_{L}^{\oplus} & O \\ O & O \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{L}^{2}A_{L}^{\oplus} & O \\ O & O \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} I_{l} - A_{L}A_{L}^{\oplus} & O \\ O & I_{n-l} \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} U^{*} \\ = & U \begin{bmatrix} A_{L}A_{L}^{\oplus} & O \\ O & O \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{L}^{2}A_{L}^{\oplus} + I_{l} - A_{L}A_{L}^{\oplus} & O \\ O & I_{n-l} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} U^{*}. \end{split}$$

.

Below we will discuss the nonsingularity of $A_L^2 A_L^{\oplus} + I_l - A_L A_L^{\oplus}$. From (6), we can obtain

$$A_L^2 A_L^{\oplus} + I_l - A_L A_L^{\oplus} = V \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma K & O \\ O & I_{l-r} \end{bmatrix} V^*, \tag{17}$$

where $r = \text{rank}(A_L)$. It can be clearly seen from (17) that $A_L^2 A_L^{\oplus} + I_l - A_L A_L^{\oplus}$ is nonsingular and

$$(A_L^2 A_L^{\oplus} + I_l - A_L A_L^{\oplus})^{-1} = V \begin{bmatrix} (\Sigma K)^{-1} & O \\ O & I_{l-r} \end{bmatrix} V^*.$$

Thus, from (7) and (4),
$$(P_L A P_L)_{(T)}^{(-1)} = P_T (P_L A P_L P_T + P_{T^{\perp}})^{-1} = U \begin{bmatrix} A_L^{\oplus} & O \\ O & O \end{bmatrix} U^* = A_{(L)}^{\oplus}.$$

5. Application

Consider the following equation:

$$P_L A x = b, (18)$$

where $P_LAP_L \in \mathbb{C}_n^{CM}$, $L \leq \mathbb{C}^n$ and $T = \mathcal{R}(P_LAP_L)$. When $b \notin \mathcal{R}(P_LA)$, (18) is unsolvable, it has least-squares solutions. Therefore, we consider the least-squares solutions of (18) under the certain condition $x \in T$, i.e.,

$$||P_L Ax - b||_F = \min \quad \text{subject to} \quad x \in T,$$
 (19)

where Frobenius norm $\|\cdot\|_F$ is defined as the square root of the sum of the squared absolute values of all matrix elements.

In [31], we have discussed $x = A_{(L)}^{(\textcircled{\#})}b$ is the unique solution of (19).

When $M \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is nonsingular, it is well known that the Cramer's rule for the solution of x of a nonsingular equation Mx = b ($M \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, $b \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T$) is

$$x_i = \frac{\det(M(i \to b))}{\det(M)}, \ i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \tag{20}$$

where $M(i \rightarrow b)$ denotes the matrix obtained by replacing the *i*th column of M with b.

Using Theorem 4.1, we provide a Cramer's rule for the unique solution of (19).

Theorem 5.1. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, $L \leq \mathbb{C}^n$, $b \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $T = \mathcal{R}(P_L A P_L)$ and $\operatorname{rank}(P_L A P_L) = r$ be such that $A_{(L)}^{(\textcircled{\#})}$ exists, and let $F \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times (n-r)}$ with $\operatorname{rank}(F) = n - r$ and $\mathcal{R}(F) = T^{\perp}$. Then, (19) has the unique solution $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T$ satisfying

$$x_j = \frac{\det(P_L A P_L + F F^*)(j \to P_T b)}{\det(P_L A P_L + F F^*)},\tag{21}$$

where j = 1, 2, ..., n.

Proof. Applying Theorem 4.1 to $x = A_{(I)}^{(\oplus)}b$, we have

$$x = (P_L A P_L + F F^*)^{-1} P_T b,$$

that is,

$$(P_L A P_L + F F^*) x = P_T b.$$

It follows from (20) that we get (21). \Box

Example 5.2. Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, L = \mathcal{R} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, b = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, F = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ -2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is clear that $b \notin \mathcal{R}(P_L A)$, then (18) is unsolvable. Therefore, by using Theorem 5.1, we consider the least-squares solutions of (18). We can check rank(F) = 2 and $\mathcal{R}(F) = T^{\perp}$. Let $x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 \end{bmatrix}^T$ be the unique solution of (19). Applying (21), we can derive the components of x directly, i.e.

$$x_1 = \frac{4}{3}$$
, $x_2 = \frac{11}{9}$, $x_3 = -\frac{2}{9}$, $x_4 = 0$.

