



Atomic decomposition on Grand Herz-Hardy spaces on locally compact Vilenkin groups

Babar Sultan

Department of Mathematics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce the idea of grand Herz-Hardy spaces on locally compact Vilenkin groups. Then we obtain the central block and atomic decomposition on these spaces.

1. Introduction

The idea of Herz-Hardy spaces are introduced in [1, 2]. In this paper, we extend these results to grand Herz spaces and define the concept of grand Herz-Hardy spaces on locally compact Vilenkin group. We obtain the atomic decomposition of these spaces.

In this paper, Q denotes the locally compact abelian group containing a strictly decreasing sequence of compact open subgroups $\{Q_m\}_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}$ such that

1. $\bigcap_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} Q_m = \{0\}$ and $\bigcup_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} Q_m = Q$,
2. $\sup \left\{ \text{order} \left(\frac{Q_m}{Q_{m+1}} \right) : m \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} < \infty$.

Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, then Q' denotes the dual group of Q and define as $Q'_m = \{\gamma \in Q' : \gamma(y) = 1 \text{ for all } y \in Q_m\}$. Then $\{Q'_m\}_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}$ is a strictly increasing sequence of open compact subgroups of Q' and

1. $\bigcap_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} Q'_m = \{1\}$ and $\bigcup_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} Q'_m = Q'$,
2. $\text{order} \left(\frac{Q'_{m+1}}{Q'_m} \right) = \text{order} \left(\frac{Q_m}{Q_{m+1}} \right)$.

Let $\mathbf{1}_A$ denote the characteristic function of a set A and $d\gamma$ and dx denotes the Haar measures of Q' and Q respectively. Let $|A|$ denotes the Haar measure of a measurable subset A of Q , or Q' such that $|Q_0| = |Q'_0| = 1$.

Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $|Q_m|^{-1} = |Q'_m| := n_m$. Since $2n_m \leq n_{m+1}$ where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, implies that $\sum_{m=t_0}^{\infty} (n_m)^{-\beta} \leq C (n_{t_0})^{-\beta}$ and

$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{t_0} (n_m)^{\beta} \leq C (n_{t_0})^{\beta}$ for any $\beta > 0, t_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Define the function $d : Q \times Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $d(s, t) = 0$ when $s - t = 0$ and $d(s, t) = (n_m)^{-1}$ when $s - t \in Q_m \setminus Q_{m+1}$, then d defines a metric on $Q \times Q$ and the topology on Q induced by this metric is the same as the original topology on Q . Let $y \in Q$, we set $|y| = d(y, 0)$. Then $|y| = (n_m)^{-1}$ if

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46E30; Secondary 47B38.

Keywords. Grand Herz spaces, Vilenkin group, Hardy space, Grand Herz-Hardy spaces.

Received: 14 September 2025; Revised: 22 November 2025; Accepted: 27 November 2025

Communicated by Dragan S. Djordjević

Email address: babarsultan40@yahoo.com (Babar Sultan)

and only if $y \in Q_m \setminus Q_{m+1}$. Let $\langle y \rangle = \max\{1, |y|\}$. Let's define a metric $\bar{d}$ on $Q' \times Q'$ such that $|\gamma| = n_{m+1}$ iff $\gamma \in Q'_{m+1} \setminus Q'_m$. Let $\hat{f}$ and $f^\vee$ denote the Fourier transform and inverse Fourier transform, respectively. We have $(1_{Q_m})^\wedge(\gamma) = |Q'_m|^{-1} 1_{Q'_m}(\gamma)$ and $(1_{Q'_m})^\vee(y) = |Q_m|^{-1} 1_{Q_m}(y) =: \Delta_m(y)$ for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. The spaces $\mathfrak{S}(Q)$ (or $\mathfrak{S}(Q')$) and $\mathfrak{S}'(Q)$ (or $\mathfrak{S}'(Q')$) denote the spaces of test functions and distributions on Q (or Q'), respectively.

Let $\omega(y)$ be a nonnegative locally integrable function on Q . By $L^q_\omega(Q)$ we denote the weighted Lebesgue space with respect to the weight measure $\omega(y)dy$ and $0 < q < \infty$. Let $\omega(A) = \int_A \omega(y)dy$. Let $A_1(Q)$ is the usual Muckenhoupt class of weights. It is easy to verify that $\omega(y) = |y|^\beta$ with $-1 < \beta \leq 0$ is an $A_1(Q)$ -weight. Let ω_1, ω_2 denotes the non-negative weights, $0 \leq \beta < \infty$, $\theta > 0$ and $0 < p, q < \infty$. Then the homogeneous grand Herz spaces $\dot{K}_q^{(\beta,p),\theta}(\omega_1, \omega_2; Q)$ are defined by

$\dot{K}_q^{(\beta,p),\theta}(\omega_1, \omega_2; Q) = \{g : g \text{ is a measurable function on } Q \text{ and } \|g\|_{\dot{K}_q^{(\beta,p),\theta}(\omega_1, \omega_2; Q)} < \infty\}$, where

$$\|g\|_{\dot{K}_q^{(\beta,p),\theta}(\omega_1, \omega_2; Q)} = \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} [\omega_1(Q_k)]^{\beta(1+\epsilon)p} \|g 1_{Q_k \setminus Q_{k+1}}\|_{L^q_{\omega_2}(Q)}^{(1+\epsilon)p} \right\}^{1/(1+\epsilon)p}.$$