In the following theorem, using Theorem 4.1, we get a condensed determinantal expression of $A_{(L)}^{(\oplus)}$.

Theorem 5.3. Let A, L, F and T be defined as in Theorem 5.1. Then the Bott-Duffin core inverse $A_{(L)}^{(\textcircled{\#})}$ is given by:

$$A_{(L)_{i,j}}^{(\textcircled{\#})} = \frac{\det(P_L A P_L + F F^*)(i \to P_T e_j)}{\det(P_L A P_L + F F^*)},$$
(22)

where
$$i, j = 1, 2, ..., n, e_j = (\underbrace{0, ..., 0, 1}_{j}, 0, ..., 0)^T$$
, where $j = 1, 2, ..., n$.

Proof. From Theorem 4.1, it follows that $P_LAP_L + FF^*$ is invertible. Using (20), we consider

$$(P_L A P_L + F F^*) x = P_T e_i$$

and get the solution

$$e_i^T x = \frac{\det(P_L A P_L + F F^*)(i \to P_T e_j)}{\det(P_L A P_L + F F^*)},$$

where i, j = 1, ..., n. It follows from (15) and $A_{(L)_{i,j}}^{(\textcircled{\#})} = e_i^T A_{(L)}^{(\textcircled{\#})} e_j$ that we get (22). \square

Example 5.4. Let A, L and F be as in Example 5.2. Using (22) and $P_T = I - (FF^*)(FF^*)^{\dagger}$, we get

$$A_{(L)}^{(\not\oplus)} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{3} & 0 & \frac{2}{3} & 0\\ -\frac{1}{9} & \frac{1}{9} & \frac{4}{9} & 0\\ \frac{4}{9} & \frac{2}{9} & -\frac{4}{9} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

6. Conclusion

This paper presents some new characterizations and representations of the Bott-Duffin core inverse and considers the relationships between the Bott-Duffin core inverse and other generalized inverses. We also characterize the Bott-Duffin core inverse by using the solution of the block-rank equation. We provide the Cramer's rule for the least-squares solution of the constraint system of linear equations. On the basis of the current research background, there are many topics on the Bott-Duffin core inverse which can be discussed. Some ideas are given as follows:

- (1) It is possible to discuss the algebraic perturbation theory of Bott-Duffin core inverse and the expression of the algebraic perturbation of Bott-Duffin core inverse.
- (2) The continuity and iterative methods of the Bott-Duffin core inverse can be discussed.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

Funding

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.11961076).