Let $g \in \mathfrak{S}'(Q)$, define maximal functions $g^*(y)$ and $Q_r^*(y)$ as

$$g^*(y) = \sup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |g * \Delta_m(y)| = \sup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| n_m \int_{y+Q_m} g(x) dx \right|,$$

and

$$Q_r^*(y) = \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} |g * \Delta_m(y)| = \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \left| n_m \int_{y+Q_m} g(x) dx \right|.$$

Let ω_1, ω_2 denote the non-negative weights, $0 \leq \beta < \infty$, $\theta > 0$ and $0 < p, q < \infty$. Then the homogeneous grand Herz-Hardy spaces $H\dot{K}_q^{(\beta,p),\theta}(\omega_1, \omega_2; Q)$ are defined by

$$H\dot{K}_q^{(\beta,p),\theta}(\omega_1, \omega_2; Q) = \{g \in \mathfrak{S}'(Q) : g^* \in \dot{K}_q^{(\beta,p),\theta}(\omega_1, \omega_2; Q)\}$$

and

$$h\dot{K}_q^{(\beta,p),\theta}(\omega_1, \omega_2; Q) = \{g \in \mathfrak{S}'(Q) : Q_r^* \in \dot{K}_q^{(\beta,p),\theta}(\omega_1, \omega_2; Q)\}.$$

Numerous studies have explored various extensions and versions of Herz-type spaces. For a comprehensive account of these developments and related results, see [5–18].

2. Central atomic decomposition on grand Herz-Hardy spaces

Definition 2.1. Let ω_1, ω_2 be non-negative weights, $0 \leq a < \infty$ and $0 < q < \infty$.

(a) A function $a \in L^q_{\omega_2}(Q)$ is said to be a central $(\beta, q; \omega_1, \omega_2)_Q$ -block with the support Q_m for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, if i) $\text{supp } a \subseteq Q_m$; ii) $\|a\|_{L^q_{\omega_2}(Q)} \leq [\omega_1(Q_m)]^{-\beta}$.

(b) A function $a \in L^q_{\omega_2}(Q)$ is said to be a central $(\beta, q; \omega_1, \omega_2)_Q$ -atom with the support Q_m for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, if it satisfies i) and ii) in (a), and iii) $\int_Q a(y)dy = 0$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $\omega_1 \in A_1(Q)$ and ω_2 be any non-negative weight function on Q . Assume $0 < \beta < \infty, \theta > 0, 1 \leq q < \infty$ and $0 < p < \infty$. Then $g \in \dot{K}_q^{(\beta,p),\theta}(\omega_1, \omega_2; Q)$ if and only if $g(y) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda_k b_k(y)$, where b_k is a central $(\beta, q; \omega_1, \omega_2)_Q$ -block supported on Q_k and $\sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |\lambda_k|^{(1+\epsilon)p} < \infty$. Moreover,

$$\|g\|_{\dot{K}_q^{(\beta,p),\theta}(\omega_1, \omega_2; Q)} \sim \inf \left\{ \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |\lambda_k|^{(1+\epsilon)p} \right)^{1/(1+\epsilon)p} \right\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all the decompositions of g as above.

Proof. Let $g \in \dot{K}_q^{(\beta,p),\theta}(\omega_1, \omega_2; \mathcal{Q})$, write g as

$$\begin{aligned} g(y) &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} g(y) \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{Q}_k \setminus \mathcal{Q}_{k+1}}(y) \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (\omega_1(\mathcal{Q}_k))^\beta \|g \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{Q}_k \setminus \mathcal{Q}_{k+1}}\|_{L_{\omega_2}^q} \frac{g(y) \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{Q}_k \setminus \mathcal{Q}_{k+1}}(y)}{(\omega_1(\mathcal{Q}_k))^\beta \|g \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{Q}_k \setminus \mathcal{Q}_{k+1}}\|_{L_{\omega_2}^q}(\mathcal{Q})} \\ &:= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \lambda_k b_k(y), \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda_k = (\omega_1(\mathcal{Q}_k))^\beta \|g \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{Q}_k \setminus \mathcal{Q}_{k+1}}\|_{L_{\omega_2}^q}(\mathcal{Q})$ and $b_k(y) = \frac{g(y) \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{Q}_k \setminus \mathcal{Q}_{k+1}}(y)}{(\omega_1(\mathcal{Q}_k))^\beta \|g \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{Q}_k \setminus \mathcal{Q}_{k+1}}\|_{L_{\omega_2}^q}(\mathcal{Q})}$. It is easy to see that $\text{supp } b_k \subset \mathcal{Q}_k$ and $\|b_k\|_{L_{\omega_2}^q}(\mathcal{Q}) = (\omega_1(\mathcal{Q}_k))^{-\beta}$. So $b_k(y)$ is a $(\beta, q; \omega_1, \omega_2)_{\mathcal{Q}}$ -atom supported in $\mathcal{Q}_k$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\lambda_k|^{(1+\epsilon)p} \right)^{1/(1+\epsilon)p} &= \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} [\omega_1(\mathcal{Q}_k)]^{\beta(1+\epsilon)p} \|g \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{Q}_k \setminus \mathcal{Q}_{k+1}}\|_{L_{\omega_2}^q}^{(1+\epsilon)p} \right\}^{1/(1+\epsilon)p} \\ &= \|g\|_{\dot{K}_q^{(\beta,p),\theta}(\omega_1, \omega_2; \mathcal{Q})} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, let $g(y) = \lambda_k b_k(y)$ and $\sup_{\epsilon > 0} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\lambda_k|^{(1+\epsilon)p} \right)^{1/(1+\epsilon)p} < \infty$. For $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\|g \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{Q}_j \setminus \mathcal{Q}_{j+1}}\|_{L_{\omega_2}^q}(\mathcal{Q}) = \left\| \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \lambda_k b_k \right) \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{Q}_j \setminus \mathcal{Q}_{j+1}} \right\|_{L_{\omega_2}^q}(\mathcal{Q}) \leq \sum_{k \leq j} |\lambda_k| \|b_k \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{Q}_j \setminus \mathcal{Q}_{j+1}}\|_{L_{\omega_2}^q}(\mathcal{Q}).$$

Case 1: When $0 < (1+\epsilon)p \leq 1$. We get

$$\begin{aligned} \|g\|_{\dot{K}_q^{(\beta,p),\theta}(\omega_1, \omega_2; \mathcal{Q})} &= \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} [\omega_1(\mathcal{Q}_j)]^{\beta(1+\epsilon)p} \|g \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{Q}_j \setminus \mathcal{Q}_{j+1}}\|_{L_{\omega_2}^q}^{(1+\epsilon)p} \right\}^{1/(1+\epsilon)p} \\ &\leq \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} [\omega_1(\mathcal{Q}_j)]^{\beta(1+\epsilon)p} \left(\sum_{k \leq j} |\lambda_k|^{(1+\epsilon)p} \|b_k \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{Q}_j \setminus \mathcal{Q}_{j+1}}\|_{L_{\omega_2}^q}^{(1+\epsilon)p} \right) \right\}^{1/(1+\epsilon)p} \\ &\leq \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} [\omega_1(\mathcal{Q}_j)]^{\beta(1+\epsilon)p} \left(\sum_{k \leq j} |\lambda_k|^{(1+\epsilon)p} [\omega_1(\mathcal{Q}_k)]^{-\beta(1+\epsilon)p} \right) \right\}^{1/(1+\epsilon)p} \\ &\leq \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |\lambda_k|^{(1+\epsilon)p} \left(\sum_{j=k}^{\infty} [\omega_1(\mathcal{Q}_k)]^{-\beta(1+\epsilon)p} [\omega_1(\mathcal{Q}_j)]^{\beta(1+\epsilon)p} \right) \right\}^{1/(1+\epsilon)p} \\ &\leq \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |\lambda_k|^{(1+\epsilon)p} \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\omega_1(\mathcal{Q}_j)}{\omega_1(\mathcal{Q}_k)} \right)^{\beta(1+\epsilon)p} \right\}^{1/(1+\epsilon)p} \\ &\leq \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\lambda_k|^{(1+\epsilon)p} \right)^{1/(1+\epsilon)p} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: When $1 \leq (1+\epsilon)p < \infty$:

$$\|g \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{Q}_j \setminus \mathcal{Q}_{j+1}}\|_{L_{\omega_2}^q}(\mathcal{Q}) \leq C [\omega_1(\mathcal{Q}_j)]^{\beta/2} \left(\sum_{k \leq j} |\lambda_k|^{(1+\epsilon)p} [\omega_1(\mathcal{Q}_k)]^{\beta(1+\epsilon)p/2} \right)^{1/(1+\epsilon)p}.$$

And therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|g\|_{\dot{K}_q^{\beta,p},\theta(\omega_1,\omega_2;\mathcal{Q})} &= \sup_{\epsilon>0} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} [\omega_1(\mathcal{Q}_j)]^{\beta(1+\epsilon)p} \|g\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{Q}_j \setminus \mathcal{Q}_{j+1}}\|_{L_{\omega_2}^q(\mathcal{Q})}^{(1+\epsilon)p} \right\}^{1/(1+\epsilon)p} \\
 &\leq C \sup_{\epsilon>0} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} [\omega_1(\mathcal{Q}_j)]^{\beta(1+\epsilon)p/2} \left(\sum_{k \leq j} |\lambda_k|^{(1+\epsilon)p} [\omega_1(\mathcal{Q}_k)]^{\beta(1+\epsilon)p/2} \right) \right\}^{1/(1+\epsilon)p} \\
 &\leq \sup_{\epsilon>0} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |\lambda_k|^{(1+\epsilon)p} \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\omega_1(\mathcal{Q}_j)}{\omega_1(\mathcal{Q}_k)} \right)^{\beta(1+\epsilon)p} \right\}^{1/(1+\epsilon)p} \\
 &\leq \sup_{\epsilon>0} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\lambda_k|^{(1+\epsilon)p/2} \right)^{1/(1+\epsilon)p} < \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 2.3. Let $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in A_1(\mathcal{Q})$, $1 < q < \infty$, $-1 < \beta \leq 0$ and $0 < p \leq 1$. Then $g \in H\dot{K}_q^{\beta,p},\theta(\omega_1,\omega_2;\mathcal{Q})$ iff $g = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda_k a_k$ in distributional sense, where each a_k is a central $(\beta, q; \omega_1, \omega_2)_{\mathcal{Q}}$ -atom supported on $\mathcal{Q}_k$, and $\sup_{\epsilon>0} \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |\lambda_k|^{(1+\epsilon)p} < \infty$. Moreover,