References

- [1] R. Bott and R. J. Duffin. On the algebra of networks. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society. 1953;74: 99-109
- [2] A. Ben-Israel and T.N.E. Greville. Generalized inverses: Theory and applications. 2nd ed. New York: Springer-Verlag; 2003.
- [3] O.M. Baksalary and G. Trenkler. Core inverse of matrices. Linear and Multilinear Algebra. 2010;58(6): 681-697.
- [4] O.M. Baksalary and G. Trenkler. On a generalized core inverse. Applied Mathematics and Computation. 2014;236(1): 450-457.
- [5] Y. Chen. The generalized Bott-Duffin inverse and its applications. Linear Algebra and its Applications. 1990;134: 71-91.
- [6] G. Chen, G. Liu and Y. Xue. Perturbation analysis of the generalized Bott-Duffin inverse of L-zero matrices. Linear and Multilinear Algebra. 2003;51(1): 11-20.
- [7] Y. Chen, K. Zuo and Z. Fu. New characterizations of the generalized Moore-Penrose inverse of matrices. AIMS Mathematics. 2022;7(3): 4359-4375.
- [8] D.S. Cvetković-Ilić and Y. Wei. Algebraic properties of generalized inverses. 1st ed. Singapore: Springer Nature; 2017.
- [9] B. Deng and G. Chen. A note on the generalized Bott-Duffin inverse. Applied Mathematics Letters. 2007;20(7): 746-750.
- [10] D. Ferreyra, F. Levis and N. Thome. Characterization of k-commutative equation for some outer generalized inverses. Linear and Multilinear Algebra. 2020;68(1): 177-192.
- [11] J. Gao, K. Zuo, H. Zou, et al. New characterizations and representations of the generalized Bott-Duffin inverse and its applications. Linear and Multilinear Algebra. 2023;71(6): 1026-1043.
- [12] R.E. Hartwig and K. Spindelböck. Matrices for which A^* and A^{\dagger} commute. Linear and Multilinear Algebra. 1984;14: 241-256.
- [13] G. Luo, K. Zuo and L. Zhou. Revisitation of the core inverse. Wuhan University Journal of Natural Sciences. 2015;20(5): 381-385.
- [14] G. Luo, K. Zuo and L. Zhou. The new properties of core inverse of matrices. Journal of Shandong University. 2015;50(4): 90-94.
- [15] S.K. Mitra. On group inverses and the sharp order. Linear Algebra and its Applications. 1987;92: 17-37.
- [16] D. Mosić and P.S. Stanimirović. Representation for the weak group inverse. Applied Mathematics and Computation. 2021;397: 125957
- [17] C.C. MacDuffee. The Theory of Matrices. New York: Chelsea; 1956.
- [18] R. Penrose. A generalized inverse for matrices. Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society. 1955;51: 406-413.
- [19] X. Sheng, G. Chen and Y. Gong. The representation and computation of generalized inverse. Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics. 2008;213: 248-257.
- [20] N. Thome and Y. Wei. Generalized inverses and a block-rank equation. Applied Mathematics and Computation. 2003;141: 471-476.
- [21] G.R. Wang, Y.M. Wei and S.Z. Qiao. Generalized inverses: theory and computations. 2nd ed. Beijing: Science Press and Singapore: Springer; 2018.
- [22] H. Wang and X. Liu. Characterizations and representations of the core inverse and the core partial ordering. Linear and Multilinear Algebra. 2015;63(9): 1829-1836.
- [23] H. Wang and X. Zhang. The core inverse and constrained matrix approximation problem. Open Mathematics. 2020;18(1): 653-661.
- [24] G. Wang, Y. Wei and S. Qiao. Generalized inverse: theory and computation. 2nd ed. Beijing: Science Press and Singapore: Springer Nature; 2018.
- [25] Y. Wei. A characterization and representation of the Drazin inverse. SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications. 1996;17(3): 744-747
- [26] J. Wu, K. Zuo, D.S. Cvetković-Ilić and H. Zou. New characterizations and representations of the Bott-Duffin inverse. Journal of Mathematics. 2023;7623837: 1-10.
- [27] H. Yan, H. Wang, K.Z. Zuo and Y. Chen. Further characterizations of the weak group inverse of matrices and the weak group matrix. AIMS Mathematics. 2021;6(9): 9322-9341.
- [28] X. Zhang, K. Zuo and J. Zhou. Bott-Duffin group inverse. Linear and Multilinear Algebra. 2025: 1-25. https://doi.org/10.1080/03081087.2025.2456725
- [29] K. Zuo and Y. Cheng. The new revisitation of Core EP inverse of matrices. Filomat. 2019;33(10): 3061-3072
- [30] K. Zuo, D.S. Cvetković-Ilić and Y. Cheng. Different characterization of DMP-inverse of matrices. Linear and Multilinear Algebra. 2020:70(4): 411-418
- [31] J. Zhou, J. Wu, L. Zheng and K. Zuo. Bott-Duffin core inverse. Filomat. 2025;39(12): 3873-3889.
- [32] M. Zhou and J. Chen. Integral representations of two generalized core inverses. Applied Mathematics and Computation. 2018;333: 187–193.