$$\|g\|_{H\dot{K}_q^{\beta,p},\theta(\omega_1,\omega_2;\mathcal{Q})} \sim \inf \left\{ \sup_{\epsilon>0} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |\lambda_k|^{(1+\epsilon)p} \right)^{1/(1+\epsilon)p} \right\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all the decompositions of g as above.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(z) &= \sum_{t_0=-\infty}^{\infty} g(z) \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{Q}_{t_0} \setminus \mathcal{Q}_{t_0+1}}(z) \\
 &= \sum_{t_0=-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ g(z) \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{Q}_{t_0} \setminus \mathcal{Q}_{t_0+1}}(z) - \left(\int_{\mathcal{Q}_{t_0} \setminus \mathcal{Q}_{t_0+1}} g(y) dy \right) \frac{\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{Q}_{t_0+1} \setminus \mathcal{Q}_{t_0+2}}(z)}{|\mathcal{Q}_{t_0+1} \setminus \mathcal{Q}_{t_0+2}|} \right\} \\
 &\quad + \sum_{t_0=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\int_{\mathcal{Q}_{t_0} \setminus \mathcal{Q}_{t_0+1}} g(y) dy \right) \frac{\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{Q}_{t_0+1} \setminus \mathcal{Q}_{t_0+2}}(z)}{|\mathcal{Q}_{t_0+1} \setminus \mathcal{Q}_{t_0+2}|} \\
 &=: I_1 + I_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

If

$$b_{t_0}(z) := g(z) \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{Q}_{t_0} \setminus \mathcal{Q}_{t_0+1}}(z) - \left(\int_{\mathcal{Q}_{t_0} \setminus \mathcal{Q}_{t_0+1}} g(y) dy \right) \frac{\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{Q}_{t_0+1} \setminus \mathcal{Q}_{t_0+2}}(z)}{|\mathcal{Q}_{t_0+1} \setminus \mathcal{Q}_{t_0+2}|}.$$

It is easy to note that $\int b_{t_0}(z) dz = 0$, where $\text{supp } b_{t_0} \subset \mathcal{Q}_{t_0} \setminus \mathcal{Q}_{t_0+2} \subset \mathcal{Q}_{t_0}$. Let $\beta > -1$, then from Lemma 1 of [3] and (1) in [4] yields

$$\omega_1(\mathcal{Q}_{t_0+1} \setminus \mathcal{Q}_{t_0+2}) \approx \omega_1(\mathcal{Q}_{t_0+1}) \approx (m_{t_0+1})^{-(\beta+1)} \approx (m_{t_0})^{-(\beta+1)}.$$

Next, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left(\int_{Q_{t_0}} |b_{t_0}(z)|^{(1+\epsilon)p} d\omega_1(z) \right)^{1/(1+\epsilon)p} &\leq \left(\int_{Q_{t_0} \setminus Q_{t_0+1}} |g(z)|^{(1+\epsilon)p} d\omega_1(z) \right)^{1/(1+\epsilon)p} \\
 &\quad + \left(\int_{Q_{t_0} \setminus Q_{t_0+1}} |g(z)| dz \right) \frac{\omega_1(Q_{t_0+1} \setminus Q_{t_0+2})^{1/(1+\epsilon)p}}{|(Q_{t_0+1} \setminus Q_{t_0+2})|} \\
 &\leq \left(\int_{Q_{t_0} \setminus Q_{t_0+1}} |g(z)|^{(1+\epsilon)p} d\omega_1(z) \right)^{1/(1+\epsilon)p} \\
 &\quad + \left(\int_{Q_{t_0} \setminus Q_{t_0+1}} |g(z)|^{(1+\epsilon)p} |z|^\beta dz \right)^{1/(1+\epsilon)p} \\
 &\quad \times \frac{(m_{t_0})^{\beta/(1+\epsilon)p} |(Q_{t_0} \setminus Q_{t_0+1})|^{1-1/(1+\epsilon)p} \omega_1(Q_{t_0+1} \setminus Q_{t_0+2})^{1/(1+\epsilon)p}}{|(Q_{t_0+1} \setminus Q_{t_0+2})|} \\
 &\leq C_0 \left(\int_{Q_{t_0} \setminus Q_{t_0+1}} |g(z)|^{(1+\epsilon)p} d\omega_1(z) \right)^{1/(1+\epsilon)p}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get

$$a_{t_0}(z) := \left\{ C_0 \left(\int_{Q_{t_0} \setminus Q_{t_0+1}} |g(y)|^{(1+\epsilon)p} d\omega_1(y) \right)^{1/(1+\epsilon)p} \right\}^{-1} \omega_1(Q_{t_0})^{1/(1+\epsilon)p-1} b_{t_0}(z),$$

is a central $(\beta, q; \omega_1, \omega_2)_Q$ -atom. Let

$$\lambda_{t_0} := C_0 \omega_1(Q_{t_0})^{1-1/(1+\epsilon)p} \left(\int_{Q_{t_0} \setminus Q_{t_0+1}} |g(z)|^{(1+\epsilon)p} d\omega_1(z) \right)^{1/(1+\epsilon)p}.$$

Then $I_1 = \sum_{t_0=-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda_{t_0} a_{t_0}(z)$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \epsilon^\theta \sum_{t_0=-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda_{t_0} &\leq C_0 \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \epsilon^\theta \sum_{t_0=-\infty}^{\infty} \omega_1(Q_{t_0})^{1/((1+\epsilon)p)'} \|g \mathbf{1}_{Q_{t_0} \setminus Q_{t_0+1}}\|_{L_{\omega_2}^q(Q)} \\
 &\leq C_0 \|g\|_{\dot{K}_q^{\beta, 1, \theta}(\omega_1, \omega_2; Q)} \leq C_0 \|g^*\|_{\dot{K}_q^{\beta, 1, \theta}(\omega_1, \omega_2; Q)} = C_0 \|g\|_{HK_q^{\beta, 1, \theta}(\omega_1, \omega_2; Q)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

To estimate I_2 , we write $\widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{Q_{t_0} \setminus Q_{t_0+1}}(z) = |(Q_{t_0} \setminus Q_{t_0+1})|^{-1} \mathbf{1}_{Q_{t_0} \setminus Q_{t_0+1}}(z)$; then

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_2 &= \sum_{t_0=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\int_{Q_{t_0} \setminus Q_{t_0+1}} g(y) dy \right) \widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{Q_{t_0+1} \setminus Q_{t_0+2}}(z) \\
 &= \sum_{t_0=-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{j=t_0}^{\infty} \int_{Q_j \setminus Q_{j+1}} g(y) dy \right\} (\widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{Q_{t_0+1} \setminus Q_{t_0+2}}(z) - \widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{Q_{t_0} \setminus Q_{t_0+1}}(z)) \\
 &=: \sum_{t_0=-\infty}^{\infty} h_{t_0}(z),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$h_{t_0}(z) := \left(\sum_{j=t_0}^{\infty} \int_{Q_j \setminus Q_{j+1}} g(y) dy \right) (\widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{Q_{t_0+1} \setminus Q_{t_0+2}}(z) - \widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{Q_{t_0} \setminus Q_{t_0+1}}(z))$$

$$= \left(\int_{Q_{t_0}} g(y) dy \right) (\widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{Q_{t_0+1} \setminus Q_{t_0+2}}(z) - \widetilde{\mathbf{1}}_{Q_{t_0} \setminus Q_{t_0+1}}(z)).$$

Hence $\text{supp } h_{t_0} \subset Q_{t_0} \setminus Q_{t_0+2} \subset Q_{t_0}$ and $\int h_{t_0}(z) dz = 0$. Consequently we get

$$\begin{aligned} |h_{t_0}(z)| &\leq C_1 |(Q_{t_0})| g^*(z) \mathbf{1}_{Q_{t_0}}(z) \left(|(Q_{t_0})|^{-1} \mathbf{1}_{Q_{t_0} \setminus Q_{t_0+1}}(z) + |(Q_{t_0+1})|^{-1} \mathbf{1}_{Q_{t_0+1} \setminus Q_{t_0+2}}(z) \right) \\ &\leq C_1 g^*(z) \mathbf{1}_{Q_{t_0} \setminus Q_{t_0+1}}(z) + C_1 g^*(z) \mathbf{1}_{Q_{t_0+1} \setminus Q_{t_0+2}}(z). \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\|h_{t_0}\|_{L_{\omega_2}^q(Q)} \leq C_1 \|g^* \mathbf{1}_{Q_{t_0} \setminus Q_{t_0+1}}\|_{L_{\omega_2}^q(Q)} + C_1 \|g^* \mathbf{1}_{Q_{t_0+1} \setminus Q_{t_0+2}}\|_{L_{\omega_2}^q(Q)}.$$

Thus

$$a_{t_0}(z) := \left\{ C_1 \|g^* \mathbf{1}_{Q_{t_0} \setminus Q_{t_0+1}}\|_{L_{\omega_2}^q(Q)} + C_1 \|g^* \mathbf{1}_{Q_{t_0+1} \setminus Q_{t_0+2}}\|_{L_{\omega_2}^q(Q)} \right\}^{-1} \omega_1(Q_{t_0})^{1/(1+\epsilon)p-1} h_{t_0}(z),$$

is a central $(\beta, q; \omega_1, \omega_2)_Q$ -atom. If we write

$$\lambda_{t_0} := \left\{ C_1 \|g^* \mathbf{1}_{Q_{t_0} \setminus Q_{t_0+1}}\|_{L_{\omega_2}^q(Q)} + C_1 \|g^* \mathbf{1}_{Q_{t_0+1} \setminus Q_{t_0+2}}\|_{L_{\omega_2}^q(Q)} \right\} \omega_1(Q_{t_0})^{1-1/(1+\epsilon)p}.$$

Then $I_2 = \sum \lambda_{t_0} a_{t_0}$, and

$$\sup_{\epsilon > 0} \epsilon^\theta \sum_{t_0=-\infty}^{\infty} |\lambda_{t_0}| \leq C_1 \|g^*\|_{\dot{K}_q^{\beta,1,\theta}(\omega_1, \omega_2; Q)} = C_1 \|g\|_{HK_q^{\beta,1,\theta}(\omega_1, \omega_2; Q)}.$$

Next we will prove that $g = \sum \lambda_{t_0} a_{t_0} \in S'(Q)$. Firstly we will have

$$\lambda_{t_0} \int_{Q_{t_0+1} \setminus Q_{t_0+2}} a_{t_0}(z) dz \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t_0 \rightarrow \infty. \quad (1)$$

Thus, we get

$$\int_{Q_{t_0+1} \setminus Q_{t_0+2}} b_{t_0}(z) dz \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{Q_{t_0+1} \setminus Q_{t_0+2}} h_{t_0}(z) dz \rightarrow 0.$$

As $t_0 \rightarrow \infty$. Next by using the definitions of b_{t_0} , h_{t_0} , and (1), we get

$$\int_{Q_{t_0} \setminus Q_{t_0+1}} g(y) dy \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{Q_{t_0}} g(y) dy \rightarrow 0. \quad (2)$$

As $t_0 \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\beta = 0$, $g \in L^1(Q)$ then $0 < |Q_{t_0} \setminus Q_{t_0+1}| \leq |Q_{t_0}| \rightarrow 0$ as $t_0 \rightarrow \infty$, (2) holds. If $-1 < \beta < 0$, $g \in L_\beta^1(Q)$, then $|y| \leq (m_{t_0})^{-1}$ for $y \in Q_{t_0}$, and $|y| = (m_{t_0})^{-1}$ for $y \in Q_{t_0} \setminus Q_{t_0+1}$, we obtain

$$\left| \int_{Q_{t_0}} g(y) dy \right| \leq (m_{t_0})^\beta \int_{Q_{t_0}} |g(y)| |y|^\beta dy \leq (m_{t_0})^\beta \|g\|_{L_{\omega_2}^1(Q)} \rightarrow 0.$$

If $t_0 \rightarrow \infty$, and

$$\left| \int_{Q_{t_0} \setminus Q_{t_0+1}} g(y) dy \right| \leq \int_{Q_{t_0} \setminus Q_{t_0+1}} |g(y)| dy \leq \int_{Q_{t_0}} |g(y)| dy \rightarrow 0.$$

If $t_0 \rightarrow \infty$, then (2) also holds.

If $\varphi \in S(\mathcal{Q})$, φ is constant on the cosets of $\mathcal{Q}_{t_0}$ in $\mathcal{Q}$ but not on the cosets of $\mathcal{Q}_{t_0-1}$ (unless $\varphi(z) \equiv 0$) and $\text{supp } \varphi \subset \mathcal{Q}_m$. Obviously, $t_0 \geq m$. Because $g(z) = \sum \lambda_{t_0} a_{t_0}(z)$ pointwise, from (1) and $\int_{\mathcal{Q}_{t_0} \setminus \mathcal{Q}_{t_0+2}} a_{t_0}(z) d\mu(z) = 0$, it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \langle g, \varphi \rangle &= \int \left(\sum_{t_0=-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda_{t_0} a_{t_0}(z) \right) \varphi(z) dz \\ &= \sum_{t=m}^{\infty} \int_{\mathcal{Q}_t \setminus \mathcal{Q}_{t+1}} \left(\sum_{t_0=t-1}^t \lambda_{t_0} a_{t_0}(z) \right) \varphi(z) dz \\ &= \sum_{t=m}^{\infty} \left(\lambda_{t-1} \int_{\mathcal{Q}_t \setminus \mathcal{Q}_{t+1}} a_{t-1} \varphi + \lambda_t \int_{\mathcal{Q}_t \setminus \mathcal{Q}_{t+1}} a_t \varphi \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} &= \begin{cases} \lambda_{m-1} \int_{\mathcal{Q}_m \setminus \mathcal{Q}_{m+1}} a_{m-1} \varphi, & t_0 = m, \\ \lambda_{m-1} \int_{\mathcal{Q}_m \setminus \mathcal{Q}_{m+1}} a_{m-1} \varphi + \sum_{t=m}^{t_0-1} \lambda_t \int a_t \varphi, & t_0 > m, \end{cases} \\ &= \lim_{\substack{m_1 \rightarrow \infty \\ m_2 \rightarrow \infty}} \int \left(\sum_{t_0=-m_1}^{m_2} \lambda_{t_0} a_{t_0} \right) \varphi, \end{aligned}$$

that is, $g = \sum \lambda_{t_0} a_{t_0}$ in $S'(\mathcal{Q})$.

Let $0 < (1 + \epsilon)p < 1$ yields $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{Q}_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g * \Delta_n = g \in S'(\mathcal{Q})$ and $\mathcal{Q}_n(z) = g * \Delta_n(z)$ is a function on $\mathcal{Q}$ which is constant on the cosets of $\mathcal{Q}_n$ in $\mathcal{Q}$. It is easy to note that

$$|\mathcal{Q}_n(z)| \leq g^*(z) \quad (3)$$

$$(\mathcal{Q}_n)^*(z) \leq g^*(z), \quad (4)$$

$$\int_{\mathcal{Q}_{t_0} \setminus \mathcal{Q}_{t_0+1}} \mathcal{Q}_n(z) dz \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathcal{Q}_{t_0}} \mathcal{Q}_n(z) dz \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t_0 \rightarrow \infty. \quad (5)$$

Using (3),(4) and (5) for $\mathcal{Q}_n$, similarly we get

$$\mathcal{Q}_n(z) = \sum_{t_0=-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda_{t_0} a_{t_0}^n(z) \quad (6)$$

in $S'(\mathcal{Q})$ pointwise, where $\sup_{\epsilon > 0} \epsilon^\theta \sum_{t_0=-\infty}^{\infty} |\lambda_{t_0}|^{(1+\epsilon)p} \leq C \|g\|_{HK_q^{(\beta,p),\theta}(\mathcal{Q})}^{(1+\epsilon)p}$, and each $a_{t_0}^n(z)$ is a central $(\beta, q; \omega_1, \omega_2)_{\mathcal{Q}}$ -atom supported in $\mathcal{Q}_{t_0}$, note that $\text{supp } a_{t_0}^n \subset \mathcal{Q}_{t_0} \setminus \mathcal{Q}_{t_0+2}$. Hence we get

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|a_0^n(z)\|_{L_{\omega_2}^q(\mathcal{Q})} \leq \omega_1(\mathcal{Q}_0)^{1/q-1/(1+\epsilon)p}.$$

Then using the Banach-Alaoglu theorem yields a subsequence $\{a_0^{n_{v_0}}\}$ of $\{a_0^n\}$ converging in the weak* topology of $L_{\omega_2}^q(\mathcal{Q})$ to some $a_0 \in L_{\omega_2}^q(\mathcal{Q})$. It is easy to verify that a_0 is a central $(\beta, q; \omega_1, \omega_2)_{\mathcal{Q}}$ -atom supported in $\mathcal{Q}_0$. Next, since

$$\sup_{n_{v_0}} \|a_1^{n_{v_0}}(z)\|_{L_{\omega_2}^q(\mathcal{Q})} \leq \omega_1(\mathcal{Q}_1)^{1/q-1/(1+\epsilon)p}.$$

Another application of the Banach-Alaoglu theorem yields a subsequence $\{a_1^{n_{v_1}}\}$ of $\{a_1^{n_{v_0}}\}$ and a central $(\beta, q; \omega_1, \omega_2)_{\mathcal{Q}}$ -atom a_1 with $\text{supp } a_1 \subset \mathcal{Q}_1$ which converges weak* in $L_{\omega_2}^q(\mathcal{Q})$ to a_1 . Hence we get

$$\sup_{n_{v_1}} \|a_{-1}^{n_{v_1}}(z)\|_{L_{\omega_2}^q(\mathcal{Q})} \leq \omega_1(\mathcal{Q}_{-1})^{1/q-1/(1+\epsilon)p}.$$

Again repeating the process we get the subsequence $\{a_{-1}^{n_{v_1}}\}$ of $\{a_{-1}^{n_{v_1}}\}$ which converges weak* in $L_{\omega_2}^q(\mathcal{Q})$ to some $a_{-1} \in L_{\omega_2}^q(\mathcal{Q})$, and a_{-1} is a central $(\beta, q; \omega_1, \omega_2)_{\mathcal{Q}}$ -atom supported in $\mathcal{Q}_{-1}$. Repeating the above process, for each $t_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, we can find a subsequence $\{a_{t_0}^{n_{v_1}}\}$ of $\{a_{t_0}^{n_{v_1}}\}$ converging weak* in $L_{\omega_2}^q(\mathcal{Q})$ to some $a_{t_0} \in L_{\omega_2}^q(\mathcal{Q})$, and a_{t_0} is a central $(\beta, q; \omega_1, \omega_2)_{\mathcal{Q}}$ -atom supported in $\mathcal{Q}_{t_0}$. Then the diagonal method yields a sequence $\{n_v\}$ of natural numbers such that for every $t_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\lim_{v \rightarrow \infty} a_{t_0}^{n_v} = a_{t_0}$ in the weak* topology of $L_{\omega_2}^q(\mathcal{Q})$, and therefore, in $S'(\mathcal{Q})$. Next we will prove that

$$g = \sum_{t_0=-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda_{t_0} a_{t_0} \quad (7)$$

in $S'(\mathcal{Q})$. Next, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle g, \varphi \rangle &= \lim_{n_v \rightarrow \infty} \langle \mathcal{Q}_{n_v}, \varphi \rangle = \lim_{n_v \rightarrow \infty} \left\langle \sum_{t_0=-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda_{t_0} a_{t_0}^{n_v}, \varphi \right\rangle \\ &= \begin{cases} \lim_{n_v \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{m-1} \int a_{m-1}^{n_v} \varphi, & t_0 = m, \\ \lim_{n_v \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \lambda_{m-1} \int a_{m-1}^{n_v} \varphi + \sum_{t_0=m}^{t_0-1} \lambda_{t_0} \int a_{t_0}^{n_v} \varphi \right\}, & t_0 > m, \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \lambda_{m-1} \int a_{m-1} \varphi, & t_0 = m, \\ \lambda_{m-1} \int a_{m-1} \varphi + \sum_{t_0=m}^{t_0-1} \lambda_{t_0} \int a_{t_0} \varphi, & t_0 > m, \end{cases} \\ &= \lim_{\substack{m_1 \rightarrow \infty \\ m_2 \rightarrow \infty}} \int \left(\sum_{t_0=-m_1}^{m_2} \lambda_{t_0} a_{t_0} \right) \varphi, \end{aligned}$$

that is, (7) holds in $S'(\mathcal{Q})$.

Conversely, suppose $g = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda_j a_j$ in $S'(\mathcal{Q})$, where a_j is a central $(\beta, q; \omega_1, \omega_2)_{\mathcal{Q}}$ -atom. Then $g^*(z) \leq \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |\lambda_j| a_j^*(z)$ and

$$\|g^*\|_{\dot{K}_q^{\beta,p},\theta(\mathcal{Q})}^{(1+\epsilon)p} \leq \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|^{(1+\epsilon)p} \|a_j^*\|_{\dot{K}_q^{\beta,p},\theta(\mathcal{Q})}^{(1+\epsilon)p}.$$

Next it is remaining to prove that

$$\|a_j^*\|_{\dot{K}_q^{\beta,p},\theta(\mathcal{Q})}^{(1+\epsilon)p} \leq C,$$

where C is independent of a_j . Let $\text{supp } a_j \subset \mathcal{Q}_{n_j}$, we need to prove that $\text{supp } a_j^* \subset \mathcal{Q}_{n_j}$. Hence we get

$$a_j * \Delta_n(z) = m_n \int_{\mathcal{Q}_{n_j} \cap (z + \mathcal{Q}_n)} a_j(y) dy.$$

Thus, if $z \notin \mathcal{Q}_{n_j}$ then for $n_j \geq n$, $\mathcal{Q}_{n_j} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_n$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{n_j} \cap (z + \mathcal{Q}_n) \neq \emptyset$ implies $\mathcal{Q}_{n_j} \cap (z + \mathcal{Q}_n) \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_n$, so $a_j * \Delta_n(z) = 0$. If $n > n_j$, then $\mathcal{Q}_n \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_{n_j}$ which leads to $\mathcal{Q}_{n_j} \cap (z + \mathcal{Q}_n) = \emptyset$. Note $a_j^*(z) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (a_j * \Delta_n)(z)$ and $\text{supp } a_j^* \subset \mathcal{Q}_{n_j}$. Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|a_j^*\|_{\dot{K}_q^{\beta,p},\theta(\mathcal{Q})}^{(1+\epsilon)p} &= \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \epsilon^\theta \sum_{t_0=-\infty}^{\infty} \omega_1(\mathcal{Q}_{t_0})^{1-(1+\epsilon)p/q} \|a_j^* \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{Q}_{t_0} \setminus \mathcal{Q}_{t_0+1}}\|_{\dot{K}_q^{\beta,p},\theta(\mathcal{Q})}^{(1+\epsilon)p} \\ &\leq C \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \epsilon^\theta \sum_{t_0=-\infty}^{\infty} \omega_1(\mathcal{Q}_{t_0})^{1-(1+\epsilon)p/q} \|a_j\|_{\dot{K}_q^{\beta,p},\theta(\mathcal{Q})}^{(1+\epsilon)p} \\ &\leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Which completes the proof. $\square$

Contributions

This paper is written by Babar Sultan.

Funding

Not applicable

Data availability

No datasets were generated during the current study.

Ethics declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The author declares no competing interests.

References

- [1] Y. Chen, K. Lau, *On some new classes of Hardy spaces*, J. Funct. Anal., 84 (1989), 255.
- [2] J. Garcia-Cuerva, *Hardy spaces and Beurling algebras*, J. London Math. Soc., 39(2) (1989), 499.
- [3] C. W. Onneweer, *Multipliers on weighted L_p -spaces over certain totally disconnected groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 288 (1985), 347–362.
- [4] C. W. Onneweer, and W. Su, *Homogeneous Besov spaces on locally compact Vilenkin groups*, Studia Math., 93 (1989), 17–39.
- [5] M. Sultan, B. Sultan, *Boundedness of sublinear operators on grand central Orlicz–Morrey spaces*, Bull. Sci.math., 205 (2025), 103704.
- [6] M. Sultan, B. Sultan, *λ -Central Musielak–Orlicz–Morrey spaces*, Arab. J. Math., 14 (2025), 357–363.
- [7] M. Sultan, B. Sultan, & R. E. Castillo, *Lorentz Herz–Morrey spaces with applications*, J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper. Appl., 16(55) (2025).
- [8] H. Wang and Z. Liu, *The Herz-type Hardy spaces with variable exponent and their applications*, Taiwan. J. Math., 16(4) (2012), 1363–1389.
- [9] K. P. Ho, *Sublinear operators on Herz–Hardy spaces with variable exponents*, Math. Nachr., 295 (2022), 876–889.
- [10] K. P. Ho, *Bilinear operators on ball Banach function spaces*, J. Geom. Anal., 34 (2024), Article no. 337.
- [11] K. P. Ho, *Localized operators on weighted Herz spaces*, Math. Nachr., 297 (2024), 4067–4080.
- [12] B. Sultan and M. Sultan, *Boundedness of commutators of rough Hardy operators on grand variable Herz spaces*, Forum Math., 36(3) (2024), 717–733.
- [13] B. Sultan, M. Sultan, *Sobolev-type theorem for commutators of Hardy operators in grand Herz spaces*, Ukr. Math. J., 76 (2024), 1196–1213.
- [14] B. Sultan and M. Sultan, *Boundedness of higher order commutators of Hardy operators on grand Herz–Morrey spaces*, Bull. Sci. Math., 190 (2024), Article ID 103373.
- [15] M. Sultan and B. Sultan, *A note on the boundedness of Marcinkiewicz integral operator on continual Herz–Morrey spaces*, Filomat, 39(6) (2025), 2017–2027.
- [16] B. Sultan and M. Sultan, *Sobolev-type theorem for commutators of variable Marcinkiewicz fractional in grand variable Herz–Morrey spaces*, Filomat, 39(26) (2025), 8985–8998.
- [17] B. Sultan, *Atomic decomposition of anisotropic grand variable weighted Herz spaces and applications*, J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper. Appl., 16(53) (2025).
- [18] B. Sultan, M. Sultan, I. Khan, *On Sobolev theorem for higher commutators of fractional integrals in grand variable Herz spaces*, Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul., 126 (2023).
- [19] J. L. Wu, W. J. Zhao, *Boundedness for fractional Hardy-type operator on variable-exponent Herz–Morrey spaces*, Kyoto J. Math., 56 (2016), 831 – 845.